Original Application No.	of :	0	DISTRICT	
				Applicant/s
				•
(Advocate		······)		
		versus –		
		-	•	
1	he State of	Maharashtra and o	others	
				Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer				
				·
Office Notes, Office Memoranda o Appearance, Tribunul's orde directions and Registrar's o	rs or		Tribunal's orders	
	MA.	17.06.2016		
•		<u>O.A</u>	No 498/2016	
•		Shri D.S Pawar.		Applicant
		Vs. The State of Mal	harashtra & Or	s Respondents
	-			
	, [Heard Sh	ri K.R Jagdale	, learned advocate
	: [for the applican	t and Shri A.J	Chougule, learned
		Presenting Office	er for the Resp	ondents.
	'			•
		Learned I	Presenting Offi	cer places for my
•		perusal the defa	ault report aga	ainst the Applicant
		which were	considered	by the Police
		•		dering his transfer
	,	order.		J
		order.	. *	
1 1.				
DATE: 17 6 6		The Learn	ned Presenting	Officer sates that
CORAM:	:	he will file affic	davit in reply	within a period of
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL		three weeks.		
(Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) - 1 1				•
APPEARANCE:	,	S.O to 8.7	2016	•
Strice - K. R. Tagda	با ا	5.0 to 6.7	.2010.	
Advocate for the Applicant	rale			
Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Sint A. J. Cho Ce C P.P. 0711.0. for the Respondents				
	1,0	·•		, A
Ad Ta 5.0. to 8/7/	16.			Sd/-
oc- Reply	and_			ıv Agarwaı) -Chairmen
	7	Akn	7100	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Original Application No.	of 20 Distri	CT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus	
700 - Cu 4	.C.M. babtua and othors	
The State	of Maharashtra and others	
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orde	rs
	17.06.2016	
	M.A 98/2016 in O.A	No 509/2015
	Shri Pramod G. Kolapte Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra &	Ors Respondents
	Heard Shri D.B Kha	
	for the Applicant and Shr	i S.K. Nair, learned
	Special Counsel for the Res	pondents.
	Shri Khaire tenders	affidavit in rejoinder.
	The same is taken on record	
	The same is taken on receive	
	Adjourned for argume	ents to 21.7.2016.
17/6/16		
DAIE	Sd/-	Sd/-
CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL	(R.B. Malik)	(Rajjav Agartwal)
(Vice - Chairman)	Member (J)	Vice-Chairman
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J APPEARANCE:		
	Akn	
Shri Sut Di P L N COLCT	x	
Shri Sart: Salk A Gallan		
EROTRO. for the Respondents Spl. cocuse!		
Adj. To		
Company of the Compan		

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 2	0	DISTRIC	T
1				Applicant/s
			•	•
(Advocate)	•	
		the second second		•
		versus .		
	:			
	The State of	Maharashtra and	others	
				Respondent/s
•				
(Presenting Officer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Office Notes, Office Memorano	rders or		Tribunal's order	s
directions and Registrar's	s oruers			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		17.06.2016		
		•	.A No 729/20	12
		<u>U</u>	.A NO 129/20.	<u>. 4</u>
	4 .	Shri M.K Java	lani	Applicant
		V:		
÷	* *:			Ors Respondents
		,		•
		•		
•		None fo	r the Applicar	t. Heard Shri K.B.
				g Officer for the
			ca Fredericing	
•		Respondents.	•	
	e ver	Ionna n	ation to the	Applicant to remain
	A A	present before	the Hon. Trib	unal on 1.7.2016
· .	•			
1 1 -		Sd/-		Sd/-
DATE: 17/6/16		(R.B. Malik)		(Rajiv Agarwal)
CORAM:		Member (J)		Vice-Chairman
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARW	AL			
(Vice - Chairm	an),	Akn		•
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Momb	er) .	:		
APPEAKANCE:		-		
Shrish Name	the 1			
Advocate for the Applicant	pplicant			
Shri Sme- 12 B. Bhis	5.C.			
C.P.O.T.P.O. for the Respondents			•	•
		·		•
117/16	•			
Adj. Tomasamentamenterseesisseesisseesis	Minimum.	•		•
	som wy	<u>.</u>		

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTR	ICT
				Applicant/s
(4.1		^		
(Advocate	************)		
		versus		•
m c	. 634			
The St	ate of M	aharashtra and	others	
				Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	-)	•	
(Tesching Officer				
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corm Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	m,		Tribunal's orde	ers
directions and Registrar's orders		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
	0.0	te : 17.06.2016.		
	Da	(e . 17.00.2010.		
		C.A.No	.08 of 2015 in C	D.A. No.1038 of 2013
		, , ,		
	Shi	i D.R. Bhamre	-	Applicant
		Vs.		
	The	State of Mahara	shtra & Ors.	Respondents
	1.	Heard Shri \	/.P. Potbhare,	the learned Advocate
	for			Gaikwad, the learned
	Pr	esenting Officer	for the Respor	ndents.
		100	. for the Desc	andonts states that he
	2.			ondents states that he
	wa	ints to take inst	tructions for	making statement and
	re	quest that let th	e case be liste	ed for further order or
21/2/51 STAD				, -
CORPAC	28	.06.2016.		,
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	3.	S.O. to 28.0	6.2016.	•
Hon'ble Shri M. Samushkumar (Member) A	J.	3.0. (3.20.0		X
APTEARABIOT:		٠	•	Sd/-
v.p. potbrare		and the second		
Assume Suite Actions			•	(A.H. Joshi)
K.S. Gaikwad		•		Chairman
C.L. at / R.O. Hor toble appendicatelys	sb	3	•	• •
م ماماء	,			
Adj. To. 286116.		•		

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 17.06.2016.

C.A.No.22 of 2016 in O.A.No.1000 of 2013 with C.A.No.23 of 2016 in O.A.No.1001 of 2013 with C.A.No.24 of 2016 in O.A.No.1002 of 2013 with C.A.No.25 of 2016 in O.A.No.1003 of 2013

G.S. Halakude (C.A.No.22/16 in O.A.No.1000/13)

R.Y. Chavan (C.A.No.23/16 in O.A.No.1001/13)

S.L. Rathod (C.A.No.24/16 in O.A.No.1002/13)

S.K. Thorat (C.A.No.25/16 in O.A.No.1003/13)

.... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

....Respondents.

- Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents states on instructions as follows :-

Report of compliance of order passed in O.A. will be made before 28.06.2016.

- Respondents are put to notice that if compliance is not reported than cognizance of Contempt will be taken at once, on next date.
 - Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.
 - 5. S.O. to 28.06.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman

prk

CCRAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Shira B.A. Bandiwadaka,

Adverse he he Applicant

Shri/Smt : 11 G Gohad C.F.O/P.O. for the Respondent's

Adj. To 28/6/16.

stero copy and Hambast allowed.

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	Applicant/s
(Advocate	
(Tauvocate	versus
The State of	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	······)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 17.06.2016.
	O.A.No.469 of 2015 with O.A.No.470 of 2015 with O.A.No.471 of 2015
	P.K. Khandale (O.A.No.469/2015) A.R. Ghume (O.A.No.470/2015) S.D. Mane (O.A.No.471/2015) Applicants.
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the
	Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting
DATE: 17/6/2016	Officer for the Respondents.
CORAM:	2. Learned Advocate Shri R.M. Kolge for the Applicant
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Memberha)	states as follows :-
ASPEARANCE: Stocker R.M. Kolg C. Advocate for the Applicant	(a) Leave for substitution of entire O.A. paper book may be granted. (b) Two weeks time may be granted for the same weeks take いっこう
Shri/Sint: A.J. Greygus. C.F.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s	3. Leave and time for substitution as prayed for is
Adj. To. 25/7/16.	granted.
y k	4. S.O. to 2S.07.2016.
$\mathcal{A}_{ij} = \{0, \dots, 1, $	Sd/-

prk

Chairman

Original Application No.	0	20	District
	i i		Applicant/s
(Advocate			
(Auvocate	***************************************)	
		versus	
	The State	of Maharashtra and others	
		72 21200101 50010101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 50010101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 50010101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 50010101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 50010101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 50010101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 50010101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 50010101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 50010101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 50010101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 50010101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 500101 5001010101	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda Appearance, Tribunal's ord directions and Registrar's	ers or	Tribunal's	orders
	<u>.</u>	O.A.826/2	<u>015</u>
			411
		Shri S.A. Chavan & Ors Vs.	Applicants
		The State of Mah. & or	s Respondents
	•		
		VI 1 Compt. V.D.	Iondole the learned
	* #	Heard Smt. N.K. Advocate for the App	. Jagdale, the learned licants and Shri N.K.
		Rajpurohit, the learned	Chief Presenting Officer
	* =	for the Respondents.	
		Smt Jagdale, the	e learned Advocate seeks
		leave to withdraw this	OA with liberty to file a
	,	fresh one on the same c	ause of action.
		I have heard th	e learned C.P.O. The
· ·		request of the learned A	dvocate for the Applicant
	-	is granted. She is allo	wed to withdraw the OA h one on the same cause
		of action.	If one off the same cades
DATE: 17/6/16		,	
COFA51:			Sd/-
Hon'ble fustice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chai	rman)		(R.B. Malik)
Him & B. Meek Chem			Member (J) 17.06.2016
ATTWARANCE:		(skw)	17.00.2010
size K.R. Jagdal	2		
Associate for the Applicant	1,	· .	
Shri/Satt. 14 K. Rajpuro C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s	<u>nia</u>		
out.or i.o. for the respondent/s			
Adj To OA is withdro	iun,		
	Palage		

Original Application No.	of	20	DISTRICT
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
The state of the s	•	•	
	. •	versus	
	The State o	f Maharashtra and others	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	orders or	Tribuna O.A.387/2 0	l's orders D16
		Shri V.B. Salunke Vs. The State of Mah. & o	Applicant
			Chandratre, the learne

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. is being instructed by Mr. P.B. Satpute, Deputy Registrar. The learned P.O. seeks adjournment on the ground that the matter is pending with G.A.D. Now, in my opinion, the order of 29th April, 2016 more particularly Paras 7,8,9 and 10 is so clear as not to require any scope for indulgence even by this Once, the judicial (quasi-Tribunal itself. judicial) order is there, then all concerned including the GAD must pull-up their socks. The OA now proceeds without Affidavit-in-reply and is admitted and a fixed date will be given. It is, however, made clear that on the next date, just as the matter is called out for hearing, if the Affidavit-in-reply/Affidavit is tendered, it will be taken on record but either ways this OA shall be heard. Admit. Adjourned before the appropriate Bench on 5th July, 2016. Hamdast.

Howard Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Howard Probabilishing (Momber)

Advocate for the Applicant
Sani/San: It 9 forhod
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s
Admit.

Adj. To. Adj. aumed hedore
the appropriate Bench on
5th July, 2016. Hamdest.

ATE:

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) | 7.00 10 Member (J) 17.06.2016

(skw)

Adverse Frils Applicant

Fores ... H. G. Gorad

Shri Rajan Pawar for R. Ho. 4.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

T. Carlotte and the contract of the contract o	MUMBAI
Original Application No. of	20 DISTRICT
	Applicant/s
(Advocate)
	versus
The State of	of Maharashtra and others .
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunul's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	O.A.534/2016
	Shri P.R. Ingle Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors Respondents
	Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 3. Shri Rajan Pawar, the learned Advocate has filed his Vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent No.4. The same is taken on record.
DATE: 17/6/16 CONAM: How bis Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Han. R. Bis Machas (M. Mena 200) 1. C.T. Chandrate	The learned P.O. seeks an adjournment for Affidavit-in-reply saying that the same is now pending with the Government for approval. My order of 9.6.2016 with particular reference to Para 8 thereof needs to be perused. I do not think I could have been clearer on that aspect. The OA is now set down for consideration of interim relief on 22nd June, 2016 making it clear that just before the arguments commenced, if Affidavit is tendered by the Respondents/Respondent, the same will be

S.O. to 22nd June, 2016.

shall be considered.

taken on record but irrespective of whatever

happens on that count, the issue of interim relief

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 17.06.2016

(skw)

O.A.459/2016

Shri S.D. Dhule ... Applicant Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri M.R. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri M.S. Nerlekar, Dy.S.P., ACB, HQ, Mumbai. I have perused my own orders dated 27th May, 2016 and 2nd June, 2016 and the orders of the Hon'ble Chairman dated 8.6.2016, 9.6.2016 and 14.6.2016. The last mentioned order in so far as the clause (d) is concerned, has got significance. There was some report awaited from Additional S.P, and therefore, the learned P.O. was directed to ascertain the position and make a statement as to the time required for completing the said enquiry, "if not completed so far". The learned P.O. on the basis of a communication from Spl. Inspector General of Police (Estt.) to the Director General, Anti-Corruption Bureau makes a statement that the enquiry shall be completed by 24.6.2016. The learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri M.R. Patil insists intently on the grant of interim relief. The learned P.O. naturally objects.

My order of 2nd June, 2016 would make it clear as to what is the relevance of the enquiry. It is basically a transfer related matter. Therefore, if the Affidavits are already filed, the OA itself can be heard expeditiously which is what was made clear by me in that order. Every aspect of the matter will be taken into consideration when this OA is finally heard and if it would appear that it is because of the Respondents that the matter was being dragged along, then the hands of this Tribunal will not be tied down in so far as the interim relief is concerned.

With this, this OA stands adjourned for hearing and order to 28th June, 2016.

Sd/-(R.B. Malik) 17 Member (J) 17.06.2016

DATE: 176/16
CORAM:
Hop ble spatice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Harels Chiri M. Rembolikumar (Member)

APPER RASICE:
Shri Shri M. R. Pati)

Adversate for the Applicant
Shri Fort: K. S. Gajkwad
C.F.O. F.O. for the Respondent/s

hearing and order to 28/6/16.

	20 District
Original Application No. of 2	Applicant/s
	····· rippitounau
(Advocate)
	versus
The State of	Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	<u> </u>
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	M.A.215/2016 in O.A.326/2016
	Dr. Y.M. Kokadwar Applicant
	The State of Mah. & ors Respondents
	Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record. The OA is admitted. With a direction that the next date will be for arguments on merit and if the Applicant wants to file Rejoinder, the same must be made available to the learned P.O. at least two days in advance.
	S.O. to 29th June, 2016.
DATE: 17 616	Sd/-
Martie Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	(R.B. Malik) Member (J)
CO Manua	17.06.2016
S.P. Manchekar	(skw)
Navorate As the Applicant Navi/Smt.: K.S.: GarKut.d N.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	
d). To. 29/6/16.	

B. Todankar

Shelf Sent in the Caspondent/s

Adversed for the Applicant

Adj. To 417/16.

Stero copy allowed

bath sides.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

	MUMBAI		
Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
		\mathcal{A}_{i}	•
The State	versus e of Maharashtra a	and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
•	<u>o</u>	.A.85/2016	
	Vs.	•	pplicant espondents
	Heard Advocate for the learne Respondent		, the learned Is. N.G. Gohad, icer for the
			0.1
	that has be statements recorded. T have alread	ve perused the record een produced before a of some witnesses has the Affidavits of the pa dy been filed. The submit the xerox copi	me today. The ve already been arties in this OA learned P.O. is es of the record
	Shri Vikas	which has been brough S. Jadhav, Assistant	t Registrar, Co-
DATE: 176/16	grievance	es, Daund, Pune. Th made by and on	behalf of the
CORAM	Applicant	and the case of th	e Respondents
Honton Autico Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	thereabout	is such that the OA	formally direct
Henrich Sim M. Rameshkumar (Member) A	heard and	finally decided and I hearing of this OA	is therefore.
APPLICATIONS:	expedited a	and subject to the coo	operation of the

In this view of the matter, the Enquiry Officer is requested to adjourn the enquiry on any date after 31st July, 2016. Steno-copy hereof be furnished to both the sides during the course of the day.

parties, it will be decided at the earliest. It is appointed for arguments as an expedited OA on

> Sd/-(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 17.06.2016

(skw)

4th July, 2016.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 17.06.2016.

M.A.No.589 of 2015 with M.A.No.588 of 2015 in O.A.No.435 of 2014

R.V. Gawade & Ors.

..., Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents.

- Heard Shri Tushar Menkudle, the learned Advocate 1. holding for Shri A.R. Pitale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- Learned Advocate Shri Tushar Menkudle after 2. consulting learned Advocate Shri A.R. Pitale states as follows:-
 - Learned Advocate Shri A.R. Pitale apologies for consistent absence.
 - Learned Advocate Shri A.R. Pitale has (b) requested for fixing the case for hearing of applications and if the Tribunal allows the applications, O.A. can be taken up for admission / hearing.
- In view of the statement of learned Advocate let the M.A. and O.A. be listed before the Division Bench presided over by Hon'ble Vice-Chairman, Shri Rajiv Agarwal on 24.06.2016.
- Adjourned to 24.06.2016. 4.

Sd/-(A.H. Joshí, J.) Chairman

prk

DATE: 17/6/16

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hen'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Strong Tushar Menkudik holdin

Shri/San A. J. Choughle

C.F.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Original Application No.	f 20 District
The state of the s	Applicant/s
(Advocate	
	versus
The State	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 17.06.2016.
	C.A.No.26 of 2016 in O.A. No.684 of 2015
	Shri S.M. GawadeApplicant
	Vs. Shri Sanjay JambhulkarRespondent
	Louis Ad D. Lonkov, the learned Advocate
	1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate
	for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned
	Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that
-141.1	the bill of Applicant's arrears was furnished to the
DATE: 1761L CORAM:	Treasury. It is under objection.
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jeshi (Chairman)	
Ilan'hla Shri M. Rameshkursar (Member) Å	3. Objection will be cleared within 30 days and
APPEARANCE:	outcome will be reported on the next date.
Girl/Sinc: M.D. LOWKAX	
Advicate for the Applicant Shri /Sint : H & Gold	4. For reporting outcome, S.O. to 1.08.2016.
Shri /Sint :	Sd/-
Ady. To. 1/2/16.	TA.H. Joshi, U) U
BE	Chairman

4

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

		*
Original Application No.	f 20 Distric	т Applicant/s
		Applicativs
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The State	of Maharashtra and others	•
		Respondent/s
) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(Presenting Officer		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's order	·s
	17.06.2016	
	O.A No 215/20	<u>15</u>
	Shri M.C Singh & ors Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra &	Ors Respondents
	Heard Shri J.N. Kaml for the Applicant and Shri Presenting Officer for the Re	K.B. Bhise, learned
	It appears that I Finance Department and Public Health Department Respondents 3 & 4. How Original Application with thas not been corrected by for the Applicant. It is all the added party has been seen seen.	t were made party ever, the title of the he office of the P.O the learned advocate so not clear whether
DATE: 17/6/16	Learned Advocate Shi to carry out correction in the Application of the P.O with submit the affidavit of so Respondents within that pe	hin a week and also ervice on the added
CORAM: Hon'ble Shri, RAHV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MAUK (Member)	This may be remove Part Head and place it on re	ed from the board of egular board.
APPBARANUS:	S.O to 24.6.2016.	
Smisse I M. Kemble	. (
Advocate for the Applicant Shri Bat & B. BM S. C.	Sd/-	Sd/-
CPOTPO, for the Respondents	(R.B. Malik) Member (J)	(Raj (v Agarwal) Vice-Chairman
- 1/1/6.		•

Ery Ord \mathbf{Akn}

Original Application No.	of 2	O DISTRICT
~		Applicant/s
(Advocate	•••••) The state of the state
	r	versus
The Stat	to of	Maharashtra and others
The Stat	.e or .	
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders
		Date : 17.06.2016.
	-	O.A.No.328 of 2016
		P.T. Sonkamble Applicant.
		Versus
		The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
		1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for
		the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned
		•
	,	Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
		2. Learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule for the
		Respondents states as follows:-
	± .	(a) Appeal preferred by the applicant is pending
		before the Hon'ble Minister of State for revenue.
		(b) The said appeal would be decided within
		four weeks.
DATE: 17616		3. Statement of learned P.O. can be accepted awaiting
Described and a court of the control		decision, hearing is adjourned to 25.07.2016.
Eoglishe value Shi IA. H. Joshi (Chairman) Harible Shi M. Ermeshkumar (Member) A	•	decision, hearing is adjourned to 25.07.2010
APSTARANCO:		
Children J. H. Kamble		_ Sd/
Advocate for the Applicant		(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman
"STATE A. J. Chougue		prk
C.F.O / MO. for the Respondent/s		
2-3-14	-	

Original Application No. of	20 District
	Applicant/s
(Advocate)
	versus
The State o	f Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 17.06.2016.
	O.A.No.161 of 2016
	K.H. Pimple Applicant.
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate
	holding for Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the
	Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting
	Officer for the Respondents.
	Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the
	Respondents states that affidavit-in-reply would be filed
DATE: 17/4/15	during the course of day.
CORAM:	3. Learned Advocate Shri J.N. Kamble prays for time to
Input the Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	consider the reply and file rejoinder only if it is imperative.
11:00 Tho Shei 11. Nameshkumar (Member) A	consider the reply and the rejoined that
Striss J. H. Kamble holding	4. S.O. of 03.08.2016.
Advocate for the Applicant	SA1-
Shark	(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman
. Adj To. 3/8/16.	prk

Tribunal's orders

Date: 17.06.2016.

M.A.No.84 of 2016 in O.A.No.196 of 2016

Shri D.R. Badiwale

....Applicant

Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record.
- 3. Applicant is seeking protection of G.R. dated 21.10.2015 which is at Exh. 'F' page no.30.
- Original Application is filed on 22.2.2016.
- 5. Right to seek protection of G.R. dated 21.10.2015 to the Applicant accrued only after 21.10.2015, Therefore though, technically Applicant approached after 4 years from the date of termination, the O.A. has to be considered within limitation.
- 6. Hence the prayer for condonation of delay in present M.A. is allowed.
- 7. Misc. Application is allowed.

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

ba

DATE: 17/4/16

CORAM:
Hon'ble hables ('el. A. P. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble hables ('el. A. P. Joshi (Chairman)
APPEARANCE:
Shipper K. R. Jagdale
Advance of the Agricult

Shirly K. B. Bhise C. P. O. P.O. To: the Respondences

Adj. To MA 12 allowed.

Original Application No. of	20 District
Oliginal 11 phicus 21 co.	Applicant/s
(Advocate). •
	versus
The State of	f Maharashtra and others
The State of	
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corum, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date:-17.06.2016
	O.A.No.1253 of 2009
	Shri A.A. Tikar & OrsApplicants
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned
	Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the
	learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendred
	affidavit. It is taken on record.
DATE: 17 GIL	3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for
Hon ble Justine Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Handele Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A	time to consider the reply.
ARTEAMANCE:	4. Let the case be listed before appropriate bench
seems sp. Manchekar	before whom case pertains i.e. of the year 2009 for
Adjusted for the Applicant Shri/Sint K. B. Bhuse	final hearing.
C.P.O. F.O. for the Respondents	\
efore appropriate bench bench	5//-
efore appropriate bench hench	(A.H. 18841, 1)
efere whom case pertains	Chairman

Original Application No. of	20 District
	Applicant/s
(Advozata	
(Advocate	
	versus
The State	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corum, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date:-17.06.2016
	O.A.No.06 of 2015
	Shri H.B. ShaikhApplicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	1. Heard Shri K.K. Waghmare, the learned
	Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.S. Wable, the
	learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
DATE: 17/6/16	2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for time to report.
CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)*	3. Time as prayed for is granted.
II. a' die Shai M. Rumoshkumar (Momber) A	4. Adjourned to 10.08.2016.
ALTERRANCE: SHIPEL: K.K. Washmare	CA11-
Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Sam A.S. Wahle C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman
C.1.O / 1.O. for the Respondences	sba

Original Application No.	of 20 District
	Applicant/s
(Advocate	,
(1) A Court of the	
	versus
The Stat	e of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(Trescring Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date:- 17.06.2016
	O.A.No.980 of 2015 with O.A.No.981 of 2015
	Shri G.P. Patil (in O.A.No.980 of 2015) Shri M.B. Patil (In O.A.No.981 of 2015)Applicants
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
•	
	1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for
	the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned
	Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that he
	wants time to take instructions from Respondent No.1
	in relation to paragraph no. 3 of the order passed on
DATE: 17616	21.04.2016 because inadvertently learned P.O. has
198614. Ion'ble listice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	forgotten to communicate to the Respondent No.1.
l enför blei M. Romeshkemur (Member) A NTFARANCE :	3. At the request of learnd P.O. for the
R.M. Kolge	Respondents, adjourned to 29.06.2016.
drough for the Applicant had been been been been been been been bee	
• •	Sd/-
dj. To. 29 6 16 18	(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,

Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

≱ស្សាស ≱≙1 ឱ្យបាន បាន ក្នុង សិស្សា នៅ សេខ--

Tribunal's orders

Date: 17.06.2016.

C.A.No.82 of 2015 in O.A.No.10 of 2009

A.K. Pusegaonkar & Ors.

.... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents has tendered affidavit. It is taken on record.
- 3. In view of what was recorded earlier i.e. Writ Petition is pending, hearing of present O.A. has to be adjourned for awaiting orders and may be passed in the Writ Petition.
- 4. This Tribunal has taken a very serious mode of contents of paragraph 5 of affidavit where it is averred that the "Contempt Petition be dismissed with costs", because this plea is taken without alleging that the Contempt Petition is false and vexatious and without pleading any excuse and on the fact of non-compliance of the order.
- 5. Serious note and dis-satisfaction about this averment and attitude is hereby recorded. It is hoped that the officer who has signed he would be advised by a wiser Counsel and would take a suitable corrective measure.
- 6. Awaiting order as may be passed in Writ Petition and awaiting response of the officer who has filed affidavit, within appropriate comments, adjourned to 01.08.2016.

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

DATE: 17/6/16
CORAM:
Hearble Justices Start A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hearble Justices Start A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Approximate Acceptational (Member) A
Approximate Company (Member) A

English D. A. Cognal Wade Var

Admits of frice Applicant

Shri Sent : K.S. Galkwo

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 1/8/2016.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 196 OF 2016

DISTRICT: Solapur

Shri D.R. Badiwale

...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

CORAM -

Shri J. A.H. Joshi, Chairman.

DATE

17,06,2016

ORDER

- 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Issue notice returnable on 22.08.2016.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorised and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

- 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced alongwith affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance as regards service of notice.
- 7. Respondent No.2 is directed to file affidavit on limited questions as follows
 - (a) Does the Government circular dated 21.10.2015 which is at page no.30 applicable to the Applicant's case.
 - (b) Is the Applicant entitled for the relief of reinstatement in employment?
- 8. Since the matter pertains to policy decision, affidavit of Respondent No.3 to 5 will be up to use.
- 9. Affidavit, if any, has to be filed by the Respondent No.1 and 2 in consultation with the Respondent No.6.
- 10. Respondents shall be free to file affidavit answering the O.A., and also answering questions mentioned in foregoing paragraph no.7.
- 11. For filing affidavit-in-reply to the Respondent Nos.1, 2 and 6, S.O. to 22.08.2016.
- 12. Longer time is given in order that no further adjournement is necessary.
- 13. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.
- 14. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.
- 15. S.O. to 22.08.2016.

(A.H. Joshi, J.)