

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 17.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.271 of 2024</p> <p>P.D. Bhandare & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. mentions that 'A.C.P. (Administration) & 'Law Officer' are present on behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai' as per directions in 'Para 3' of Order dated 08.03.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. thereupon presents copy of 'Deputy Commissioner (Headquarters), Navi Mumbai' letter dated 16.04.2024 wherein it is stated that cases of Applicants would be duly considered to relieve them from charges of 'Police Constable - Drivers'; as part of the exercise of 'General Transfers: 2024'; to be done soon after 'General Elections - Lok Sabha: 2024'.</p> <p>4. The 'Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai' has shown willingness to relieve Applicants who have been temporarily working for last several years on 'Vacant Posts' of 'Police Constable - Drivers'; but as part of the exercise of 'General Transfer: 2024'. However, it pertinent to observe that although Applicants were new recruits; yet they were temporarily attached to 'Motor Transport Section' to serve on 'Vacant Posts' of 'Police Constable - Drivers' but no formal orders were issued under provisions of 'Section 22(N)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'. Therefore there is no requirement now to transfer them under 'Section 22(N)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' by convening meeting of 'P.E.B.'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. The Applicants have not had any opportunity to serve on regular posts of 'Police Constables'. Hence, Applicants must be relieved during 'General Transfer: 2024' by 'Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai'; so as to enable them to get experience of working on posts of regular 'Police Constables'.</p> <p>6. The 'General Elections - Lok Sabha: 2024' are underway,so it is absolutely essential to ensure 'Law and Order' & perform 'Intensive Patrolling' etc. which necessitate all 'Police Vehicles' to be manned by 'Police Constable – Drivers'. Hence, 'Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai' has to be given time upto completion of 'General Election Lok Sabha: 2024'. However, in the meanwhile 'Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai' is directed to explore options and finalize alternate arrangements to relieve Applicants by 15.06.2024 to join on regular posts of 'Police Constables</p> <p>7. The 'Commissioner of Police, to also provide information as per directions in 'Para 6' of Order dated 04.03.2024.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 18.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1255 of 2023</p> <p>B.A. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through 'Video-Conference' and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO present copy of the letter dated 16.04.2024 written by 'Municipal Commissioner PMC' to 'Under Secretary Public Health Department' where in it has been mentioned that "डॉ. भगवान अंतू पवार यांची संदर्भ क्र. २ अन्वये प्रतिनियुक्ती ही आरोग्य अधिकारी या रिक्त पदावर पुणे महानगरपालिकेकडील अधिकारी पदोन्नतीने उपलब्ध होईपर्यंत अथवा प्रथमतः २ वर्षे यापैकी अगोदर जे घडेल तोपर्यंतच्या कालावधीकरिता करण्यात आल्याचे संदर्भ क्र. १ च्या आदेशावरून दिसून येत आहे. सदयस्थितीत पुणे महानगरपालिकेकडे आरोग्य अधिकारी हे पद आजदेखील रिक्त आहे. पुणे महानगरपालिका (सेवा सेवाप्रवेश व सेवांचे वर्गीकरण) नियम २०१४ यानुसार आरोग्य अधिकारी (आरोग्यप्रमुख) या पदाची नेमणुकीची पध्दत निश्चित करण्यात आली आहे. त्यानुसार सदरचे पद हे नामनिर्देशन, पदोन्नती अथवा प्रतिनियुक्तीने भरणेबाबतचे पर्याय आहेत."</p> <p>3. Learned PO also presents copy 'Desk Officer Urban Development Department' letter dated 16.04.2024 to 'Under Secretary Public Health Department' conveying that they are required to decide about the case of Applicant as per provisions of 'Para 5(d)(4)' the 'GAD GR dated 17.12.2016'.</p> <p>4. Learned PO is informed that as services of Applicant were placed on 'Deputation Post' of 'Health Officer PMC' only on the ground that there was no 'Suitable Officer' available within establishment of 'Municipal Commissioner, PMC' to be appointed upon</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

promotion as 'Health Officer PMC'; therefore such temporary arrangement was required to be made for period of upto 2 years or till such time as 'Suitable Officer' within establishment of 'Municipal Commissioner, PMC', could be promoted and appointed post of 'Health Officer PMC'.

5. The terms & conditions of 'Urban Development Department' GR dated 10.03.2023 does mention that services of Applicant can be recalled even before expiry of 2 Years; as per powers vested with 'Public Health Department' as the 'Cadre Control Authority' under 'Para 5.4' of GAD GR dated 17.12.2016 but such conditionality was to become operative only upon 'Municipal Commissioner, PMC' making appointment on promotion of 'Suitable Officer' to post of 'Health Officer PMC'. The aforesaid context makes it imperative to seek from 'Municipal Commissioner, PMC'; information about course of action contemplated about giving promotion to 'Suitable Officer' for appointment to post 'Health Officer PMC'; so that thereupon as per 'Urban Development Department' GR dated 10.03.2023 the services of Applicant can be repatriated back to 'Public Health Department'.

6. The learned PO is informed to convey these directions to 'Municipal Commissioner, PMC'; so they can represented if they so desire by their learned Advocates.

7. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

8. S.O. to 29.04.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 17.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1540 of 2023</p> <p>K.K. KhedkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks further time of 'Two Weeks' to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department' specifically with respect to observations recorded in 'Para 3' of the Order dated 24.01.2024. Time as prayed for is granted to the learned P.O.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. to also seek information about in 'Tabular-Chart' for each establishment of 'Superintendents of Police' under jurisdiction of 'Spl. I.G.P. Kolhapur Range, Kolhapur'. The 'Tabular Chart' must include information about cadre of 'Clerk-Typist' with details such as (i) Name of District (ii) Total No. of Sanctioned Post (iii) Total No. of Vacant Posts (iv) Other Remarks.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.05.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.468 of 2024</p> <p>S.K. Mahale & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.T. Jaiswal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant contends that although 'Superintendent of Police, Washim' had cancelled 'N.O.C.' by letter dated 29.12.2023 of '17 Police Constables' who had sought 'Inter District Transfer' from various 'Police Establishments' including 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'; yet one 'Police Constable' at 'Sr. No.3' of letter dated 29.12.2023 of 'Superintendent of Police, Washim' came to be subsequently relieved 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' thereafter on 14.02.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that Applicants on other hand are still not relieved but seeks time to ascertain if they were senior to those 'Police Constables' who have been relieved in past by 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' to join establishment of 'Superintendent of Police, Washim'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 17.04.2024

O.A. No.818 of 2023

Dr. D.A. ShengalApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
3. S.O. to 02.05.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 12.04.2024

O.A. No.870 of 2023

S.S. UmapApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned PO states that 'District Collector, Pune' has conveyed that as Applicant has already joined on post of 'Talathi', at 'Valhe', Tahsil Purandar; therefore request of Applicant to transfer him to vacant post of 'Talathi' at 'Dive', Tahsil Purandar cannot be considered ever though he is an 'Ex-Serviceman'.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that post of 'Talathi, at 'Dive'; Tahsil Purandar even at present is vacant and thus being held as 'Additional Charge'.

4. The 'District Collector, Pune' is accordingly directed that since Applicant as 'Ex-Serviceman' was entitled to precedence over others in cadre of 'Talathi' for matters relating to transfers as per provisions of G.A.D. GR dated 21.11.2022 read with G.A.D. GR dated 09.04.2018 and whereas post of 'Talathi' at 'Dive', Tahsil Purandar' was the '1st Option' submitted by Applicant on 05.04.2023 at time of 'General Transfer: 2023' and whereas, inspite of these facts request of Applicant was not considered and instead Applicant was posted as 'Talathi' at 'Valhe', Tahsil Purandar; therefore as same status exists even now in respect of post of 'Talathi', at 'Dive'; Tahsil Purandar the Applicant should now be transferred forthwith without any further delay on vacant post of 'Talathi' at 'Dive', Tahsil Purandar.

5. The 'District Collector, Pune' directed to complete this exercise to transfer Applicant on vacant post of 'Talathi' at 'Dive', Tahsil Purandar within 'Two Weeks'.

6. S.O. to 29.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

W.R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Final Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.460/2024

**S.G. Sutar
Vs.**

... Applicant

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. We direct Respondent No.1 to forward Appeal Memo dated 06.11.2023 submitted by Applicant to Appellate Authority i.e. Respondent No.2 and decide the same within a period of four weeks and decision may be communicated to Applicant thereafter.

3. The Commissioner of Police, Pune to take note that this matter should not come before us for this reason again.

4. OA stands disposed off. No order as to costs.

skw
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
17.04.2024

SBH
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
17.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

A/R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders



**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

A/R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Final Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.16/2024

B.P. Giri ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S. Raktate, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. Admit.

3. S.O. to 12th June, 2024 for final hearing.

skw
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
17.04.2024

skw
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
17.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

A/R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.78/2024

R.R. Gaikwad ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 19th June, 2024.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
17.04.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
17.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

A/R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.403/2024

M.S. Kedar

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri C. Khade, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 12th June, 2024.

SKW
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
17.04.2024

SBK
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
17.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Final Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.359/2024 with O.A.280/2024

A.S. Bandekar & Ors.

A.R. Sonune & Ors.

... Applicants


Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.B. Chalak, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 19th June, 2024 for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.


(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
17.04.2024


(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
17.04.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.535/2024

K.S. Adagale ... **Applicant**
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... **Respondents**


1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 19.06.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 19th June, 2024.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
17.04.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
17.04.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 17.04.2024</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.39/2024 in O.A.No.1181/2023</p> <p>K.P. PawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.N. Naikwadi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit-in-reply dated 02.04.2024 stating that the representation is decided on 22.03.2024.</p> <p>3. We direct Deputy Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department to remain present with all files and details of the promotion given to the post of Deputy Collector to the juniors of the Applicant and also state why the name of the Applicant is deleted. We are not satisfied with the affidavit filed by learned P.O. today and we direct learned P.O. to file fresh affidavit on the point of representation.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 02.05.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 17.04.2024</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.21/2024 in O.A.No.416/2022</p> <p>A.S. JageApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 02.05.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) prk</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Date : 17.04.2024

Original Application No.

of 20

C.A.No.16/2024 in O.A.No.703/2016

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

<p align="center">Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p align="center">Tribunal's orders</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. P. N. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.05.2024. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Contempt Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Contempt Application shall stand dismissed. 8. Adjourned to 02.05.2024. <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">prk</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>
---	---

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 17.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.267/2016</p> <p>Dr. S.M. Nilange & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Anshuman Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicants No.1 to 5 and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants No.6 to 13 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. We direct Under Secretary, Finance Department and Under Secretary, G.A.D. to remain present or file affidavit-in-reply on the next date.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 02.05.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Date : 17.04.2024

Original Application No.

of 20

C.A.No.16/2024 in O.A.No.703/2016

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

1. Heard ^{Tribunal's orders} Mr. A.N. Pange, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.05.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Contempt Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Contempt Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 02.05.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 17.04.2024 Tribunal's orders C.A.No.114/2023 in O.A.No.1033/2015
	<p>T.D. TaydeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. By order dated 26.03.2024 a query was put to learned Counsel to state the date on which the Applicant went on Maternity leave.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has submitted that applicant applied for maternity leave on 08.12.2023, which was approved on 27.12.2023, seeking maternity leave during the period from 11.12.2023 to 07.06.2023 and her baby was born on 21.12.2023. Learned Counsel has submitted that C.A. is filed for non-compliance of order dated 05.09.2022 passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.1033/2015 wherein M.P.S.C. was directed to recommend the name of the applicant within three months. The said period is over on 05.12.2022. M.P.S.C. recommended name of the Applicant on 30.12.2022 i.e. after the period of three months. Thereafter the Government took one year to issue appointment order i.e. on 23.01.2024 the applicant was appointed.</p> <p>4. In view of above, adjourned to 11.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 17.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.840/2017 with M.A.No.412/2018 with O.A.No.915/2017 with O.A.No.758/2017 with M.A.No.290/2018 with O.A.No.759/2017 with M.A.No.287/2018 with O.A.No.799/2017 with M.A.No.289/2018 with O.A.No.1067/2017 with M.A.No.487/2017</p> <p>P.V. Patil & Ors. _____ .Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.758/2017 with M.A.No. 290/2018 and O.A.No.1067/2017 with M.A.No.487 /2017, Mr. G.D. Kurane, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.915/2017 with O.A.No.799 /2017 with M.A.No.289/2018 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents along with Mr. A.D. Gugale and Mr. D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. V.V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.759/2017 with M.A.No.287/ 2018 is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Ms. Suryawanshi has produced the letter regarding withdrawal of her appearance, copy of written letter to the Applicant along with postal acknowledgement and tracking report in O.A.No.840/2017 with M.A.No.412/2018. It be taken on record and marked as Exhibit-A.</p> <p>4. Under such circumstances, Applicant in O.A.No.840/2017 with M.A.No.412/2018 is directed to remain present personally or through Counsel. Officer who is present from the concern Department is directed to inform the Applicant Mr. P.V. Patil about the same.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the Department has sought three months time to collect and collate the information.

6. Learned Counsel Mr. Kurane has submitted his name is wrongly shown on board as appearing in O.A.No.1067/2017 with M.A.No.487/2017 and learned Counsel Ms. Suryawanshi is appearing in the said matter.

7. Registry to take note of the same and the name as learned Counsel Ms. Suryawanshi be shown as appearing in O.A.No.1067/2017 with M.A.No.487/2017.

8. Learned Counsel Ms. Suryawanshi has submitted that Applicant in O.A.No.1067/2017 with M.A.No.487/2017 has filed M.A.No.230/2024 seeking amendment praying for deemed date of promotion.

9. At the request of learned Counsel Ms. Suryawanshi M.A.No.230/2024 though not on board taken on board. M.A.No.230/2024 is filed seeking permission to amend O.A. praying for deemed date of promotion.

10. Learned C.P.O. vehemently opposes the M.A. as the matter is pending since 2017 and issue is to be adjudicated.

11. Learned Counsel Ms. Suryawanshi and Mr. Kurane has submitted that M.A.No.290/2018, M.A.No.289/2018 and M.A.No.487/2017 are hereby withdrawn as these M.As are filed in the year 2017 and 2018 and due to flux of time nothing remains in these M.As.

12. In view of above, M.A.No.290/2018, M.A.No.289/2018 and M.A.No.487/2017 are allowed to withdraw. M.A.No.230/2024 is hereby disposed of as allowed.

13. Adjourned to 13.06.2024.

SD/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

SD/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.17 of 2024</u></p> <p>N.I. Momin ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.6.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 17.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.529 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.R. Murti ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. A.S. Kolapkar holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.528 of 2024</u></p> <p>V. Nattar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. A.S. Kolapkar holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.516 of 2024</u></p> <p>H.D. Kale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.503 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.A. Joshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.498 of 2024</u></p> <p>I.B. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file MA for delay condonation.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.5.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhã Gadgil) Member (A) 17.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.490 of 2024</u></p> <p>B.K. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 17.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1250 of 2023</p> <p>S.M. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Guguale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.05.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 17.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1535 of 2023</p> <p>P.V. GavteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Swaraj Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has already filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.2 on 05.03.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1534 of 2023</p> <p>R.B. BhaleraoApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Swaraj Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Applicant. 4. S.O. to 25.04.2024. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>
	NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.382 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. S.S. ThakurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. presents copy of 'Medical Education & Drugs Department letter dated 08.04.2024 which states that meeting of 'C.S.B.' will be held on 10.04.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Date: 17.04.2024

O.A. No.408 of 2024

M.S. GaikwadApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt. Ranjana Todenkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.
2. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.2. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.
3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that after 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed by Respondent Nos.1 & 2 he will seek time to file composite 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder'.
4. Learned P.O. files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Deputy Director of Education Mumbai Region'. However it needs to be pointed out that 'Affidavit-in-Reply' about observations in 'Para 5', 'Para 6', 'Para 8' & 'Para 9' of Order dated 19.03.2024 was expected from officer serving in office of 'Principal Secretary, School Education and Sports Department' and not from 'Deputy Director of Education Mumbai Region, Mumbai'.
5. Learned P.O. is thus informed to file 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' about observations in 'Para 5', 'Para 6', 'Para 8' & 'Para 9' of Order dated 19.03.2024 through officer serving in office of 'Principal Secretary, School Education and Sports Department'.
6. S.O. to 25.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.342 of 2024</p> <p>S.S. ShaikhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No. 2 to 3 and Shri A.A. Deshpande, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AGR</p>