

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.828/2023</b></p> <p>S.S. Khot .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. G.G. Nankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate seeks permission for amendment in O.A. on account of subsequent developments.</p> <p>3. Permission granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith. Amended copy to be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 19.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.448/2023 in O.A.No.831/2023</b></p> <p>P.S. Hadule &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / <sup>v</sup> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.451/2023 in O.A.No.848/2023</b></p> <p>A.S. Londhe &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
MUMBAI.**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.37 OF 2023 WITH  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.43 OF 2023 WITH  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.85 OF 2023 IN  
MISC APPLICATION NO.81 OF 2023 WITH  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.86 OF 2023 IN  
MISC APPLICATION NO.98 OF 2023 WITH  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.87 OF 2023 IN  
MISC APPLICATION NO.99 OF 2023**

**DISTRICT: THANE, PUNE,  
NASHIK  
SUBJECT : ANNUNAL  
INCREMENT**

Mr. Surchand R. Kudale (O.A. No.37/2023)  
Mr. Suresh B. Mosamkar (O.A. No.43/2023)  
Mr. Deepak M. Jagtap (O.A. No.85/2023)  
Ms. Shalini S. Kokane (O.A. No.86/2023)  
Mr. Prakash D. Pagar (O.A. No.87/2023) ).. **Applicants**  
**Versus**

State of Maharashtra & Ors. ) ..**Respondents**

**Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.37/23,  
Shri R.L. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant in  
O.A.43/23, Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant from  
O.A.85 to 87/2023.**

**Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents  
in all O.As. except O.A. No.43/2023 and Smt. Archana B.K., learned  
Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A. No.43/2023.**

**CORAM : A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 17.07.2023.**

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.37/23, Shri R.L. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.43/23, Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants from O.As.85 to 87/2023, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for

*Handwritten signature*

the Respondents in all O.As. (except O.A. No.43/2023) & Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A. No.43/2023.

2. In all these Original Applications retired Government servants have raised claim for benefit of Annual Increment due on 1<sup>st</sup> July of the concerned year of the retirement. In O.A. No.85/2023, O.A. No. 86/2023 & O.A. No.87/2023 M.A. is filed along with application for condonation of delay. Applicant in O.A. No.37/2023 stands retired on 30.06.2008, Applicant in O.A. No.43/2023 stands retired on 30.06.2013, Applicant in O.A. No.85/2023 stands retired on 30.06.2013, Applicant in O.A. No.86/2023 stands retired on 30.06.2020, Applicant in O.A. No.87/2023 stands retired on 30.06.2018.

3. The claim of the Applicants for increment due on next date of retirement stems from the various Judgments of Tribunal, Hon'ble High Court as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court, wherein the said benefit has been given to the retired Government servants. It is because of the recommendation of 6<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission for State Government employees 1<sup>st</sup> July of every year is fixed as the date of increment for uniformity. However, it has resulted in depriving of benefit of increment to the employees who retired on 30<sup>th</sup> June of the concerned year. Since, the Government servants rendered one year complete services upto 30<sup>th</sup> June of the concerned year, he is held entitled to the benefit of increment due on 01<sup>st</sup> July. Taking note of various Judgments recently Government of Maharashtra through Finance Department issued G.R. on 28.06.2023 accepting the directions of the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.1821/2023 decided on 16.02.2023 which is as under:-

*"We, therefore, find it appropriate to order as under:-*

*[a] We direct the Chief Secretary of the State of Maharashtra and Principal Secretary (Finance Department), State of Maharashtra, to issue appropriate directions to all the concerned departments to appeal to such candidates, who fall in such category having retired on 30<sup>th</sup> June of the particular years and who have*

*earned the annual increment on account of performing work for 12 months ending on 30th June, to tender their applications to the concerned Authorities.*

*[b] After such applications are received, the concerned authorities would grant the reliefs as are being granted by the various High Courts by restricting the arrears of payments to 3 years preceding the dates of the applications or preceding the date of actual retirement as per actuals, whichever is less. With these guidelines, the concerned authorities shall not reject a single application if it fits into the parameters of the judgments delivered by this Court.*

*[c] In the alternative, let the concerned authorities suo-moto dig out such cases and after scrutinizing the same as regards their entitlement, proceed to grant the benefits as per the judgments of this court, within 120 days.*

*[d] While undertaking the above exercise, the gratuity/pay fixation/pension will be re-calculated, so as to be paid to the candidates with arrears as directed above.*

मा. उच्च न्यायालय, खंडपीठ औरंगाबाद यांनी दिलेले उपरोक्त आदेश विचारात घेउन सर्व विभागांना खालीलप्रमाणे कळविण्यात येत आहे.

जे राज्य शासकीय कर्मचारी दि.३० जून रोजी सेवानिवृत्त झाले आहेत व ज्यांनी मागील १२ महिन्यांची अर्हताकारी सेवा केलेली आहे अशा सर्व सेवानिवृत्त कर्मचा-यांना दि.०१ जुलै रोजीची काल्पनिक notional वेतनाविषयक विचारात घेऊन, त्यांचे सेवानिवृत्तीवेतन सुधारीत करण्यासाठी संबंधित कार्यालयांकडे अर्ज करण्याबाबत सर्व संबंधित सेवानिवृत्तांना आवाहन करण्याबाबत, सर्व मंत्रालयीन प्रशासकीय विभागांनी त्यांच्या अधिनस्त कार्यालयातील विभागप्रमुखांना कळवावे.

त्यानंतर याप्रमाणे अर्ज प्राप्त झाल्यानंतर, संबंधित कार्यालयांनी वर नमूद केलेल्या मा. उच्च न्यायालय, खंडपीठ औरंगाबाद यांच्या उपरोक्त आदेशात नमूद केल्यानुसार संबंधितांना सुधारीत सेवानिवृत्तीविषयक लाभ अनुज्ञेय करावेत. तसेच सदर लाभ सुधारीत करण्यात आल्यानंतर त्यांनी अर्ज दाखल केलेल्या दिनांकाच्या मागील ३ वर्षांची थकबाकी अथवा त्यांच्या सेवानिवृत्तीचा दिनांक या पैकी जे कमी असेल तितकी थकबाकी देण्यात यावी. मा. उच्च न्यायालयाने दिलेल्या मार्गदर्शक तत्वांची पूर्तता करित असलेल्या कोणत्याही सेवानिवृत्ताचा अर्ज फेटाळण्यात येऊ नये.

तसेच संबंधित कार्यालयात त्यांच्याकडे उपलब्ध असलेल्या माहितीच्या आधारे अशा प्रकरणांचा त्यांच्या स्तरावरच तपासणी करून निपटारा करावा.

उपरोक्त प्रमाणे कार्यवाही केल्यानंतर मा. उच्च न्यायालय, खंडपीठ औरंगाबाद यांनी त्यांच्या दिनांक १६.०२.२०२३ रोजी च्या आदेशातील परिच्छेद क्रमांक ९ मध्ये नमूद केले आहे की, This notional inclusion of the annual increment would be considered for re-calculating their pension, gratuity, earned leave, commutation of pension benefits etc. तरी त्याप्रमाणे अर्जदारास लाभ अनुज्ञेय करण्यात यावे."

4. As such, irrespective of the year of retirement of Government servants they are entitled to the benefit of increment due on 1<sup>st</sup> July of the concerned year of their retirement but arrears is restricted to three years preceding the date of application made for such benefit or preceding the date of actual retirement whichever is less. In the present

*Amr*

Original Applications the Applicants have made representation / application for the grant of the said benefit which needs to be considered in terms of Clause (b) as reproduced above. In O.A. No.43/2023 Applicant made representation on 11.09.2022, in O.A. No.85/2023 Applicant made representation on 23.05.2022, in O.A. No.86/2023 Applicant made representation on 09.06.2022, in O.A. No.87/2023 Applicant made representation on 10.08.2022 and lastly in O.A. No.37 Applicant made representation on 20.12.2022 as seen from the record. Therefore Respondents are required to act according to the G.R. dated 28.06.2023 to grant monetary benefits in terms of order passed by Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.1821/2023 decided on 16.02.2023 which is reproduced above as well as G.R. dated 28.06.2023.

5. In view of above, M.A. No.81/2023 in O.A. No.85/2023, M.A. No.98/2023 in O.A. No.86/2023 & M.A. No.99/2023 in O.A. No.87/2023 are allowed and delay is condoned.

6. In view of the matters, all these O.As are allowed with direction to the Respondents to take further necessary steps in terms of G.R. dated 28.06.2023 including all consequential monetary benefits as per their entitlement within two months from today.

7. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
**Member (J)**

Place: Mumbai  
Date: 17.07.2023  
Dictation taken by: N.M. Naik.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.691 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.V. Kurhade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Chairperson.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.7.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgi) Member (A) 17.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.137 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.T. Ghusar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays that the matter may be de-part heard.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.7.2023. De-part heard.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.701 of 2023</u></p> <p>N.D. Jodh ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.7.2023. Status quo to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  17.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.690 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.D. Doiphode ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. Shri V.V. Golambade, learned Advocate files his Vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO &amp; Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.2 seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.7.2023. Copy of reply be served in advance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.688 of 2023</u></p> <p>V.Y. Waghmare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent no.3.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO &amp; Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.3 seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.7.2023. Copy of reply be served in advance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.687 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.R. Lembhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1</p> <p>2. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent no.2 submits that he has given NOC to Respondent No.2 for engaging another lawyer.</p> <p>3. Shri S.R. Choudhary, learned Advocate files his Vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO &amp; Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.2 seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27.7.2023. Copy of reply be served in advance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.842 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.M.A. Royali ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.8.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  17.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.838 of 2023</u></p> <p>T.K. Kate ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.8.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.829 of 2023</u></p> <p>B.R. Girme ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.8.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgi) Member (A) 17.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.447 of 2023 in O.A. No.827 of 2023

V.G. Ballal & Anr. ..Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. Anjali S. Kolapkar holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.8.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
17.7.2023

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.826 of 2023</u></p> <p>E. Shivaperumal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Anjali S. Kolapkar holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.8.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.831/2023</b></p> <p>P.S. Hadule &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicants, Police Constables have completed three years of their service in Mumbai so they are eligible for inter-district transfer of constabulary cadre. Applicants have prayed for issuance of directions to Respondent No.3, the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to relieve the present Applicants as per the order dated 13.03.2023 passed by Respondent No.4, the Superintendent of Police, Beed with consequential service benefits.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has pointed out order dated 06.07.2023 issued by Mr. Nandkumar Thakur, Superintendent of Police, Thane wherein names of these Applicants appear at Sr. Nos.10, 16, 17, 29 and 36, informing that these persons are to be relieved.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate states that she has served all the Respondents on 14.07.2023.</p> <p>5. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 18.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">✓ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 17.07.2023**

**O.A.No.848/2023**

A.S. Londhe & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. Applicants, Police Constables have completed three years of their service in Mumbai so they are eligible for inter-district transfer of constabulary cadre. Applicants have prayed for issuance of directions to Respondent No.3, the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to relieve the present Applicants as per the order dated 13.03.2023 passed by Respondent No.4, the Superintendent of Police, Beed with consequential service benefits.

3. Learned Advocate has pointed out order dated 06.07.2023 issued by Mr. Nandkumar Thakur, Superintendent of Police, Thane wherein names of these Applicants appear at Sr. Nos.21, 23, and 24, informing that these persons are to be relieved.

4. Learned Advocate states that she has served all the Respondents on 14.07.2023.

5. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 18.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.07.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.491 of 2023</p> <p>V. K. Awhad <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.529 of 2023</p> <p>A. C. Chohan <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks' time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">^ Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1234 of 2022</p> <p>L. M. Gaikwad <span style="float:right">...Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The notice to Respondent No.4 returned unserved since she is not staying on the given address.</li> <li>3. The Applicant is, therefore, required to take necessary steps for service.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 02.08.2023 for necessary steps.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">v</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.64 of 2021</p> <p>U.T. Sarode <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O. is directed to produce deposition of witnesses recorded in D.E.</li> <li>3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 03.08.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.49 of 2023</p> <p>L. S. Lambhate <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing Sur-Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, the O.A. be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 321 of 2023</p> <p>B.D. Ingale <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri P. G. Kayande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On previous date, last chance was given to file reply but same is not filed.</li> <li>3. Enough chances are granted to file reply. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</li> <li>4. O.A. to proceed without reply and it be kept for final hearing.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 08.08.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.530 of 2023</p> <p>Anil S. Prasad <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks' time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.119 of 2023</p> <p>K. A. Admane <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On previous date, the matter was proceeded without reply. However, learned P.O. sought permission to file reply.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, allowed to file the same. It is taken on record. O.A. be kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- , (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.95 of 2021</p> <p>G. N. Dongarkar ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.940 of 2021</p> <p>S. D. Savane <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The matter is adjourned for hearing to give one opportunity to Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.474 of 2022</p> <p>D. G. More <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1055 of 2022</p> <p>A. V. Jade <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.139 of 2023</p> <p>R.S. Mane .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On previous date last chance was granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Already enough chances are availed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. to proceed without Affidavit-in-Reply and be kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.07.2023</p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.222 of 2023 in O.A. No.251 of 2023</b></p> <p>A.D. Veer .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted to file Reply to M.A. but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. M.A. be kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 17.07.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.390 of 2023 in O.A. No.1257 of 2022 with M.A. No.391 of 2023 in O.A. No.1258 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>S.S. Pawar &amp; Ors.</b> <b>S.D. Walunj &amp; Ors.</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2 in M.A. as well as Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent No.1 in O.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. M.A. and O.A. be kept for hearing</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.07.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.395 of 2023 in O.A. No.556 of 2023</p> <p>P.W. Renuse &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">n Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.390 of 2022</p> <p>M.K. Waghela &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Aditya V. Kode, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.N. Dixit, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant requested for adjournment on the ground that his senior is not available.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.07.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1255 of 2022</p> <p>R.B. Bhagwat .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. On previous date also Applicant and his Advocate were absent. Thus, they seem not interested in the matter.</p> <p>4. Hence, O.A. is dismissed in default.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

P.T.O. →

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>LATER ON</b></p> <p>5. In afternoon session, learned Advocate for the Applicant appeared and requested to restore the O.A. He submits that when the matter was called out he was busy in another court and could not attend the Tribunal.</p> <p>6. In the interest of justice, order of dismissal of O.A. passed in the morning session is recalled and O.A. is restored.</p> <p>7. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to Respondents to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 31.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) <span style="float: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.714/2023</b></p> <p>M.A. Hajari .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.08.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 21.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.737/2023</b></p> <p>B.B. Gaikwad .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.08.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 14.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.813/2023</b></p> <p>B.D. Kamble .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.08.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 21.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 17.07.2023**

**O.A.No.821/2023**

P.V. Sali ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.08.2023.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 21.08.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.56/2023 in O.A.No.614/2014</b></p> <p>D.E. Hirde .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate prays for adjournment in view that non from the office Respondent, Home (RTO) is today present to give instructions.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.231/2023</b></p> <p>V.U. Lokhande .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 07.08.2023 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.316/2023</b></p> <p>R.T. Hulawale .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 14.08.2023 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- / Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gaikwad) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s  
(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others  
..... Respondent/s  
(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.323/2023</b></p> <p>B.N. Dhole .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. B. Mangale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 21.09.2023 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.07.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.348/2023 with O.A.No.353/2023</b></p> <p>N.B. Bhonde .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that reply in O.A.No.348/2023 is filed. However, during the course of the day reply will be filed in O.A.No.353/2023. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.08.2023, for rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s  
(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others  
..... Respondent/s  
(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.412/2023 with O.A.No.420/2023</b></p> <p>B.P. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 24.07.2023 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.440/2023 to O.A.No.455/2023</b></p> <p>A.V. Kanake &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. V.A. Nagrani, learned Advocate appearing for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 14.08.2023 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ s m m</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.480/2023</b></p> <p>C.G. Pawar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate appearing for the Applicant is absent</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 24.07.2023 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.6/2023</b></p> <p>S.Y. Shinde &amp; Anr. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. S.V. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Admit, with liberty to file rejoinder, if any. Place for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.08.2023. HOB</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.164/2023</b></p> <p>M.P. Bagul .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3. Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that reply of Respondent No.1 is required and the same is filed. Reply is not filed by Respondent No.3.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.612/2023</b></p> <p>A.S. Khade &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.V. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 14.08.2023 for filing reply. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.536/2023</b></p> <p>R.M. More &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. S. Sinha, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3. Learned Advocate Mr. A.S. Gaikwad appearing for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 24.07.2023 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 17.07.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.605/2023</b></p> <p>A.A. Koske .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed. Matter is admitted with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for Final Hearing. Adjourned to 21.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 17.07.2023
	<b>O.A.No.836/2023</b>
	S.L. Kale .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.
	1. Heard Mr. D. Mane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission for amendment delete prayer clause (a).
	3. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith. Amended copy to be served upon the concerned.
	4. Applicant, working as Assistant Teacher at Dholevasti, Ranjani, Tal. Pandharpur, District Solapur has applied for the post of Deputy Education Officer. Applicant challenge Notification dated 16.06.2023 and the names of Applicants are not published in the list of 45 eligible candidates who are called for interviews for the reasons that all the applicants fall in the District Service (Class-III) (Subordinate Educational, Primary School Teachers/ Master" (i) Primary School Teacher and (ii) Primary School Master as described in appendix-IV, Part II, Rule 5, Serial No.9, Appended to Maharashtra Zilla Parishad (District Services), Recruitment Rules 1967.
	5. Learned Advocate has submitted that the interviews are scheduled from 12.07.2023 to 14.07.2023 and thereafter from 18.07.2023 to 20.07.2023. Learned Advocate has produced order dated 23.06.2023 passed in Writ Petition No.5059/2022, Sandip Bribhansingh Somwanshi & Ors. Versus State of Maharashtra & Ors by the Hon'ble High Court, Bench at Nagpur wherein similar issue was considered. The Hon'ble High Court Nagpur bench in the said order has directed M.P.S.C. to allow the Petitioners to attend the interview which is scheduled on 26 <sup>th</sup> and 27 June, 2023 in that case.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. <del>Learned P.O. on query has submitted that the Applicant in the present O.A. and the Petitioners in Writ Petition No.5059/2022 are similarly situated persons.</del></p> <p>7. In view of above, as per the order of the Hon'ble High Court Nagpur Bench we allow the applicant in the present O.A. to appear for the interview subject to verification of their cut-off marks by the M.P.S.C. Due to paucity of time Respondents are directed to send the interview letter immediately by whatsapp and email today itself to the Applicant to enable the Applicant to appear for the interview.</p> <p>8. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.08.2023.</p> <p>10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>12. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>13. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>14. Adjourned to 09.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 17.07.2023

O.A.No.90 of 2017

D. R. Hindlekar

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is shortly adjourned for final hearing.
3. S.O. to 20.07.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.07.2023</p> <p align="center">C. A. No.29 of 2023 in O.A.No.552 of 2020</p> <p>A. D. Mehetre <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the order passed by the Tribunal is complied with and amount is paid. He has tendered order dated 14.07.2023 to that effect. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant also fairly states that order is complied with though belatedly.</p> <p>4. In view of above, C.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) <span style="float:right">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float:right">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 17.07.2023

O.A.No.56 of 2017

Ms A. S. Chavan

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder. It is taken on record.
3. Learned P.O. sought time to file Sur-Rejoinder stating that after gap of one year, the matter is listed for hearing, therefore, she could not file Sur-Rejoinder.
4. The matter is adjourned for hearing with liberty to file Sur-Rejoinder in the meantime with copy to other side.
5. S.O. to 10.08.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.07.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.17 of 2023 in O.A. No.50 of 2019</p> <p>V.T. Jadhav .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to produce the order of Hon'ble High Court.</li> <li>S.O. to 31.07.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- _____ (Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 17.07.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.633 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>N.U. Patil</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Already enough chances are granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. On previous date last chance was granted with specific direction that no further time will be granted. Hence, <del>we</del><sup>we</sup> are not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing without Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Debashish Chakrābarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.648 of 2022</p> <p>G.P. Yamaji .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Neha Kachi, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Khedkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Pleading is complete, Admit for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 17.07.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1255 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>R.B. Bhagwat</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. On previous date also Applicant and his Advocate were absent. Thus, they seem not interested in the matter.</li> <li>4. Hence, O.A. is dismissed in default.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b></span>  <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>


# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Date: 17.07.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>C.A. No.49 of 2023 in O.A. No.1224 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>V.D. Kamane</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O. submits that bill of Gratuity was submitted to treasury but some objections were raised and now it is complied with. As regard of Leave Encashment she submits that it is in process.</li> <li>3. One week time is granted to ensure payment of Gratuity and Leave Encashment.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 24.07.2023.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>