

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 16.11.2019.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.72 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>A. M. Patil</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri C. G. Patil with M. G. Bagkar, learned Counsels for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged impugned order dated 26.12.2017 whereby he is held ineligible to the appointment to the post of Police Patil of village Hasurchampu, Tal. Gadhingalaj, Dist. Kolhapur. During the pendency of this O.A., S.D.O. had issued advertisement afresh and selection process is completed. One Shri Anil Maruti Hasure, Age-Major is appointed as Police Patil for village Hasurchampu, Tal. Gadhingalaj, Dist. Kolhapur.</li><li>3. In view of the subsequent development the person appointed to the post of Police Patil of Village Hasurchampu is required to be impleaded as Respondent.</li><li>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks leave to add him as Respondent and also to challenge his appointment order.</li><li>5. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out within three days.</li><li>6. S.O. to 19.11.2019.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>