

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 16.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.213 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. D.B. BhosaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant is transferred from post of 'Deputy Collector, Kal Project, Mangaon, Taluka Mangaon, District Raigad' to post of 'Deputy Collector Land Acquisition Konkan Railway No.1, District Ratnagiri' and these are both same 'Parliamentary Constituency'. 3. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' after clarification is placed on record by the 'Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' who is 'Respondent No.2' with respect to implementation directions 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 23.02.2024 which requires transfer of Government Servants to be done not only outside the 'Revenue District' but even outside 'Parliamentary Constituency'. 4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'. 5. S.O. to 11.06.2024. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.787 of 2024</p> <p>A. R. DeokarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for Respondent Nos.3 & 4.</p> <p>2. Shri Dere, learned Counsel for Respondent Nos.3 & 4 submits that he is going to serve unaffirmed copy of reply to learned Counsel for Applicant and learned CPO for Respondents as his client is not available for affirmation.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1069 of 2023 with O.A. No. 1097 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. KhotApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Raktade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri R. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant present copy of the School Education Sports Department GR dated 15.03.2024 and draws attention to names of officers at 'Serial No. 7' and 'Serial No. 9' who were working on posts of 'Lecturer' in 'District Institute of Education and Training, Sangli' and upon promotion as 'Senior Lecturer' have been transferred to 'District Institutes of Education and Training' at 'Sindhudurg' and 'Raigad'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant therefore states that as now there are two vacancies of 'Lecturer' in 'District Institutes of Education and Training, Sangli'; the request of Applicant must be considered and appropriate decision taken by 'Principal Secretary, School Education Sports Department' taking note of observation made in 'Para 6' of Order dated 15.03.2024.</p> <p>4. The earlier request of Applicant to transfer her as 'Lecturer' in 'District Institutes of Education and Training, Sangli' was not considered but instead she was transferred to post of 'Lecturer' in 'SCERT Pune' when most of the other 51 officers serving on posts of 'Lecturer' came to be transferred to various 'District</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Institutes of Education and Training by Transfer Order
dated 23.06.2023.
Tribunal's orders

5. The 'Principal Secretary, School Education Sports Department' can thus be expected in all fairness to take expeditious decision with regard to the earlier request of Applicant to be transferred to vacant post of 'Lecturer' in 'District Institute of Education and Training, Sangli'. However; as this may take some time; it is directed that atleast 'One Post' of 'Lecturer' in 'District Institutes of Education and Training, Sangli' be kept vacant till final decision in this O.A. No. 1097 of 2023.

6. The 'Interim Relief' granted to Applicant to continue till 'Next Date'.

7. S.O. 02.05.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 16.04.2024

O.A.No.64 of 2024

P. P. Dhuri & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. The learned Counsel for Applicants states that Applicants have applied to various posts including 'Staff Nurse, Group -C' pursuant to Advertisement dated 10.05.2023 issued by the Respondent No.3- Commissioner of Medical Education & Research, Mumbai which includes 517 posts counted for candidates from 'EWS Category'.

3. Learned Counsel for Applicants states that Respondent No.3- Commissioner of Medical Education and Research should allow the Applicants who possess documents prior to the last date of submission of online application form as the said condition is relaxed in respect of candidates falls in 'NCL Category' whereas for the candidates belong to 'EWS', it has not been exempted although candidates from both streams having identical eligibility criteria.

4. The learned Counsel for Applicants further states that Applicants pray for direction that 'Respondent No.1- GAD' to take appropriate decision and interpreted the provision of 'Clause 2 (4)' of the GR dated 31.05.2023 to hold that the Applicants who belong to 'EWS Category' possess valid 'EWS

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Certificate' on the 'Last Date of Submission of Application Form', if the said 'EWS Certificate' is issued for the Financial Year in which Advertisement has been issued then Applicants cannot be debarred on the ground that they do not possess valid 'EWS Certificate' on 'Last Date of Submission of Application Form'.

5. Learned Counsel for Applicants relied on 'Para 4' of 'Short Affidavit in Reply', dated 13.03.2024 filed by Shri Khalid Bashir Ahmed Arab, Joint Secretary, GAD which reads as under:-

"4. Further, I say and submit that, as per Government Resolution dated 31st May, 2021 of General Administration Department, the EWS Certificate issued on the basis of Income and Asset of the previous financial year is valid upto the current financial year. Further, in any recruitment process, if there are more than one stages or if the recruitment process ends in next financial year, then the validity of EWS Certificate to be submitted by candidate should be clearly mentioned in the advertisement for the said post. Thus, while verifying documents of candidates validity period (eg. Valid for the Year 2022-2023, 2023-2024) of Certificate should be considered rather than the date of issue of the Certificate (eg.15.05.2023, 15.10.2023)"

6. In view of statement made above that 'EWS Certificate' was required to be issued on particular date for 'Financial Year 2023-2024' when Advertisement was published on 10.05.2023 then the date of issue of 'EWS Certificate' is not relevant. In view of the fact that, if Applicants are holding 'EWS Certificate' of 'Financial Year 2023-2024' in which the Advertisement dated 10.05.2023 was published then the Applicants are not to be disqualified on this ground by Respondent No.3- Commissioner of Medical Education & Research, Mumbai.

7. The Original Application is allowed to this extent and Applicants if are found to be otherwise eligible then in that case they are to be appointed to various posts including 'Staff Nurse, Group -C'. The decision in this regard is to be taken till 30.06.2024.

8. The Original Application is accordingly disposed off. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 16.04.2024</p> <p>M.A. No.239 of 2024 in O.A. No.511 of 2024</p> <p>R.B. Bhil & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants by M.A. No.239 of 2024 in O.A. No.511 of 2024 are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in this M.A. No.239 of 2024, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. M.A. No.239 of 2024 is accordingly disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Date: 16.04.2024

O.A. No.511 of 2023

R.B. Bhil & Ors.,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant who are working as 'Police Constable' primarily in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' seeks 'Inter District Transfer' to establishment of 'Superintendent of Police, Nandurbar'.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant draws attention to letter of 'Superintendent of Police, Nandurbar' dated 24.02.2023 which includes name of all Applicants, wherein it is stated that their Applications for 'Inter District Transfer' have been recorded & closed; on the grounds that 'P.E.B.' has decided to give priority to 'Police Constables' who are to join after new recruitment and as preference was required to be given to local candidates who belong to categories of 'Schedule Tribes'.

4. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that Applicants were required to be relieved by 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' in view of directions in 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' Circular dated 28.01.2021 which refers to guidelines in 'Home Department' G.R. dated 26.10.2017. The "D.G.P. Maharashtra State' had given directions to all (i) 'Commissioners of Police' (ii) 'Spl. I.G.P's' (iii) 'Superintendents of Police' (iv) 'Heads of Specialized Agencies' to forthwith grant permissions for 'Inter District Transfer' as such decisions were considered as 'Welfare Measure' for 'Police Personnel'.

5. Learned Advocate for Applicants also states that Applications made by Applicants for 'Inter District Transfers' had been forwarded by 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' to 'Superintendent of Police, Nandurbar'.

6. Learned Advocate for Applicant further mentions that 'Superintendent of Police, Nandurbar' by 'Advertisement' dated 01.03.2024 has started process of new recruitment for posts of 151 'Police Constables'. Therefore; Applicants

apprehend that if all posts of '151 Police Constables' get filled up after new recruitment; then no posts would be available for Applicants to join on 'Inter District Transfer' on establishment of 'Superintendent of Police, Nadurbar'.

7. Learned P.O. seeks time to obtain factual information with regard to 'Advertisement' dated 01.03.2024 for new recruitment for posts of 151 'Police Constables' by office of 'Superintendent of Police, Nandurbar'.

8. The prayer of Applicants for 'Interim Relief' to keep posts of '9 Police Constables' vacant at time of new recruitment of 151 'Police Constables' by 'Superintendent of Police, Nadurbar' will be heard on 'Next Date'.

9. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.04.2024.

11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

13. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

14. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

15. S.O. to 23.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.198 of 2024</p> <p>S. B. More & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for Respondent Nos.4 to 12.</p> <p>2. At request of learned CPO, two weeks' time is granted to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. If appointments are made, it will be subject to final decision in O.A. as there is order passed by MAT Bench Aurangabad in O.A.386/2024 dated 27.03.2024.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 16.04.2024 ^{Tribunal's orders}

O.A.No.343 of 2024

S. B. Polsane

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned CPO states that she has received instructions from the office of the Respondent No,2-Commissioner, State GST, Mumbai for seeking more time of 'Four weeks' .
3. The learned Counsel for Applicant submits that the Commissioner, State GST, Mumbai has already submitted proposal on 13.12.2023 seeking advice from the office of Additional Chief Secretary, Finance Department.
4. Learned CPO states that the senior officers including Commissioner, State GST, Mumbai are deputed on 'Election Duty' and therefore, seeks time of 'Four weeks'.
5. The Respondent No.2-Commissioner, State GST, Mumbai has already sent proposal to office of Additional Chief Secretary, Finance Department on 13.12.2023. Thus, as the office of Additional Chief Secretary, Finance Department remains functional even during the period of General Elections of Lok Sabha 2024, we direct that the decision with regard to proposal submitted by Commissioner, State GST, Mumbai on 13.12.2023 be taken within 'Two Weeks'.
6. S.O.to 30.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 16.04.2024

O.A.No.502 of 2024

Smt. B. S. DusaneApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.04.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 23.04.2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 16.04.2024.

O.A.No.472 of 2024

Dr. M. Patil & Ors.Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.06.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 13.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O. A. No. 506 of 2024</p> <p>Mahesh S. GodseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.06.2024. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 12.06.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 16.04.2024.

O.A.No.470 of 2024

Dr. M. M. BarwadeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.06.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 13.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 16.04.2024.

O.A.No.369 of 2024

Dr. Arun P. RathodApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Daksha Pungere holding for Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned PO submits that as per pleadings in the OA, the service of the Applicant is discontinued since May, 2022. Learned PO seeks time to file reply.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.04.2024.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 30.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.450 of 2024</p> <p>S. G. KaraleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks two weeks' time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024.</p> <p>M. A. No.231 of 2024 In O.A.No.472 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. M. Patil & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.5. M. A. No.231/2024 is allowed. No order as to costs. <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024.</p> <p>O.A.No.429 of 2024</p> <p>B. A. BahotApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents2. The Applicant seeks reversion on the ground that there is severe injury in his right eye. At present, the Applicant is working as a 'Junior Clerk' and wants reversion to the post of 'Sweeper, Group-D'.3. Though, there is a prayer of reversion on the ground of disability, we suo-moto direct the Respondents to find out reasonable accommodation under Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 at the equivalent post of 'Clerk'.4. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.04.2024.6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/ **Miscellaneous** Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / **Miscellaneous** Application shall stand dismissed.

10. S.O. to 23.04.2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.521 of 2024</p> <p>Late Amar R. Bhosale Through his heir and legal representative (Widow) Smt. S. A. BhosaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. The learned Counsel for Applicant submits that the deceased Government Servant who was working as 'Police Constable dismissed from service on 28.01.2021 and expired on 22.10.2022. By this Original Application, learned Counsel for Applicant seeks direction to hear and decide the pending Appeal of the deceased Government Servant dated 10.02.2021 against the order of punishment dated 29.01.2021 passed by the Commissioner of Police (Railway) Mumbai.3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.04.2024.5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 19.04.2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.335 of 2024</p> <p>A.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through 'Video-Conference' and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1256 of 2023</p> <p>R.M. MujawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 16.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.452 of 2024</p> <p>V.R. GoudApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.05.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 16.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1550 of 2023</p> <p>U.B. KumbharApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Siddhesh Bane, learned Advocate holding for Shri H.A. Sathe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that they have filed 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on 15.04.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time of 'Three Weeks' to ascertain about the observation made in 'Para 3' of the Order dated 01.04.2024.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 07.05.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1285 of 2023 with M.A. No.706 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S.B. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Nupur Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time of 'One Week' to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' to 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed on behalf of 'Director General, BARTI, Pune' on 28.03.2024. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Applicant.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.05.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 16.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1618 of 2023</p> <p>D.M. KokareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Shrutika Tilak, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1299 of 2022</u></p> <p>U.H. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned by Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and taken on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for continuation of interim relief.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 6.6.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.4.2024</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 16.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>16.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 210/2024 in O.A 644/2022</u></p> <p>V.B Kashid ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C with Kaustub Gidh, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to the queries made by this Tribunal yesterday to MPSC regarding declaration of result of Transgender candidates for the Examination to the post of PSI-2022, learned C.P.O informs that the Respondent-State has not yet decided the reservation policy for the Transgenders. However, pursuant to the order of the Tribunal, reservation was maintained by MPSC and further MPSC has also notified the Physical Examination Standards for Transgender candidates and MPSC has called the 2 Transgender candidates for physical test along with others.</p> <p>3. We direct the MPSC and Respondent-State to file affidavit in reply on the point of fixing the percentile for the Transgender candidates.</p> <p>4. S.O to 18.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>16.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 112/2023 in O.A 214, 215 & 216/2022</u></p> <p>S.T Motkari B.K Kanoji S.G Shirsat</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors</p> <p align="right">... Applicants ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply dated 15.4.2024 through Rishikesh Ranjan, IFS, Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial) Nasik, wherein the Respondents have taken decision on 8.4.2024 thereby terminating the services of the applicant. The Respondents have also tendered apology for delay in complying with the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. As the order of this Tribunal has been complied with, nothing remains in the Contempt Application, the same stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>16.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 70/2023 in O.A 823/2022 with C.A 75/2023 in O.A 116/2021</u></p> <p>A.T Patil S.S Bhusare</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors</p> <p align="right">... Applicants ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that Writ Petition is filed before the Hon'ble High Court and the matter is listed on 18.4.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O to 30.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

16.04.2024

C.A 80/2023 in O.A 729/2019

Dr S.V Patil ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad holding for Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O request for time.
3. S.O to 12.6.2024.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>16.04.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 115/2023 in O.A 974/2021</u></p> <p>S.B Zaware ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Saurav N. Katkar holding for Shantanu Raktate, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply dated 10.4.2024 through Shri Rajesh Kumar, Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department and submits that as per Para 9 the amount of interest is calculated. Interest on delayed payment of G.P.F, Gratuity and Pension to the tune of Rs. 1,77,171/- Rs. 5,85,675/- and Rs. 1,34,156/-. The said proposal was submitted to the Accountant General and the office of A.G, M.S, Nagpur informed the Respondents that applicant is entitled for interest to the tune of Rs. 1,67,674/- on delayed payment of gratuity and Rs. 84,666/- on delayed payment of Pension. Accordingly, the said amount is paid to the applicant on 23.1.2024 and the report is accordingly submitted by the Collector to the Government on 13.2.2024.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, as the order of this Tribunal has been complied with, C.A stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1519/2023</p> <p>D.S. Kamtekar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Department is directed to decide on the point whether the case of the applicant can be referred to the Anomaly Committee which is constituted after the 7th Pay Commission and file reply accordingly.</p> <p>3. Responsible Officer from the Finance Department is directed to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 07.05.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1592/2023</p> <p>S.M. BiramboleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 23.04.2024 HOB.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1580/2023</p> <p>A.S. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 02.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1496/2023</p> <p>S.I. GavaliApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 02.07.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1490/2023</p> <p>S.N. ShelkeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 25.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1317/2023</p> <p>R.R. KambleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Reply is filed.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 30.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1233/2023</p> <p>M.V. KadamApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Reply is filed.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 19.04.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1186/2023</p> <p>Dr. S.P. MirgundeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Mr. D. Satale, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 30.04.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) prk</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1141/2023</p> <p>S.C. Chougule ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference) and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. A Misal, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4 is absent.</p> <p>3. Reply is filed.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 30.04.2024, for filing rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1085/2023</p> <p>Dr. K.A. YadavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. J.S. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 02.07.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.291/2023</p> <p>N.P. Bhosale & Anr.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. K.S. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Mr. A. Misal, learned Counsel for Respondent no.4 are absent.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day reply will be filed. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 11.06.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1578/2023</p> <p>J.S. BansodeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day she will file reply. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>3. Applicant seeks amendment.</p> <p>4. Permission granted. Applicant is directed to carry out the amendment forthwith.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 19.04.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.255/2023</p> <p>A.S. ChavanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 13.06.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 16.04.2024

C.A.No.41/2024 in O.A.No.428/2021

S.A. KambleApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.04.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Contempt Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Contempt Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 23.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>C.A.No.8/2024 in O.A.No.465/2017</p> <p>G.R. KarpeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that in view of election commission code of conduct no appointment is made.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 13.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.398/2017</p> <p>H.B. Desai & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 18.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.937/2017 with O.A.No.295/2020</p> <p>A.H. Gawale & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad with Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 02.05.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.400/2017</p> <p>V.B. Ghogare & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondents No.5, 6, 7, 9 to 13, 15, 16, 17, 18.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 24.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.368/2017</p> <p>R.H. AangreApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 24.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.25/2017</p> <p>S.N. KhadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. U. Rathod, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4 is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has submitted that officer from the concern Department is present and he seeks adjournment.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 11.06.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.72/2023</p> <p>M.D. Shende & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. L.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 30.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.993/2022</p> <p>R.B. ShaikhApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel has submitted that during the course of the day affidavit-in-reply will be filed. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.488/2017</p> <p>K.A. Jadhav & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondents No.24, 26, 42 & 90 (through video conference).</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 17.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.04.2024

O.A. No.302 of 2024

B.B. Shelke & Ors.,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. informs that 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' has held meeting of (a) 'Additional D.G., S.R.P.F., Maharashtra State, Mumbai' (b) 'Spl. I.G.P. (V.I.P Protection), Mumbai' & (c) 'Commissioner S.I.D., Maharashtra State, Mumbai' on 12.04.2024 in order to resolve issues relating to deployment of 'Armed Head Constables', 'Naik Police Constables' & 'Police Constables in 'S.P.U,' under establishment of 'Spl. I.G.P. (V.I.P Protection), Mumbai'.

3. Learned P.O. thereupon states that 'Additional D.G., S.R.P.F., Maharashtra State, Mumbai' by Order dated 15.04.2024 has so far relieved '63 'Armed Head Constables', 'Naik Police Constables' & 'Police Constables' who were to join in 'S.P.U.' under establishment of 'Spl. I.G.P. (V.I.P Protection), Mumbai' which includes 63 Applicants out of 102 Applicants in O.A. No.302/2024.

4. Learned P.O. further mentions that she would accordingly file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' including copies if any; of the 'Minutes of Meeting' held by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State on 12.04.2024'.

5. S.O. to 23.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 16.04.2024

O.A. No.555 of 2023

S.G. RanpiseApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through 'Video-Conference' and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that no action can be initiated as per directions given in 'Para 9' of Order dated 10.01.2024 and directions given in 'Para 4' and 'Para 5' of the Order dated 22.02.2024.

3. Learned PO to accordingly inform 'Commissioner State Excise, Maharashtra State Mumbai' to depute 'Senior Officer' to inform why unreasonable delay has happened to implement the directions given in 'Para 4' and 'Para 5' of Order dated 10.01.2024 and directions given in 'Para 4' and 'Para 5' of Order dated 22.02.2024 considering that Applicant is an 'Ex-Serviceman' governed by provisions of GAD GR dated 21.02.2022.

4. S.O. to 18.04.2024

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1056 of 2023</p> <p>N.P. TummevarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 16.04.2024

O.A. No.367 of 2023

N.B. PatilApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.M. Misal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant request to file 'M.A.' to amend the 'Grounds' and 'Prayers' of this OA. No. 367 of 2023 and seeks time of 'Two Weeks'. Time as prayed for is granted to amend this O.A. No. 367 of 2023.
3. Learned PO thereupon seeks 'Two Weeks' time thereafter to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Additional Chief Secretary, (Accounts and Treasuries) Finance Department'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.
4. S.O. to 07.05.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.13 of 2024</p> <p>N. P. Patil & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. A. Gharate, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 19.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.91 of 2024</p> <p>R. D. AkrupeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned CPO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.199 of 2024</p> <p>S. B. GhodakeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Learned PO submits that reply is already filed.3. Time is granted to file Rejoinder, if any.4. S.O. to 12.06.2024. <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.201 of 2024</p> <p>S. S. Chhapekar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.3. S.O. to 09.05.2024. <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.215 of 2024</p> <p>M. S. NalawadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. S. Raktade, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 19.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.251 of 2024</p> <p>S.A. UghadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks time to file Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.05.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.397 of 2024</p> <p>A. U. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1.. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant through video conference and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We direct Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department to submit the report on 23.04.2024 about progress in appeal.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.469 of 2024</p> <p>R.R. NalawadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Two weeks' time is granted to learned PO to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.74 of 2024</p> <p>V. S. Zite & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for Applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to implead the 'Director, Department of Sainik Welfare, Pune' as party 'Respondent No.2' in O.A.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith. Learned Counsel for Applicant accordingly allowed to add the 'Director, Department of Sainik Welfare, Pune' as party 'Respondent No.2' in O.A. and copy of OA to be served to added 'Respondent No.2' within two weeks.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.634 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.S. Botle ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files short affidavit dated 28.3.2024 in compliance of the order dated 26.3.2024 of this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.4.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1609 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.N. More ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.6.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1084 of 2023</u></p> <p>V.N. Ashtekar & 5 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants through VC and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.5.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.728 of 2023</u></p> <p>P.S. Patane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Yogita S. Malap, Section Officer, Home Department is present in the Court and states that the file has been put up in the GAD and the matter will be decided.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.5.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.588, 590 & 591 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.M. Gangurde Y.D. Ahire P.V. Patre Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p align="right">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.4.2024 by way of last chance. If reply is not filed the matters will be heard without reply.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.519 of 2023 in O.A. No.1002 of 2023

Komal S. Gite ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri V.N. Sagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri Kanhaiya S. Yadav holding for Ms. A.C. Kaladharan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that he has given his NOC to the applicant for engaging another lawyer.

3. S.O. to 9.5.2024.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
16.4.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.804 of 2023 in O.A. No.1598 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.D. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.N. Sagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that he has given his NOC to the applicant for engaging another lawyer.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.5.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.789/2023 in O.A. No.313/2023 with OA.314/2023</u></p> <p>G.R. Borkar A.A. Waghmare ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO produces a copy of order dated 15.4.2024 issued by the Soil & Water Conservation Department. The same is taken on record and a copy is given to Ld. Advocate for the applicants. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks time to take instructions from the applicants.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.510 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.A. Badekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for interim relief and states that applicant who is working as Clinical Psychologist at Yerwada Central Prison, Pune was discontinued from 1.3.2024. He refers to judgment and order dated 12.7.2016 passed in OAs No.240 & 336/2015 filed by S.J. Wagh and R.A. Badekar respectively. Para 7 of the said order reads as under:</p> <p align="center"><i>“7. The respondents are directed to restore the applicants S/S S.J. Wagh and R.A. Badekar to the post that they were discontinued from by way of the orders herein impugned within a period of two weeks from today. This order shall continue till such time as the regularly appointed MPSC candidate become available to replace the applicants and subject to their satisfactory performance. They shall not however be replaced by another ad hoc employee. The conditions imposed by this Tribunal's order in OA No.924/2004 shall continue to govern both these OAs as well. These OAs are allowed in these terms with no order as to costs. Hamdast.”</i></p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant pointed out that despite the order passed by this Tribunal the applicant has been discontinued.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO is directed to take instructions regarding the reasons why applicant has been discontinued.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) 16.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 16.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.173 of 2024</p> <p>P.S. BhosaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5.</p> <p>2. Learned PO states that she wants to file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' to the Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' file by to the Applicant. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.04.2024 for 'Final Hearing (P.H.)'</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 16.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.242 of 2024</p> <p>A.D. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Aruna Ghadge, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for 'Municipal Commissioner, Pimpri Chinchwad Municipal Corporation' files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 08.04.2024. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of (a) 'Respondent No.1'- Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department' & (b) 'Respondent No. 4'- Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department'.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>