

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.89 of 2021 with O.A.No.90 of 2021 with O.A.No.114 of 2021</p> <p>N.C. Koli & Ors. (O.A.89/2021) S.N. Gaikwad & Ors. (O.A.90/2021) S.K. Belbhandare (O.A.114/2021)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicants submits that the matter relates to promotion from the post of Awal Karkoon to Naib Tahsildar and in this case many juniors have been promoted. He, therefore requests that the matter should be taken up urgently.</p> <p>3. Since, we are dealing with the issue of backlog of cases from 2014 onwards we shall be taking up this case only in the month of April, 2022.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 22.04.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.02.2022</p> <p>C.A.No.129 of 2015 in O.A.No.142 of 2015 with O.A.No.143 of 2015 with O.A.No.144 of 2015</p> <p>Dr. S.S. DeshpandeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.P. Nalavade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We direct the Mr. Khati, Director, Directorate of Ayurveda, St. George Hospital to look into the matter and submit report as to what steps are taken by the Department for compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal as the applicant is seriously ill and suffering from cancer and his sound box is removed. This is very important case and the compliance is urgent especially on humanitarian ground.</p> <p>3. We make it clear the Director to take note that the matter is to be expedited as we are monitoring the compliance on alternate date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 22.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.167 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.B. Godase ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid. <u>To be heard along with OAs. No.144, 145 & 146/2022.</u></p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.2.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 17.2.2022 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 17.2.2022</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.115 of 2022</p> <p>R.B. Kalse & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Anita Gavkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.03.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 24.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.144, 145 & 146 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.H. Daphal (OA.144/2022) A.D. Nazirkar & Anr. (OA.145/2022) T.R. Andhale & Anr. (OA.146/2022) ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In response to advertisement dated 30.11.2019 all the applicants applied for the post of Police Constable (Driver). As per clause 11.10 of the said advertisement of the Home Department, it is mentioned that for one post a candidate cannot apply in different Units and in revised instructions dated 12.8.2021, which is internal communication of the Home Department, it is mentioned that a candidate can submit only one application for one post in one Police Unit.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 17.2.2022 17.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.513 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. In this O.A. the Applicant is seeking inter District transfer from Mumbai to Satara, in view of G.R. dated 26.10.2017. She made representations to the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai but not responded. Hence, this O.A. 4. In O.A. Reply was not filed within time granted by the Tribunal, and therefore O.A. was taken for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply. 5. Today, learned P.O. has tendered letter dated 17.02.2022 issued by the Office on behalf of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai giving no objection for inter District transfer to Satara. 6. Copy of letter is also marked to Superintendent of Police, Satara. 7. Anyway, since the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai is ready for inter District transfer, the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai should take further steps in the matter with follow-up with Superintendent of Police, Satara and appropriate orders be passed within a month from today. 8. Decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant. 9. Copy of this order be sent to Superintendent of Police, Satara for necessary action. 10. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.958 of 2021</p> <p>S. H. SuryawanshiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, liberty is granted to file Rejoinder in the office and O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission. 3. Interim relief to continue till next date. 4. S.O. to 10.03.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.470 of 2021 in O.A. No.857 of 2021</p> <p>L.P. Gaikwad & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. P.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to condone the delay of 8 months caused in filing O.A.</p> <p>3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. submits that delay can be condoned and O.A. can be decided on merit. According to him O.A. itself is not maintainable and needs to be heard on merit.</p> <p>4. Indeed, as per order passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court delay caused due to COVID-19 Pandemic situation has to be excluded. Hence delay caused in filing O.A. is condoned.</p> <p>5. In view of above, M.A. is allowed with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.857 of 2021</p> <p>L.P. Gaikwad & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. P.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 30.01.2020 denying the Applicants claim for appoint on compassionate ground. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.03.2022. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 17.03.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.522 of 2021</p> <p>V.V. TamhankarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that she be permitted to file Sur-Rejoinder by tomorrow and matter be adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. Allowed to file Rejoinder by tomorrow in Office with copy to other side.</p> <p>4. O.A. can be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 11.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.648 of 2021</p> <p>M.M. ThakurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought time to file additional Affidavit to bring certain additional facts on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.87 of 2022 in O.A. No.151 of 2022</p> <p>S.K. Lendave & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.N. Biradar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 17.02.2022

O.A. No.151 of 2022

S.K. Lendave & Ors.Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.N. Biradar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 12.11.2021 whereby name of the Applicant No.1 is deleted from waiting list on attaining age of 45 years.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.03.2022.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 17.03.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.80 of 2021 with O.A. No.81 of 2021 with O.A. No.632 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. J.K. Pagare Dr.A.R. Patil Dr. A.S. ThakreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicants and his Advocate both are absent. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. In the interest of justice adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission as last chance. S.O. to 17.03.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.407 of 2021</p> <p>R.M. DhangareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- vv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.829 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. KuwarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Last time, time was granted to file M.A. but the same is not filed. 4. Adjourned for two weeks to take necessary steps. 5. S.O. to 04.03.2022. <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.897 of 2021</p> <p>D.M. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur-Rejoinder as well as Additional Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Arguments Heard.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.671/2021</u></p> <p>Shri A.R. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 09.08.2021 whereby he is transferred from the post of Cooperative Officer (Grade-I), Igatpuri, District Nashik to the post of Cooperative Officer (Grade-I), Deola, District Nashik <i>inter-alia</i> contending that it is in violation of G.R. dated 09.04.2018. 3. When Applicant was due for transfer at Igatpuri, he gave options viz. Dindori, Sinnar and Deola. Since his wife is serving at Nashik, he again made another representation dated 06.05.2021 including post of Nashik in his options. As per Annexure to G.R. dated 09.04.2018, transfers are required to be made giving preference to the employees falling in Clause Nos.1 to 7. As per Clause No.5, request for transfer on the ground of husband and wife linked together deserves to be considered subject to administrative convenience. 4. In the present case, Applicant's wife is serving at Nashik, and therefore, he claimed option of Nashik in terms of Clause No.5 of Annexure attached to G.R. dated 09.04.2018. However, it was not considered and he was given posting at Deola, which was his 4th option. 5. Admittedly, the post of Nashik, Dindori and Sinnar were vacant at the time of transfer. However, no reason is forthcoming why any one of them was not given to the Applicant. 6. Now since general transfers are due in next two months, it is advisable that Applicant should make representation afresh giving his options so that it could be considered in terms of G.R. dated 09.04.2018. The learned P.O. is, therefore, directed to take instructions from the Department. 7. The learned P.O. on instructions from Dr. Jyoti Latkar, Divisional Joint Registrar (competent transferring authority) submitted that if Applicant makes fresh representation, it would be considered in general transfers. He has further submitted that in the meantime, the post of Nashik is already filled but post of Dindori and Sinnar are vacant. The learned Advocate for

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>the Applicant submits that his client would be satisfied if either of the post from Dindori and Nashik is given to him, since it is nearer to Nashik.</p> <p>8. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Applicant to make representation to Respondent No.2 – Divisional Joint Registrar giving his options of Dindori, Sinnar as well as other options, if available within one month from today and Respondent No.2 – Divisional Joint Registrar should consider the same in terms of G.R. dated 09.04.2018 in general transfers of 2022.</p> <p>9. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.02.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.875 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.R. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Applicant in person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that enquiry was initiated in September, 2021 and the last witness was examined on 15.12.2021. Ld. PO submits that report of the enquiry officer is submitted on 14.2.2022. Ld. PO submits that within eight weeks disciplinary authority will complete the enquiry.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 17.2.2022 17.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.730 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.G. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.3.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 17.2.2022 17.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.02.2022</p> <p>C.A.No.61 of 2019 in O.A.No.975 of 2018</p> <p>B.S. LambhateApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We referred to our order dated 27.01.2022 passed in C.A.No.61/2019 in O.A.No.975 of 2018, wherein we gave the following directions :</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) <i>Revised Pension on the basis of letter dated 13.12.2021.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) <i>Revised Gratuity on the basis of letter dated 13.12.2021.</i></p> <p>3. With regard to clause (a) of the order dated 27.01.2022 learned P.O. submits that this clause has been complied with as the revised pension is already been given to the Applicant. However, learned Advocate submits that the arrears are yet to be paid. The learned Counsel submits that the Applicant got the regular pension as per clause (c) of the operative order dated 16.04.2019 passed in C.A.No.61/2019 in O.A.No.975/2018. The learned Advocate clarifies that the order of revised pension is on the paper but he has not yet received the actual money.</p> <p>4. With regard to clause (b), learned Advocate submits that revised gratuity is also not received. The learned P.O. to ask the concerned Department to file affidavit about the compliance of clause (a) and (b) paragraph 2 of order dated 27.01.2022 and specifically mention whether money is disbursed to the Applicant and file affidavit regarding compliance of paragraph 3 of order dated 27.01.2022.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 17.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.55 of 2022</p> <p>V.S. KadamApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.03.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 24.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.113 of 2020</u></p> <p>A.S. Dhamankar & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that matter can be disposed off as both the applicants have been promoted to the post of Clerk by order dated 20.5.2021. Shri Milind P. Shobhane, Junior Administrative Officer in the office of Divisional Deputy Director of Education, Mumbai Division, Mumbai has filed short affidavit dated 18.1.2022 to that effect.</p> <p>3. The department may consider applicants' representations dated 18.8.2021 and 2.8.2021 respectively for deemed date in accordance with law.</p> <p>4. In view of the above OA is disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 17.2.2022 17.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.58 of 2021 in O.A.No.889 of 2019 with O.A.No.890 of 2019</p> <p>M.G. Kamble & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. informs that in view of the order of the Tribunal the case of the Applicant for transfer is considered and rejected. Hence, the order of the Tribunal is complied.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 01.03.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.02.2022</p> <p>C.A.No.22 of 2021 in O.A.No.21 of 2017</p> <p>A.A. PawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that she will file affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 17.03.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 17.02.2022

O.A.No.157 of 2022

D.Y. Bhamare & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.03.2022.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Adjourned to 24.03.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.92 of 2022 in O.A.No.157 of 2022</p> <p>D.Y. Bhamare & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.92/2022 is filed for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. The learned C.P.O. raised objection about the jurisdiction since some of the applicants reside outside the jurisdiction of the Principal Bench. However, the learned Advocate submits that out of 14 Applicants, only 6 Applicants are out of the jurisdiction of the Principal Bench.</p> <p>4. In view of above, M.A. is allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 17.02.2022

O.A.No.117 of 2022

G.V. Patil

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Anita Gavkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.03.2022.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. Adjourned to 24.03.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 17.02.2022

O.A.No.116 of 2022

G.K. HadkeApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Anita Gavkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.03.2022.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. Adjourned to 24.03.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.74 of 2022 in O.A.No.115 of 2022</p> <p>R.B. Kalse & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Anita Gavkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.337 of 2017 in O.A. No.35 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.A. Adake ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. According to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant the cause of action has taken place on 22.8.2016 when the seniority list of the Office Superintendent as on 31.12.2015 was published. The applicant who was promoted as Office Superintendent on 30.6.2011 has filed this OA on 12.1.2017 and therefore the applicant submits that OA is filed within one year from the date of cause of action which has been taken place on 22.8.2016.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has submitted his written arguments giving facts of the case that there is no delay in filing the OA. According to the applicant there is no delay and alternatively it is mentioned that 11 ½ years delay may be condoned. The applicant was appointed as Senior Clerk on 3.6.1998 and then promoted as Head Clerk on 28.3.2003, subject to passing of departmental examination within one year. However, he did not pass the said examination within one year and therefore he was reverted to the post of Senior Clerk on 17.8.2004. He passed the said examination held on 12.12.2004 and results were declared on 16.6.2005. Thereafter applicant was promoted as Head Clerk on 14.7.2008 and subsequently as Office Superintendent on 30.6.2011.</p> <p>4. The promotion to the post of Office Superintendent is State level. The provisional seniority list of Office Superintendent as on 31.12.2015 was published on 7.6.2016 and final seniority list was published on 22.8.2016.</p> <p align="right"></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that till 22.8.2016 the State of Maharashtra has never published seniority list of Office Superintendent.</p> <p>6. We made a query about the date when the seniority list of Head Clerk at divisional level of Koknan Range was published.</p> <p>7. Ld. Advocate for the applicant wants time to take instructions.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 23.2.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>17.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>17.2.2022</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 17.02.2022

M.A. No.75 of 2022 in O.A. No.125 of 2022

Dr. N.S. BambaleApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay of 4 years caused in filing O.A.

3. Issue notice in M.A. before admission returnable on 17.03.2022.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Miscellaneous Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 17.03.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.461 of 2021 in O.A. No.846 of 2021</p> <p>Late Mrs. K.D. Likhhar since deceased through her heir & L.R. Mr. D.D. LikhherApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. by way of last chance. S.O. to 03.03.2022. <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.589 of 2021</p> <p>G.R. WaghmodeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.877 of 2021</p> <p>N.S. DhudhalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.906 of 2021</p> <p>P.K. Mokashi & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also submits that he will file Rejoinder during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>4. Since pleading is complete O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 16.03.2022.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.907 of 2021</p> <p>P.K. Mokashi & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he will file Rejoinder during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. This O.A. be kept with connected O.A. No.906/2021 for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.03.2021</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.983 of 2021</p> <p>P.G. KokitkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.996 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 15.03.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1005 of 2021</p> <p>S.G. ParabApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he will file Rejoinder during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1013 of 2021</p> <p>R.V. GarjeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply. S.O. to 04.03.2021. <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.329 of 2020</p> <p>M.H. MhaldarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. In view of leave note, adjourned to 03.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.159 of 2022</p> <p>D.S. RasamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant stands retired from the post of P.S.I. on 30.06.2019. It is after retirement Respondent No.3 issued impugned order dated 11.01.2022 seeking the recovery of Rs.3,06,458/- (Three Lakhs Six Thousand Four Hundred and Fifty Eight Only) towards excess payment paid to the Applicant during the period of service.</p> <p>3. <i>Prima-facie</i> recovery is impermissible in view of decision rendered by Hon'ble Supreme Court in (2015) 4 SCC 334 (State of Punjab and others Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer)).</p> <p>4. Interim relief as prayed in para. 11 of O.A. is granted.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.03.2022.</p> <p>6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 17.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.160 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. ShegarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sangam Somawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Somawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged order of reinstatement in service dated 13.10.2021 to the extent of giving posting at Bhandara. The Applicant was suspended while he was serving in Mumbai by order dated 04.12.2020 and later by order dated 13.10.2021 he is reinstated in service giving posting at Bhandara. The challenge is to the extent of posting at Bhandara instead of Mumbai.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.03.2022.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 17.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 17.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.168 of 2022</p> <p>R.V. BhandareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged appellate authority order dated 23.09.2021 whereby punishment given by disciplinary authority of withholding of increment for 3 years was modified into punishment of withholding of increment for one year without cumulative effect. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.03.2022. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 17.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- √√√ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>