

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 15/9/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> C.P.O./H.O. for the Respondents Spl. Counsel</p> <p>Adj. to <u>O.A. is admitted.</u> <u>S.O. to 7/10/16.</u> <u>Star-Reply filed by Respondent.</u> <i>File</i></p>	<p><u>16.09.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 509, 510, 542 & 543/2016</u></p> <p>Shri N.P Udmale & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri C.T Chandratre, learned Special Counsel for the Respondents.</p> <p>Shri C.T Chandratre, learned Special Counsel for the Respondents files affidavit in sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>O.A is admitted. Place for final hearing on 7.10.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>R</i> (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

16.09.2016

M.A no 354/2016 in O.A No 788/2014

Shri D.B Gade ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 7.10.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O 7.10.2016.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

DATE: 16/9/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri. R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. Dy. S.O. to 7/10/16.

[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1053/2015

Shri R.M. Shaikh ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Perused the Exh. 'R-1' (Page 41). No additional Affidavit is filed. Admit. To come up in due course. Liberty to mention granted.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik) 10.9.16
Member (J)
16.09.2016

(skw)

DATE: 16/9/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. J. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice R.B. Malik (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Admit.

Adj. To.....

BL

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.710/2016

Shri A.C. Sonwane ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The Affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.2 is taken on record. Heard the learned P.O. In view of her submissions, the OA proceeds without the Affidavit-in-reply of Respondents 1 & 4 and final opportunity is given for Affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.3 - Additional Director General of Police (Training and Special Unit).

S.O. to 30th September, 2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
16.09.2016

16.9.16

(skw)

DATE: 16/9/16

By: R.B. Malik
Hon'ble Shri R.B. Malik (Member) * 9

APPEARANCE:
Shri V.P. Potbhare

Advocate for the Applicant
Shri Smt. S. Suryawanshi
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 26/9/16

BTE

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Correspondence, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>16/9/16</u></p> <p>BY: <u>R.B. Malik</u> (Member) A</p> <p>BY: <u>M.D. Lonkar</u></p> <p>BY: <u>A.J. Chougule</u></p> <p>Adj. To: <u>Issue notice returnable on 14/10/16</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A.917/2016</u></p> <p>Shri M.B. Sonawane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 14.10.2016.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 14th October. 2016.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <u>[Signature]</u> (R.B. Malik) - 9.16 Member (J) 16.09.2016</p> <p align="right">(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.867/2016

Dr. R.M. Haridas ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 14.10.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 14th October, 2016.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik) - 9.16
Member (J)
16.09.2016

(skw)

DATE: 16/9/16

COMMISSIONER (Chairman)

R.B. Malik (Member) ✓

APPLICANT
Sd/- M.D. Lonkar

ADVOCATE FOR APPLICANT
Sd/- A.B. Kololgi
CFO / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: Issue notice returnable
on 14/10/16

BTE

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.244/2016

DATE: 16/9/16

Shri I.R. Mulla ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

~~R.B. Malik~~

Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

~~F.A. Bandiwadekar~~

Affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.3 is taken on record. Adjourned for Rejoinder to 7th October, 2016.

~~A.J. Chougule~~

Adj. To: 7 mails for rejoinder.
skw

Sd/- 16.9.16
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
16.09.2016

DATE: 16/9/16

(skw)

~~F.A. Bandiwadekar~~

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

Adj. To:

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>16/9/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>R.B. Malik</u> (Member) <u>A J</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>Anup Patil</u> holding for <u>A. Sakolkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>14/10/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>BT</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.293/2016 in O.A.744/2016</u></p> <p>Shri U.M. Kashyap ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Anup Patil holding for Shri A. Sakolkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Applicant to make sure that the copies of the OA are served in the Office of the C.P.O.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 14.10.2016.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 14th October, 2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>(R.B. Malik)</u> <u>16.9.16</u> Member (J) 16.09.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>16/9/16</u></p> <p><u>COVENANT:</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Justice: <u>Shri A. H. Joshi</u> (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri <u>R.B. Malik</u> (Member) <u>1</u></p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u></p> <p>For Applicant: <u>Punam Mahajan</u></p> <p>For Respondent: <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>6/10/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.916/2016</u></p> <p>Shri M.B. Patil & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Liberty reserved for the Applicants to seek interim relief on any of the next occasions.</p> <p>S.O. to 6th October, 2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) <u>10 7.16</u> Member (J) 16.09.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.As. No.840 & 841 of 2016
<p>DATE: <u>16/9/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPELLANT:</u> <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/smt. Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To.....<u>16/11/16 for final disposal.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>HT</i></p>	<p>Shri S.R. Kanojiya Shri S.B. Bagsiraj ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K. and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO prays for four weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted.</p> <p>4. It shall suffice if averments contained in paras 6.5, 6.6 and 6.7 are replied..</p> <p>5. S.O. to 16.11.2016 for final disposal.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) <i>y-elm</i> Chairman 16.9.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

OAs. No.805, 806 & 807 of 2016

Smt. R.V. Dubey (OA.805/16)
Shri S.M. Pote (OA.806/16)
Shri S.M. Chinchkar(OA.807/16) ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, Miss Neelima Gohad and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. Shri Jagdale, Ld. Advocate prays for leave to substitute the memo of OAs, add and rearrange the annexures with fresh synopsis and index and prays for four weeks time.

3. Leave to amend, substitute memo, add annexures, rearrange those etc. as indicated in foregoing para is granted.

4. Time as prayed is granted for carrying out compliance.

5. The applicants shall be free to circulate the cases before due date if the substitution is carried out.

6. S.O. to 24.10.2016.

DATE: 16/9/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishnaiah (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule, smt. Hf Gohad

CEO/ P.O. for the Respondent/s

& smt. Savita Suryawanshi, P.O. for Resps.

Adj. To 24/10/16

(sgl)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)

Chairman

16.9.2016

14/9/16

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.813/2016

Shri U.B. Sankpal ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record. Smt. Mahajan says that the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder. She also invites attention to the order made by the 2nd Bench in OA 538/2016, dated 23rd August, 2016. With particular reference to Para 6 thereof, the OA is admitted and fixed for hearing before the 2nd Bench on 10th October, 2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) ¹⁶
Member (J)
16.09.2016

(skw)

DATE: 16/9/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R.B. Malik (Member) **A**

APPEARANCE:

Shri Smt. Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Admit.

Adj. To 18/10/16

B.K.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.686/2016

Ms. S.R. Kolapkar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri Ankit Chaturvedi holding for Shri R.N. Gite, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Sur-rejoinder is taken on record. Admit. To come up in due course. Liberty to mention granted.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik) - 9.16
Member (J)
16.09.2016

(skw)

DATE: 16/9/16

By R.B. Malik (Member) A J

By Ankit Chaturvedi holding for Adv. R.N. Gite

By N.K. Rajpurohit
Chief / P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To Admit Liberty to mention granted -

[Handwritten signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.231/2016

**Shri J.R. Koli ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The Affidavit-in-rejoinder is taken on record. Admit. If the Sur-rejoinder is to be filed, it must be filed the date appears before the Bench and not thereafter.

In view of the fact that interim order is running, the request of the learned P.O. is accepted and the matter is listed for hearing before the appropriate Bench on 7th October, 2016. Interim relief extended till further orders.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 10/9/16
Member (J)
16.09.2016

(skw)

DATE: 16/9/16

BY:

For the Justice (Chairman) R.B. Malik
For the Just (Member) A

FOR THE APPLICANT:

K.R. Jagdale

FOR THE RESPONDENTS:

K.S. Gaikwad

C.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Admit

Adj. To: 7/10/16

skw

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.915/2016</u></p> <p>Shri D.B. Kanere ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 14.10.2016.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 14th October, 2016.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 16.09.2016</p> <p align="right">7.16</p> <p>(skw)</p>

DATE: 16/9/16

_____ (Chairman)
R.B. Malik

_____ (Member)
M.D. Lonkar

_____ (Member)
K.B. Bhise

Adj. To. Issue notice returnable
on 14/10/16

BTE

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

16.09.2016

R.A 23/2015 with R.A 26/2015 in O.A 402/2013

Shri P.B Mokal & Ors ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Ms Manchekar, learned advocate for the Applicants, Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned C.P.O for the Respondent no. 1 and Shri R.M. Kolge, learned advocate for Respondents no 2 & 4 in R.A 23/2015 in O.A 402/2013 and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned C.P.O for the Applicant (Ori Respondent no. 1) and Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the Respondents no 1 & 3 in R.A 26/2015 in O.A 402/2013).

The perusal of the record would show that there may be some parity of factual aspect and the legal basis in deciding these Review Applications such as they were in O.A no 354/2015. That matter has been referred by us to be placed before the Larger Bench and therefore, for the present these two R.As are adjourned to 7.11.2016.

DATE: 16/9/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri N.K. Rajpurohit~~ ✓
Ms. S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri N.K. Rajpurohit~~
C.P.O. for the Respondents no. 1

~~R.M. Kolge for R.No. 2 & 4~~
in R.A. 23/15 and

~~Shri N.K. Rajpurohit~~
Applicant (Ori. R.No. 1) and

~~R.M. Kolge for R.No. 1 & 3~~
in R.A. 26/15.

~~Shri N.K. Rajpurohit~~
Applicant (Ori. R.No. 1) and

~~R.M. Kolge for R.No. 1 & 3~~
in R.A. 26/15.

~~Shri N.K. Rajpurohit~~
Applicant (Ori. R.No. 1) and

~~R.M. Kolge for R.No. 1 & 3~~
in R.A. 26/15.

S.O. to 7/11/16.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)

Akn

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 16/9/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>K. R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K. B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents <u>Rejoinder filed by Applicant.</u> Adj. To: <u>O.A. is admitted.</u> <u>S.O. to 14/10/16.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">FH @</p>	<p><u>16.09.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 477/2016</u></p> <p>Shri R.A Shelke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned Presenting Officer files affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>O.A is admitted. Respondents are at liberty to file sur-rejoinder, if need be.</p> <p>Place for final hearing on 14.10.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>l</u> (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>16/9/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.A. Gharte</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>S.O. to 7/10/16</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>16.09.2016</u> <u>M.A no 356/2016 in O.A No 995/2015</u></p> <p>Shri Vasant K. Jagdhane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Gharte, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 7.10.2016.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O 7.10.2016.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI
ORIGINAL APPLICATIONS NO.312, 313 & 406 OF 2016**

Shri R.A. Kulkarni (OA.312/16)
Shri P.B. Avhad (OA.313/16)
Shri S.K. Sawant (OA.406/16) ..Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Shri Amit Gharte – Advocate for the Applicant in OA.406/16
Miss Savita Suryawanshi – Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 16th September, 2016

ORDER

1. Heard Shri Amit Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA No.406 of 2016 and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OAs No.312/16 and 313/16 is absent.
3. Shri D.S. Urade, Under Secretary, MPSC has arrived and states that:
 - (a) MPSC has received communication from Government requesting the MPSC list of candidates.



(b) Four weeks time is required for furnishing the list.

4. Shri Amit Gharte, Ld. Advocate states that list of 31 candidates was already called by the Government way back in May, 2016 and of 21 candidates is called recently. He further states that category wise merit list is available with the MSC and all that is to be done is to tick mark the names to be sent by exercise of copying and forwarding the list and for this exercise grant of longer date is not justified.
5. The officer of MPSC was asked to make a statement why four weeks time is required.
6. He states that he has made a statement randomly without ascertaining the factual position.
7. Ld. PO is called to furnish the name of Secretary, MPSC.
8. Ld. PO has furnished the name of Shri Pradip Kumar, Secretary, MPSC.
9. Shri Pradip Kumar, Secretary, MPSC is directed to file affidavit on the following points:
 - (a) What are the steps required to be taken for ascertaining and furnishing the names of eligible/qualified candidates to the Government.
 - (b) How much time is required for each step.



(c) Time frame within which list would be furnished to the State Government.

10. Affidavit be filed on or before 20.9.2016.


11. It shall not be necessary to file affidavit as ordered in foregoing paras no.8 and 9, if the list of candidates to be furnished to the Government is brought on the next date.

12. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

13. The officer who is present today states that he will collect the order before closing of office hours.

14. S.O. to 20.9.2016.

2


(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
16.9.2016

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.