

Date : 16.10.2019.

M.A. No.564 of 2019 in O.A.No.471 of 2019

T. R. Khairnar

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri N. L. Choudhari, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Present O.A. pertains to change in date of birth. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant had applied for correction in date of birth within five years from the date of joining service but it was not decided. He stands retired on 31.05.2019. He has filed present O.A. before few days of retirement. Now the Applicant wants to amend the O.A. to incorporate the date of retirement which has occurred during pendency of O.A. as well as to amend and add prayer clause for reinstatement in service.

3. Learned P.O. strongly opposes for amendment.

4. The proposed amendment will not change the nature of proceeding. Secondly, it being subsequent event, the same deserved to be allowed. In so far as amendment in prayer clause is concerned, it is consequential relief which depends upon the result of O.A. Hence amendment is allowed.

5. Amendment be carried out forthwith.

6. In view of above, M.A. is allowed.

7. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to amended pleadings.

8. S.O. to 22.10.2019 in O.A.471/2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="850 665 1110 698">Date : 16.10.2019.</p> <p data-bbox="1052 738 1321 771">O.A.No.277 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="850 805 1516 849">R. S. PhadtareApplicant</p> <p data-bbox="850 887 948 920">Versus</p> <p data-bbox="850 964 1516 1008">The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="850 1048 1516 1092">1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent.</p> <p data-bbox="850 1130 1516 1406">Heard Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri D. Rananaware, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p data-bbox="850 1446 1516 1568">2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time granted for filing reply.</p> <p data-bbox="850 1605 1468 1650">3. The matter is adjourned to 13.11.2019.</p> <p data-bbox="1214 1727 1273 1760" style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1138 1804 1338 1882" style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

Date : 16.10.2019

O.A.No.326 of 2019
(Subject : Dismissal from service)

D.G. Choudhari Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.
2. The present O.A. is filed challenging the Summary dismissal of the Applicant under Article 311 (2) of the Constitution of India. However, simultaneously the Applicant had also filed an Appeal before the Government.
3. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered the copy of order dated 22.06.2019 passed by the Hon'ble Minister, whereby the Appeal seems to have been allowed. Copy of the order dated 22.06.2019 and marked as letter 'X'.
4. In view of the above, O.A. has become infructuous. Respondent No.1 may pass further appropriate order in pursuance of order passed by the Hon'ble Minister, dated 22.06.2019.
5. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.
6. O.A. is disposed off.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

prk

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.913 of 2019 with O.A.No.914 of 2019</p> <p>R. L. Patil & Ors. G. D. KaradApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri N. V. Bhutekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4, 6 and 8. None appeared on behalf of the Respondent No.5, 7 and 9 though served.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No. 899 of 2019 with O.A. No.900 of 2019</p> <p>S. S. Sapkale R. S. ShirsathApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer with Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 in both the Original Applications. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S. O. to 04.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 560/2019 in O.A 1016/2019</u></p> <p>Dr S.C Deshmkh & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present M.A is filed to sue jointly as the facts involved is the same.</p> <p>3. M.A to sue jointly is allowed subject to payment of Court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

16.10.2019

O.A 1016/2019

Dr S.C Deshmukh & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors .. Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The learned advocate for the applicant refers to the orders issued by the Tribunal, at Aurangabad Bench in O.A 728/2018. The Tribunal had issued order as under:-

"8. Upon hearing the learned advocate for the applicants, interim relief in terms of prayer clause 26(a) of the present O.A hereby granted, which reads as under:-

26(a) Pending hearing and final disposal of this Original Application, the respondent authorities may restrain from effecting the promotion to the cadre of District Health Officer pursuant to the Departmental Promotional Committee Meeting dated 13.4.2018 till the consideration of the applicant's claim to the extent of applicants."

(Quoted from page 41 of the O.A)

3. Learned advocate for the applicant further submits that Indian Medical Council has amended the provisions regarding non-eligibility of Diploma Holders being considered for promotion to the rank of District Health Officer, Exh. '1', page 53 of the O.A.

4. As the result of the interim order, no steps have been taken to fill in larger number of vacancies in the rank of District Health Officer. The Respondents are, therefore, directed to bring the fact of amended provision by the Indian Medical Council to the notice of the Tribunal, bench at Aurangabad so that appropriate action can be taken for filling in vacancies which have been approved by the D.P.C on 13.4.2018, (Exh 'A', page 21).

5. S.O to 7.11.2019. Hamdast is granted. Learned CPO is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders						
	<p>Date : 16.10.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.495 of 2019 in O.A.No.218 of 2018</p> <p>P.G. Lakhat & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants submits that he needs to seek instructions from his client and therefore he may be granted sometime.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicants adjourned to 21.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- ;</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Member(J)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Vice-Chairman</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>prk</p>	Sd/-	Sd/- ;	(A.P. Kurhekar)	(P.N. Dixit)	Member(J)	Vice-Chairman
Sd/-	Sd/- ;						
(A.P. Kurhekar)	(P.N. Dixit)						
Member(J)	Vice-Chairman						

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.578 of 2019</p> <p>S.S. Kamble & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents files reply on behalf of Respondents No.2 and 3. The same is taken on record. She seeks two weeks time for filing reply on behalf of Respondent No.4 (M.P.S.C.)</p> <p>3. By way of last chance, adjourned to 13.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.610 of 2019 with O.A.No.611 of 2019</p> <p>P.R. Borse (O.A.610/2019) S.R. Gharat (O.A.611/2019) Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Siddharth Khandelwal, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 13.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1077 of 2018 with O.A.No.1109 of 2018</p> <p>M.M. Bansode & Ors. (O.A.1077/2018) S.D. Sapkale & Ors. (O.A.1109/2018) Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants submits that he had served notices to the Director, Maharashtra Police Academy, Nashik for serving the same on the Respondents. He further submits that he would find out from the Director, Maharashtra Police Academy, Nashik whether the same has been actually served to Respondents from serial No.7 to 160 and furnish the details in the course of time.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicants adjourned to 27.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- १. (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 546/2019</u></p> <p>Shri A.J Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned CPO submits that the reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 14.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

Date : 16.10.2019

O.A.No.723 of 2019
(Subject : Promotion)

S.M. Chavan Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

2. On instructions from Applicant, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he has made fresh representation on 03.09.2019 to Respondent No.2 (Divisional Commissioner, Navi Mumbai).

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays that directions may be issued to the Respondents to consider his representation as one of the junior of the Applicant i.e. Mr. P.U. Chavan has been placed before him in the list of seniority. He therefore prays that injustice made to him may be rectified and the seniority to be corrected accordingly.

4. In view of the same, Respondents are directed to consider his representation and take decision on merits while considering the promotions.

5. With the above directions, O.A. is disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="808 667 1029 701">Date : 16.10.2019</p> <p data-bbox="1049 723 1295 757">O.A.No.675 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="808 801 1484 835">N.B. Deore Applicant</p> <p data-bbox="808 842 894 875">Versus</p> <p data-bbox="808 882 1500 916">The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p data-bbox="808 958 1546 1104">1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="808 1149 1546 1238">2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the matter may be admitted with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p data-bbox="808 1305 1377 1339">3. Admitted. To be heard in due course.</p> <p data-bbox="911 1391 1105 1514">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p data-bbox="1292 1391 1484 1514">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p data-bbox="816 1525 854 1559">prk</p>

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>C.A 50/2019 in O.A 846/2003 wth O.A 389/2001</u></p> <p>Smt Vijaya A. Pawar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 17.12.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 17.12.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 618/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B Kahire, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files reply on behalf of Respondent no. 1. The</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 6.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1023/2019</u></p> <p>Shri D.R Madne ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.2. Issue notice returnable on 28.11.2019.3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.8. The issue of interim relief is kept open.9. S.O to 28.11.2019. <p>Sd/- (A.P. Furhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>C.A 49/2019 in O.A 378/2019</u></p> <p>Dr S.A Koli ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 13.11.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 13.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.10.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.542 of 2019 in O.A.No.972 of 2019</p> <p>A.L. Suryawanshi & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A. the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. M.A. is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Date : 16.10.2019

O.A.No.972 of 2019

A.L. Suryawanshi & Ors. Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 19.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.10.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.543 of 2019 in O.A.No.973 of 2019</p> <p>5.S. Shelar & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A. the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. M.A. is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Date : 16.10.2019

O.A.No.973 of 2019

S.S. Shelar & Ors. Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 19.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.10.2019</p> <p>C.A.No.21 of 2019 in O.A.No.848 of 2014</p> <p>R.B. Wadile Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today during hearing of Contempt Application, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Departmental Enquiry against the Applicant was completed in the year 2016. The exact date would be furnished on the next occasion. He has filed Appeal against the same before the Appellate Authority (State Government).</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to find out, when the Departmental Enquiry was already completed in the year 2016, how the decision regarding his promotion has been held back so far. Progress regarding the same should be intimated on the next date.</p> <p>4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 19.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) (R.D. Dixit)</p>



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.282 of 2019 with O.A.No.283 of 2019</p> <p>I.B. Mane (O.A.282/2019) V.B. Patil (O.A.283/2019) Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2 Admitted. To be heard along with O.A.No.464 of 2018 and O.A.No.465 of 2018.</p> <p>3. To be kept in due course for Final Hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.567 of 2019</p> <p>M.P. Lad & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents files reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admitted. To be heard in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p>

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="808 618 1032 651">Date : 16.10.2019</p> <p data-bbox="850 674 1495 745">O.A.No.1001 of 2018 with O.A.No.923 of 2018 with O.A.No.1023 of 2018</p> <p data-bbox="808 786 1479 972">P.M. Koli (O.A.1001/2018) S..M. Nilkanth (O.A.923/2018) A.A. Bagwan & Ors. (O.A.1023/2018) Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p data-bbox="808 1016 1536 1160">1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="808 1211 1536 1355">2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants submits that the rejoinder would be filed during the day after affirmation.</p> <p data-bbox="808 1413 1357 1447">3. Admitted. To be heard in due course.</p> <p data-bbox="889 1532 1089 1675">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p data-bbox="1273 1532 1463 1675">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p data-bbox="797 1675 834 1704">prk</p>

Date : 16.10.2019

O.A.No.709 of 2019 with O.A.No.710 of 2019

N.J. Khillare (O.A.709/2019)

D.B. Dharankar (O.A.710/2019)

..... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents files reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. The same is taken on record.
3. Today during hearing learned Advocate for the Applicant tenders communication dated 11.09.2019. The same is taken on record and marked 'X'. The said communication provides list of appointment of candidates being challenged in this O.A. However, the communication does not refer to the order issued by this Tribunal on 21.08.2019. The relevant paragraph of the order dated 21.08.2019 reads as under :

"5. In view of the foregoing the Respondent (M.P.S.C) is directed that the candidates appearing for the posts may be informed that the appointments will be subject to result of this O.A."
4. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that necessary corrigendum would be issued accordingly by giving instructions to the Respondents.
5. Respondents are therefore directed to ensure that the corrigendum is issued forthwith referring to the order dated 21.08.2019 passed by this Tribunal.
6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.
7. Adjourned to 05.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="808 622 1031 656">Date : 16.10.2019</p> <p data-bbox="906 683 1445 716">M.A.No.541 of 2019 in O.A.No.971 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="808 757 1485 790">R.P. Gaikwad & Drs. Applicants</p> <p data-bbox="808 795 893 824">Versus</p> <p data-bbox="808 828 1494 862">The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p data-bbox="808 913 1534 1059">1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="808 1108 1534 1366">2. By this M.A. the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid.</p> <p data-bbox="808 1422 1291 1456">3. M.A. is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p data-bbox="971 1518 1031 1552">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="889 1579 1079 1653">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p data-bbox="1364 1518 1424 1552">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1266 1579 1453 1653">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p data-bbox="792 1653 828 1680">prk</p>

Date : 16.10.2019

O.A.No.971 of 2019

R.P. Gaikwad & Ors. Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 19.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 16.10.2019
	<p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.533 of 2019 in O.A.No.964 of 2019</p> <p>S.S. Bhosale & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A. the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. M.A. is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Date : 16.10.2019

O.A.No.964 of 2019

S.S. Bhosale & Ors. Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 27.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.462 of 2019</p> <p>M.P. Gurav & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 and 2. None for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. The same is taken on record. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents may find out whether Respondents No.2 and 4 propose to file their reply.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicants seeks adjournment for two weeks for filing his rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 13.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="808 667 1031 698">Date : 16.10.2019</p> <p data-bbox="1047 728 1299 759">O.A.No.540 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="808 801 1485 833">P.P. Nandlaskar Applicant</p> <p data-bbox="808 840 893 871">Versus</p> <p data-bbox="808 878 1494 909">The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p data-bbox="808 958 1534 1102">1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="808 1153 1534 1296">2 As learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of Respondent (M.P.S.C.), matter is admitted. To be heard in due course.</p> <p data-bbox="966 1366 1031 1397">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="893 1451 1088 1523">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p data-bbox="1364 1388 1429 1420">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1274 1451 1461 1523">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p data-bbox="795 1527 836 1559">prk</p>