

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>16.06.2023</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 818/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.S Hipparkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the communication dated 14.6.2023, the impugned order dated 22.9.2021 is withdrawn.</p> <p>3. As nothing remains in the Original Application, the same stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (<b>Medha Gadgil</b>) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (<b>Mridula Bhatkar, J.</b>) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 16.06.2023**

**O.A.No.716/2022 to O.A.No.730/2022**

H.G. Sapkal & Ors. ....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Matter already adjourned to 12.07.2023. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil  
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>16.06.2023</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 315/2016</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.J Waychal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents and Shri K.R Jagdale, learned counsel for Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>2. An issue cropped up about interpretation of Rule 16 of the Procedure Rules of 2014 of M.P.S.C. The Secretary, M.P.S.C is directed to file affidavit in respect of Rule 16 as to what omission can be corrected by M.P.S.C.</p> <p>3. Matter is peremptorily fixed on 21.6.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 16.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.286 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>R.R. Saraf</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks one week time for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. During the last hearing i.e. on 09.06.2023 matter was adjourned for today granting last chance and seeking updated position of the case.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- 23  <b>(Bijay Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.06.2023

O.A. No.531 of 2023

Dr. S.D. Suryawanshi .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On perusal of oral order dated 04.05.2023 it appears that the notices were directed by the Tribunal to be issued which were to be collected within seven days and served on the Respondents. In pursuance of this, the service Affidavit filed shows that the notice was served on Respondent No.2 on the very day of its issued i.e. 04.05.2023 by hand delivery at Beed. However, there is no signed acknowledgment by Respondent No.2 on record which may confirm claim of Applicant that notice had been served by hand delivery. In slew a document purported to have been downloaded from website of Indian Post has been submitted by the Applicant with the registry which doesn't have mention of the name of the addressee. Moreover, it is not clarified as to how the factual statement <sup>made</sup> ~~in~~ service Affidavit, <sup>at all</sup> a mode of service of notice is not supported by acknowledgment issued by the Respondent No.2. Registry is directed to examine the aspect and report back.

3. Referring to the grievance of the Applicant, Respondents authorities are hereby directed to give posting to the Applicant instead of keeping him under compulsory waiting which may be resulting into wasteful expenditure of public money by payment for the period of compulsory waiting.

4. This should be complied within seven days.

5. S.O. to 26.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Bijay Kumar)  
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 16.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.98 of 2023 with O.A. No.99 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>R.V. Khedekar</b>  <b>P.M. Talathi</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Tejal Nagadia, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P. Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. During the last hearing taken place on 28.04.2023 four weeks time was granted and matter was fixed on 16.06.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks three weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Matter relates to post retirement benefits adjudicating issue of qualifying services taking into account temporary services.</p> <p>4. Two weeks time is being granted for filing Reply as last chance.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Bijay Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 16.06.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.492 of 2023</p> <p>M.G. Mulla .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Advocate holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 16.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.279 of 2023 with O.A. No.281 of 2023 with O.A. No.282 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.R. Mate D.R. Shinde V.W. Marne</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is being granted for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder, if necessary.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- 3 <b>(Bijay Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 16.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.487 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.S. Rajadhyaksha</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No. It is taken on record and copy thereof served to learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder, if necessary.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Bijay Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p>

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 16.06.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.466 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.A. Rawade .....Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">73</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 16.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.347 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.R. Damse</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Advocate holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Last chance was given to file Affidavit-in-Reply in the matter, however Respondents have not complied with these conditions.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks two weeks time, since there is no interim-relief one week time is being given as one additional chance after the last chance, failing which the cost will be imposed.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Bijay Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 16.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.618 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.B. Gone</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter had been adjourned for today vide oral order dated 01.06.2023 for filing Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondents. However learned P.O. seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. During the brief oral submission made by learned Advocate for the Applicant it is clarified that the Applicant is seeking relief under the provision of G.R. issued by Revenue and Forest Department dated 21.11.1995 which is Annexed with the O.A. at Pg.133 and marked as A-15 read with G.R. dated 29.10.2020 Annexed at Pg.138 and marked as A-16.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has also brought to our notice that the Applicant Shri S.B. Gone has filed another O.A. No.676/2023 which is also pending before Single Bench. Ongoing through the contents of the said O.A., it appears that the grievance of the Applicant in the two O.As taken together is that the employees in the cadre of Awal Karkoon and Circle Officer are not being interchanged as per the stated policy. Some of the Awal Karkoon being favored to continue as Circle Officer, like the private Respondents in O.A. No.676/2023 for a larger period. Whereas the applicant in the present O.A. is continued in the cadre of Awal Karkoon without reverting them back to their original cadre of Circle Officer.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. This matter can be taken to logical conclusion by tagging two matter together O.A. No.618/2023 along with O.A. No.676/2023 so that the manner in which the scheme is opted can be look into thoroughly and both the O.As can be decided conversely.</p> <p>5. Registry is directed to place the matter before appropriate bench.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 23.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Bijay Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*


The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 16.06.2023 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.670/2023</p>
	<p>R.H. Metkar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that his name be included in the recommendation list dated 02.06.2023. He seeks some suitable amendment in paragraph 5 of G.R. dated 04.05.2023 making in applicable to the Recruitment Process pending as on the date of issuance of G.R.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 20.06.2023. To be shown under the caption 'For Urgent Admission'. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned for hearing on interim relief.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 16.06.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.522/2023</b></p> <p>R.S. Kachare .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays to quash and set aside the impugned order dated 04.05.2022 issued by Respondent No.1 and grant consequential benefits.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.07.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>9. Adjourned to 14.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil Member (A))</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 16.06.2023

O.A.No.485/2023

P.D. Rathod .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant prays to quash and set aside the impugned dismissal order dated 26.09.2008 issued by Respondent No.2 in pursuance of the acquittal from Criminal Case on 01.10.2022 and also to quash the impugned order dated 06.02.2023 issued by the Respondent No.2 and reinstate the Applicant on the post of Junior Clerk.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.06.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 30.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 16.06.2023**

**O.A.No.379/2023**

M.T. Ahire .....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant prays to direct the Respondent No.2 to hear and decide the pending statutory Appeal dated 30.09.2022 and thereafter to communicate the decision.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.06.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 23.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
--	--------------------------

**Date : 16.06.2023**

**O.A.No.870/2021**

A.R. Ishware & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.V. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Matter already adjourned. Taken on board at the request of learned Advocate.

3. Learned Advocate has submitted that affidavit-in-reply of Respondents No.5 and 7 is awaited.

4. Respondents No.5 and 7 are directed to file reply.

5. Already Adjourned to 28.06.2023.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil  
Member (A)**

prk

11/11  
Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><b>Date : 16.06.2023</b> <b>Tribunal's orders</b></p> <p><del>M.A.No.376/2023 in C.A.No.379/2022 &amp; 380/2022 in O.A.Stamp No.2140/2021 (Nagpur)</del></p> <p>Dr. G.G. Mohalle &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Omkar A. Wable, learned Advocate holding Mr. P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.376/2023 is moved by learned Advocate Mr. Wable seeking transfer of C.A.No.379/2022 &amp; 380/2022 in O.A.Stamp No.2140/2021 which is pending before the Nagpur Bench to Aurangabad Bench under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 on the ground that another matter claiming similar relief is pending before Aurangabad Bench</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. submits to the orders of the Court.</p> <p>4. In view of above, M.A. stands allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">19/ 11 Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>
--	--

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 16.06.2023
---	--

**O.A.No.1204/2022**

M.V. Haldekar .....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate has submitted that Clause 5.3 of G.R. dated 20.04.2023 has not be considered by the Respondents.

3. Learned C.P.O. is directed to take instructions whether this G.R. has been followed in this present matter.

4. Adjourned to 30.06.2023. P.H..

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 16.06.2023**

**O.A.No.1283/2022**

Dr. D.B. Menkar .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Reply is already filed.

3. Adjourned to 14.07.2023, for rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil  
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 16.06.2023**

**O.A.No.481/2023**

R.B. Mote & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Jwala, learned Advocate holding for M/S. Talekar & Asso. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Reply is already filed.

3. At the request of learned Advocate Adjourned to 14.07.2023 for rejoinder.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil  
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 16.06.2023**

**O.A.No.74/2023 with O.A.No.75/2023**

P.P. Kasture & Anr .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Reply is already filed.

3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 30.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil  
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 16.06.2023 Tribunal's orders</p> <p><del>M.A.No.315/2023 in O.A.No.501/2023 with M.A.No.316/2023 in O.A.No.502/2023 with M.A.No.317/2023 in O.A.No.503/2023</del></p> <p>P.S. Pitale &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 30.06.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>
--	---



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 16.06.2023**

**O.A.No.346/2020**

G.S. Karande .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Matter already adjourned. Taken on board at the request of learned Advocate.

3. At the request of learned Advocate to 10.07.2023. HOB

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil  
Member (A)

prk

IN  
Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 16.06.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.436/2017</b></p> <p>S.S. Mane .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolege. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that during the course of the day reply will be filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 26.06.2023.. P.H.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 16.06.2023 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.174/2022
---	---

V.C. Gaikwad .....Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents:

1. Heard Mr. V.M. Emul, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.

3. Time granted. Adjourned to 14.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil  
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s  
(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others  
..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 16.06.2023**

**O.A.No.1016/2022 to O.A.No.1021/2022 with  
O.A.No.1026/2022 with O.A.No.1059/2022 with  
O.A.No.1062/2022 with O.A.No.1063/2022**

S.C. Burse & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that reply is already filed. She further states that appeal is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on this point.

3. In view of above, adjourned to 28.07.2023.

Sd/-  
(Medha) Gadgil  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>16.06.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 463, 4674 &amp; 465/2023</u></b></p> <p>G.D Avhad N.S Sabale &amp; Ors R.S Kapade &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.S Kadam, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. After hearing both sides, we grant interim relief in terms of prayer clause F and G, qua the applicants.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“F. To grant interim injunction restraining the respondents from discontinuing the service of the applicants, pending hearing and final disposal of the original application.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">G. To grant interim injunction restraining the respondents from making appointments on the post of Sports teachers/coaches held by the applicants, either by fresh advertisement or outsourcing, pending hearing and final disposal of this Original Application.”</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.7.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>16.06.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A 299/2023 in O.A 465/2023</u></b></p> <p>N.S Kapade &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.S Kadam, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p style="font-size: small;">17.11.23 M</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>16.06.2023</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 285/2023 in O.A 464/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri N.S Sable &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.S Kadam, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 16.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.397 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>A.B. Jagtap</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Advocate holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is being informed that Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. is arguing the matter in another Court and therefore the matter is fixed on 19.06.2023 for the compliance of following facts: <sup>with respect to following issues</sup></p> <p>A) Addressing the grievance of continuation with the suspension without review of suspension order and without initiation of D.E.</p> <p>B) Non-payment of subsistence allowance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Bijay Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 16.06.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.509 of 2023</p> <p>S.D. Wagh &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.06.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 16.06.2023</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.506 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>N.K. Mujawar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-    <b>(Bijay Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 16.06.2023

O.A. No.505 of 2023

A.K. Ugalmugale .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.
3. S.O. to 26.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Bijay Kumar)  
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 16.06.2023

O.A. No.504 of 2023

A.B. Pande .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.

3. S.O. to 30.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Bijay Kumar)  
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 16.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.634 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>M.S. Botle</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant one week time is granted to file Rejoinder, if necessary.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- 3  <b>(Bijay Kumar)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p>

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 16.06.2023

C. A. No.64 of 2022 in O.A.No.608 of 2019

Dr. M. V. Pande .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Vaishali Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. has tendered order dated 15.06.2023 granting leave encashment which was the only compliance remained to be made. Other benefits are already paid in terms of order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.
3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant, therefore, submits that grievances are redressed.
4. In view of above, contempt proceedings are disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

\_\_\_\_\_  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.06.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C. A. No.76 of 2022 in O.A.No.489 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. R. R. Asawa <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span>  Versus  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Asawa, the Applicant in person and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of contemnor no.2- Digambar Ambadas Dalvi, Director in the office of Directorate of Vocational Education and Training, Mumbai. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. In Affidavit, the contemnor states that steps are being taken to implement the order of the Tribunal passed in O.A.No.489/2021 and proposal is pending with contemnor no.1 - Principal Secretary, Skill Development and Entrepreneurship Department, Mumbai. In Affidavit, it is further stated that Respondents have challenged the order passed by the Tribunal by filing W.P. which is not yet admitted nor listed.</li> <li>4. The Tribunal decided O.A. No.489/2021 along with connected O.A.s by order dated 05.07.2022. The Respondents <sup>are</sup> <del>are</del> directed to extend the benefit of increment due on 1st July of the concerned year of the retirement of the Applicants. Three months' time was granted for compliance which was expired in October, 2022. Even thereafter also enough time is availed by the Respondents but till date there is no compliance and no such expeditious steps were taken for implementing the decision rendered by the Tribunal. As such, <i>prima-facie</i>, there seems to be contempt of the order passed by the Tribunal.</li> <li>5. In view of above, one week time is granted to learned P.O. to file Affidavit of contemnor no.1 so that after considering his contention further steps in contempt proceeding would be taken.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 26.06.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) <span style="float: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span>  Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member(J)</span></p> <p style="text-align: left;">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.06.2023</p> <p align="center"><b>C. A. No.52 of 2023 in O.A.No.964 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>D. D. Shirsat</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. D. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O. submits that the Government is challenging the order passed by the Tribunal by filing W.P. According to him, W.P. is being filed today.</li> <li>3. The Tribunal has passed the order dated 22.12.2022 and six weeks' time was granted for compliance. However, till date there is no compliance.</li> <li>4. Even for filing W.P., steps seem to have been taken quite belatedly.</li> <li>5. One week time is granted to contemnors to file Affidavit in Reply or to bring stay order from the Hon'ble High Court.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 23.06.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) <span style="float: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 16.06.2023

C. A. No.49 of 2023 in O.A.No.1224 of 2022

V. D. Kamane ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that except gratuity and leave encashment, all benefits are paid.

3. Learned P.O. submits that for remaining benefits, the file is in process.

4. Indeed, as per order passed by the Tribunal on 14.02.2023, all the retirement benefits were to be paid within two months but not paid and, therefore, this C.A. is filed.

5. One week time is granted to contemnor to file reply as to why contempt proceeding should not be initiated against him.

6. S.O. to 23.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.06.2023</p> <p align="center">C. A. No.20 of 2023 in O.A.No.397 of 2022 With C. A. No.21 of 2023 in O. A. No.396 of 2022</p> <p>A. B Gangurde R. S. Kajale <span style="float: right;">....Applicants</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that on previous date, statement was made by learned P.O. that all retiral benefits were given to the Applicants and nothing remained to be paid. That day, matter was adjourned on request of learned Advocate for the Applicants to verify it from the Applicants.</p> <p>3. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that he has no instructions from the Applicants.</p> <p>4. Thus, the directions given by the Tribunal in order dated 06.07.2022 seems to be complied with.</p> <p>5. In view of above, contempt proceedings are disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) <span style="float: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.06.2023</p> <p align="center">C. A. No.2 of 2023 in O.A.No.476 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. A. M. Kadhikhaye <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Badiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Shri D. K. Lokhande, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. as well as learned Counsel for Zilla Parishad, one week time is granted to file Affidavit in Reply of Contemnor no.3 - Dayanand Suryawanshi, District Health Officer , ZP, Palghar for giving details of payments etc.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.06.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) <span style="float:right">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float:right">Member(J)</span></p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.861/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri S.Y. Manjare</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri Atharv Nalawade holding for Shri R.H. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Shri Atharv Nalawade, learned Advocate requested for adjournment on the ground that his senior is not available.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> July, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>16.06.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>R.A.08/2023 in O.A.462/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Smt. M.R. Khude &amp; Ors.                   ... Applicants</b> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.               ... Respondents</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>2. This Review Application was filed for review of order passed by the Tribunal on 27.04.2023 in O.A.No.462/2022.</li> <li>3. Issue notice to Respondents made returnable on 12.07.2023.</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>for Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 12.07.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>16.06.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

of 20

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.06.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No. 652 of 2023</p> <p>N. R. Bariya <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In this O.A., the Applicant is simply seeking direction to Respondent No.3 to appoint him on compassionate appointment.</li> <li>3. The perusal of O.A. reveals that Applicant's name is already taken in the waiting list. This being so, he will get appointment as per his turn. It is not a case of Applicant that some juniors are given compassionate appointment or waiting list is not maintained properly. This being so, the O.A. is premature.</li> <li>4. At this juncture, learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw O.A. with liberty to sought information under RTI and to file proper O.A., if ground exists.</li> <li>5. Allowed to withdraw O.A.</li> <li>6. O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No. 655 of 2023</p> <p>D. D. Rane .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 30.11.2022 thereby cancelling time bound promotion and recovery is sought after his retirement.</li> <li>3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.07.2023.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ <del>Miscellaneous</del> Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / <del>Miscellaneous</del> Application shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 14.07.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.625 of 2023</p> <p>N. S. Kadbhane .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S. V. Waghmare , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 27.07.2022 as well as letter of recovery dated 24.03.2023 whereby sum of Rs.5,08,363/- is deducted from gratuity towards excess payment made to the Applicant while in service.</li> <li>3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.07.2023.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 14.07.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.06.2023</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.164 of 2023 in O.A.No.294 of 2023 with M.A.No.166 of 2023 in O.A.No.295 of 2023 with M.A.No.168 of 2023 in O.A.No.296 of 2023</p> <p>D. M. Kote &amp; Ors. P. K. Ingale &amp; Ors. M. B. Jadhav &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">....Applicants</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Vaishali Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply in M.A.166/2023 in O.A.No.295/2023. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.07.2023 for hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 16.06.2023

M. A. No.392 of 2023 in O.A.No.469 of 2023

E. P. Patil ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By way of amendment, the Applicant is challenging legality of suspension order dated 02.06.2023 in pending O.A. which was filed simpliciter for direction to give him posting either at Sinnar or Niphad.

3. In O.A. notices were issued. During the pendency of O.A., the Applicant is suspended by Government on 02.06.2023. As such, the suspension order needs to be challenged independently. Since the subject matter of O.A. is totally different.

4. In view of above, I am not inclined to allow amendment. The Applicant is at liberty to challenge the suspension order by filing fresh O.A., if so desires.

5. Accordingly, M.A. is dismissed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 16.06.2023

O.A.No.862 of 2022

M. A. G. Shaikh & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Vaishali Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought adjournment.

3. S.O. to 30.06.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 16.06.2023

O.A.No.974 of 2023

N. G Kabadi ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard the Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, the matter is for filing Sur-Rejoinder. However, learned P.O. submits that she does not want to file Sur-Rejoinder.
3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.
4. S.O. to 10.07.2023.

Sd/-  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.06.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.674 of 2023</p> <p>D. B. Sul <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 15.05.2023.</li> <li>3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.07.2023.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 14.07.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 16.06.2023

O.A.No.676 of 2023

S. B. Gone ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 09.09.2022 whereby he was transferred from the post of Circle Officer to Awal Karkun and communication dated 31.05.2023.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.06.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 23.06.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 16.06.2023

M. A. No.199 of 2023 in O.A.No.462 of 2020

D. R. Nalwad & Ors. ....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Vaishali Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt.Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply in M.A. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 28.07.2023 for hearing.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 16.06.2023

O.A.No.620 of 2023 with O.A.No.621 of 2023

D. B. Patil

S. B. Balwant

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicants have challenged Clause 2(4) of order dated 15.11.2022 and sought monetary benefits from deemed date of promotion.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.07.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 12.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 16.06.2023

M. A. No.41 of 2023 in M.A.No.42 of 2023 in  
O.A.No.84 of 2023

S. E. Gangtire & Ors. ....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicants and their Counsel are absent.  
Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the  
Respondents.

2. S.O. to 28.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 16.06.2023

O.A.No.469 of 2023

E. P. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This O.A. is filed for seeking direction to giving posting either at Sinnar or Niphad as Assistant Registrar Cooperative Societies. The Applicant was arrested under the provisions of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 in view of registration on crime on 02.03.2023. All that Respondents withdrew the charges from the Applicant and kept it with Shri Ranjit Patil.

3. During the pendency of O.A., the Applicant is suspended by order dated 02.06.2023. This being so, the O.A. No.469/2023 seeking posting has become infructuous.

4. In view of above, the Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.06.2023</p> <p>M. A. No.382 of 2023 in O.A.No.657 of 2023</p> <p>R. N. Patil .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This M.A. is filed to condone the delay of 377 days caused in filing O.A.657/2023.</li> <li>3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.07.2023</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the <del>Original</del>/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the <del>Original</del> / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 14.07.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 16.06.2023

O.A.No.1174 of 2022

A. Y. Jagtap

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard the Applicant in person and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant in person requested for time to file Vakalatnama of Advocate Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar.
3. Two weeks' time is granted to take necessary steps.
4. S.O. to 12.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.06.2023</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.672 of 2023</b></p> <p>C. M. Khartmal <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. B Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant stands retired on 30.04.2013. He is being paid provisional pension. Till date regular pension is not paid. Apart gratuity is also not paid. He. therefore, filed present O.A.</li> <li>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that there was neither D.E. or criminal prosecution pending against the Applicant at the time of retirement and there was no such legal hurdle to withhold gratuity and regular pension.</li> <li>4. In view of above, apparently there being 10 years delay for non-payment of gratuity and regular pension.</li> <li>5. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from Respondent No.2 - Commissioner of Transport, Mumbai and to apprise the Tribunal for the same at 2.30. P.M.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

L.O.:-

1. In afternoon session, learned P.O. requested for short time to take instructions. Time granted.
2. S.O. to 19<sup>th</sup> June, 2023.

Sd/-  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 16.06.2023

O.A.No.53 of 2021  
with  
O.A.No.54 of 2021  
with  
O.A.No.33 of 2022  
with  
O.A.No.962 of 2021

N. G. Rangnekar  
P. A. Gore  
P. P. Dhumal  
S. M. Kalkute

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicants and their Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad and Shri A. J. Chogule, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. In the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.

3. S.O. to 28.07.2023.

Sd/-  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm