

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATIONS 453, 454, 455 & 456/2017 with M.A  
251/2017**

**DISTRICT : PUNE**

Shri S.D Dhavane & others

)...Applicants

**Versus**

The State of Maharashtra

)...Respondents

Smt Punam Mahahan, learned advocate for the Applicants in O.A nos 453, 454, 455 and 456/2017.

Shri N.K Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for Res.no. 3 in O.A no 456/2017.

**CORAM : Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**

**DATE : 16.10.2017**

**ORDER**

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahahan, learned advocate for the Applicants in O.A nos 453, 454, 455 and 456/2017, Shri N.K Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for Res.no. 3 in O.A no 456/2017.



2. Group of these Original Applications was heard on 13.10.2017. At the end of hearing, learned P.O had tendered a set of documents consisting of 31 pages for perusal and its copy for the purpose of record.
3. Thereafter case was heard and adjourned for orders. During study of case papers, it has transpired that copies of these papers, 31 in number have not been supplied to the contesting Respondents at the time of filing of affidavit in reply.
4. It is seen that affidavit of State contains accompaniment of only three documents, first is copy of minutes of Civil Services Board and other two documents are two amongst the lists annexed hereto, which include the names of the officers whose transfers were favourably recommended by Civil Services Board.
5. It is shockingly and definitely objectionable that the documents now show to the Tribunal, i.e. Schedule 'A' (विवरण पत्र 'अ'), the note prepared by the Hon'ble Minister and the list annexed thereto have not been annexed to the affidavit in reply filed by the State. Reasons behind this act of commission and omission on the part of the department requires to be explored and clarified by the Secretary of the department, who is supposed to take care of defending present group of Original Applications.
6. Therefore, Learned P.O was directed to furnish name of the Secretary concerned which he has furnished, as follows:-

Shri Mahesh Pathak, Principal Secretary, Food & Civil Supplies Department, Govt. of Maharashtra, Mantralaya, Mumbai.

7. Shri Mahesh Pathak, Principal Secretary, Food & Civil Supplies is directed that he should explore, search, find out and state on his own affidavit, the following:-

- (i) Whether it was a conscious decision to withhold these documents.
- (ii) The reasons due to which entire set of documents was not produced.
- (iii) Is the Secretary serious and keen in contesting present OA or is unhappy because of Hon'ble Minister's intervention in the matter.
- (iv) Would he elect to file his own detailed affidavit.

8. Affidavit answering points contained in the foregoing para answering present O.A for contesting it be filed on or before 1.11.2017.

9. After receiving the affidavit, further hearing, shall be done.

10. S.O to 7.11.2017.

11. Interim relief to continue. The OA is treated as part heard.



Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

**Place : Mumbai**  
**Date : 16.10.2017**  
**Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O A 966/17

DATE: 16/10/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Ms. S. P. Mancharkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N. L. Rajpurshik

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent's

order passed in the

Adj. To Tribunal's column.

O.A. is disposed as  
withdrawn.

*[Signature]*

Heard.

At the end of hearing learned  
advocate for applicant states that  
applicant does not wish to pursue  
present O.A..

Hence O.A. is disposed as withdrawn.

Sd/-

*[Signature]*

16/10/2017

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O A 864/17

DATE:

16/10/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A. V. Bandikwadkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A. J. Chougale

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Order passed in the

Registrar's column.

Adj. To  
Deena

*[Signature]*

Learned Advocate for applicant  
prays for leave to amend and  
also leave to add annexures.  
Leave as prayed is granted.

Sd/-

*[Signature]*

16/10/2017

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 16.10.2017.

O.A.No.848 of 2017

Dr. Y.U. Sathe .....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On 11.10.2017, this Tribunal has passed following order:-

"3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has tendered copy of minutes of meeting of the said Committee. It is taken on record.

4. On perusal of the minutes it is seen that the Committee consists of seven Senior Officers.

5. Deputy Secretary, Technical Education who is the Secretary of the Committee is directed to file affidavit-in-reply consisting of the following :-

(a) List of documents which were placed before the Committee.

(b) State whether seven sets of paper book were furnished.

6. Deputy Secretary, Technical Education is directed to furnish one set of documents which was placed before the Committee.

7. For compliance S.O. to 13.10.2017.

8. Hamdast and stereo copy is allowed to learned C.P.O.. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents."

(Quoted from Farad order dated 11.10.2017.)

3. Today, none has instructed learned P.O.. Even the affidavit-in-reply is not tendered.

4. In the background that Deputy Secretary has failed to comply the Tribunal's order dated 11.10.2017, Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department is directed to file his own affidavit-in-reply to comply with the order quoted above.

5. The Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department shall be free to investigate the reasons of failure of Deputy Secretary to comply.

6. Affidavit-in-reply be filed on or before 03.11.2017.

7. S.O. to 06.11.2017.

DATE: 16/10/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.S. Deshpande

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

S.O. to 6/11/17

Ady. To:

Sd/-

A.H. Joshi J.J.Y. In  
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 16.10.2017.

C.A.No.46 of 2017 in R.A.No.09 of 2016  
in O.A.No.300 of 2015

D.A. Patil .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned P.O. has tendered copy of order dated 22.08.2017 showing that decision on Applicant's claim is taken. The Applicant's claim for deemed date w.e.f. 17.11.2011 is declined, however he is granted promotion from 16.01.2014.

3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant states that in so far the Government order dated 22.08.2017 prejudices the Applicant, he would prefer to challenge the order as may be advised.

4. In view of substantial compliance and the apology, the Contempt Application is disposed with liberty to the Applicant to agitate a challenge, if any, grievance survives.

DATE: 16/10/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A~~

APPEARANCE:

\*Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

~~Ad. To~~ C.A. is disposed  
with liberty.

*@red*

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)  
Chairman

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**16.10.2017**

**O.A No 681, 682, 683, 684, 685, 686, 691,  
692, 694, 701, 702 and 703/2017**

Shri V.A Kose & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Ms S.P Manchekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O requests as follows:-

(a) That the affidavit which is already on record in all these Original Applications may be struck off with liberty to file fresh affidavit, which would be filed by 20.10.2017.

3. Leave to file fresh affidavit is granted. Affidavit in reply be served on the learned advocate for the applicants on or before 20.10.2017.

4. O.A would be heard on 23.10.2017.

DATE: 16/10/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri M. Dhananjay (Member) A~~

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri~~ Ms. S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. S. Suryavanshi

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s~~

DATE: 23/10/17

*[Signature]*

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

MA NO 114/17  
In  
O A 394/16

M.A. be listed as and when  
D.B. would become available

ATE: 16/10/17

GRAM:

M'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
M'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

PEARANCE:

Smt. V.U. Sherkhane holding  
P.O. for the Applicant

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad  
P.O. for the Respondent/s  
order passed in the  
Tribunal's column.

M.A. be listed as and when  
D.B. would become  
available.

*[Signature]*

Sd/-  
18/10/2017

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>16/10/17</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A-</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>M.B. Kadam holding</u> <u>for A.R. Joshi</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.T. Chougale</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>to O.A. is disposed</u> <u>with liberty.</u> @udf</p>	<p>Date : 16.10.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.774 of 2017</p> <p>S. S. Gargote .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam holding for Shri A.R. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougale, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he has instruction to withdraw this Original Application with liberty to make representation as regards unsettled claim.</p> <p>3. The Original Application is disposed with liberty.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>vsm</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 16.10.2017.

M.A.No.443 of 2017 in C.A.No.34 of 2012 in  
O.A.No.846 of 2009

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Applicants (Org. Respondents)

Versus

Dr. Major P.Y. Gaikwad

....Respondent (Org. Applicant)

1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).

2. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate prays for time to apply mind.

3. In order that peaceful application of mind can be done, C.A. is adjourned to 23.04.2018, with liberty to the Applicant to circulate the C.A. before due date.

DATE : 16/10/17

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad

P.O.  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : A.V. Bandiwadekar  
C.F.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 23/4/18.

Sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi J.)  
Chairman

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
Date : 16.10.2017.

O.A.No.968 of 2017

K.G. Shimpi

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Pranita P. Hingmire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Shri Pranita P. Hingmire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for leave to amend prayers.
3. Leave to amend as prayed for is granted.
4. Shri Pranita P. Hingmire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant undertakes to carry out the amendment within two weeks.
5. Issue notice after amendment, returnable on 20.12.2017.
6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
11. S.O. to 20.12.2017.

DATE : 16/10/17

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. P.P. Hingmire

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S.S. Suryawanshi

G.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To. S.O. to 20/12/17.

*Prk*

Sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi J.)  
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A.47/2017 in O.A.No.84/2016

Mr. S.D. Muluk ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Mr. K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.

3. The contemnor No.2 is directed to furnish name of each individual employee/officer who is responsible for neglecting to attend to the order, notices, etc.

4. He should also file his own affidavit stating the dates as to when he came to know about the order of this Tribunal, notice dated 4.2.2017 Page 30 and order dated 24.05.2017 Page 40.

5. Affidavit be filed on or before 1.11.2017.

6. Steno-copy and hamdast is allowed to Learned P.O. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

7. S.O. to 6.11.2017.

DATE: 16/10/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To: S.O. to 6/11/17.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman  
16.10.2017

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>16/10/17</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>D. B. Khaire</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>Order passed in the</u> <u>Ad To Tribunal's column.</u></p>	<p><b><u>16.10.2017</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 577/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri D.R Sor ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the order passed on 18.9.2017, in second unnumbered para, following sentence appears:-</p> <p>"It is, therefore, directed that the Applicant be reposted at the place where he has been transferred from within a period of one week from today and shall be allowed to continue till further orders. With this interim relief, the Original Application stands adjourned for affidavit in reply to 25.9.2017"</p> <p>3. After the word 'from' in the sentence quoted in foregoing para, the words namely "<u>the post of Driver, EGS, Collectorate, Nasik</u>", be added.</p> <p>4. Order be back corrected and fresh order be issued. Old copy be called.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>16/10/17</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>S.O. to 2/11/17</u></p>	<p><u>16.10.2017</u></p> <p><u>O.A 824/2017</u></p> <p>Shri V.K Pillai &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate, for applicant prays for leave to amend in order to being on record subsequent developments and to add prayers and documents.</p> <p>3. Leave to amend as prayed is granted.</p> <p>4. Amended O.A be served on Respondents.</p> <p>5. S.O to 2.11.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi,  Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 16.10.2017.

O.A.No.939 of 2017

P.S. Pardhi

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.10.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed one day before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 23.10.2017.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)  
Chairman

prk

DATE: 16/10/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Kamshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To:

S.O. to 23/10/17.



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>13/10/17</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) <del>Hon'ble Shri M. Rajesh Kumar (Member) A</del></p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>S.O. to 8/11/17.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 16.10.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.936 of 2017</p> <p>M.Y. Gosavi .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents prays for time for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Reply must be filed by answering each and every point, paragraph and averment.</p> <p>4. Affidavit be filed on or before 02.11.2017.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 08.11.2017.</p> <p>prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) <i>[Signature]</i> Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>16/10/17</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramosh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>D.H. Pawar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Reply filed by R.No. 425. Adj. to S.O. to 3/11/17.</p>	<p><b><u>16.10.2017</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 650/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri M.G Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.H Pawar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer states on instruction that the D.E against applicant is completed and now the Government has to take a decision and on the next date he shall make a statement as to the time frame within which action, if any, would be taken.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O prays for time till 3.11.2017.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O has tendered affidavit in reply for the Respondent nos 4 &amp; 5. Those are taken on record.</p> <p>5. S.O to 3.11.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

16.10.2017

O.A No 933/2017

Shri I.M Shaikh ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 2.11.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

8. Affidavit answering each point and para be filed on 23.10.2017.

9. S.O to 2.11.2017.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi (J.))  
Chairman

Akn

DATE: 16/10/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A.

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: S.O. to 2/11/17.

*[Signature]*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 1/10/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajesh Kumar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocates for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>Adj. To M.F. is allowed.</u></p>	<p><u>16.10.2017</u></p> <p><u>M.A 379/2017 in O.A 814/2017</u></p> <p>Shri A.M Samindar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application allowed by consent. Learned Advocate Mr Bandiwadekar waives service.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 16/10/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshwar (Member)-A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>J. N. Kamble</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N. K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Adj. To:</u> S.O. to 23/10/17.</p>	<p>Date : 16.10.2017.</p> <p>C.A.No.40 of 2017 in O.A.No.76 of 2015</p> <p>S.V. Kasare .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Present Dean Dr. Nanandkar is present. He states that he has taken charge before three days. He undertakes to study the case and take every possible step to comply with the order passed by this Tribunal, and he prays for time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.10.2017, to make a statement as to how much time would be needed for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>16/10/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) <del>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member) A</del></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> <del>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</del> <u>Shri A.P. Sadavarte, Adv.</u> <u>for R.No.2</u> <del>Adv. To</del> <u>S.O. to 9/11/17.</u> <i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 16.10.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.909 of 2017</p> <p>S.P. Khande .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.P. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Shri A.P. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate appears for Respondents No.2 and undertakes to file power and affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents prays for two week's time for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. Affidavit-in-reply be filed on or before 06.11.2017.</p> <p>5. Hearing be held on 09.11.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>(A.H. Joshi)</i> Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 16.10.2017.

O.A.No. 975 of 2017

S.B. Deosarkar .....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.04.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within fifteen days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record

8. -S.O. to 02.04.2018.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)  
Chairman

vsm

DATE: 16/10/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To: S.O. to 2/4/18.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 16/10/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. S. S. Dere Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad C.P.O./P.O. for the respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: O.A. is disposed as Infructuous.</p>	<p>Date: 16.10.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.599 of 2017</p> <p>C. S. Patil .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. has tendered copy of order dated 13.10.2017.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. states that now the order dated 15.12.2016 stands superseded.</p> <p>4. The learned Advocate for the Applicant states that now the purpose of filing the O.A. is accomplished.</p> <p>5. Hence, the O.A. is disposed as infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>16/10/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>S.O. to 23/10/17.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 16.10.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.831 of 2017</p> <p>V.A. Talkute .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states that the State wants to file application for withdrawal of undertaking and that this application would be filed immediately.</p> <p>3. For further hearing, S.O. to 23.10.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p>