

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1149/2022</p> <p>M.N. KadamApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. H.M. Inamdar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. A.A. Tambe, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.3 to 8.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed the O.A. seeking directions that the record and proceedings of order dated 30.09.2022 passed by the Respondent No.2 and after examining the legality and propriety thereafter and the Tribunal to quash and set aside the said order and promote the Applicant to the post of Shipoy (Group D).</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant relies on the G.R. dated 06.02.2019 by which remuneration given to Kotwal is increased. The Applicant is receiving remuneration of Rs.15,000/- as Kotwal because he was eligible for promotion. In the order issued by the Collector, Sindhudurg the name of the Applicant Mr. Milind Narayan Kadam from Kankavli is mentioned and his educational qualification is mentioned as 10th Standard and thereafter he was getting remuneration of Rs.15,000/- per month. Thereafter, seniority list was prepared and published on 20.06.2022 wherein the name of the Applicant appeared in the list of Kotwal. In the said impugned order the applicant was held ineligible.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate submits that on 30.09.2022 the order was issued by the Deputy [PTO]</p>

W

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Collector holding that applicant is not eligible for promotion. Subsequently, there is another order passed by the Collector, Sindhurg on the same date that as the Applicant is not eligible because he has passed 9th standard therefore, the amount which is earlier paid is to be recovered. Learned Advocate submits that the name of Respondent No.8 does not appear in the list dated 29.05.2022. However, he was appointed in the place of the applicant therefore he has challenged the appointment of Respondent No.8 in this O.A.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. submits that the certificate which is relied by the applicant in respect of his educational qualification is from Yashwantrao Chavan Mukht Vidyapith and only in respect of preparatory certificate. It is of passing 9th standard and for eligibility the candidate is required to pass 10th standard. Therefore, the applicant has to stand on his own legs and prove his case.</p> <p>6. Prima facie, the applicant is not eligible and therefore taking objection on the appointments of Respondents No.3 to 8 is relevant and the applicant has no locus. Hence, no case is made out to grant interim relief.</p> <p>7. Adjourned 01.02.2023. For learned P.O. to file affidavit and for further submissions.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.822 of 2022</p> <p>S. N. MahajanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply to the Sur-Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.65 of 2023

I. J. Aswar)...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.) ...Respondents.

Smt. Punam Mahajan, Counsel for the Applicant

Ms S. P. Manchekar, Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : SHRI A. P. KURHEKAR , MEMBER (J)

DATE : 17.01.2023.

ORDER

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 06.01.2023 issued by the Respondent No.2 whereby the Applicant is relieved from the establishment of Respondent No.2 where she was on deputation for three years.
3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought interim relief *inter-alia* contending that since the Applicant was on deputation for the period of three years in pursuance of order dated 14.10.2021 issued by the Respondent No.1- Government, in case the deputation period has to be curtailed for one or other reason, the competent authority is Respondent No.1 and impugned action of relieving the Applicant by the Respondent No.2 unilaterally is unsustainable in law.
4. Per contra, learned C.P.O. opposes interim relief contending that performance of the Applicant in deputation period found totally unsatisfactory and there were several lapses in discharging of duties on deputation. She submits that the Board of Governance had empowered

ms

the Director General of Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Research and Training Institute (BARTI), Pune and it is in pursuance of it, the Applicant came to be relieved.

5. The issue of deputation is governed by Rule 40 read with Appendix 2 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Joining Time, Foreign Service and Payments during Suspension, Dismissal and Removal), Rules, 1981 to be read along with G.R. dated 17.12.2016 issued by the Government. As per Rule 40 read with Appendix 2, the terms and conditions of deputation to foreign services shall be strictly in accordance with rules and no departure from the prescribed terms and conditions shall be permissible. The perusal of G.R. dated 17.12.2016, Clause D of sub-clause 5 specifically provides that where borrowing authority felt necessity to curtail deputation period in that event borrowing authority has to send the proposal to that effect to the concerned department to which Government servant belongs and three months prior notice is required for curtailing deputation. However, in case of irregularity, misappropriation and misconduct etc. for the reasons to be recorded, the deputation period can be curtailed with immediate effect.

6. Whereas in present case, it is borrowing authority i.e. BARTI unilaterally relieved the Applicant which *prima-facie* not in consonance with Rules and G.R. dated 17.12.2016. The Governing Counsel cannot ^{use} such powers in defiance of Rules and the borrowing authority was required to send proposal to the Respondent No.1/parent department of the Applicant for necessary orders to curtail deputation. However, appropriate procedure as required under Rule and G.R. dated 17.12.2016 is not followed and the Applicant is relieved unilaterally. Notably, impugned order reveals that BARTI made correspondence with the Government in this behalf but Government (Respondent No.1) did not respond it in any manner.

7. Ex-facie, the powers vest with the Respondent No.1 to curtail deputation. The impugned order passed by the Respondent No.2 is thus *prima-facie* without jurisdiction and not in accordance to law and required to be stayed.

8. For the aforesaid reasons, interim relief as prayed in Clause 10(a) is granted.

9. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.02.2023.

11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
13. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
14. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
15. S.O. to 14.02.2023.

Sd/-

(A. P. KURHEKAR)
MEMBER (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As.711 & 712/2020</u></p> <p>R.K. Kudtarlar & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, it is noticed that after filing of O.A, Applicants were granted the benefit of 1st Time Bound Promotion by considering their service from 2010 ignoring their service from 2005 to 2010. However, this being subsequent development, it is not pleaded in the O.A. The learned Advocate for the Applicant, therefore, sought permission to file M.A. for amendment and also to produce the ^{order} matters of Time Bound Promotion granted to them.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31st January, 2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.01.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A.693/2022 in M.A.20/2019 in O.A.54/2019</u></p> <p>Mr. G.A. Tilekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. P.H. Hendre holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for amendment that is to delete Prayer Clause 10(a) and to substitute it by proposed amendment in Prayer Clause. In O.A, reply is yet to be filed. Therefore, the question of prejudice does not survive.</p> <p>3. Proposed amendment is allowed. It be carried out immediately.</p> <p>4. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.01.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.990 of 2022</p> <p>Smita ZagadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1, Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 and Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 has filed Affidavit. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.54/2019</u></p> <p>Mr. G.A. Tilekar ... Applicant</p> <p> Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. P.H. Hendre holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 3rd February, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Member-J</p> <p style="text-align: right;">17.01.2023</p>
	(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A.68/2023</u>
	<p>Dr. V.P. Kale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 is present.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 13.01.2023 whereby he is transferred from the post of Dean, B.J. Govt. Medical College, Pune to the post of Director, Institute of Mental Health, Pune <i>inter-alia</i> contending that he is transferred mid-transfer and mid-tenure and the post in which he is transferred is out of cadre post.</p> <p>3. The perusal of record reveals that Applicant was serving as Professor at J.J. Group of Hospitals, Mumbai and by order dated 26.08.2021, he was transferred to Pune at B.J. Medical College. Later, Government by order dated 08.04.2022 promoted the Applicant and posted as Dean, B.J. Medical College, Pune. However, before completion of 3 years' tenure, he is transferred and posted as Director, Institute of Medical Health, Pune and in his place, Respondent No.2 is posted.</p> <p>4. The learned P.O. has tendered the file for perusal which reveals that the post of Director, Institute of Mental Health, Pune was lying vacant and necessity was felt to have full time Director. Accordingly, matter was placed before Civil Services Board which recommended the transfer and posting of the Applicant at Institute and ultimately, it is approved by Hon'ble Chief Minister as a competent authority under Section 4(5) of 'Transfer Act 2005'. In file noting, reason is mentioned about necessity to fill-in full time post.</p> <p>5. It is further noticed from the record that for a long time for about 24 to 30 years, only additional charge of the post of Dean was given to Professor. That is why Government felt necessity to fill-in the post by regular posting in public interest at large. As such, <i>prima-facie</i>, there is compliance of Section 4(5) of 'Transfer Act 2005'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought to contend that the post of Director is outside cadre post and amount to demotion. It is clarified by learned P.O. that all service conditions of the Applicant are protected. There is no reduction in pay and allowances and secondly, the posting as Director is also in same campus. As such, no such prejudice can be said caused to the Applicant. Hence, I am not inclined to grant interim relief.</p> <p>7. Issue notice returnable on 14th February, 2023.</p> <p>8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>12. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 14th February, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.01.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No. 45 of 2023</p> <p>M. S. KhadseApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has filed the O.A. for pay and allowances for the period of study leave from 01.10.2016 to 30.09.2018 with interest @ 9% per annum. 3. The perusal of record reveals that in pursuance of the decision of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.No.10736/2016 passed on 02.07.2019, the study leave was granted to the Applicant. Thereafter, she made representation to the department for pay and allowances of the period of study leave. The department had forwarded the proposal to Respondent No.1 as seen from communication dated 02.11.2021 but no decision is taken so far. 4. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that the file is in process and appropriate decision will be taken within two weeks. 5. In view of above, the O.A. is disposed of with directions to Respondent No.1 to take the decision on communication dated 02.11.2021 within two weeks and it be communicated to the Applicant within a week thereafter. 6. If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision, she can avail legal remedy. 7. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1/2023 with M.A.No.1/2023</p> <p>K.C. Jadhavar & Ors.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 24.01.2023. Matter to appear under the caption 'For Urgent Admission.'</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 725/2022 in O.A 90/2020</u></p> <p>Shri Vilas D. Kulkarni ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant who was working as Head Clerk has submitted his application for voluntary retirement on 9.7.2019. However, in October, 2019 a charge sheet was issued for absence from duty of 172 days.</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 720/2022 in O.A 783/2021</u></p> <p>Shri Vaman R. Maule & Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Manisha Devkar i/b Shankar Katkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A is filed on 27.9.2021 seeking directions that the Respondents be directed to appoint the applicants on the posts which were kept vacant in the recruitment process of 2014-15 for the appointment of Forest Guards in PESA area of Thane District w.e.f September, 2015. It is also further prayed that the Respondents be directed to give salary to the applicants in the pay scale as per the post of Forest Guard w.e.f date of entitlement.</p> <p>3. Matter is fixed for hearing on 7.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1038/2022 with M.A.No.750/2022 With O.A.No.1039/2022 with M.A.No.751/2022</p> <p>V.R. Pawar & Anr.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that today during the course of the day she will filed in M.A.No.750/2022 and M.A.No.751/2022.</p> <p>3. To be shown under the caption, 'M.A. for order' along with O.A.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 31.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1106/2022</p> <p>P.S. KhatpeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate submits that today during the course of the day she will file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 31.01.2023 for interim relief. To be shown under the caption 'Due Admission'</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.01.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 25/2023 in O.A 659/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Rajaram N. Chore & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents and Ms S.J Pawar, learned counsel for Respondents 4 & 5.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that she has filed the present Misc Application for amendment as per Schedule-A, Schedule-B & Schedule-C. The Schedule 'A' is regarding adding party Respondents after Respondent no. 7. Schedule 'B' is regarding adding para 12-A after para 12. Schedule ['C' is regarding adding prayer clause C-1 after prayer clause 'C'.</p> <p>3. The Misc Application seeking amendment is allowed. However, in view of the amendment as sought, we direct the learned counsel to replace the Original Application within a week and serve copy on the other side a week thereafter.</p> <p>4. We direct the M.P.S.C to provide the email-id and address of the proposed Respondents to the learned counsel for the applicants so that the amended copy of the O.A can be served on them.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that applicants no 1, 2 & 3, i.e., Rajaram N. Chore, Bhausahab J. Chande and Mahendra S. Patil, do not want to prosecute the Original Application and hence their names be deleted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, the name of applicants no 1, 2 & 3 stands deleted.</p> <p>7. M.A stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

Date : 17.01.2023

O.A.No1227/2022

V.V. ChandoleApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. N.D. Pote, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that this matter is already adjourned to 27.01.2023. She further submits that in this matter Department is in the process of calling applicants for verification of the documents. The query of made to the Government as to why the Respondent-State took four months to cancel the selection of Mr. Nitin Borkar.

3. Matter is fixed on 25.01.2023.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>17.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1277/2022</u></p> <p>A.A Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Anil Jaiswar, holding for Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant wants to challenge the G.R and add new party and hence wants to withdraw the O.A with liberty to file fresh O.A.</p> <p>3. Allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to file fresh Original Application.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 61/2023</u></p> <p>Kamal M. Dadas ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant who has appeared for the physical test for post of Police Constable, her height was measured on 9.1.2023 and she was declared not eligible for want of height of 155 cms as she was having height of 154.5 cms. Learned counsel has further submitted that she had also applied for the post of Driver-Constable and today in the morning she appeared for the physical test and her height is measured as 155 cms. However, she was declared ineligible.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant therefore, presses that the matter be referred to the Medical Board.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>5. S.O to 19.1.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>17.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 49/2022 in O.A 992/2019</u></p> <p>Dr P.N Chaudhari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant who retired on 31.1.2015 is suffering from Alzheimer and he has not received the pensionary benefits.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O admits that the regular pension is not given to the applicant and other pensionary benefits are already paid.</p> <p>4. S.O to 18.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders						
	<p><u>17.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 19/2022 in O.A 776/2015</u></p> <p>Shri P.G Sondkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply of Vishnu Y. Kamadi, working as Chief Administrative Officer, in the Directorate of Medical Education and Research, Mumbai, dated 11.1.2023 and submits that the order of this Tribunal has been complied with, subject to the outcome of W.P 2034/2017.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel Mr Bhosle submits that the applicant has received the amounts details of which are given below:-</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Rs. 602060/-</td> <td>8.1.2023</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rs. 69036/-</td> <td>9.1.2023</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rs. 28626/-</td> <td>24.11.2022</td> </tr> </table> <p>4. Learned counsel submit that he wants to take instructions from the applicant.</p> <p>5. S.O to 24.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>	Rs. 602060/-	8.1.2023	Rs. 69036/-	9.1.2023	Rs. 28626/-	24.11.2022
Rs. 602060/-	8.1.2023						
Rs. 69036/-	9.1.2023						
Rs. 28626/-	24.11.2022						

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>17.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 6/2022 in O.A 574/2020</u></p> <p>Smt L.D Veer ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Son of the applicant is present in person. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents no 3 & 4, Mr O.M Lonkar, learned counsel for Respondents no 1 & 2 and Ms Rukiya for Respondents no 5 & 6.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Lonkar submits that on 31.12.2022 the Zilla Parishad, Nasik, has submitted representation to the Education Commissioner, Plune to sent thw two documents, i.e., Exemption granted to the applicant from passing Marathi and Hindi language examination and second Maharashtra Common Entrance Test (MHCET).</p> <p>3. Son of the present applicant who is present states that the copy of the two documents were handed over to the Respondents for submitting the proposal.</p> <p>4. The Zilla Parishad, Nasik is directed to take the photo copy of the two documents from the applicant tomorrow and return back the original to the applicant.</p> <p>5. S.O to 20.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 67/2022 in O.A 76/2022</u></p> <p>Shri B.K Narale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Y.B Lengare, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K holding for Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant seeks compliance of the order of this Tribunal dated 21.7.2022 by which the Tribunal has directed the Respondents to release the retirement benefits of the applicant in terms of pension order dated 10.11.2020 within a month from the date of the order. That period got over on 21.8.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that Mis Application 601/2022 is filed on 11.10.2022 seeking extension of time. However, it is not decided till today.</p> <p>4. Basically, the Misc Application is filed after one month and no order is yet passed. The application is not pressed by the Respondent-State. There is an unexplained delay to grant the retiral dues to the applicant.</p> <p>5. Issue show cause to the Respondents as to why action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 should not be initiated against them.</p> <p>6. S.O to 18.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.01.2023</u></p> <p><u>C.A 73/2022 in O.A 119/2019 with O.A 705/2020</u></p> <p>Smt A.T Sakore ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri Tushar Sakore, husband of the applicant is present. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that W.P 475/2023 & 476/2023 is filed before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court and it fixed on the Board today.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter is adjourned to 24.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 69/2022 in O.A 339/2020</u></p> <p>Smt M.V Mohite & Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent no. 1, by Dr Nitin Kareer, Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department, Government of Maharashtra.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that she wants to file affidavit in rejoinder stating the correct seniority list as per the recent policy of the Government, wherein the applicants will be placed in the State-wise seniority.</p> <p>4. The Respondent-State is directed to supply soft copy of the final seniority list of Naib Tahsildar dated 17.8.2022, seniority list of Tahsildar dated 12.6.2019 and seniority list of Tahsildar dated 6.1.2023.</p> <p>5. S.O to 24.1.2023. The earlier order of this Tribunal to continue till the disposal of the present Contempt Application.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / / / / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>
	Akn
	[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 82/2022 in O.A 165/2022</u></p> <p>Shri K.N Tadvi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the order of the Tribunal dated 23.11.2022 in O.A 165/2022 is upheld by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that pursuant to this order, the M.P.S.C is going to open the link today.</p> <p>4. We direct the M.P.S.C to issue Hall Ticket to the applicant on or before 19.1.2023.</p> <p>5. Accordingly, C.A stands disposed of.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 74/2022 in O.A 526/2022</u></p> <p>Sundari S.B & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Samrudhi Bendhor i/b IParinam Law Associates, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that W.P 21237/2022 is filed before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court and it is pending.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter adjourned to 31.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 49/2020 & Ors in O.A 822/2019 & Ors</u></p> <p>Dr G.P Jangid & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, holding Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Respondents have filed Writ Petitions No 9427/2022 & Ors before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court and by order dated 14.9.2022, the order of the Tribunal is upheld and it is further directed as under:-</p> <p align="center">"12. In such view of the matter, we are of the opinion that the Government ought to implement the order of the Tribunal. We make it clear that all contentions on merit are left open for being looked into by the State for taking an appropriate decision on the basis of the judgments and orders which are governing the filed, within three months from date."</p> <p>3. Thus, the time of three months was given to the Respondent-State o 14.12.2022. That time is over. There is no order of extension of time by the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel Mr Deshmukh was not present on the earlier date, i.e., 13.1.2023.</p> <p>5. S.O to 7.2.2023. Learned counsel to remain present on the said date.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.720/2022 in O.A.No.783/2021</p> <p>V.R. Maule & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Manisha Devkar a/w Mr. Shankar Karkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that in all there are 44 posts and 14 applicants have approached the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. As the issue is regarding appointments the Respondent to keep 14 posts vacant out of 44 posts till next date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 07.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.937/2022</p> <p>R.G. KulkarniApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. O.M. Lonkar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 20.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.187/2021</p> <p>D.N. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to the query made by this Tribunal on 30.11.2022 the Respondents have filed affidavit-in-reply dated 12.01.2023 through the Joint Secretary, Dr. Sudhir Gaikwad.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 31.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.423/2017</p> <p>V.D. ZambareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.7.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. Adjourned to 03.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.385/2022</p> <p>S.K. Hankare & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 24.01.2023. Interim relief to be continued till next date.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.657/2022</p> <p>A.L. GujeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 16.02.2023. In the meantime, learned Advocate to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.668/2022</p> <p>S.S. MisaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 is absent.</p> <p>2. Time granted to learned P.O. to file reply, by way of last chance, adjourned to 07.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.691/2022</p> <p>V.S. Molawade & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. to file reply during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 14.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.839/2022</p> <p>L.B. ShaikhApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that during the course of the day she will file reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 16.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.934/2022</p> <p>U.B. SagbhorApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 28.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 422/2019 in O.A 646/2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.P Sable ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that the Original Application and Misc Application are filed on 13.11.2019. Thereafter, the seniority list was prepared and published on 13.3.2020. However, it was not properly displayed due to Covid-19 Pandemic. Learned counsel submits that the said seniority list was produced by the Respondent-State before this Tribunal in the year 2022.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel further submits on instructions that the applicant has filed representation taking objection to the said seniority list on 6.10.2022 and the same is pending. He, therefore, submits that the O.A and M.A can be disposed of with directions to decide the representation of the applicant dated 6.10.2022.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, both the M.A and O.A stands disposed of with directions to the Respondents to decide the representation of the applicant dated 6.10.2022 within a period of six months and communicate the same to the applicant.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.887 of 2019</p> <p>B. P. KarwalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.02.2023.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.951 of 2021</p> <p>S. Y. Pawar ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. B. Pawar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder on Affidavit with annexures. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 31.01.2023.</p> <p align="right"> ⁿ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.418 of 2022</p> <p>V. R. Mirajkar & Ors.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.500 of 2022</p> <p>P. B. JagtapApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file short affidavit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.907 of 2022</p> <p>S. P. MalusareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Suraj Gadkari holding for Shri R. Kale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 747 of 2022</p> <p>V. H. SawantApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.3 and 4 in response to additional Affidavit filed by the Applicant on 19.12.2022. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 31.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.112 of 2020</p> <p>D. W. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.235 of 2022</p> <p>Rajendra P. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. V. Thorat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. requested for time and submits that the matter is being handled by Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. but she is busy in another bench.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing with specific instructions that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A.693/2022 in M.A.20/2019 in O.A.54/2019</u></p> <p>Mr. G.A. Tilekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. P.H. Hendre holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for amendment that is to delete Prayer Clause 10(a) and to substitute it by proposed amendment in Prayer Clause. In O.A, reply is yet to be filed. Therefore, the question of prejudice does not survive.</p> <p>3. Proposed amendment is allowed. It be carried out immediately.</p> <p>4. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.01.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1280/2022</u></p> <p>Mr. P.S. Kale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O., two weeks' time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is further directed to take instructions about the decision on representation made by the Applicant on 27.12.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 31st January, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.01.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.1261/2022</u></p> <p>Mr. R.P. Deshmukh ... Applicant Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri Anil Jaiswal holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-reply is under typing and it will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission to 3rd February, 2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.01.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....))

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.As.1156 & 1157/2022</u></p> <p>Mr. D.V. Suradkar ... Applicant Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25th January, 2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.01.2023</p>
	(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A.616/2022 in O.A.1032/2022</u></p> <p>Mr. S.D. Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B. Khairae, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 to the M.A. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. for hearing to 30th January, 2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.01.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.63/2023

Mr. T.U. Kolte (Patil) ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.

2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 09.12.2022 whereby she is kept under suspension in contemplation of DE invoking Rule 4(1) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979.

3. Issue notice to the Respondents made returnable on 14.02.2023.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 14th February, 2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
17.01.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.30/2023 in O.A.64/2023</u></p> <p>Mr. M.S. Solunke & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">n Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.01.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A.24/2023 in O.A.56/2023</u></p> <p>Mr. T.S. Kamthe & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.01.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.51/2023

Shri A.K. Bhosle ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.

2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 26.02.2022 issued by Respondent No.3 whereby his pay has been revised and recovery is sought after retirement.

3. Issue notice to the Respondents made returnable on 14.02.2023.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 14th February, 2023.
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
17.01.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.47/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.V. Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is seeking deemed date of promotion on the post of Range Forest Officer w.e.f. 01.06.2014. He made representation on 19.10.2022. The period of six months from the date of representation is not yet over. Therefore, query has been raised how O.A. is maintainable before expiration of period of six months from making representation.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought one week time to satisfy how O.A. is maintainable at this stage.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24th January, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.01.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.43/2023

Shri S.B. Mosamkar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Shri R.L. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.

2. The Applicant has challenged the communication dated 26.12.2022 whereby his request for grant of next increment due on 01.07.2013 was rejected, since he retired on 30.06.2013.

3. Issue notice to the Respondents made returnable on 08.02.2023.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 8th February, 2023 with
O.A.No.98/2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
17.01.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.446/2022</u></p> <p>Smt. A.V. Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant and Advocate both are absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that O.A. has been filed on 05.05.2022 but Office raised objection and matter was listed before the Registrar from time to time for removal of objection. But objections are not removed. It seems that the matter is listed before the Bench for the first time.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, one week time is granted for removal of objections.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21st January, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.01.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.136/2021 in O.A.248/2021</u></p> <p>Mr. I.G. Lokapure & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicants and Advocate both are absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The matter was taken up for hearing on 10.01.2023. On that day also, Applicant and Advocate were absent, but in the interest of justice, it was adjourned to give one opportunity to the Applicant. However, today again, none is present. Thus, it seems that they are not interested in the matter.</p> <p>3. In view of above, O.A. stands dismissed in default.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 17.01.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.As.52 to 57/2023 with O.A.64/2023

Shri M.M. Surve & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocates for the Applicants and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.

2. All these O.As are filed for inter-district transfer from the establishment of Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to other districts in the State.

3. Issue notice to the Respondents made returnable on 01.02.2023.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 01st February, 2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
17.01.2023

(skw)