

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

Date : 17.02.2023

O.A.No.2 of 2023

M.K. SirsatApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Adjourned to 22.02.2023 for hearing on interim relief. To be shown under the caption 'Due Admission'. HOB.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 17.02.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.55 of 2022</p> <p>V.S. KadamApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant seeks deemed date of promotion to the post of Assistant Sub Inspector.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has submitted that the juniors of the Applicant are promoted with all monetary benefits and with revision and fixation of pension.</p> <p>4. We made query to learned Advocate that when the juniors were promoted. We were informed that the juniors of the applicant were promoted on 10.08.2021. Learned Advocate has submitted that the applicant prays that the said date is to be given to him as deemed date of promotion. We note that the applicant retired from the post of Police Head Constable on 30.06.2021. We are of the view that if the juniors are promoted after the retirement no deemed date can be given to the applicant.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate has submitted that the applicant's grievance is about seniority list dated 19.08.2020. She has submitted that the applicant has made various representations. She has further submitted that his batch-mates were granted promotion as ASI on 25.06.2021.</p> <p>6. We fail to understand that why he did not challenge the said seniority list when he was in service.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O. has submitted that the proposal with regard to his representations is sent to the office of Home Department and Home Department has raised certain queries on 16.02.2023. She therefore seeks time for further compliance.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 10.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date : 17.02.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.61 of 2023</p> <p>K.M. DadasApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We have perused the report of medical board. It shows that the applicant's height was measured as 154 cms i.e. below the requisite height of 155 cms.</p> <p>3. In view of above, nothing remains in this matter, hence, O.A. stands dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date : 17.02.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.857 of 2022</p> <p>P.P. DahiwadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.S. Nandimath, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Time granted to learned P.O. to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 03.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p> | <p>Tribunal's orders Date : 17.02.2023</p> |
| | <p>O.A.No.1155/2022</p> <p>B.D. Modak & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 10.03.2023 for rejoinder.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

LATER ON
Date : 17.02.2023

O.A.No.672/2022

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. A. Lad, learned Advocate of KLT Law Asso. for Respondent No.3.
2. Only two applicants are allowed to go to the concerned office of Respondent.
3. Even if the posts are advertised by the Department the advertisement will not come in the way of these applicants.
4. Adjourned to 22.02.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gaᅡgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 17.02.2023

M.A.No.117/2023 in O.A.No.691/2016

S.M. MoreyApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate holding for Mr. A.S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate has filed the M.A. for transfer of O.A. from M.A.T. Mumbai to M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act. He submits that due to non-availability of Division Bench at M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad in the year 2016 the O.A. was filed at M.A.T. Mumbai. O.A. is filed on 12.07.2016. As the applicant is from Aurangabad and comes within the territorial jurisdiction of M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad O.A. is to be transferred to M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad.

3. Learned P.O. submits to the orders of the Court.

4. In view of the submissions of learned Advocate O.A. is transferred to M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad. Registry is directed to send the record and proceedings of O.A. to M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad.

5. In view of above, M.A. is allowed.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

LATER ON

Date : 17.02.2023

O.A.No.1029/2019

1. Heard Mr. Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. has submitted that Mr. Balaji Lokhare, Assistant Director from Vocational Education is present. She relies on affidavit dated 05.01.2021.
3. Learned Advocate has submitted that the State of Maharashtra has issued G.R. dated 05.07.2021 regarding policy to provide reservation in promotion for the persons with disability.
4. The Joint Secretary, G.A.D. is hereby directed to remain present on the next date along with the affidavit-in-reply stating the position of the Government vis-à-vis whether the promotions are granted to the applicant in consonance with the decision of the Hon'ble High Court
5. Adjourned to 08.03.2023. P.H.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>OA No.672/2022 with OA No.909/2021 & OA No.141/2022</u></p> <p>F.H. Shaikh & Ors. A.M. Chandanshiv V.S. Telang Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OA No.672/2022, Ms. Pooja Mankohi holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned advocate for Applicants in OAs. No.909/2021 & No.141/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that on account of order passed by this Tribunal the posts have been reshuffled and as of now there are no posts vacant. Ld. CPO submits that 11 posts belong to Physically Challenged category are vacant. However, they cannot be made available to the applicants.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO on instructions from Ms. Kiran Meher, Law Officer, submits that applicants may visit the office of respondent no.2 i.e. Directorate of Municipal Administration, Navi Mumbai Mahanagarpalika Building, 7th Floor, Belapur Bhawan, CBD, Belapur 400614, to inspect the record.</p> <p>4. Kept back.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.2.2023 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.2.2023</p> </div> </div> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">Tribunal's orders</p> <p>LATER ON Date : 17.02.2023</p> |
| | <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.909/2021 with O.A.No.141/2022</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. A. Lad, learned Advocate of KLT Law Asso. for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Even if the posts are advertised by the Department the advertisement will not come in the way of these applicants.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 22.02.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1181/2022</u></p> <p>Shri D.B. Salunkhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri S.A. Kashid, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Ms. Sachin Sanghani holding for Shri H. Jagtap, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 has filed Affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned P.O, one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2 by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 1st March, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> |

→ P.T.O

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

L.O.

1. In afternoon session, learned P.O. has tendered Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.
2. No separate reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1.
3. O.A. is kept for final hearing on 1st March, 2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
17.02.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>O.A.186/2023</u></p> <p>Shri E.M. Nawale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has approached this Tribunal for direction to Respondent No.4 for reimbursement of medical expenditure of Rs.22,71,553/-. The perusal of record reveals that while Applicant was on deputation with Respondent No.4 - S.R.A, he incurred such huge medical expenditure for treatment in Fortis Hospital and Bombay Hospital. His parent department forwarded letter dated 10.08.2022 to Respondent No.4 for reimbursement, no further action is taken till date.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions and to appraise the Tribunal why reimbursement is not processed.</p> <p>4. Matter be kept in afternoon session.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> |

→
P.T.O

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

L.O.

1. In afternoon session, learned P.O. on instructions submits that Department needs time to go through O.A.
2. As stated above, the parent department of the Applicant has forwarded the proposal dated 10.08.2022 to Respondent No.4 - SRA for reimbursement, but till date, no decision is taken.
3. The Respondent No.4 is, therefore, directed to process the proposal dated 10.08.2022 and take decision in accordance to law/rules by next date.
4. In the meantime, issue notices to Respondents made returnable on 03.03.2023.
5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
9. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 3rd March, 2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
17.02.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>O.A.1184/2019</u></p> <p>Shri D.J. Sonawane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri P.S. Pathak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted to file counter Affidavit, but not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for final hearing on 1st March, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- M (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

L.O.

1. In afternoon session, learned P.O. on instructions submits that Department needs time to go through O.A.

2. As stated above, the parent department of the Applicant has forwarded the proposal dated 10.08.2022 to Respondent No.4 - SRA for reimbursement, but till date, no decision is taken.

3. The Respondent No.4 is, therefore, directed to process the proposal dated 10.08.2022 and take decision in accordance to law/rules by next date.

4. In the meantime, issue notices to Respondents made returnable on 03.03.2023.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

9. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 3rd March, 2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
17.02.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.461/2020</u></p> <p>Shri D.J. Ambilwade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant and Advocate absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In the interest of justice, adjourned as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3rd March, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">n Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.462/2020</u></p> <p>Shri D.R. Nalwad & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant as well as learned P.O, matter is adjourned for final hearing to 13th March, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1108/2019</u></p> <p>Shri V.D. Suryawanshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri O.M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. This matter is recently transferred from Division Bench to Single Bench and today for the first time listed before me.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for final hearing to 9th March, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> |

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s
(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.262/2017</u></p> <p>Shri A.S. Yadav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant and Advocate absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is of 2017 and ripe for final hearing. On previous date, Applicant and his Advocate both were absent, but in the interest of justice, matter was adjourned. However, today again, Applicant and Advocate were absent. They seems not interested in the matter.</p> <p>3. O.A. is dismissed in default. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A.46/2023 in O.A.98/2023

Shri R.V. Khedekar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Smt. P.B. Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.

2. M.A. is for condonation of delay of 229 days caused in filing O.A. for ACPS benefits.

3. Issue notice made returnable on 17.03.2023.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the M.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the M.A. shall stand dismissed.

8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 17.03.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
17.02.2023

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A.46/2023 in O.A.98/2023

Shri P.M. Talathi ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Smt. P.B. Walimbe, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.

2. M.A. is for condonation of delay of 229 days caused in filing O.A. for ACPS benefits.

3. Issue notice made returnable on 17.03.2023.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the M.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the M.A. shall stand dismissed.

8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgment to be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 17.03.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
17.02.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.853/2022</u></p> <p>Shri P.S. Ujgare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The matter is already proceeded without reply today for hearing. However, learned P.O. sought permission to file Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. In the interest of justice, allowed to file the same. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14th March, 2023. ↵</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>O.A. No.542 of 2015</u></p> <p>R.H. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board at the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>3. Though the matter is closed for orders on 15.2.2023, Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that she wants to give bullet points and 4 rulings.</p> <p>4. We direct the Ld. Advocate for the applicant to furnish copies of the above documents to Ld. PO in advance.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.2.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 17.2.2023 17.2.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1209 of 2016</u></p> <p>R.D. Barve ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 2 Shri R.M. Kolge holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Advocate for Respondents No.4, 6 & 9. Advocate is absent.</p> <p>2. Ms. Bhagyashree Jagtap, ASO, School Education and Sports Department is present.</p> <p>3. Pursuant to our order dated 15.2.2023, Ld. CPO informs that the respondents have considered the situation and by GR dated 24.6.2020 they have accommodated Respondent No.4-Shri Vijaykumar Sopanrao Saigunde and by GR dated 3.3.2020 they have accommodated Shri Amol D. Dombale in future roster point for NT-C as both of them have worked for more than 3 years pursuant to advertisement dated 18.6.2015. Ld. CPO submits that other candidates possess required experience and their appointments are valid. Ld. CPO further submits that on account of this accommodation and the applicant is from Open category, one post may be available in the Open category in that particular select list. However, she needs time to take instructions from MPSC and verify the name of the applicant which stands at Sr. No.1 in the waiting list.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.2.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.2.2023</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.2.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p align="center"><u>O.As. No.213 of 2018 with O.A. No.214 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.A. Walvekar V.s. Suryawanshi ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Advocate and Applicants are absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.2.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.2.2023</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.2.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p align="center"><u>O.A. No.613 of 2021</u></p> <p>Sandip S. Shedjale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant who is working as Constable is selected for the post of Police Sub-Inspector (PSI) and he was supposed to be sent for training of PSI in the batch of 119 to Maharashtra Police Training Academy, Nashik. However, by order dated 1.7.2021 Special IGP (Establishment) informed that applicant who is selected from the competitive examination of 2017 should not be sent for training as the applicant is convicted and appeal is pending before the Hon'ble High Court. Ld. Advocate for the applicant points out that Revision Application No.148 of 2021 admittedly is pending before the Hon'ble High Court. However, in that Revision the applicant has moved Interim Application No.2138 of 2021 wherein the applicant has sought stay to his conviction and it was granted by the Hon'ble High Court by its order dated 17.1.2023. Ld. Advocate submits that conviction given in trial Court and confirmed in appeal is now stayed by the Hon'ble High Court and therefore applicant can be sent for training of PSI. Ld. Advocate submits that it will take some years to decide the revision and at that time the applicant might be age barred.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO relies on the affidavit in reply dated 15.3.2022 filed by Arvind Ganesh Jadhav, Deputy Assistant (Establishment-II) to the DGP, MS, Mumbai on behalf of respondent no.2. Along with this affidavit the attestation form of the applicant dated 4.3.2021 is annexed. Ld. CPO pointed out relevant clause 15(1) of the said attestation form and submits that while filling up the form a query was made whether the candidate is convicted and the applicant has answered in negative though he was convicted.</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant has answered in negative only because though he was convicted he was given the benefit of Section 360 of Cr.P.C. and was released on Bond. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant in clause 15(1)(c) has answered in affirmative about the criminal case against him and he has also furnished the decision dated 21.1.2019 given by JMFC, Pune in RCC No.2739/2014 where he was convicted. He did not want to suppress the fact about his prosecution.</p> <p>5. We have considered the submissions of both the parties. The order dated 17.1.2023 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in Interim Application No.2138 of 2021 is a fresh development in this matter and we direct the concerned authority in the office of Director General of Police to take into account the order of the Hon'ble High Court dated 17.1.1023, by which not only sentence but conviction is stayed, and thereafter to take decision within two weeks and communicate the same to the applicant. With these directions OA is disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.2.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.2.2023</p> <p>(sgi)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|---|--|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders Date : 17.02.2023 |
|---|--|

O.A.No.1230/2022 with M.A.No.48/2023

B.M. Sonar & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. has tendered copy of order dated 07.02.2023 passed in O.A.No.988/2022 by M.A.T. Bench Nagpur. Taken on record.

3. Adjourned to 03.03.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 17.02.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1051/2019</p> <p>P.S. ManeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate holding for Mr. V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has submitted that the O.A. is to be placed before Single Bench as the subject in O.A. is transfer of cadre change and not promotion.</p> <p>3. Registry to verify whether the O.A. as per assignment list is to be placed before Single Bench.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 28.04.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 17.02.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.479/2022</p> <p>V.B. Tungar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08.03.2023 for rejoinder.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 17.02.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.63/2023 in C.A.No.11/2023 with O.A.No.1013/2016 with O.A.No.642/2016 with O.A.No.764 to 766/2017</p> <p>B.D. Kadam & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. P. Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.03.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 17.03.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date : 17.02.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.423/2017</p> <p>V.D. ZambareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.7.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 17.03.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| | |
|---|---|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Date : 17.02.2023 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.973/2022 |
|---|---|

Dr. N.S. BohariApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 is absent.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that reply is filed.

3. Adjourned to 03.03.2023. To be shown under the caption 'Due Admission'.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 17.02.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.821/2022</p> <p>S.D. Inamdar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. O.M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.4 to 21, 25 to 40, 42 to 67, 70 to 84, 86 to 91, 93 to 104, 106, 107, 109 to 124, 126 to 129, 131 to 143, 145 to 169, 171 to 177, 181, 184, 186, 187, 189 to 200.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that reply is filed.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar Adjourned to 31.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 17.02.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1019/2021</p> <p>D.G. KaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 17.03.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 17.02.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.38/2023 in C.A.No.7/2023 with O.A.No.1012/2016 with O.A.No.1013/2016 with O.A.No.763/2017</p> <p>S.S. Mirgal & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. P. Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.03.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 17.03.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 17.02.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.175 of 2023</p> <p>G.B. Kasare & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the M.P.S.C. has taken decision to consider the case of the Applicants and the Applicants have been called for the interview. She has submitted that out of 12 Applicants, 11 Applicants have been called for the interview and one Applicant has been disqualified. He will be communicated about the same.</p> <p>3. In view of above, as the grievance of the applicants is redressed, O.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ / - _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 17.02.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.49/2022 in O.A.No.992/2019</p> <p>Dr. P.N. ChoudhariApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is filed by the learned P.O. giving all the details of the payment and pension fixation.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate is directed to verify the same.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 22.02.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date : 17.02.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.204 of 2023</p> <p>M.V. Kute & Anr.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the M.P.S.C. has considered the case of the Applicants and the Applicants are going to be called for the interview. She has submitted that the candidates having EWS and NCL certificate issued during the period from 01.04.2021 to 31.03.2022 are to be considered for the benefit of EWS and NCL certificate.</p> <p>3. In view of above, as the grievance of the applicants is redressed, O.A. stands disposed of.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 17.02.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.115/2023 in O.A.No.204 of 2023</p> <p>M.V. Kute & Anr.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p align="center"><u>M.A.85/2023 in O.A.1313/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.K. Naiya ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 27th February, 2023.</p> <p align="right"> ^ Sd/- v* (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2023 </p> <p>(skw)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.117/2023</u></p> <p>Shri D. Gajbhiye ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Smt. Pradnya Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Shri Abhijeet Desai, Advocate for Respondent No.2 is present. Indeed, this O.A. is fixed on 24.02.2023, but matter is taken on Board in view of circulation by learned CPO. The Tribunal has granted interim relief by recent order dated 27.01.2023. Notices were issued and returnable date is 24.02.2023. It appears that there were certain developments in between, and therefore, CPO has taken the matter on Board today by circulation. However, learned CPO again requested to let the matter on Board on 24.02.2023 that is the date already fixed. S.O. to 24.02.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> |

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.126/2023</u></p> <p>Shri P.U. Nanavare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. One week time is granted to learned P.O. to file short Affidavit, if so desired so that O.A. could be disposed of with suitable direction.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28th February, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.63/2023</u></p> <p>Smt. Trupti Kolte ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 2. The learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents. It is taken on record. 3. Two weeks' time is granted to file Rejoinder, if any. 4. S.O. to 2nd March, 2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.31/2023</u></p> <p>Dr. P.D. Shendge & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Two weeks' time is granted to Respondents to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10th March, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1181/2022</u></p> <p>Shri D.B. Salunkhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri S.A. Kashid, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Ms. Sachin Sanghani holding for Shri H. Jagtap, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 has filed Affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned P.O, one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2 by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 1st March, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>O.A.1153/2022 with O.A.1239/2022 and O.A.1289/2022</u></p> <p>Dr. J.V. Dixit & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, Shri O.M. Lonkar and Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocates for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The reply of Respondent Nos.1 to 3 is already filed.</p> <p>4. On the request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file reply in O.A.1153/2022 as a last chance.</p> <p>5. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 10th March, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p align="center"><u>O.A.1065/2022</u></p> <p>Shri N.R. Dodake ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant tendered withdrawal letter sent by his client. It is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw O.A. as prayed for.</p> <p>4. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.28/2022</u></p> <p>Shri M.S. More & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri B.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The matter was before Division Bench and transferred to this Single Bench recently. Today, for the first time it is listed.</p> <p>3. Reply was filed on 23.12.2022. Thereafter, no Rejoinder is filed. However, today learned Advocate for the Applicant requested for short time to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder on next date, if so desired.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 9th March, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">h Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.54/2019</u></p> <p>Shri G.A. Tilekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. P. Hendre holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply. Two weeks' time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3rd March, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.106/2020 in O.A.146/2020</u></p> <p>Shri K.R. Sutar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. P. Hendre holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. As per order passed by the Tribunal, this M.A. as well as O.A. heard together. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply in M.A. In O.A, reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Time granted as last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10th March, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- v' (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1329/2022</u></p> <p>Dr. G.A. Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant and Advocate absent. Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The office has raised objection on the ground of limitation and also noted that M.A. for delay has not filed.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, one week time is granted for compliance of office objections.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28th February, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p> |