

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

17.04.2023

O.A 188 & 189/2023

E.R Waykande & Ors
P.N Nagare & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 12.6.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

17.04.2023

O.A 22/2023

Shri M.P Bagul ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents no 1 & 2 and Ms K.T Pawar, learned counsel for Respondent no. 3.

2. Matter to proceed without reply of M.P.S.C as already last chance was given to file reply.

3. Admit. H.O.B.

4. Place for final hearing on 20.4.2023. Interim relief to continue.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 17.04.2023
---	--

O.A 1105 & 1106/2022

A.V Gadge
P.S Khatpe

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder.
3. Place for final hearing on 3.7.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

17.04.2023

O.A 809/2022

N.D Tasgaonkar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.

3. S.O to 26.6.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

17.04.2023

O.A 945, 1272, 1307 and 1308/2022

Shri Y.S Jagtap & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Admit. Place for hearing on 24.4.2023. H.O.B.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(~~Medha~~ Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

17.04.2023

O.A 982/2022

B.K Warghade ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. None present for the applicant. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 3.7.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

17.04.2023

O.A 938/2022

Shri K.N Wankhede ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 12.6.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>17.04.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 24/2023 in O.A 1022/2021</u></p> <p>Shri O.K Anvekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr M.B Ghule, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the order of this Tribunal has been complied with vide order dated 10.4.2023 and the applicant joined on 13.4.2023 as Clerk on compassionate grounds and nothing remains in the contempt application.</p> <p>3. As the order of this Tribunal has been complied with, C.A stands disposed of.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="right">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	17.04.2023 Tribunal's orders M.A 201/2023 in O.A 33/2023
---	--

Ms Reshma S. Rathod ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. The present Misc Application is filed seeking permission to add proposed Respondent no. 5 in the array of the Respondents.
3. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out and copy to be served on the Respondents.
4. Misc Application stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 17.04.2023
---	--

O.A 746/2022

Shri M.S Jadhav ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O submits that the department has received letter from the applicant dated 29.3.2023 that he wants to withdraw the Original Application and does not want to proceed with the matter.

3. Learned C.P.O files copy of the letter and the same is taken on record and marked as Exh.I.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to appear on the next date.

5. S.O to 19.4.2023. H.O.B.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

17.04.2023

O.A 668/2022

Shri S.S Misale ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 & 2 and Shri U.V Bhosle, learned counsel for Respondent no. 3.

2. Learned C.P.O prays for time to file affidavit in reply.

3. S.O to 24.4.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>17.04.2023</p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 33/2023</u></p> <p>Ms Reshma S. Rathod ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has challenged the decision of the Respondents in not selecting the applicant for the post of Police Driver Constable which was conducted pursuant to the advertisement dated 30.11.2019 and further challenges Rule 8(3) & 9(2) of the Maharashtra Assistant Police Sub-Inspector, Police Head Constable Driver, Police Naik Driver and Police Constable Driver (Recruitment) Rules, 2019 are unconstitutional, illogical, unreasonable, unlawful and illegal.</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.4.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u></p> <p>M.A 750/2022 in O.A 1038/2022 with M.A 751/2022 in O.A 1039/2022</p> <p>Shri V.R Pawar Shri V.P Wagh ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application is filed by the applicants praying that the Respondents be directed to continue the applicants on their current posting or in the alternative to post the applicants as Assistant Superintendent at any vacant posts in Nasik District. 3.</p> <p>3. We find no merit in the Misc Applications and the same are rejected. The Caveat Application No. 114/2022 also stands disposed of.</p> <p>4. We call upon learned counsel for the applicants as to why the Original Applications should not be dismissed.</p> <p>5. O.A 1038 & 1039/2022 to be heard on 19.4.2023. H.O.B</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.160 of 2021</p> <p>G.V. BellaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. O.A. No.160/2021 is not on today's board, at the request of learned Advocate matter is taken on today's board. Supplementary board is prepared. 3. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed his Vakalatnama with NOC of the earlier Advocate Mr. Dhole. Vakalatnama is taken on record. It be filed in Registry. 4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that litigant Mr. Gajanan V. Bellale is present and he wants to withdraw O.A. No.160/2021. 5. Allowed to withdraw O.A. No.160/2021. Application of withdrawal is taken on record and marked as Court Exhibit No.1. <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 17.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.985 of 2021</p> <p>Dr.B.P. Satpute & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Matter to proceed with Reply. 3. Admit for Final Hearing. 4. S.O. to 12.06.2023. <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 17.04.2023 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.403/2023</p> <p>A.J. SaindaneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant who is working as Senior Social Welfare Inspector in the office of Social Justice and Special Assistant Department is due for promotion to the post of Social Welfare Officer, Group-B from the quota of Person With Disability (PWD).</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted that the Applicant is eligible candidate and entitled to get reservation in promotion in the quota of PWD. Applicant was diagnosed with total hip replacement RT and was having 42% disability in the year 2014. The same has increased to 49% in the year 2018. The feeder cadre of the post of Social Welfare Officer, Group-A & Group-B is Non-Gazetted Executive Staff and Non-Gazetted Ministerial Staff. The Applicant falls in the Non-Gazetted Executive Staff. By G.R. dated 05.07.2021 the Government has adopted reservation policy for the disabled person in promotion which includes Group-A and Group-B officers.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has submitted that the applicant is denied of promotion though he is eligible. Learned Advocate has further stated that the applicant has submitted certificate of disability dated 28.01.2015 and hence he prays for interim relief by reserving one post of Social Welfare Officer, till the final disposal of this O.A..</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.04.2023.</p>
--	--

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>6. Application is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>
	<p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>10. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that since today is the first date she seeks time.</p> <p>11. Adjourned to 21.04.2023.</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p>
<p>prk</p>	

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.303 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. B.P. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that they are filing Affidavit-in-Reply today. She further submits that Interim Relief was granted till filing of Reply.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant matter is adjourned to 20.04.2023 for filing Rejoinder. Rejoinder be given one day in advance to the other side. Interim Relief is extended till 20.04.2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.04.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.271 of 2023</p> <p>S.B. DeshmukhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that file is pending before Hon'ble Minister. He submits that the issue of promotion is pending and the Respondents be directed to consider his case.</p> <p>3. In the circumstances we direct that the Respondents to take decision in respect of pending D.E. and pass final order in respect of the Charge-sheet dated 09.07.2014.</p> <p>4. Matter is adjourned. We expect that till then decision will be taken by the Respondents.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 03.07.2023.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u></p> <p><u>C.A 2/2023 in O.A 476/2022</u></p> <p>Dr A.M Kadhikhaye ... Applicant Vs. Shri Sanjay Khandare & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents no 1 & 2 and Shri D.K Lokhande, learned counsel for Respondent no. 3.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Mr Lokhande for Respondent no. 3 submits that the bill for gratuity has been sent to the Treasury Office on 12.4.2023 and he further submits that the procedure for giving regular pension to the applicant is under process.</p> <p>3. S.O to 4.5.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 17.04.2023

O.A.No.671/2016

P.P. PuranikApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Matter was called out and none appeared for the Applicant. Noting from the Registrar's board dated 17.02.2023 discloses that none appeared for the applicant on previous occasion as well and the objections were also not removed.
3. Applicant, working as Clerk in the office of District Collector, Mumbai Suburban District was prosecuted for the offence under Sections 7, 13(1)(d) r/w. 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. She was convicted by order dated 12.08.2013 and so she was dismissed from service.
4. Learned P.O. has submitted that the Applicant has challenged order of conviction dated 12.08.2023 before the Hon'ble High Court. As on today the matters is pending before the Hon'ble High Court. Therefore, order of dismissal is correct.
5. None appeared for the applicant even on the previous occasions. O.A. is dismissed for want of prosecution. However, liberty granted to move the application depending on the decision of Hon'ble High Court.
6. In view of above, O.A. stands dismissed.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 17.04.2023
---	--

O.A.No.1204/2022

M.V. HaldekarApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Mr. Bhosale has submitted that affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.2, G.A.D. is required to be filed.

3. Respondent No.2, G.A.D. is directed to file affidavit-in-reply.

4. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 02.05.2023. Matter is to be placed under the caption 'Final Hearing P.H.'

Sd/- Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Member (A) Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.04.2023</u></p> <p><u>C.A 45/2022 in O.A 65/2020</u></p> <p>Shri S.P Kapdne ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that Writ Petition Stamp No. 2148/2023 is filed before the Hon'ble High Court challenging the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.6.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.04.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1016/2021</p> <p>S.R. KasarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Gaikwad has submitted that he was not available on the previous dates due to personal difficulty and prays that the matter be removed from the caption of 'For Dismissal' and be taken up for hearing.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that learned P.O. Ms. K.S. Gaikwad who is incharge of the brief will be coming late today.</p> <p>4. In view of above, learned Advocate seeks adjournment.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 26.04.2023. Interim relief to be continued till next date. P.H.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 17.04.2023

O.A.No.1055/2021

M.S. Mhaske & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Ms. L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Ms. Pooja holding for learned Mr. Deshmukh has submitted that learned Advocate Mr. Deshmukh is not able to attend the Tribunal today as his son is not well.

3. All the Applicants who applied for the post of Police Sub Inspector and Sales Tax Inspector were allowed to appear for the said examination by order dated 24.12.2021. The Applicants obtained their Orphan Certificates after cut-off dates i.e. on 19.01.2021, 18.10.2021 and 07.09.2021. Their candidature was rejected on the ground that they were not holding Orphan Certificates prior to cut-off date.

4. We have allowed the Applicants to appear for the main examination by interim relief order dated 24.12.2021. The Respondents filed affidavit-in-reply dated 01.02.2022 on behalf of Respondents No.1 & 2, through Mr. Bapurao G. Bhavane, Divisional Deputy Commissioner in the office of Women & Child Development, Konkan Division, Mumbai.

5. Learned C.P.O. on query informed that the process to provide horizontal reservation to orphans was started by the State of Maharashtra through G.R. dated 20.08.2019 and it was amended by G.R. dated 23.08.2021.

6. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 25.04.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 17.04.2023

M. A. No.575 of 2021 in M.A. No.576 of 2021 in
O.A.No.560 of 2020

M. D. Rathod & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Pooja Phagnekar holding for Shri Mohite ,
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad,
learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. These Misc. Applications are filed to restore the O.A
and to condone the delay of around three months caused in
filing M.A. for restoration.

3. The Applicant had filed O.A.No.560/2020 for
compassionate appointment. It was dismissed in default by
order dated 30.08.2021 having found that the Applicants and
their Counsel are continuously absent. These Misc.
Applications were filed in the office on 13.12.2021 but those
M.A.s were lost in the office and, therefore, these Misc.
Applications are reconstructed.

3. In Misc. Applications notices were issued on
13.03.2023. However, no reply is filed. Today, again learned
P.O. sought time to file reply to M.A.

4. Since the O.A. was pertaining to compassionate
appointment, I am inclined to condone the delay and to recall
the order dated 30.08.2021 so as to decide the O.A. on merit
subject to cost of Rs.1000/- payable in the office within two
weeks from today.

5. In view of above, both the Misc. Applications are
allowed so as to decide the O.A. on merit subject to payment
of cost of Rs.1000/- within two weeks from today.

6. If the cost is paid within two weeks, O.A. be listed
before the Tribunal for further orders. If the cost is not paid,
these M.As would stand dismissed without reference to the
Tribunal.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.290 of 2023</p> <p>S. S. DeshmukhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.276 of 2023</p> <p>S. D. AbnaveApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant stands retired on 30.11.2019 but his retirement dues are not fully paid and, therefore, filed this O.A. 3. This matter is taken up in the morning session and learned P.O. was directed to call responsible person from the office of C.P. Mumbai and to apprise the Tribunal as to why retirement benefits are not fully paid. 4. However, no such responsible person is present which again shows total disregard of the Respondents. 5. Since the period of more than three years is over from the date of retirement, the O.A. deserves to be disposed of expeditiously. 6. Three days' time is granted to learned P.O. to file reply with specific directions that no further time will be given. 7. S.O. to 20.04.2023. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.269/2021</u></p> <p>Shri P.G. Sali ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri Ashish Kanojia holding for Shri Balraj Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Shri Kanojia, learned Advocate stated that Advocate Shri Kulkarni is coming from Aurangabad and was unable to attend the matter today, and therefore, requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7th June, 2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.406 of 2023</p> <p>S. M. PatilApplicant</p> <p align="center">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 13.04.2023 inter-alia contending that he is transferred mid-term and mid-tenure in contravention of the provision of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Act 2005') 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant, therefore, prayed for interim relief. 4. Learned P.O. has tendered file to show that Applicant is transferred on the basis of report dated 27.03.2023 made by the Superintending Engineer, Thane in the light of recommendation of Civil Services Board and it is approved by the Hon'ble Chief Minister. 5. True, the Applicant has not completed normal tenure of three years in the present post. However, <i>prima-faice</i>, there is compliance of Section 4(5) of 'Act 2005'. The CSB recommended for his transfer in view of report made by the Superintending Engineer attributing non-performance and neglect in discharging duties thereby delay in construction and completion of various projects.

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. In view of above, I am not inclined to grant interim relief.</p> <p>7. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.06.2023.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 05.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.154/2022</u></p> <p>Shri T.R. Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri M.F. Wasif, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he is not feeling well, and therefore, request\$ for adjournment.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission to 28th April, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.176/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.C. Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri S.S. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the orders dated 13.10.2017, 20.04.2018, 05.12.2018 and 13.01.2020. By order dated 13.10.2017, it was communicated to the Applicant that he is not entitled to 2nd benefit of ACPS. He made representation against it on 15.03.2018 and it was rejected by letter dated 20.04.2018. As such, O.A. ought to have been filed within one year from 20.04.2018. However, O.A. is filed on 26.12.2021 without filing M.A. for condonation of delay. Only because Applicant again made representation and thereafter it was rejected, it would not be ^{be} cause of action which was accrued to the Applicant on receipt of communication dated 20.04.2018.</p> <p>3. Thus, O.A. is not filed within limitation. When this aspect is brought to the notice of Applicant's Advocate, he sought time to file M.A. for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. In view of above, one week time is granted to file M.A. for condonation of delay. If MA is filed within a week, it be listed along with O.A. for necessary order. If not filed within a week, O.A. will stand dismissed for default without reference to this Tribunal.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.781/2016</u></p> <p>Smt. M.R. Ghate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed additional Short Affidavit with minutes of DPC. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for final hearing to 4th May, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.392 of 2023</p> <p>M. R. DandkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is a case where Respondent No.1 has given letter dated 15.02.2023 to the Respondent No.2 to take further action in terms of G.R. dated 15.05.2019 meaning thereby he has no objection to accommodate the Applicant on his establishment. Indeed, in letter he has clarified that there is a vacancy of open category. However, surprisingly Respondent No.1 by letter dated 21.03.2023 declined to get the Applicant joined on his establishment stating that there is no NOC from his office. This stand is totally incomprehensible in view of contents of letter dated 15.02.2023. It is on the basis of letter dated 15.02.2023, the Applicant is relieved by Respondent No.2 on 28.02.2023 and since then he is in abeyance.</p> <p>3. Original Application, therefore, deserves to be disposed of expeditiously or to consider the interim relief as sought by the Applicant.</p> <p>4. On request of learned P.O., one day time is granted to take instructions from the Respondent No.1 as to why he declined to get the Applicant joined on his establishment.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) [P.T.O.]</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.92 of 2017</p> <p>Dr. M. S. BhadkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Consel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that this OA. was before the Division Bench and recently transferred to this bench.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. It being the matter of 2017, it is made clear that no further date will be given on next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 21.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1110/2022</u></p> <p>Smt. V.P. Pingle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri O.M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file Affidavit-in-reply. One week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.319/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.S. Survase ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri P.M. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. A.B. Kololgi holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Smt. Akshata Raware, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 is present.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-reply is under preparation and will be filed during the course of the day. This statement is accepted.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the state of admission to 24th April, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.297/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.V. Desai ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri P.M. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. requests for time to file Affidavit-in-reply. Two weeks' time is granted by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3rd May, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">\n Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.267/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.G. Aher ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri S.S. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. requests for time to file Affidavit-in-reply. Two weeks' time is granted by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2nd May, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.397/2023</u></p> <p>Shri A.B. Jagtap ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present. 2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 11.03.2023 <i>inter-alia</i> contending that it is mala-fide amongst other grounds. 3. Issue notice returnable on 8th June, 2023. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed. 8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 9. S.O. to 8th June, 2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A.238/2023 in O.A.377/2023 with M.A.246/2023 in O.A.386/2023</u></p> <p>Shri U.S. Bhagwat & Anr. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. These M.As are filed to condone the delay of 4 years and 7 months caused in filing O.A.</p> <p>3. Issue notice to the Respondents made returnable on 7th June, 2023.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the M.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the M.A. shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 7th June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.350/2023</u></p> <p>Shri N.K. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant sought direction to initiate DE against Respondent Nos.1 to 8, amongst other relief.</p> <p>3. When Tribunal raised specific query about jurisdiction of the Single Bench to entertain such O.A, he submits that he would take necessary steps to take up the matter before Division Bench.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24th April, 2023 for necessary steps.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.400/2023</u></p> <p>Shri I.J. Korbu ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed this O.A. being aggrieved because of non-inclusion of his name in order dated 12.01.2023 for transfer from the establishment of Respondent No.2 to the establishment of Mumbai Police Commissionerate by way of inter-district transfer in terms of G.Rs dated 21.05.2021 and 29.11.2022.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to implead Commissioner of Police, Mumbai as Respondent No.3 who is remained to be impleaded inadvertently. Permission granted. C.P, Mumbai be impleaded as Respondent No.3 forthwith.</p> <p>4. Issue notice to Respondents made returnable on 7th June, 2023.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before</p> <p>returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 7th June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 6th June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>