(Advocate	Original Application No.	of 20	Dis	TRICT
The State of Maharashtra and others Responden Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders O.A.260/2022 Shri S.J. Chavan Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned for Respondents. 2. This O.A. has been filed with M.A.No.236/2 for condonation of delay, which is dismissed today. 3. Since M.A. is dismissed, the O.A. also stadismissed, being the original of the property of the condonation of delay. (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.11.2022				Applicant/s
The State of Maharashtra and others Responden Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders O.A.260/2022 Shri S.J. Chavan Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned for Respondents. 2. This O.A. has been filed with M.A.No.236/2 for condonation of delay, which is dismissed today 3. Since M.A. is dismissed, the O.A. also stadismissed, light of the O.A. also stadismissed.	(Advocate)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders O.A.260/2022 Shri S.J. Chavan Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors Respondents 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned for Respondents. 2. This O.A. has been filed with M.A.No.236/2 for condonation of delay, which is dismissed today and shring the condonation of delay, which is dismissed today and shring the condonation of delay. The Delay of the Corac is dismissed, the O.A. also stated to the condonation of delay which is dismissed today and the condonation of delay. The condonation of delay which is dismissed today and the condonation of delay. The condonation of delay which is dismissed today and the condonation of delay. The condonation of delay which is dismissed today and the condonation of delay. The condonation of delay which is dismissed today and the condonation of delay which is dismissed today and the condonation of delay which is dismissed today and the condonation of delay which is dismissed today and the condonation of delay which is dismissed today. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.11.2022		versus		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders O.A.260/2022 Shri S.J. Chavan Us. The State of Mah. & Ors. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned for Respondents. 2. This O.A. has been filed with M.A.No.236/2 for condonation of delay, which is dismissed today. 3. Since M.A. is dismissed, the O.A. also statismissed, being the property of the property o	The	e State of Maharashtr	a and others	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders O.A.260/2022 Shri S.J. Chavan Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors Respondents 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned for Respondents. 2. This O.A. has been filed with M.A.No.236/2 for condonation of delay, which is dismissed today. 3. Since M.A. is dismissed, the O.A. also stadismissed, height part of the property of				Respondent/s
Appearance, Tribunal's orders O.A.260/2022 Shri S.J. Chavan Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors Respondents 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned for Respondents. 2. This O.A. has been filed with M.A.No.236/2 for condonation of delay, which is dismissed today 3. Since M.A. is dismissed, the O.A. also stadismissed, the O.A. also stadismissed, the O.A. also stadismissed, the O.A. also stadismissed, the O.A. also stadismissed.	(Presenting Officer)	
Shri S.J. Chavan Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned for Respondents. 2. This O.A. has been filed with M.A.No.236/2 for condonation of delay, which is dismissed today. 3. Since M.A. is dismissed, the O.A. also stadismissed, heart to heart. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.11.2022	Appearance, Tribunal's orders	or	Tribunal's o	rders
The State of Mah. & Ors Respondents 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned for Respondents. 2. This O.A. has been filed with M.A.No.236/2 for condonation of delay, which is dismissed today 3. Since M.A. is dismissed, the O.A. also stadismissed, height with him in the heart stadismissed, height with him in the heart stadismissed. (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.11.2022	•		O.A.260	/2022
the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned for Respondents. 2. This O.A. has been filed with M.A.No.236/2 for condonation of delay, which is dismissed today 3. Since M.A. is dismissed, the O.A. also stadismissed, here with him to here. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.11.2022		Vs.		Applicant Respondents
for condonation of delay, which is dismissed today 3. Since M.A. is dismissed, the O.A. also stadismissed, here here have a substantial management of the condonation of delay, which is dismissed today 3. Since M.A. is dismissed, the O.A. also stadismissed, here here have a substantial management of the condonation of delay, which is dismissed today 3. Since M.A. is dismissed, the O.A. also stadismissed, here here have a substantial management of the condonation of delay, which is dismissed today 3. Since M.A. is dismissed, the O.A. also stadismissed, here here have a substantial management of the condonation of delay, which is dismissed today. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.11.2022		the Applica	ant and Shri A.J.	
dismissed, being not within limit of hon. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.11.2022		ī		
Member-J 16.11.2022			being not within	limitohon.
		(skw)	. (1	Member-J

Original Application No.	of 20	District	Applicant/s
(Advocate)		·····
	versus	3	
The	e State of Maharas	shtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of C Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's order	or	Tribunal's orders ate: 16.11.2022	
		O.A.No.1235/2019 (M M.A.No.388/2022 With O	

O.A.No.1235/2019 (Mumbai) with M.A.No.388/2022 With O.A.No.111/2020 (Mumbai) With O.A.No.224/2020 (Mumbai) With O.A.215/2020 with M.A.No.49/2021 (Aurangabad) With O.A.No.443/2020 (Aurangabad) With O.A.No.42/2021 (Aurangabad) With O.A.No.15/2022 With O.A.No.532/2022

M.K. Shivsaran & Ors.Applicant Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- 1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.1235/2019, Mr. Shantanu Raktate, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.224/2020, Mr. S.P. Samag, Applicant-in person in O.A.No.215/2020 with M.A.No.49/2021, Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.D. Munde for the Applicants in O.A.No.42/2021 and Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.15/2022 and O.A.No.532/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent (M.P.S.C.) & Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Mr. S. Gaikwad, & N. Sawane, learned Advocate for the Applicants in M.A.No.388/2022, Mr. A.A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.111/2020, Mr. J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.215/2020 & O.A.No.443/2020 are absent.
- 3. It is made clear by learned C.P.O. that earlier she supported the submissions of the applicants objecting questions that it is out of syllabus and prayers for re-evaluation. However, M.P.S.C. has taken a stand that there is no provision of re-evaluation.
- 4. Learned Advocate Mr. Raktate request for re-evaluation of Question 3(b) and also relied on G.R. dated 30.10.2009 about calculating pensionary benefits. Learned Advocate Mr. Raktate further submits that his matter is not based on BCSR PROMINEST. He submits that learned C.P.O. can make

Tribunal's orders

submissions in his absence. He does not want to make further submissions.

- Learned Advocate Mr. Kadam holding for Mr. Sadavarte, submits that though learned C.P.O. is going to make certain submissions, especially on the point of BCSR and MCSR Rules, Mr. Sadavarte does not want to reply or argue any further, because he says that he has argued. He has submitted his argument. We make it clear that though these matters were heard earlier. There were certain issues and the queries raised by this Tribunal, pursuant to which sealed cover envelop were produced wherein experts were asked to answer certain queries. In that course learned C.P.O. further submitted that she has come across provisions in BCSR Rules which are very material on the issue involved in this O.A. We have fixed this matter specifically informing that the relevant submissions of the learned C.P.O. will be heard and if at all learned Counsel of other parties want to make further submissions that can be heard.
- 6. The submissions of both sides are to be taken into account and if any Counsel wants to make further submissions and if at all we have certain queries and we are not satisfied on certain factual or legal issues in order to adjudicate the matter fully.
- 7. Mr. S.P. Samag, Applicant-in person in O.A.No.215/2020 with M.A.No.49/2021 submits that his matter is similar to that of O.A.No.224/2020.
- 8. Adjourned to 17.11.2022.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

 prk

Tribunal's orders

6.11.2022

O.A 1130, 1131, 1141 & 1142/2022

.S Patil

A.B. Sali

\$.B Chaudhariu

S.R Ambadekar

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.12.2022.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

d. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit in not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption **'for Dismissal'** and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."

S.O to 14.12.2022.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

kn

Chairperson [P.T.O.]

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	District Applicant/s
Advocate)	
	versus	
The Sta	ate of Maharashti	ra and others
		Respondent/s
resenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coran Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	n,	Tribunal's orders
	16.11.202	<u>?2</u>
		O.A 1080/2022
	Shri S.S M	Iore Applicant Vs.
	The State	of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. He applicant Responder	ard Ms N. More, learned counsel for the and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the nts.
		e office objections, if any, are to be removed fees to be paid, if not already paid.
	3. Iss 30.11.202	sue notice before admission returnable or 22.
	Responde authentica book of C are put to	plicant is authorized and directed to serve or nt intimation/notice of date of hearing duly ated by Registry, along with complete pape O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents onotice that the case may be taken up for final at the stage of admission hearing.
	of the Ma Rules, 19	is intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 1 harashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure 88, and the questions such as limitation and remedy are kept open.
	be served produced Registry v	Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to d and acknowledgement be obtained and along with affidavit of compliance in the within one week. Applicant is directed to fill of compliance and notice.
	or service before re Applicatio concerned and the	case notice is not collected within seven day report on affidavit in not filed three day eturnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous on shall be placed on board before the Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal treafter on the subsequent date the Miscellaneous Applications shall standar."
	8. S.	O to 30.11.2022.
	Sd	l "
	(Medha) C	adgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Member (A)

Original Application No.	of 20	Distr	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
The S	State of Maharashtra	and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cor Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	am,	Tribunal's ord	ers
	16.11.2022		
		O.A No 1133	/2022
	Shri S.D Des	shmukh & Ors Vs.	Applicants
	The State of	Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
		its and Ms S.P Mano	e, learned advocate for chekar, learned C.P.O for
	impugned Respondent appointmen applicant no of the applic Learned cou	order dated 21 no. 2 thereby of of Auditor and Accordants no 1, 3 to 7 fr	applicants pray that the10.2022 passed by reducing the grade of ountant in respect of the Grade-C and in respect from Grade-A to Grade-B. no notice is given to the
	decision of t O.A 1025/2 rank, as it i	the M.A.T, Nagpur E 1018, the present ap is necessary for the te Tribunal. Learned	s that in view of the Bench dated 8.1.2019, in oplicants are reduced in Department to follow the C.P.O submits that it is
	Bench of Nagpur Ben any interim	the Maharashtra <i>A</i> ach in O.A 1025/20	passed by the Division Administrative Tribunal, 118, we are not granting . However, we keep the
	Respondent		on is taken by the ect to the outcome of the
	6. S.O	to 18.11.2022.	.7 1
	Sd/-		Sd/-
	(Medha Gad Member (A		(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Akn

Original Application No. of	20	DISTRICT
•		Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
•	warawa	
m c.	versus	
The State of	of Maharashtra and others	
		· Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribuna	al's orders
	16.11.2022	
	C.A 64/2022	2 in O.A 608/2019
	Dr Mukund V. Pande Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra	a & Ors Respondents
		nali Jagdale, learned advocate at K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for
	time to implement the or received from the Financ	nits that she wants four weeks' der of this Tribunal. The file is the Department with some query demoved and submitted to the
	Department to see that the 18.10.2021 in O.A 6 Moreover, the applicant in	artment and the Public Health the order of this Tribunal dated 108/2019 is complied with. It is a Senior Citizen and 75 years and the receive the pensionary on Tribunal.
	concerned person from to move the file to the Fir	cumstances, we direct the he Public Health Department to nance Department immediately this Tribunal is complied with.
	5. S.O to 23.11.2022	2.
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Original Application No.	of 20		District Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versu	3 .	
Tì	ne State of Mahara	shtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's ord	or	Tribunal' te : 16.11.2022	s orders
		O.A.No.27 of 2022	with M.A.No.560 of 2022
	M.5	S. Khadse	Applicant
	The	Vs. e State of Maharasht	ra & OrsRespondents.
	for Chi	the Applicant and I ef Presenting Officer Learned Advoca owing judgments:- (a) Union of In Appeal (13.12.2007 (b) Kusum Bap Maharshtra Mh.L.J.) (pa (c) A.K. Kraipa India and (SC 150) (pa (d) Avinash Pr Versus Sta	k and Ors. Versus Union of Other, reported in AIR 1970
	3.		states that she will answer by learned Advocate.
	4.		11.2022 at 10.30 a.m.
	(Me	Sd/- edha Gadgil)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Original Application No.	of 20	Dī	STRICT
•			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
The S	tate of Maharashtr	a and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Core Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	am,	Tribunal's o	orders
	16.11.202	<u>2</u>	
		C.A 69/2022 in	O.A 339/2020
		sha V. Mohite Vs. of Maharashtra & C	Applicant Ors Respondents
	1. Hea for the app the Respon	olicant and Mrs K.S	Jagdale, learned advocate S Gaikwad, learned P.O for
	reply by w		directed to file affidavit in and if the reply is not filed
	3. S.C	to 30.11.2022.	1 10,
	Sd/-		Sd/-
	(Medha Ga Member ((Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
•			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
TI	he State of Maharashtr	a and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's or	s or	Tribunal's orders	
	16.11.2022	2	
		M.A 488/2022 in O.A 8	309/2022
		Vs. of Maharashtra & Ors	Applicant Respondents
		e present for the applic earned P.O for the Respo	
		rned P.O states that is not served on the Res	
		rned counsel to remain e Respondents.	n present and serve
	4. S.O	to 23.11.2022.	Sd/-
	Sd/-	Inv	
	(Medha Ga Member (dgal) (Ma	ridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Akn

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
•		Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus	
Т	he State of Maharashtra	and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's order directions and Registrar's or	s or	Tribunal's orders
	16.11.2022	
	<u>M</u>	.A 346/2017 in O.A 760/2017
	Shri S.R Yada The State of I	av Applicant Vs. Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	learned adv	Ms Purva Pradhan i/b Shri D.B Khaire, ocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S rned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learn	ed P.O seeks time to file reply.
	3. Respo	ndents are directed to file affidavit in reply t chance.
	4. S.O to	14.12.2022. Sd/-
	(Medha Gadg Member (A)	il) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Original Application No.	of 20	Disa	TRICT
•			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	namana		
TTI CV	versus		
The St	ate of Maharasl	itra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	•••••)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cora Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	m,	Tribunal's or	ders
	16.11.2	022	
		O.A 1115, 1116, 111	17 & 1118/2022
	S.R Kha M.C Mh U.S Gur A.S.M.I	aske av	Applicants
	The Sta	te of Maharashtra & Or	rs Respondents
	1. l applicar Respond	nts and Smt K.S Gaik	learned advocate for the wad, learned P.O for the
	hereafte Applica	er will be subject to the	rs that will be issued outcome of these Original ould be mentioned in the
	respons	sible officer who is consecutor to remain present	pondents to depute a eversant with the facts of before this Tribunal on
	4.	S.O to 18.11.2022.	Sd/- 7_
	s	d/-	
	(Medha Membo	Gadgil) er (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.11.2022

O.A. No.1014 of 2022

O.D. CanbudeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Though Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2020 but his Gratuity is not paid. Tribunal had issued notices on 13.10.2022 but no Reply is filed. On previous date learned P.O. was directed to take instructions from the Department and apprise the Tribunal as to why Gratuity is withheld. However, learned P.O. submits that she made correspondence with the Department but she has not received any communication.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that neither there was D.E. nor any criminal prosecution is pending against the Applicant so as to withheld Gratuity.
- 4. One day time is granted to learned P.O. to take instruction from the Respondents to apprise the Tribunal to why Gratuity is withheld. Respondents are also at liberty to file short Affidavit to that effect by tomorrow.
- 5. S.O. to 17.11.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

NMN

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.11.2022

O.A. No.940 of 2022

S.B. Kashid

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. O.A. is filed for Pay and Allowances in view of revision of Pay in terms of G.R. dated 08.03.2019.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant fairly submits that prayer Clause a) about difference of Pay and Allowances from 01.01.2016 to 31.03.2019 is already satisfied.
- 4. As regard, prayer clause b) & c) learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that Respondents in their Affidavit-in-Reply admits the entitlement of the Applicant to the same and bills were also submitted to the Treasury but it is returned back for some queries. According to him the Department has again submitted bill after compliance of objection.
- 5. Learned P.O. on instruction also concedes that the bill were submitted to the Treasury but those were returned back and were again resubmitted.
- 6. In view of above, nothing is to be adjudicated in the matter.
- 7. O.A. is therefore disposed of with direction to the Respondents to ensure payment of $\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_{\lambda} \wedge \partial \mathcal{F}_{\lambda} + 1}{\partial \mathcal{F}_{\lambda} + 1}$ to the Applicant in terms of G.R. dated 08.03.2019 & 20.05.2021 (which is payable to him) within two weeks from today. Respondents shall depute special officer for compliance of the objection if any raised by Treasury and shall ensure compliance.
- 8. The Applicant is at liberty to make representation for interest if entitled to it.
- 9. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.11.2022

O.A. No.1114 of 2022

R.P. Marekar

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. The Applicant has challenged order of punishment of withdrawing increment for two years without specifying as to whether with cumulative effect or without cumulative effect which is communicated to the Applicant by covering order dated 04.03.2017 and also challenged order communicated to him by order dated 13.07.2022.
- 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
- 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.12.2022.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
- 9. S.O. to 14.12.2022.

/. / Sd/-

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date: 16.11.2022

O.A. No.1061 of 2021 with O.A. No.195 of 2022

B.G. Karde
T.S. Sable
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the

- 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Respondents have not filed Additional Affidavit-in-Reply in terms of order passed by the Tribunal on 16.09.2022. It is only the Applicant who has filed Additional Affidavit-in-Reply in terms of the order dated 16.09.2022 to clarify the benefits availed under Contributory Pension Scheme.
- 3. In view of above, I am not inclined to grant further time to the Respondents for filing Affidavit-in-Reply pertaining benefits availed by the Applicant in Contributory Pension Scheme.
- 4. Learned P.O. however submits that Collector Satara has already forwarded proposal to The Additional Commissioner, Pune on 30.12.2021 favorable to the Applicant. He therefore sought time to take instruction about further process about that proposal for grant of Contributory Pension Scheme.
- 5. S.O. to 18.11.2022.

Sd/-

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No. Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date: 16.11.2022 O.A. No.1109 of 2022Applicant C.M. Kadu **Versus** The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2019 and he has raised grievance of non-payment of retiral benefits. On instruction learned P.O. submits that process for difference of payment of retiral benefits in terms of 7th Pay Commissioner is under process and the payment will be made soon. According to him retiral benefits in terms of 6th Pay Commissioner is already paid. In view of above, learned Advocate for the Applicant is directed to keep his client present on next date and apprise the Tribunal as what benefits are already received and what remains unpaid. Learned P.O. should also file short Affidavit

- about the payment done and payment remains due in terms of 7th Pay Commission so that O.A. can be disposed of.
- 6. S.O. to 21.11.2022.

∖∖ Sd/-

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 16.11.2022
	O.A. No.987 of 2022
	A.D. NatheApplicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	 Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.
	 On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.
	4. S.O. to 30.11.2022.
	N Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

NMN

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 16.11.2022		
	O.A. No.950 of 2022		
	S.G. PawarApplic	cant	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespor	ndents.	
	 Heard Dr. R.P. Sabban, learned Advocate Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Pre Officer for the Respondents. 		
	2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last ch		
	3. O.A. be kept with connected O.A. No.92 pending in the Tribunal on same issue.	4/2022	
	4. S.O. to 23.11.2022.		
	Sd/-		
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)		
	NMN		

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 16.11.2022
	O.A. No.939 of 2022 with O.A. No.952 of 2022
	A.S. Dhage S.M. SangleApplicant Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	 Applicant and his advocate both are absent.
	2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer along with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
	 On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.
	4. These two O.As. be kept along with connected group of O.A. No.26/2022 & Ors.
	5. S.O. to 28.11.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

ими

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date: 16.11.2022 O.A. No.914 of 2022Applicant Dr. K.D. Dayal Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is 2. granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance. 3. S.O. to 01.12.2022. Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

NMN

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 16.11.2022	
	O.A. No.835 of 2	022
	N.A. Tittal-Chavan	Applicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1. Heard Smt. Sheetal R. Kol holding for Shri R.M. Kolge, learn Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule Officer for the Respondents.	ned Advocate for the
	2. On request of learned P. granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply b	
	3. S.O. to 02.12.2022.	
		Sd/-
	•	P. Kurhekar) Jember (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 16.11.2022	
	O.A. No.664 of 2022	
	S.A. PatilApplicant Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	 Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 	
	2. The Applicant has tendered letter dated 09.11.2022 issued by the Applicant for withdrawing on O.A. It is taken on record and marked by letter 'X' for identification.	
	3. In view of above, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw O.A.	
	4. Allowed to withdraw O.A. with no order as to costs.	
	Sd/-	

		O.A.356/2	2022
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's order directions and Registrar's or	s or	Tribunal's orders	
(Presenting Officer)	Respondent/s
T	he State of Maharash	tra and others	
	versus		
(Advocate)		
Oliginal Application 110.	01 20		Applicant/s
Original Application No.	of 20	District	

Smt. S.R. Kore ... Applicant Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

- 1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.
- 2. Today, learned P.O. sought permission to file Additional Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 to be filed in terms of order passed by the Tribunal on 18.10.2022. Indeed, it was to be filed within time granted by the Tribunal, but not filed.
- 3. However, in the interest of justice, allowed to file the same. Pagination be done and it be taken on record.
- 4. When the matter is taken up for hearing, it is revealed that during the pendency of O.A, Respondent No.2 has passed order on 13.10.2022 rejecting the claim of Applicant for ex-gratia payment of Rs.50 lakh. It needs to be challenged by making proper amendment in O.A.
- 5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought time to amend the O.A.
- 6. Adjourned to 21.11.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.11.2022

(skw)

Original Application No.	of 20	Distric	ст Applicant/s
(Advocate)		Applications
	versus		
Tł	ne State of Maharashtr	a and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's or	s or	Tribunal's orde	rs
•		O.A.927	7/2022
	Vs	A.K. Shaikh s. ate of Mah. & Ors.	Applicant Respondents
	1. Sh the App Officer	nri A.V. Bandiwadek plicant, Smt. K.S. Ga for Respondent No	kar, learned Advocate for ikwad, learned Presenting 1.1 and Shri S.V. Gutte ndent No.2 is present.
	•	oday, learned Advoca ders. It is taken on re	ate for the Applicant filed
	3. S.	O. to 01.12.2022.	Sd/-
	(skw)		A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.11.2022

Original Application No.	of 20	District	r Applicant/s
(Advocate)		rippicanus
(Advocate			
	versus		
Th	e State of Maharasht	ra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's ord	or	Tribunal's orders	
		O.A.248/	2022
	V	.N. Revadekar s. tate of Mah. & Ors.	Applicant Respondents
	Applic	-	rned Advocate for the igule, learned Presenting
		oday, learned Advocat der. It is taken on reco	e for the Applicant filed ord.
	3. S	.O. to 08.12.2022.	
			Sd/-
	(s	·	a.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.11.2022

Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT	
				Applicant/s
(Advocate	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••)		
	υ	ersus		
The	State of Ma	harashtra and other	's	
				Respondent/s
Presenting Officer	••••••)		-
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Co	ram.			
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's order		Tribu	nal's orders	
		Date: 16.11.2022		
		O.A.	.No.383 of 20	022
		A.G. Tainak & Ors Vs.		Applicants
•		The State of Mahara	shtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	·	1. None for th	e Applicant.	Heard Ms. K.S.
		Gaikwad, learned		
		Respondents and Advocate for the Res	Mr. M.D. pondents No.	
				ned Advocate Mr.
		Lonkar matter adjou		
		Sd/-		Sd/-
		(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)	(Mr	idula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

	2
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
•	

Original Application No.	of 20	I	DISTRICT	
(A.1			Applicant/s	
(Advocate)			
	ve	rsus		
The St	tate of Mah	narashtra and others		
			Respondent/s	
Presenting Officer	••••••)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cora	m,			
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's Date: 16.11.2022	orders	
			570 of 2017	
		B.G. Kingare & Ors.		
	İ	Vs.	Applicants	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespo			
			onkar, learned Advocate for	
		•	K.S. Gaikwad, learned Respondents and Mr. K.R.	
			te for the Respondent No.6.	
		2. This O.A. is filed	by 20 applicants. Out of	
		which 17 applicants are	retired from 2017 till date.	
		All the applicants ter 31.05.2017.	minated by order dated	
		3. Adjourned to 12.1	2.2022.	
		Sd/-	Sd/-	
		(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	
		prk		

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

directions and Registrar's orders

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL Date: 16.11.2022 MUMBAI

Original Application No.	O.A.No.5120622010	with M.A.M. 200 -DISTRICT	
•			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	vers	us	
	The State of Mahar	ashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memor Appearance, Tribunal	· ·	Tribunal's orders	

Date: 16.11.2022

O.A.No.512 of 2019 with M.A.No.289 of 2019

G.T. GarudApplicant Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- 1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay of 5 years and 10 month in filing O.A.
- 3. O.A. is filed challenging the order dated 01.04.2013. Learned Advocate makes statement at the bar that Applicant has not contacted him. Applicant was promoted from the post of Naib Tahasildar to Tahasildar on 01.04.2013 for the period of 11 months. Applicant never worked as Tahasildar because he was never given posting. On oral query made by him, he was orally informed that he did not possess valid Caste Certificate of ST.
- 4. Learned Advocate submits that the issue of validity of Caste Certificate was pending before the Caste Scrutiny Committee. Though he approached the Hon'ble High Court, the certificate was issued on 06.08.2018. He submits that he has also moved representation on 20.08.2018 and thereafter another representation on 15.07.2019 which was made to the Principal Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department. He submits that he is not pressing Prayer A in O.A. where he seeks direction for implementation of the order dated 01.04.2013. However, he submits that he has amended the O.A. and he presses Prayer B. Prayer B was added by way of order dated 29.07.2019 that the said



Tribunal's orders

representation is not decided by the competent authority from the Revenue and Forest Department.

- 5. Learned C.P.O. opposes the maintainability of the O.A. on the ground of delay. She submits that the said representation is decided by the Deputy Commissioner, Mr. Siddharam Salimath which is approved by the Divisional Commissioner.
- 6. In view that Prayer A is not pressed we allow the O.A. with following order:-

QRDER

- (a) To the extent of seeking direction that the competent authority to decide the representation.
- (b) Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department is hereby directed to decide the representation dated 15.07.2019 within the period of three months from today i.e. on or before 20.02.2023 and communicate the same to the Applicant within one week thereafter.
- 7. In view that prayer in O.A. is modified, M.A. does not survive and hence, stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member(A) Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.11.2022

M.A. No.640 of 2022 in O.A. No.1113 of 2022

B.T. Rathod

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay caused in filing O.A. wherein challenge is to the order of refixation of pay and recovery dated 25.02.2020. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that limitation of one year to challenge order dated 25.02.2020 expired during the period of COVID-19 Pandemic situation in reference to the order of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo Moto Writ Petition (Civil) No.03/2020 dated 10.01.2022 and prayed to condone delay.
- 3. Per contra, learned P.O. opposed the Application for condonation of delay stating that delay after pandemic period was over is not properly explained.
- 4. As per order of Hon'ble Supreme Court referred to above, where limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022, notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all person shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022.
- 5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that in other connected matters in similar situation the refixation of pay and recovery order was quashed by the Tribunal.
- 6. In view of above, I am inclined to condone delay so as to decide O.A. on merit.
- 7. M.A. No.640/2022 is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.11.2022

O.A. No.1113 of 2022

B.T. Rathod

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. The Applicant has challenged order of refixation of pay and recovery dated 25.02.2020. Delay is already condoned in view of order passed in M.A. No.640/2022.
- 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
- 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.12.2022.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
- 9. S.O. to 14.12.2022.

Sd/-

' M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,	
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	
directions and Registrar's orders	

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.11.2022

O.A. No.1127 of 2022

S.D. Gawai

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri Om M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 25.01.2017 pertaining to refixation of pay and allowances without filing M.A. for condonation of delay. The Office has already raised objection but not complied.
- 3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file M.A. for condonation of delay. If M.A. is filed within two weeks the matter be listed for admission along with M.A. However, if M.A. is not filed within two weeks O.A. would stand dismissed for non compliance of the order without reference to the Tribunal.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

NMN

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.11.2022

O.A. No.1110 of 2022

V.P. Pingle

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. The Applicant is widow of deceased Government servant who died on 13.01.2008. Government servant was given benefit of 1st Time Bound Promotion Scheme (TBPS) by order dated 01.03.2017 and he was given benefit w.e.f. 03.04.2007. It was granted considering that said post was isolated Thereafter, the Applicant (widow of Deceased post. Government servant) made representation in the month of April 2021 to Respondent No.2 – The Additional Commissioner of Police, Motor Transport received by the Department on 04.05.2021 claiming benefit of the decision rendered by the Tribunal in O.A. No.566/2016 decided on 16.03.2017 in the matter of Shri Rahate & Shri Gaikwad. Respondent No.2 by communication dated 12.08.2021 informed that matter is referred to the Government on 05.08.2019 in view of the objection raised by Treasury in the matter of Shri Rahate & Shri Gaikwad. Thus it appears from communication dated 12.08.2021 for want of orders from Government, representation made by the Applicant is not yet decided.
- 3. Regret to note that proposal seems to have been forwarded to the Government on 05.08.2019 but till date no decision is taken despite reminder issued by Respondent No.2 The Additional Commissioner of Police, Motor Transport.
- 4. Learned P.O. is therefore directed to take instruction and apprise the Tribunal why no decision is taken on the proposal dated 05.08.2019 forwarded by The Additional Commissioner of Police, Motor Transport to the Government.
- 5. O.A. be kept tomorrow i.e. on 17.11.2022.

Sd/-

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.1128 of 2022

R.L. Bikkad

Date: 16.11.2022

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 19.08.2022 whereby he is shown temporarily transferred from Thakurwadi, Forest Circle to Dodamarga for Elephant Bandobasta. According to the Applicant under the guise of temporary deputation he is transferred in contravention of law.
- 3. The Applicant made representation on 27.09.2022 for sending him back to his original post but it is not responded.
- 4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
- 5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.12.2022.
- 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

- 8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
- 10. S.O. to 14.12.2022.
- 11. In the mean time, Respondents shall take appropriate decision on representation made by the Applicant dated 27.09.2022 and shall appraise the Tribunal on next date.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

NMN