M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.07.2021.

### O.A.No.779 of 2020

K.P. Dhumale

... Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

- Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- The applicant presently working on the post of Assistant Conservator of Forest is seeking promotion to the post of Divisional Forest Officer.
- Today on instructions from Mr. Bhide Bharat, A.S.O. Revenue and Forest Department learned P.O. submits that as the matter is dealt with by Mr. Atul Kode, Joint Secretary he will remain present on Tuesday 20th July, 2021 at 10.30 a.m. along with the relevant records.
- 3. Adjourned to 20.07.2021.

۷. Sd/-(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman

Chairperson

prk

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.As.901/19 with O.A.902/19, O.A.1010/19, O.A.1011/19, O.A.137/2020, O.A.293/20 and O.A.09.2020

Shri R.S. Palkar & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

- 1. Heard Mr. A.D. Sugdare, Mrs. Punam Mahajan and Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocates for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for Respondents.
- 2. At the very outset, it was informed by Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for one of the Applicant that all these O.As are based upon the decision given by this Tribunal in the matter of M.A. Patil in which review was filed and was dismissed by this Tribunal. But being aggrieved by it, the Government has filed Writ Petition and the same is subjudice before Hon'ble High Court. It is fixed on 20th July, 2021 for admission.
- 3. Since the issue is already subjudice before Hon'ble High Court, these O.As need to be decided after a decision of Hon'ble High Court.
- 4. Hence, adjourned to 10th August, 2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.07.2021

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

### M.A.359/2020 in O.A.807/2020

Shri J.R. Gaud

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

- 1. Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.
- 2. The perusal of record reveals that Applicant and his Advocate are continuously absent. It seems Applicant and Advocate is not interested in the matter. Hence, M.A. and O.A. is dismissed. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.07.2021

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

### O.A.162/2020

Shri V.L. Hate

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

- 1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.
- 2. Though Applicant claimed deemed date of promotion on the post of Under Secretary, admittedly, he was not promoted to the post of Under Secretary and stands retired from the post of Desk Officer. This being so, the Applicant is essentially claiming promotion with retrospective effect. The subject of promotion pertains to Division Bench. Hence, matter be placed before D. Q.
- 3. S.O. to 17th August, 2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.07.2021

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A.711/2020

Shri M.N. Gaikwad

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

- 1. Heard Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad holding for Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.
- 2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned for final hearing on 12<sup>th</sup> August, 2021.
- 3. S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> August, 2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.07.2021

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A.510/2020

Shri G.R. More

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

- 1. Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.
- 2. The perusal of record reveals that Applicant and his Advocate are continuously absent. They seem not interested in the matter.
- 3. Apart, learned P.O. has tendered a copy of order dated 24.12.2020 which shows that the suspension of the Applicant is already revoked and he is reinstated in service.
- 4. In the present O.A, the challenge is to the suspension order dated 14.08.2020.
- 5. However, now, the Applicant is reinstated in service, and therefore, O.A. has become infructuous.
- 6. The copy of order dated 24.12.2020 is taken on record and marked by letter 'X' for identification.
- 7. Since O.A. has become infructuous, it is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.07.2021

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A.340/2020

Shri S.P. Thombare

Vs.

... Applicant

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

- 1. Heard Mr. Ashwin Pimpale holding for Mr. R.N. Gite, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi holding for Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.
- 2. Today, the learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.
- 3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.
- 4. S.O. to 20th August, 2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.07.2021

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A.262 & 263/2020

Shri L.J. Thakur & Shri K.J. Mhatre.

... Applicants

Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

- 1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mrs. A.B. Koloigi holding for Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.
- 2. The perusal of record reveals that the issue involved in these O.As pertains to the entitlement of the Applicant to Time Bound Promotion, though it is now coupled with recovery. Thus, the basic issue is about entitlement to Time Bound Promotion which now pertains to Division Bench in view of recent Office Order dated 28.05.2021. Therefore, matter be listed before Division Bench.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.07.2021

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.07.2021

M. A. No.203 of 2021 in O.A.No.380 of 2021

B. M. Chodankar & Ors.

....Applicants

**Versus** 

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.
- 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.
- 4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.
- 5. M.A.No.203 /2021 is allowed.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.07.2021

O.A.No.380 of 2021

B. M. Chodankar & Ors.

....Applicants

**Versus** 

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.08.2021.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 13.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.07.2021

M. A. No.153 of 2021 in O.A.No.271 of 2021

N. M. Pore

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 05.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tríbunal's orders	
	Date: 16.07.2021	
	O.A.No.1183 of 2019	
	S. H. More	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.

- 1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
- 2. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Counsel are repeatedly and continuously absent. Therefore, O.A. was dismissed in default but later it was restored and again listed for hearing. However, thereafter also the Applicant and his Counsel are remained absent.
- 3. Thus, the Applicant and his Counsel appear not interested in the matter.
- 4. Original Application, is therefore, dismissed in default.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 16.07.2021	
	O.A.No.1207 of 2019	
	M. D. MagdumApplicant	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.	
	2. In the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned for hearing to give one opportunity of hearing to Applicant and	
	his Counsel.	

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

3.

S.O. to 12.08.2021.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's ord	ders
	Date: 16.07.2021	
	0.6	A.No.1179 of 2019
	C. P. Patil	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharash	tra & OrsRespondents.
		and his Counsel are absent. Smt Presenting Officer for the Respondent
	2. S.O. to 20.08.20	021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.07.2021

M. A. No.201 of 2021 in O.A.No.180 of 2020

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Applicants
(Ori. Respondents)

**Versus** 

R. B. Dhaktode

...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)

- 1. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule , learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Ms Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Puna Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant)
- 2. This M.A. is filed for extension of two months time to implement the order passed by this Tribunal on 15.03.2021. By order dated 15.03.2021 passed in O.A.180/2020, directions were given to absorb the Applicant on any of the equivalent post in Dairy Development Department, Pune and get him join within one month from the date of judgment.
- 3. As such, the period given in O.A. expired on 15.04.2021. Thereafter, this M.A. was filed on 15.06.2021 seeking two months time for extension which is also now over. As such, the period of more than four months is over from the order passed by the Tribunal.
- 4. Since the Respondents have not challenged the order before higher forum, they were obliged to implement the same within time granted by the Tribunal.
- 5. In view of above, I am not inclined to grant any further time since enough time is already availed to implement the order.
- 6. M.A. is, therefore, disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

?T.O.

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.07.2021

O.A.No.435 of 2020

R. K. Pawar

....Applicant

**Versus** 

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 3 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4.
- 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he has already filed M.A. in the office in respect of subsequent transfer order but the same is not listed due to absence of staff in the office.
- 3. S.O. to 20.07.2021. M.A. be listed along with O.A.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.07.2021

O.A.No.597 of 2020

R. D. Ghane

....Applicant

**Versus** 

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. On previous date, two weeks time is granted as a last chance for fling reply but the same is not filed.
- 3. Today, learned P.O. again requested for grant of time to file reply.
- 4. Since already enough time is granted, I am not inclined to grant further time.
- 5. O.A. to proceed without reply. It be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file reply in the meantime. The copy of the same be served on other side in advance.
- 6. S.O. to 05.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.07.2021

O.A.No.1032 of 2019

R. S. Kamble

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

- 1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1. None for Respondent No.2.
- 2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 06.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.07.2021

O.A.No.50 of 2021

A. N. Bhalchandra

....Applicant

**Versus** 

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondents. It is taken on record.
- 3. Two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder, if any.
- 4. S.O. to 10.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.07.2021

O.A.No.100 of 2021

Y. K. Potekar

....Applicant

**Versus** 

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

- 1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note.
- 3. Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.5 is already experiment.
- 4. Learned P.O. however again sought time to file reply on behalf of other Respondents.
- 5. One week time is granted as a most last chance with specific direction that no further time will be granted.
- 6. S.O. to 27.07.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.07.2021

O.A.No.200 of 2021

S. R. Bagde & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri S. D. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.
- 3. No reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent No.4.
- 4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.
- 5. S.O. to 29.07.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.07.2021

M. A. No.09 of 2021 in O.A.No. 25 of 2021

W. B. Pawar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply in Misc. Application. It is taken on record.
- 3. The matter is adjourned for hearing along with connected M.A.10/2021 in O.A.26/2021.
- 4. S.O. to 23.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Date: 16.07.2021

O.A.No.289 of 2021

S. R. Gavande

....Applicant

**Versus** 

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

- 1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 30.07.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 16.07.2021 O.A.No.450 of 2019	
	\$. B. MosamkarApplicant	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	,
	1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. M Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents	
	2. The matter is adjourned for admission.	
	3. S.O. to 30.07.2021.	
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar)	
	Member(J)	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.07.2021

#### O.A.No.467 of 2021

A. A. Chavan

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 26.09.2020 to some extent belatedly.
- 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.08.2021.
- 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 9. S.O. to 17.08.2021.

vsm

1

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No. Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders Date: 16.07.2021 O.A.No.386, 388, 389 and 390 of 2021 5. S. Manjrekar & Ors. ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Perusal of record reveals that the Original Applications are not within limitation. Surprisingly, the aspect of limitation is not considered by the office while scrutinizing the matters. The Tribunal has come across several such matters where the scrutiny is not done properly. The Registrar is directed to ensure that the matters are scrutinized properly by the concerned and issue circular to that effect. Learned Counsel for the Applicants requested for grant of time to file Misc. Application for condonation of delay. These Original Applications be listed after filing M.A. for condonation of delay.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

/sm

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 16.07.2021
	M. A. No.516 of 2019 in O.A.No.950 of 2019
	S. K. InamkeApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents.
	<ol> <li>The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents present.</li> </ol>
	2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply in M.A. It is take on record.
	3. The matter is adjourned for hearing.
	4. 03.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.07.2021

O.A.No.408 of 2019

D. R. Jadhav

....Applicant

**Versus** 

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri D. V. Sutar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant already stands retired on 31.05.2021, therefore, challenge to the impugned order which is in respect of holding additional charge has become infructuous.
- 3. As such, no cause of action survives and O.A. has become infructuous.
- 4. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

An.

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.07.2021

O.A.No.277 of 2019

R. S. Phadtare

....Applicant

**Versus** 

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

- 1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
- 2. Shri D. V. Sutar holding for Shri Deokar, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Advocate Shri Deokar is unable to remain present due to personal difficulties and requested to adjourn the matter.
- 3. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Counsel are continuously absent but the matter was adjourned to give one opportunity.
- 3. Thus, they seem not interested in the matter. However, in the interest of justice, one week time is granted as a last chance for hearing.
- 4. S.O.to 02.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.07.2021

O.A.No.465 of 2021

S. M. Shinde

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

- Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. The Applicant has filed this O.A. for direction to Respondent No.1 to release pension as per 7<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission recommendation. He stands retired on 30.06.2019.
- 3. Perusal of record reveals that the pension papers of the Applicant were returned by Accountant General (A.G.) by letter dated 30.09.2020 raising certain objections about the pay fixation and since then the matter is stuck up.
- 4. Indeed, the Respondent No.4 ought to have been taken appropriate steps on receipt of letter of A.G. so that the Applicant could get pension in accordance to rules. However, though enough period is over, no steps are taken in this behalf. It indicates inaction on the part of Respondent No.4 and the Applicant is deprived of getting his pension.
- 5. In view of above, the Respondent No.4 is directed to depute some responsible officer from the office to remain present in the Tribunal on next date with record and to explain why further steps in respect of objections raised by A.G. are not taken.
  - 6. In the meantime, issue notice before admission returnable on 30.07.2021.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

- Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of D.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 11. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 12. S.O. to 30.07.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of  $20^{\Omega}$ 

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.07.2021

O.A.No.392 of 2021 with M.A. No.206 of 2021

S. S. Mali & Ors.

....Applicant

**Versus** 

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. M.A. is filed for sue-jointly. However, perusal of O.A. reveals that in respect of Applicant No.1 only O.A. is within limitation since the impugned order is dated 08.03.2021. Whereas, in respect of Applicant No.2 the order impugned is 23.06.2016.
- 3. As such, in so far as the Applicant No.2 is concerned, O.A. is not within limitation. No application for condonation of delay is filed.
- 4. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicant is required to file separate O.A. in respect of Applicant No.2 along with application for condonation of delay.
- 5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant concedes this position and requests to delete the name of Applicant No.2 from O.A.
- Permission granted. O.A. shall continue in respect of Applicant No.1 only.
- 7. In view of above, M.A. No.206/2021 for sue-jointly does not survive and accordingly disposed of.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

- 8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.08.2021.
- 9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 12. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 14. S.O. to 13.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate	)	
	versus	
Т	he State of Maharasht	cra and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda o Appearance, Tribunal's order directions and Registrar's or	's or	Tribunat's orders

#### O.A.28/2021

Shri D.B. Pawar Vs. ... Applicant

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

- Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for Respondents.
- 2. The perusal of record reveals that Respondent No.1 Director, Vocational Training Directorate, Mumbai had already sent proposal to Principal Secretary, Skill Development, Employment and Entrepreneurship Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai for seeking orders from the Government in respect of break in service period from 10.04.2002 to 15.07.2014.
- 3. As such, it is for the concerned authority viz. Principal Secretary, Skill Development, Employment and Entrepreneurship Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai to take decision in this behalf within reasonable time.
- 4. The learned Advocate for the Applicant as well as learned P.O. fairly concede that O.A. be disposed of with direction to Principal Secretary, Skill Development, Employment and Entrepreneurship Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai to pass appropriate order on the proposal forwarded by respondent No.1.
- 5. Since, Principal Secretary, Skill Development, Employment and Entrepreneurship Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai is not party to the O.A, permission granted to the learned Advocate for the Applicant to add him as Respondent No.3.
- 6. In view of above, the O.A. is disposed of with direction to Principal Secretary, Skill Development, Employment and Entrepreneurship Department, Mantralaya,

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
-Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrat's orders

Tribunal's orders

Mumbai to take decision on the proposal dated 04.05.2021 forwarded by Respondent No.1, in accordance to Rules within two months from today and the decision thereon, as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter.

7. If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision, be may avail further remedy.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 16.07.2021