

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 670 OF 2021 WITH M.A 392/2021**

DISTRICT : MUMBAI

Dr A.S Chandanwale)...**Applicant**

And

Dr S.D Nandankar)...**Intervenor/
Applicant**

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors)...**Respondents**

Ms Madhvi Ayyapan i/b Talekar & Associates, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for the intervenor/applicant.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)
Mrs Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)**

DATE : 17.03.2022

PER : Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)

ORDER

1. Today, learned counsel for the applicant is present and submitted that the matter is Part Head and prayed that it may be heard at the earliest within two weeks. She submitted that the

Respondents are unnecessarily seeking time to furnish the list of Directors and Joint Directors from 1995. Learned counsel for the applicant further submitted that the arguments were heard finally.

2. Learned C.P.O submits that she needs time to furnish additional information which was asked by the Tribunal. Learned C.P.O submitted that as there was stay granted by the Tribunal in favour of the applicant, the process of interview was stalled. Now, after grant of interim relief by the Tribunal on 25.2.2022, the Department has started working on the interview process. She is unable to inform how much time the Respondents will require to complete the process of interview for the said post. Till today, the date of interview is not declared by the Department.

3. The applicant has filed the present Original Application to quash the advertisement dated 10.8.2021 to the extent it does not provide reservation to the persons with disabilities as per Sec 34 of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant has already argued the matter for four hours and she will require an hour to argue the matter. We at present have given priority to hear and finally decide the matters of the year 2014 as there are very few matters which are shown as pending. So the preference is given to these old matters.

5. We make it clear that the applicant at present is holding the post of Joint Director as he is promoted on a temporary basis on 3.9.2021, subsequent to the filing of the Original Application. By order dated 25.2.2022 we have directed the M.P.S.C as per para 4 to go ahead with the selection process for appointment to the post of Joint Director and the appointment to the said post by



recruitment will be subject to the outcome of this Original Application. We also permitted the applicant to participate in the said selection process.

6. Thus, we are of the view that immediate interim relief was given to the applicant. Therefore, though the learned counsel for the applicant has argued this matter for four hours, we are unable to accept the request of the learned counsel for the applicant to hear the matter finally after two weeks. However, we keep this matter for final hearing on 12.4.2022 at 12.00 noon. Learned C.P.O is directed to furnish the information called for by the Tribunal on or before 4.4.2022 and copy of the said information is to be sent by email to the learned counsel for the applicant as well as the applicant.

7. Place for final hearing on 12.4.2022 at 12.00 noon.

Sd/-

(**Medha Gadgil**)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(**Mridula Bhatkar, J.**)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai
Date : 17.03.2022
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.112 of 2022</p> <p>R. G. GalandeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder (Page Nos.313 to 320). It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Argument heard. Closed for order.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ v- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.155 of 2019</p> <p>M. K. Atkar ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is being heard, it is transpired from the report of Enquiry Officer that there is no clarity about opportunity of cross examination to the delinquent. The questions and answers of the witnesses seems to have been recorded combinedly, and therefore, it is difficult to find out what was examination chief and what was cross examination.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is not able to pin out as to what actually happen.</p> <p>4. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to produce original record of inquiry before the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. On request of learned P.O., the matter is adjourned to 23.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: right;">O.A.No.57 of 2022</p> <p>B. D. KshirsagarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S. T. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri Ashok Misal, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2. 2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply on the point of jurisdiction of the Tribunal. 3. Learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 – ZP, Solapur sought to contend that the Applicant stands retired as Extension Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Mohol and he was ZP employee and not a Government servant. Therefore, the Tribunal has no jurisdiction to entertain the O.A. 4. The Applicant has not produced his appointment order. 5. To find out whether the Applicant is ZP employee or Government servant, on request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, short time is granted to satisfy the Tribunal how O.A. is maintainable before the Tribunal. 6. S.O. to 23.03.2022. <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 10px;">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.329 of 2020</p> <p>M.H. MhaldarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.3 as per oral directions given by this Tribunal on 10.03.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- vw (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.03.2022</p> <p>M. A. No.76 of 2022 in O.A.No.1050 of 2021</p> <p>S. M. PadwalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.04.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 13.04.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.81 of 2021</p> <p>Dr.A.R. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.04.2022 along with connected matters O.A. No.80 of 2021 & O.A. No.632 of 2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.171 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.R. Goud ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the applicant has sent Leave Note.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO files reply and a copy is handed over to the applicant, who is present in the Court.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.3.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.24 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.S. Aitawade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Divisional Commissioner, Pune-Respondent No.2 is hereby directed to appoint a responsible officer to attend the court as proper assistance is required in view of order dated 16.2.2022 passed in the above OA.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.3.2022</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.909 of 2021 with O.A. No.141 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.M. Chandanshiv V.S. Tengale ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that these matters be tagged with OA No.207 of 2022 as the issue is connected.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.3.2022</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.546 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.S. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 9.6.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Mridula Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 17.3.2022 17.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.352 of 2021</u></p> <p>B.R. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Yogesh Morbale holding for Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply and rejoinder are already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. This matter is fixed on 21.3.2022 to enable the Ld. CPO to provide the list of applications similarly situated.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 21.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Megha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.3.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.346 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.V. Daingade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.4.2022 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 17.3.2022 17.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.337 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.R. Koli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.4.2022 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgi) Member (A) 17.3.2022 (sgi) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.3.2022 </p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.773 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.R. Badad & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate both are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 9.6.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 17.3.2022 17.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.2 of 2022 in O.A. No.1047 of 2016</u></p> <p>P.P. Birajdar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. The respondents to explain how 218 posts were filled up when only 215 posts were advertised and also inform whether there are other vacancies available in the cadre.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.3.2022 for reply.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/- → (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 17.3.2022 17.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.10 of 2021 in O.A. No.426 of 2019</u></p> <p>P.D. Mule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate both are absent. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that writ petition is pending in the Hon'ble High Court and will appear on 23.3.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 17.3.2022 17.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.242 of 2022</u></p> <p>Sanjay B. Wagh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this OA the applicant seeks directions to the respondents to decide the appeal of the applicant received by the appellate authority on 28.1.2020 within a stipulated period.</p> <p>3. The respondents are directed to decide the appeal of the applicant within a period of six weeks and communicate the decision thereon to the applicant within a week thereafter.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.3.2022</td> <td align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.3.2022</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.3.2022	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.3.2022
Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.3.2022	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.3.2022		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.614 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.B. Katkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 23.3.2022. High on Board.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.3.2022</td> <td align="center">/s/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.3.2022</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.3.2022	/s/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.3.2022
Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.3.2022	/s/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.3.2022		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>OA.203/2022, OA.167/2022, OA.144/2022, OA.145/2022 & OA.146/2022</u></p> <p>A.M. Halasangi (OA.203/2022) S.B. Godase (OA.167/2022) A.H. Daphal (OA.144/2022) A.D. Nazirkar & Ors. (OA.145/2022) T.R. Andhale & Ors. (OA.146/2022) ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OAs.203/2022 and 167/2022, Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants in OAs.144/2022, 145/2022 and 146/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants in OAs.203/2022 and 167/2022 seeks leave to add Additional Director General of Police (Training) as party respondent.</p> <p>4. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith and amended copy be served on all concerned.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 29.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.3.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1067 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.S. Lande & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.4.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 17.3.2022 17.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.168 of 2022</p> <p>R.V. BhandareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.159 of 2022</p> <p>D.S. RasamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.26 of 2022</p> <p>A.K. Pawar & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. also requested for short time to file detail Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 since, earlier only short Affidavit was filed on behalf of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>4. One week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1059 of 2021</p> <p>D.V. GuravApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and same will be filed in the Office on Monday. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record with copy to other side in advance.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1023 of 2021</p> <p>A.T. IngawaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 by way of most last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.983 of 2021</p> <p>P.G. KokitkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough chances are granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.857 of 2021</p> <p>L.P. Gaikwad & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.135 of 2022 in O.A. No.782 of 2021</p> <p>J.P. ShrisatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.03.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.782 of 2021</p> <p>J.P. ShrisatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.777 of 2021 with O.A. No.792 of 2021 with O.A. No.793 of 2021</p> <p>Y.B. Akolkar S.R. Patil P.B. BhangareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed. 4. Since, pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for Final Hearing. 5. S.O. to 13.04.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.248 of 2022</p> <p>N.N. RevadekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.04.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 13.04.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.695 of 2021</p> <p>R.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3, but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. Reply on behalf of Respondent No.4 is already filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.03.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.123 of 2022 in O.A. No.816 of 2021</p> <p>K.S. BirajdarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.03.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.75 of 2022 in O.A. No.125 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. N.S. BambaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. 4. S.O. to 30.03.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.03.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.262 of 2022</p> <p>S.A. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 29.10.2021 whereby she was suspended in view of registration of crime against her under the provision of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.04.2022. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 13.04.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>17.03.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 770/2014</u></p> <p>The Maharashtra Rajya Shasakiya Va Jilha Parishad Vahan Chalak (Varg-3) Karmachari Sanghatana & Ors ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to our order dated 15.3.2022, we called upon the learned counsel for the applicants to produce the list of the applicants Member Association.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants has not produced any list. Therefore, we direct the learned counsel for the applicants to furnish at least list of 50 applicants, who are members of the Association, which should include the name and date of joining, today by 3.00 pm.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.608 of 2021 in O.A. No.1066 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.D. Salok & 30 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.3.2022</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1066 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.D. Salok & 30 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondent no.2 is directed to furnish addresses of respondents no.3 to 13 to the Ld. Advocate for the applicants.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.4.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>17.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>17.3.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.231 of 2022</u></p> <p>Y.U. Bawdekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.3.2022. HIGH ON BOARD. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 17.3.2022 17.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.243 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.P. Pathare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Prasad Warkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.3.2022. High on Board. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.3.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.142 of 2022 in O.A. No.245 of 2022</u></p> <p>J.M. Gund & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.3.2022</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.241 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.S. Bansode ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.4.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 17.3.2022 17.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>