

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1063 of 2015</p> <p>C.V. AlsatwarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate holding for Mr. Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Potbhare submits that at the request of learned Advocate Mr. Ajay Deshpande the matter be fixed on 24.08.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Mr. Ajay Deshpande is directed to appear before us on 24.08.2022 as the matter is of the year 2015 and it is taken on day to day basis.</p> <p>4. We direct the Home Department to inform, Respondent No.5 - Mr. Sudhir G. Dabhade, Respondent No.6 - Mr. G.D. Nandanwar, Respondent No.7 - Mr. Balkrushna U. Bhange and Respondent No.8 - Mr. Prakash Nilkanth Burde about pending of this matter.</p> <p>5. In this matter the Applicant challenges the protection granted to Respondents No.5 to 8 contending that got entry in service by making a false claim to have belonged to ST/SC category. Therefore he claims his seniority and consequential promotions.</p> <p>6. Matter adjourned to 24.08.2022 at 2.15 p.m.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>17.08.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 12/2022 in O.A 431/2018</u></p> <p>Shri B.D Sarangkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the matter regarding interest to be paid to the applicant is pending with the Deputy Director, Health Services, Pune.</p> <p>3. We direct the Deputy Director, Health Services, Pune to take decision within three weeks.</p> <p>4. S.O to 7.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>17.08.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 205/2022</u></p> <p>Dr S.K Thakur ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Mr Akshay Joshi, states that he will be filing Vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent nos 6 & 7 during the course of the day. He seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.812 OF 2022

Shri Nitin V. Dahibhate,

).. **Applicant**

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Anr.

) ..**Respondents**

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant,

Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate along with Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.

CORAM : SHRI A.P. KURHEKAR, HOB'BLE MEMBER(J)

DATE : 17.08.2022.

ORDER

1) The Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 10.08.2022 whereby he is transferred from the post of Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, K/West Ward, Mumbai to District Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Amravati in place of one Shri Lavekar who was to be transferred. Thus, by this order the Applicant is transferred from Mumbai to Amravati on the post occupied by Shri Lavekar which was to fall vacant after Transfer of Shri Lavekar. As such, there is no clear vacancy at Amravati.

2) The Applicant was posted as Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, K/West Ward, Mumbai by order dated 02.03.2019 and had completed three years tenure in March 2022. However, he was granted extension by the Government by order dated 29.04.2022. True, in this order there is no specific mention about the period of extension but the fact remains that he was granted extension on the post of Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, K/West Ward, Mumbai but now by impugned transfer order dated 10.08.2022 he is transferred from

M.D.

Mumbai to Amravati in likely vacancy in the place of Shri Lavekar. Government by order dated 10.08.2022 has transferred Respondent No.2 from Thane in place of the Applicant.

3) Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought interim relief *inter-alia* contending that though the Applicant was due for transfer in general transfer of 2022 he was given extension and secondly no such case or administrative exigencies is made out to displace him.

4) Learned P.O. submits that proposal was vetted by C.S.B. and Hon'ble Chief Minister has given his approval. He therefore submits that there is proper compliance of provision of Transfer Act, 2005.

5) Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 also submit there being approval of C.S.B. and Hon'ble Chief Minister, interim relief is not warranted. He further submits that his client has already taken charge on the post of Co-operative Societies, K/West Ward, Mumbai.

6) The perusal of record *prima-facie* reveals that all these transfers are made to accommodate Shri Lavekar who was at Amravati. Admittedly, he was not due for transfer but requested for transfer on family ground. Therefore initially Shri Lavekar was transferred from Amravati to Thane in place of Respondent No.2. However, Hon'ble Chief Minister gave posting to one Shri Bhagwat in place of Respondent No.2 as Deputy Registrar, Thane where Shri Lavekar was to be posted. Resultantly Shri Lavekar was retained at Amravati. It is for this reason in impugned Transfer order dated 10.08.2022 post of Shri Lavekar is shown likely to fall vacant.

7) The perusal of file noting produced by learned P.O. thus reveals that only to accommodate Shri Lavekar the Applicant is displaced.

True, the Applicant was due for transfer in general transfer of May 2022 but he was not transferred and on the contrary he was given extension by order dated 29.04.2022. This being the position, there should have been some compelling administrative exigencies or special case so as to transfer the Applicant. However, no such case is made out, on the other hand it is clearly seen that only to favour Shri Lavekar the Applicant is transferred.

8) The Applicant's transfer order dated 10.08.2022 will have to be termed as mid-term transfer. As per Section 4(5) of Transfer Act, 2005 competent authority in special case only after recording reasons in writing can Transfer the Government servant mid-tenure. Thus it is only in special case with reasons in writing there could be legal and valid mid-term Transfer. However, in present case there is absolutely no such special case nor any such reasons are recorded as contemplated under Section 4(5) of Transfer Act, 2005. The impugned order thus *prima-facie* arbitrary and in blatant violation of Transfer Act, 2005.

9) True, Respondent No.2 seems to have taken charge hurriedly but that could not be the ground for not granting interim relief when *ex-facie* entire exercise is done only to accommodate Shri Lavekar that too without their being any such special case to Transfer the Applicant. If transfer of Government servant in this manner is countenanced it would defeat the very purpose of Transfer Act, 2005. Executive cannot be allowed to circumvent provision of Transfer Act, 2005.

10) For aforesaid reasons, I am satisfied that *prima-facie* the case is made out to grant interim relief. Applicant to resume as Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, K/West Ward, Mumbai and Respondent No.1 is at liberty to pass separate order in respect of Respondent No.2 for giving him appropriate posting in the meantime by way of interim arrangement which would be subject to final decision of O.A.

WMB

11) The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

12) Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.09.2022.

13) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

14) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

15) By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

16) In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

17) S.O. to 14.09.2022.

18) Steno Copy granted.

Sd/-


(A.P. KURHEKAR)
MEMBER (J)

Place: Mumbai

Date: 17.08.2022.

Dictation taken by: N.M. Naik.

G:\NAIK\2022\02-Order\08-Aug 2022\17.08.2022\O.A.812 of 2022_O. 17.08.2022 (Transfer).doc



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.388/2022</u></p> <p>Shri L.S. Lahane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. tenders Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <small>M</small> (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.08.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.337 of 2017 in O.A. No.35 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.A. Adake ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges seniority list dated 22.8.2016. He has filed OA on 12.1.2017 challenging the said seniority list. His position in the seniority list is challenged by the applicant on the basis of his initial promotion from the post of Senior Clerk to Head Clerk in 2004 and subsequent reversion on account of not clearing the examination within stipulated period. He has therefore in the prayer clause along with challenge to final seniority list dated 22.8.2016, also prayed that his seniority is to be considered as on his first promotion which has taken place on 28.3.2003 as Head Clerk. Therefore, in view of this entire prayer the respondent State has raised objection on the point of delay.</p> <p>3. The respondents have filed affidavit in reply dated 8.3.2018 through Kailash S. Ravte, Inspector in the office of Directorate of Vocational Education and Training, Mumbai. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has submitted that applicant has been wrongly reverted from the post of Head Clerk to Senior Clerk by order dated 17.8.2004. He has in fact cleared the departmental examination within stipulated period of seven years and within three chances is wrongly interpreted by the State. He states that he is entitled to get his seniority from 28.3.2003 and the same seniority was maintained continuously thereafter till 22.8.2016. He submits that the applicant is from VJ category and he was promoted as Head Clerk on 14.7.2008. However, the reversion order was illegal. The applicant has submitted his objections to the provisional seniority list dated 7.6.2016. When the objections were heard the applicant's representation for those objections was also heard and was turned down and this refusal of representation is reflected in the seniority list dated 22.8.2016. Hence, that is to be considered as the date of cause of action and his OA is filed within limitation.</p> <p>4. Per contra, Ld. PO relying on the affidavit filed by the State has submitted that in the last portion of the prayer clause the</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

applicant seeks that his seniority is to be decided from the date of his first promotion which was issued on 28.3.2003. Ld. PO submits that the said promotion continued only upto 17.8.2004 when his order of reversion was issued by the department. Ld. PO submits that applicant did not pass the required departmental examination within stipulated period. Hence, the order of reversion was issued on 17.8.2004. Ld. PO has further submitted that the reversion was acted upon and the applicant has stated working as Senior Clerk after 17.8.2004 till 14.7.2008 when he was second time promoted to the post of Head Clerk from Senior Clerk. Ld. PO has submitted that thus nearly for a period of four years the applicant has worked again on the post of Senior Clerk. Today he cannot challenge the said reversion order under the pretext of challenging his seniority.

5. It is true that applicant in the second portion of the prayer clause has in fact challenged his reversion. However, it is also a fact that in seniority list dated 22.8.2016 his name is shown at Sr. No.35 and this is the cause of action to challenge the said seniority list. He has filed this OA on 12.1.2017 i.e. within one year from the date of cause of action of the seniority list. Hence, we allow this MA and condone the delay as there is no delay in view of the date of seniority list.

6. However, we make it clear the order of reversion on the point of second part of the prayer is not kept open and the submissions of the Ld. PO on that point are accepted. If the applicant wanted to challenge the reversion then he should have challenged the reversion within one year when his order of reversion was passed i.e. on 17.8.2004. Thus, on the point of reversion the matter is closed. We do not condone delay of so many years. The cause given by the Ld. Advocate for the applicant that though the applicant was reverted his seniority was maintained till the impugned seniority list of 2016 is not just and good reason to condone the delay for the said cause. The applicant has worked for four years after his demotion. The applicant can make submissions on the point of his seniority from 2008 onwards. MA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
17.8.2022

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
17.8.2022

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.712 of 2022</p> <p>M.B. MahajanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. In the mean time Respondent is at liberty to take review of the suspension.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>L.O.^{WIN}</p> <p>5. In the afternoon session, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>6. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 30.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.236 of 2022 in O.A.No.260 of 2022</p> <p>S. J. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 in M.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.09.2022 for hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.753 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. N. K. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Smt. Bhairavi Ranpise, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed present O.A. claiming advancement in pay in terms of G.R. dated 06.08.2002. He made representations on 20.09.2021 and 05.05.2022 addressed to Respondent No.2- District Health Officer, Zilla Parishad, Nashik but not responded. Therefore, he has approached this tribunal.</p> <p>3. Thus, till date the representations are not decided as fairly conceded by learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2. She tried to contend that Applicant is not entitled to the said benefit.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that O.A. be disposed of with directions to the Respondent No.2 to decide the representations within stipulated period.</p> <p>5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with directions to Respondent No.2 to pass appropriate order on representations dated 20.09.2021 and 05.05.2022 made by the Applicant within six weeks from today and the decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant. If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision, he may avail further legal recourse.</p> <p>6. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm [P.T.O.]</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.380/2022

Shri O.G. Taware ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

- 1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.
- 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant tenders Affidavit-in-rejoinder. It is taken on record
- 3. S.O. to 16.09.2022.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
17.08.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.415 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. KhatarmalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit of Shri Sham Bhimrao Ghuge, Deputy Commissioner of Police and also filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Indeed, in terms of order passed on 04.08.2022, it was for the Commissioner of Police to file Affidavit. Learned P.O. sought one day time to file Affidavit of Joint Commissioner (Administration) in place of Affidavit of C.P. Mumbai.</p> <p>4. Allowed to file Affidavit of Joint Commissioner, Mumbai (Administration) but it should be with the approval of C.P. Mumbai.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>17.08.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 346/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.W Shinde ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.M Pujari, learned advocate for the applicant, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents no 1 to 4 and Shri R.V Shinde, learned counsel for Respondents no 5, 7 & 9.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays that by way of interim relief one post of Multi-Purpose Health Worker, in Thane District be kept vacant.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that all the posts are filled up and no post is vacant as on today.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply clarifying the position.</p> <p>5. S.O to 7.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
 Applicant/s
 (Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.08.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 95/2022</u></p> <p>Shri P.P Bharad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that affidavit in reply is not filed even though last chance was given to the Respondents.</p> <p>3. It is made clear that if the affidavit in reply is not filed before the next date, the matter will proceed without reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 14.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.08.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 236 & 237/2021</u></p> <p>Shri K. Suryakrishnamurthy A.N Padwal ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Akhilesh Dubey a/w Rajuram Kuteriya i/b Troy Legal learned counsel for the applicant in O.A 236/2021, Shri Uttam Dubey i/b Law Counsellors, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 2372/2021, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1, 2 & 3 and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for Respondent no. 11 in O.A 237/2021.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 14.9.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.08.2022</u></p> <p><u>M.A 421/2022 in O.A 622/2021</u></p> <p>Shri P.R Koli ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply and see whether the judgment of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Nagpur Bench, dated 23.2.2022 in W.P 547/2021 is applicable to the facts of the present case.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O to submit copy of the affidavit in reply to the learned counsel for the applicant at least two days in advance before the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O to 7.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

17.08.2022

O.A 752/2022

Shri N.R Garje ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O submits that State wise final seniority list of the cadre of Naib Tahsildar was published by the Department today, i.e. on 17.8.2022. Hence she states that first the Department will have to verify where the applicant figures in the seniority list and if he is in the zone of consideration for promotion, then he will be given the promotion and thereafter his case for grant of deemed date of promotion will be considered.

3. The Government has refused to grant section to prosecute the applicant on 14.10.2021 and the Respondent-State dropped the departmental enquiry against the applicant by order dated 16.11.2021.

4. We call upon the General Administration Department to file affidavit in reply on the procedure to be followed for giving promotion to the persons who are exonerated or enquiry is dropped against them or in cases where the D.P.C while considering for promotion of the Government servant has kept the report in a sealed cover due to pending D.E, whether a fresh D.P.C is to be constituted to consider the case of the Government servant for promotion after the sealed cover is opened and he is found eligible.

5. The said affidavit in reply is to be filed on the basis of para 26 of the judgment in the case of UNION OF INDIA & ORS Vs. K.V JANKIRAMAN & ORS, AIR 1991 SC 2010.

6. The Joint Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department is directed to file affidavit in reply stating as to how many posts of Tahsildar are vacant.

7. S.O to 22.8.2022.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil/
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

17.08.2022

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.08.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 392/2022</u></p> <p>Dr Jayshree L. Katare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 28.9.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.5 of 2022 in O.A. No.769 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.R.S. Munir ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant wants details of calculation made by the Government in this matter.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO seeks time to file affidavit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 7.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 17.8.2022 17.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20



I N


Original Application No.


of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.657 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.L. Guje ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant to remove office objection and comply the order dated 20.7.2022 of this Tribunal in the above OA.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.9.2022 under the caption of 'Dismissal'.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgi)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>17.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>17.8.2022</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.444 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.M. Warale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and Advocate both the absent.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.8.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 17.8.2022 17.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.443 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.Y. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and Advocate both the absent.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.8.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.8.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.442 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.N. Bhutekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and Advocate both the absent.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.8.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.8.2022 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.8.2022</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.814 of 2022</u></p> <p>G.S. Chavhan & 4 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Siba Ansari with Ms. A.N. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter pertains to filling up the post of Assistant Conservator of Forest and Range Forest Officer. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for interim relief in the matter of filling up the post in EWS category. The prayer is made in view of the judgment and order dated 29.7.2022 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.2663/2021 & Ors.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO seeks time to take instructions from the Government in view of said judgment and order dated 29.7.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.8.2022 for interim relief.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>17.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>17.8.2022</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.491 of 2022 in O.A. No.814 of 2022</u></p> <p>G.S. Chavhan & 4 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Siba Ansari with Ms. A.N. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>17.8.2022</p> <p>(sg)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>17.8.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.480 of 2022 in O.A. No.794 of 2022</u></p> <p>N.G. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. MA is filed for condoning the delay in filing OA. The cause of action arose on 18.8.2021 and the OA is filed on 10.8.2022, which is well within time. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant had filed W.P.5520 of 2021 and the Hon'ble High Court by its order dated 21.7.2022 disposed off the same with liberty to approach this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. In view of the above there is no delay and the MA is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.8.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.8.2022</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.794 of 2022</u></p> <p>N.G. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.8.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply. We are informed that respondent no.2 has not kept SEBC seats vacant. If it is so, respondent no.2 is hereby directed to make affidavit to that effect.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- / Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 17.8.2022 17.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.405 of 2022 in O.A.No.458 of 2022</p> <p>P.R. Chavan & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.V. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that Applicant No.1, Mr. Pravin Ramesh Chavan is held eligible on 24.05.2022, but till today no appointment is given to him. Applicant No.2, Mr. Anil Ramrao Madane and Applicant No.3, Mr. Govardhan Janardhan Datal are already been appointed at at Ratnagiri.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 18.08.2022. Interim relief to be continued till next date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.294 of 2021 in O.A.No.611 of 2021</p> <p>S.V. BramheApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.294/2021 is filed seeking condonation of delay of 7 years and 5 months.</p> <p>3. M.A. is to be shown under the caption 'For Dismissal' on 18.08.2022. Learned Advocate to remain present tomorrow.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.08.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.226 of 2018 in O.A.No.331 of 2018</p> <p>M.S. AmbigarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has filed M.A. No.226/2018 for seeking condonation of delay of 8 years and 10 days. He states that the cause of action is impugned order which was issued on 01.08.2016 and therefore the period of limitation started after 03.07.2017. This O.A. was filed on 09.04.2018 and hence there is delay of 8 years and 10 days. Learned Advocate has submitted that the Applicant has filed the Appeal before the Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department.</p> <p>3. In view of above, M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. submits to the orders of the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. M.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Date: 17.08.2022

O.A. No.756 of 2022

R.S. KodapeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Suresh Mane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order 07.07.2022.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.09.2022.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 14.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.699 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. K.N. Mande,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. 3. Interim relief to continue till next date. 4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to learned Advocate for the Applicant to file Rejoinder. 5. S.O. 30.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.484 of 2022 in O.A. No.801 of 2022</p> <p>V.K. Menda & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In this O.A. some of the Applicants are not residing within the jurisdiction of this Bench but they are implead as Co-Applicant's. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is directed to delete their name and restrict the claim to the Applicants who are within the jurisdiction of this Bench. 4. One day time is granted to take necessary steps. 5. S.O. to 18.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.469 of 2022 in O.A. No.22 of 2022</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus V.R. Shinde (Org. Appl.)Resps.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for grant of three months time to comply order dated 24.01.22 passed in O.A. 22/2022 whereby direction were given to pass final order in D.E. within stipulated period.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Respondent (Original Applicant) submits that he will be filing Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>4. On request of learned C.P.O. adjourned for tomorrow.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.271 of 2022 in O.A. No.417 of 2022</p> <p>R.P. Gage,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri V. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Reply to M.A. by way of most last chance with specific direction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">R.A. No.11 of 2022 in O.A. No.50 of 2021</p> <p>A.N. Bhalchandra,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.N. Bhalchandra, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 & 2. It is taken on record. 3. R.A. be kept for hearing. 4. S.O. to 29.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.486 of 2022 in O.A. No.804 of 2022</p> <p>S.B. Patole & Anrs.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- √ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 17.08.2022

O.A. No.804 of 2022

S.B. Patole & Anrs.,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.08.2022.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. This O.A. be kept along with connected matter on 22.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Date: 17.08.2022

O.A. No.802 of 2022

S.G. ShirgaonkarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 21.01.2022 whereby his request for correction of date of birth from 21.03.1963 to 21.03.1966 stands rejected.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.09.2022.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 13.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Date: 17.08.2022

M.A. No.437 of 2022 in O.A. No.727 of 2022

S.R. Shaikh MunnirApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This M.A. is filed to condone delay of one year and one month caused in filing O.A. which is filed for grant of Deemed date of Promotion.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice of M.A. before admission returnable on 14.09.2022.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 14.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.769 of 2022</p> <p>V.S. WalimbeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant's counsel requested one week time to file M.A. so as to challenge the transfer order.</p> <p>3. One week time is granted to take necessary steps.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.649 of 2022</p> <p>I.M. BirajdarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 4. S.O. to 30.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.857 of 2017</p> <p>B.R. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Reply to the amendment made in O.A. However, no Reply is filed. Since O.A. is of 2017, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.754 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. S.L. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt. Anjali Kolapkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.712 of 2022</p> <p>M.B. MahajanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. In the mean time Respondent is at liberty to take review of the suspension.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.795 of 2018</p> <p>V.N. Ghatge,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.5. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 4.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.524 of 2022</p> <p>R.R. Nawghare,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri T.V. Dhotre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.498 of 2022 with O.A. No.499 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.341 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. K.N. Deore,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.226 of 2020</p> <p>R.S. Jadhav,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 4. S.O. to 29.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>