

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 16.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.308 of 2020 in O.A.No.698 of 2020</p> <p>A.G. SangarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay of 2 years and 5 months in filing the O.A. The learned Counsel submits that earlier he has moved the O.A.No.359/2017. However, it was withdrawn with liberty to file fresh by order dated 20.06.2017. He filed another O.A.No.104/2018. Again it was withdrawn with liberty to file fresh on 04.07.2018. Thus, the period of limitation started running on 03.07.2019. In March, 2020 the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo Motu Writ Petition (Civil) No.3 of 2020 by order dated 23.3.2020 and thereafter by order dated 6.5.2020 has given direction that the period of lockdown should be excluded for computing the period of limitation and it will start running 15 days after lockdown is lifted in respective States. In view of this order the delay was condoned in all matters. Thus, in fact in present matter there is a delay of only 8 to 9 months which may be condoned. Hence, M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>3. The learned C.P.O. submits to the orders of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. In view of above, M.A. is disposed off.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 16.09.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.308 of 2020 in O.A.No.698 of 2020</p> <p>A.G. SangarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay of 2 years and 5 months in filing the O.A. The learned Counsel submits that earlier he has moved the O.A.No.359/2017. However, it was withdrawn with liberty to file fresh by order dated 20.06.2017. He filed another O.A.No.104/2018. Again it was withdrawn with liberty to file fresh on 04.07.2018. Thus, the period of limitation started running on 03.07.2019. In March, 2020 the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo Motu Writ Petition (Civil) No.3 of 2020 by order dated 23.3.2020 and thereafter by order dated 6.5.2020 has given direction that the period of lockdown should be excluded for computing the period of limitation and it will start running 15 days after lockdown is lifted in respective States. In view of this order the delay was condoned in all matters. Thus, in fact in present matter there is a delay of only 8 to 9 months which may be condoned. Hence, M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>3. The learned C.P.O. submits to the orders of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. In view of above, M.A. is disposed off.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.09.2021.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 30.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.45 of 2021</u></p> <p>H.D. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder dated 5.8.2021. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 8.10.2021 for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.374 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. S.B. Dube ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO has tendered order dated 15.9.2021 passed by the Government whereby the applicant's absence period of 667 days have been considered for pension purposes and will not be treated as break in service. However, he will not be entitled to pay and allowances for the said period.</p> <p>3. Thus it appears, the grievance of the applicant is already considered by the Government.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests for two days time to take instructions from the applicant and to make a statement.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 21.9.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.663 of 2021</u></p> <p>K.S. Adhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondent No.2 though served is absent.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. PO three weeks time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 5.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.621 of 2021</u></p> <p>D.D. Madiwale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. , ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time was granted to file affidavit in reply but the reply is not filed. However, at the request of Ld. PO last chance is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.9.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.589 of 2021</u></p> <p>G.R. Waghmode ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.405 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.P. Andhere ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Advocate and Applicant both are absent. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.358 of 2021 in O.A. No.655 of 2021</u></p> <p>J.M. Kumbhar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO two weeks time is granted to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. Applicant has also filed MA for joining Shri R.M. More as party Respondent No.4 in the above OA.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is directed to satisfy how he is necessary party to OA.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.9.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.279 of 2021 in O.A. No.545 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.N. Tele ..Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO, two weeks time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.9.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ▼ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.34 of 2021 in O.A. No.55 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.B. Savlekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.R. Joshi holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 2. Advocate for Respondent No.3 & 4 is absent.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO one week's time is granted for filing affidavit in reply in MA and OA by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.9.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.650 of 2019 in O.A. No.1135, of 2019 with M.A. No.682 of 2019 in O.A. No.1214 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.J. Kadam D.K. Bendre ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.R. Joshi holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In both these matters notices were issued in 2019 but till date no reply is filed in MA or OA, though several chances were granted. Both the applicants are retired Government servants and challenging action of recovery of excess payment from gratuity.</p> <p>3. Since no reply is filed, I am not inclined to grant more time to the Ld. PO for filing affidavit in reply. Both the matters shall proceed without affidavit in reply and be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.9.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.345 of 2021 in O.A. No.692 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.S. Patil & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

V.S. Patil & Anr.

..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicants are challenging suspension order dated 14.7.2021 whereby they were suspended on account of registration of criminal offences against them.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.10.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 12.10.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member (J)

16.9.2021

(sgj)

M.A. Salve

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, Learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, Learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant has challenged the communication dated 11.3.2020 whereby the claim of her deceased husband for medical reimbursement for treatment of Liver Cancer has been rejected on the ground that the deceased is already reimbursed some part of the medical treatment and there is no provision for reimbursement of the remaining amount. This is the only reason given in communication dated 11.3.2020 which appears to be prima facie very strange and not acceptable.

3. Ld. PO is therefore directed to apprise how impugned communication for the reasons stated therein is sustainable in law.

4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.9.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 28.9.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member (J)

16.9.2021

(sgj)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.381 of 2021</u> ,</p> <p>D.B. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Advocate and Applicant both are absent. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, Learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that this matter has been listed before the Tribunal without making any scrutiny of the OA since there is no such endorsement of the scrutiny.</p> <p>3. Registrar is therefore directed to look into the matter and the matter be listed only after scrutiny.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kufhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.269 of 2021</u></p> <p>P.G. Sali ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Balraj Kulkarni, Learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has claimed advance increments though he already stands retired from service. The issue would be whether applicant has got vested right to ask for advance increments.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is therefore asked to satisfy the Tribunal how the OA is maintainable without there being any final decision in this regard by the respondents on his representation.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.9.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.707 of 2021

S.K. Pawar ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.9.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 28.9.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)
16.9.2021

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.606 of 2021</u></p> <p>P.P. Doiphode ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder dated 16.9.2021 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. CPO three days time is granted to file sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.9.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.326 of 2021</u></p> <p>L.J. Kale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder dated 6.9.2021 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since pleadings are complete the OA be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 7.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.347 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.S. Jadhav , ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder dated 16.9.2021 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since pleadings are complete the OA be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 7.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.350 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. S.A. Trimbake ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO, two weeks time is granted for filing affidavit in reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.9.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.407 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.M. Dhangare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time was granted to file affidavit in reply but the reply is not filed. However, at the request of Ld. PO last chance is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.9.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.410 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.T. Zaware ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time was granted to file affidavit in reply but the reply is not filed. However, at the request of Ld. PO last chance is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.9.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.426 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.A. Bhowar ..Applicant Vs The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present OA, applicant has challenged eviction notice dated 7.6.2021 whereby applicant was directed to vacate service quarter allotted to his father who died in harness on 8.1.2019. After one year applicant was appointed on compassionate ground and presently he is at Police Training School.</p> <p>3. Respondent no.1 has filed reply along with order dated 7.7.2021 stating that since at present applicant is at training centre, his request for transfer of service quarter in his name cannot be accepted and same will be considered after completion of his training and posting in Mumbai.</p> <p>4. Material to note that by the same order dated 7.7.2021 the respondent no.1 has withdrawn eviction notice dated 7.6.2021. As such eviction notice itself has been withdrawn by respondent no.1.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, OA is disposed of keeping the issue of recovery of penal charges for unauthorized occupation open. No orders as to cost.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.462 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.M. Kashelkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned for filing affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.9.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.471 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.E. Salunkhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files affidavit in reply dated 17.8.2021 on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted for filing affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 5.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.473 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.S. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files affidavit in reply dated 2.9.2021 on behalf of respondent and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks a week's time for filing affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.9.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.532 of 2021</u></p> <p>I.G. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files affidavit in reply dated 16.9.2021 on behalf of respondent and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks a week's time for filing affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.9.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.648 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.M. Thakur ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.9.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.452 of 2021 with O.A. No.197 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.E. Jog ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files affidavit in reply dated 14.9.2021 on behalf of respondent no.1 & 2 in OA No.452 of 2021 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since pleadings are complete, both the OAs be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 7.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.667 of 2021</u></p> <p>H.N. Gangurde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that parawise comments are received and reply will be filed on 21.9.2021.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.9.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 16.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.628/2021</u></p> <p>Shri R.B. Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present O.A. is filed challenging transfer order dated 20.08.2021 whereby the Applicant was transferred from B.J. Government Medical Hospital, Pune to Government Medical College, Baramati.</p> <p>3. Today, the learned P.O. has tendered the Government order dated 15.09.2021 whereby the Applicant is deputed at Government Medical College and Hospital, Satara and in addition to it, he is also given charge of Dean, Medical College and Hospital, Satara. The order dated 15.09.2021 is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.</p> <p>4. As such, in view of these subsequent developments viz. posting on deputation at Satara as well as additional charge of Dean, Government Medical College and Hospital, Satara, the O.A. has become infructuous. It is accordingly disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.09.2021</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1059/2019</u></p> <p>Shri M.G. Pathan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing on 7th October, 2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.09.2021</p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.896/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.P. Bidarkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Applicant and Advocate absent. Heard Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.2. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and Advocate are repeatedly absent even after giving them enough opportunities.3. Today again, the Applicant and his Advocate are absent though waited upto 4.00 p.m.4. They seems not interested in the matter. Hence, O.A. is dismissed for default.5. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.09.2021</p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.749/2019

Shri V.G. Davari

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi holding for Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, two weeks' time is granted to bring legal heirs of the deceased Applicant on record

3. S.O. to 7th October, 2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member-J

16.09.2021

(skw)

I N

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.240/2021

Shri R.P. Pimpalgaonkar ... Applicant
Vs. :
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. B.G. Ligade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. Today, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered the order dated 30.08.2021 whereby the Applicant has been given posting at Pune. The order is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.

3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant, therefore, submits that the grievance of the Applicant is redressed and he is satisfied with the subsequent development viz. order dated 30.08.2021.

4. In view of above, the O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
16.09.2021

(skw)

IN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">M.A.58/2021 in M.A.59/2021 in O.A.05/2020</p> <p>The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Applicants (Ori. Resps.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>Shri D.R. Wadkute ... Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Ms. Asawari Ghate holding for Mrs. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, two days' time is granted to file Affidavit of Principal Secretary, Social Justice and Special Assistant Department, Mantralaya in terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 7th September, 2021.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21st September, 2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.09.2021</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.400 of 2021</p> <p>P.B. Jadhav & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Asawari A. Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.3 to 6.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that she will file affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08.10.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.09.2021</p> <p><u>O.A.No.173 of 2021 with O.A.No.174 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.A. Tapase (O.A.173/2021) R.M. Kalaskar (O.A.174/2021)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Asawari A. Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate Ms. Ghate seeks adjournment with liberty to file rejoinder to the additional reply of learned C.P.O.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned 12.10.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.297 of 2021</p> <p>S,B, KemkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 21.10.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.378 of 2021</p> <p>S.V. TateApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted Adjourned to 21.10.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.291 of 2020</p> <p>S.L. KanaseApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that affidavit-in-reply will be filed during the course of the day. It be taken on record. Copy be upon learned Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21.10.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.09.2021</p> <p>M.A.No.164 of 2021 in O.A.No.38 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. V.V. Patil & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Asawari A. Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply in M.A. will be filed during the course of the day. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 12.10.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.09.2021</p> <p>M.A.No.100 of 2021 in O.A.No.759 of 2020</p> <p>M.K. SatputeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Asawari A. Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply. Taken on record. Copy is served upon learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08.10.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.09.2021</p> <p>M.A.No.284 of 2021 in O.A.No.327 of 2016</p> <p>M.M. Kathe & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. The learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar submits that there are 493 Respondents so it is difficult for him to find the address of all these Respondents.3. If the prayer clause 9(a) in M.A.No.284/2021 is allowed it is likely to affect 493 Respondents.4. The Learned P.O. to furnish the details of the remaining Respondents who are going to be affected. Respondent No.2 is further directed to issue notice of the present O.A. on the website i.e. www.mahapolice.govt.in.5. The learned P.O. submits to the orders of the Tribunal.6. In view of this M.A. is disposed off.7. The learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar prays for amendment in the O.A.8. Three weeks granted to carry out the amendment. Copy be served upon learned P.O. thereafter.9. Adjourned to 08.10.2021. <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.472 of 2021</p> <p>P.B. ChaudhariApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. S. Sakhare, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.5 & 6.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate Mr. Sakhare seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. The Respondents are granted time till next date to file short affidavit for compliance of the order dated 30.07.2021 passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 12.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.09.2021</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.19 of 2021 in O.A.No.242 of 2020 with O.A.No.243 of 2020</p> <p>H.G. HolmukheApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate submits that the order dated 13.10.2020 passed by this Tribunal In O.A.No.243/2020 has been complied with. Hence, nothing remains in the matter.</p> <p>3. In view above, C.A. is disposed off.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.733 of 2019</p> <p>M.R. Rathod & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Chaitanya Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.A. Valimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.8 & 9.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate Chaitanya Kulkarni desires to file vakalatnama after obtaining no objection from Mr. Talekar. The learned Advocate Mr. Kulkarni prays for extension to amend the pleadings in the O.A. and the copy be served to the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Till today affidavit-in-reply is not filed by any of the Respondents except for Respondent No.8, Mr. Murkute Kishor Pandurang and Respondent No.9, Mr.Gogawale Amol Krushana. All the remaining Respondents are granted time till next date to file affidavit-in-reply by way of last chance. If reply is not filed on or before next date the matter will proceed without reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 12.10.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> <p>[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.702 of 2021 with M.A.No.356 of 2021</p> <p>S.P. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Mr. V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On query learned P.O. informs that the Appeal was filed by the applicant on 15.09.2010. Respondents decided the said on 29.08.2019. Applicant filed this O.A. on 06.09.2018.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. is directed to file short affidavit as to when the Appeal was decided and when the order was communicated to the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 23.09.2021.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.09.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.10 of 2020</p> <p>S.S. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mr. Abhijeet U. Pawar, learned Advocate i/b. Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Respondent no.5 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate Mr. Bhosale submits that Respondent No.4, Mr. Suraj Suresn Belekar has not joined the service.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate Mr. Abhijeet U. Pawar submits that he has secured information through RTI about the vacancy of the post for Assistant Section Officer, Mantralaya.</p> <p>4. The learned Advocate Mr. Bhosale in support of this produces letter dated 02.09.2021 addressed to the office of C.P.O. where it is mentioned one Mr. Suraj Suresn Belekar (Respondent No.4) who was given appointment in Finance Department did not accept the appointment.</p> <p>5. The learned C.P.O. to verify the position whether the post of Mr. Suraj Suresn Belekar as on today is vacant.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 21.09.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 16.09.2021

**M.A.No.333 of 2021 in M.A.No.334 of 2021 in
O.A.No.206 of 2021**

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Applicants (Org. Respd.)

Vs.

S.R. Narale

....Respondents. (Org. Appl)

1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting-
Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respd.)

2. None appeared for the Respondents (Org.
Appl.)

3. M.A.No.334/2021 is filed for condonation of
delay in filing the M.A. for seeking extension of time
for implementing the order dated 12.03.2020 passed
by this Tribunal in O.A.No.206/2021.

4. Delay condoned. M.A.No.334/2021 is
disposed off.

5. M.A.No.333/2021 is filed for seeking
extension of time by three months for implementing
the order dated 12.03.2020 passed by this Tribunal
in O.A.No.206/2021.

6. The learned P.O. Mr. A.J. Chougule submits
that pursuant to the order dated 12.03.2021 passed
by this Tribunal the Appeal was decided on
28.06.2021 by the Finance Department and
thereafter it has been sent to the M.P.S.C. for
concurrence.

7. In view of above, M.A.No.333/2021
Adjourned to 23.09.2021 for seeking instructions
from the Respondents.

Sd/-

(Medha/Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>16.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 683/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.D Kulkarni ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that the applicant has filed appeal dated 31.1.2014 and the same is pending before His Excellency The Governor.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O is directed to take instructions in the matter within one week.</p> <p>4. S.O to 23.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.09.2021</u></p> <p><u>O.A 125/2020</u></p> <p>Shri A.P Khaire ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Krishna Agrawal i/b Talekar & Associates, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of the learned counsel for the applicant, matter is preponed to 25.10.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>16.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 688/2021</u></p> <p>Smt S.S Chikate & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply dated 8.9.2021, by Shri V.G Vaidya, Under Secretary, M.P.S.C, Respondent no. 2. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that at this stage he prays that the applicants be allowed to fill the application form for the Main Examination to the post of Assistant Motor Vehicles Inspector pursuant to advertisement dated 2.9.2021 as the last date for submission of form is 20.9.2021.</p> <p>4. M.P.S.C, Respondent no.2 is directed to accept the forms of applicants Samipa S. Chikate, Sagar G. Bangare, Shivprasad M. Vasihnav and Bharat V. Mahajan by taking necessary steps, which will be subject to the outcome of the Original Application.</p> <p>5. S.O to 18.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 353/2021 in O.A 697/2021</u></p> <p>Shri B.P Satpute ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application is filed seeking condonation of delay of 1 year and 7 months.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply seeking condonation of delay in filing the present Original Application.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- 2 (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 361/2021 in O.A 480/2021</u></p> <p>Smt L.R Kharat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate i/b Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present Misc Application is filed seeking to expedite the hearing of the Original Application No. 205/2021.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the procedure for promotion has been commenced. The applicant working as Naib Tahsildar seeks promotion to the post of Tahsildar. The issue is pertaining to her seniority which is changed due to her transfer from one Division to another Division.</p> <p>4. We have considered the submissions and because of the pendency before us, it is not possible to take the Original Application by preponing the date.</p> <p>5. Misc Application stands dismissed.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.09.2021</u></p> <p><u>M.A 357/2021 in O.A 429/2021 with M.A 359/2021 in O.A 205/2021 with M.A 362/2021 in O.A 204/2021</u></p> <p>A.R Ghemud & Ors S.J Katkar B.B Nimgire ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate i/b Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Applications are filed seeking amendment to the Original Application.</p> <p>3. Permission granted to amend the Original Application. Amendment to be carried out within two weeks and the amended copy be served on the Respondents.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply to the amended Original Application.</p> <p>5. Misc Applications are allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 477/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.P Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R Joshi i/b Shri V.V Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that Respondent no. 2, i.e. Commissioner, Social Justice has sought opinion from the Secretary, Social Justice and Special Assistance Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai on 22.7.2021.</p> <p>3. In view of the delay in the enquiry of a retired Government servant, especially in view of Rule 27(2)(b) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, Respondent is directed to take decision in accordance with the above mentioned rules.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the report is already filed by the Presenting Officer to the Disciplinary Authority on 30.8.2021. He submits that further enquiry qua the applicant be stayed.</p> <p>5. We direct the Respondents not to proceed with the enquiry qua the applicant till the next date.</p> <p>6. S.O to 14.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>16.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 688/2021</u></p> <p>Smt S.S Chikate & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply dated 8.9.2021, by Shri V.G Vaidya, Under Secretary, M.P.S.C, Respondent no. 2. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that at this stage he prays that the applicants be allowed to fill the application form for the Main Examination to the post of Assistant Motor Vehicles Inspector pursuant to advertisement dated 2.9.2021 as the last date for submission of form is 20.9.2021.</p> <p>4. M.P.S.C, Respondent no.2 is directed to accept the forms of applicants Samipa S. Chikate, Sagar G. Bangare, Shivprasad M. Vasihnava and Bharat V. Mahajan by taking necessary steps, which will be subject to the outcome of the Original Application.</p> <p>5. S.O to 18.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 457/2021</u></p> <p>Dr N.A Netke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the order dated 19.4.2021 and 1.6.2021 passed by Respondents no 1 & 2 to reinstate the applicant and giving reappointment in the post of Medical Officer.</p> <p>3. The applicant was appointed as Medical Officer on 16.1.2009 temporarily and he continued to work as Medical Officer at different places. The last appointment was given to him from 11.4.2018 to 10.3.2019. However, unfortunately, he was prosecuted for the offences under 376 (e) and 509 of I.P.C. in C.R No. 82/2018 registered on 5.12.2018. The applicant was taken into custody and remained in Jail from 6.12.2018 to 13.2.2019.</p> <p>4. The Hon'ble Bombay High Court granted him bail on 13.2.2019 and the next day he was released. His services was terminated by order dated 21.2.2019. Thereafter the Hon'ble High Court quashed and set aside the impugned FIR by order dated 25.11.2019. The applicant moved the Respondent-State by application dated 18.2.2020, 28.2.2020, 25.3.2020 and 16.3.2021.</p> <p>5. Dr. Gauri Rathod, Deputy Director, Health Services, Thane, based on the representation of the applicant, has submitted the report to the Commissioner, National Rural Health Mission, that the order be issued for appointment of the applicant. However, on 19.4.2021, the Commissioner, National Rural Health Mission, refused to reinstate him on the applicant that the applicant has misbehaved when he was in service. Thereafter, by order dated 18.5.2021 Deputy Director, Public Health Services also communicated the said decision to the applicant, which is subject matter of challenge in this Original Application.</p> <p>6. When the matter appeared on Board on 3.8.2021 the Tribunal has noted that the applicant had served as ad hoc Medical Officer for 11 years from 2008 to 2019 and the FIR is quashed by the Hon'ble High Court, Bombay. Thus, there is no offence against the applicant pending.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

