

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>16/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>S. Pawar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>1/4/2016</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>HT</i></p>	<p>Date: 16.03.2016</p> <p>O.A. No. 380/2014 (D.B. Matter)</p> <p>(1) Heard Shri S. Pawar, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>(2) At the request of the learned Presenting Officer adjourned to 1.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </p> <p>Psz</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>16/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>6/4/2016 for rejoinder.</u> <u>BTE</u></p>	<p>Date: 16.03.2016</p> <p>O.A. No. 984/2015 (D.B. Matter)</p> <p>(1) Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>(2) The Learned P.O has tendered affidavit. It is taken on record.</p> <p>(3) The learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>(4) Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>(5) S.O to 6.04.2016 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </p> <p>Psz</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>16/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To.....<u>8/6/16</u>.....</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date: 16.03.2016</p> <p>O.A. No. 1016/2015 (D.B. Matter)</p> <p>(1) Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>(2) The learned Advocate for the applicant has tendered amended Paras 6.18A, 6.18B and 6.18C. Leave to amend is allowed. Amendment is taken on record.</p> <p>(3) Respondent No.2 is directed to file affidavit to the amended Paras 6.18A, 6.18B and 6.18C only.</p> <p>(4) The learned Presenting Officer prays for four weeks time for filing reply to the amended portion.</p> <p>(5) Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>S.O to 8.06.2016</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>[Signature]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, <i>[Signature]</i>) Chairman</p> <p>Psz</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>16/3/2016</u> <u>D/B</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramachandrar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Shri. <u>A.R. Rathod</u> Advocate for the Applicant, Shri/Shri. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.R.O./P.S. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>22/4/16.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date: 16.03.2016</p> <p>O.A. No. 708/2015 (D.B. Matter)</p> <p>(1) Heard Shri A.R. Rathod, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>(2) O.A. pertains to the jurisdiction of Division Bench. It is necessary that the O.A. be heard by the Division Bench. Hence O.A. be listed before the Division Bench presided over by the Vice Chairman.</p> <p>(3) S.O to 22.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>PsZ</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>16/3/2016</u> <u>213</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justices Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Sd/- <u>R.M. Kolge</u> /Advocate for the Applicant Shri /smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>11/4/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.K.</i></p>	<p>Date: 16.03.2016. (D.B. Matter)</p> <p>O.A. No. 718/2015</p> <p>(1) Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>(2) Learned advocate for the applicant prays for time to study.</p> <p>(3) Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>Adjourned to 11.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <u>(A.H. Joshi, J.)</u> <i>081</i> Chairman</p> <p>Psz</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.03.2016. (D.B. Matter)

O.A. No. 519/2015 with O.A. No. 718/2015

(1) Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

(2) In the midst of hearing it has transpired that the applicant has failed to produce entire papers commencing from the charge sheet to final order on record, thereby this Tribunal is deprived of taking overall view of the matter. When this was brought to the notice of the learned "Advocate for the applicant, he prays for leave to substitute the O.A. by incorporating all annexures strictly in sequence of the dates and redraft the original application by incorporating suitable averments and challenges.

(3) In view that the O.A. is still pending for admission hearing there would be no harm in granting the request of amendment by way of substitution. Therefore, leave to amend by way of substitution of paper book of O.A. is granted.

(4) The learned Advocate prays for six weeks time for substitution.

(5) Time as prayed for is granted.

(6) S.O to 20.04.2016.

DATE: 16/3/16 D/B

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: R.M. Kolge

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 20/4/16

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Psz

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 16.03.2016.

M.A.No.73 of 2016 in O.A.No.164 of 2016

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for two months time for filing affidavit-in-reply.
3. Reply to M.A. and O.A. both be filed.
4. In view that longer time is prayed, it is clarified that it is a last chance.
5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.
6. For filgin reply S.O. to 8.06.2016.

DATE: 16/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramasankar (Member) A


APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sb

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 16.03.2016.

O.A.No.232 of 2016

1. Heard Shri U.V. Sherkhane, the learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 22.04.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorised and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced alongwith Affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 22.04.2016.

DATE: 16/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice Shri S. K. Patil (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : U.V. Sherkhane holding

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : A.J. Chougule

C.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Sd/-

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>16/3/2016</u></p> <p>CORAM: The Hon. Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) The Hon. Justice M. Ramakrishna (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Secy: <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Attorneys for the Applicant Shri/Secy: <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>21/4/2016</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>MT.</u></p>	<p>Date : 16.03.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.57 of 2016</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents states that para wise reply is received however additional instructions are received which are not in proper form content ^{and content.} <u>2</u></p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. further prays for three weeks time for filing affidavit in reply.</p> <p>4. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 21.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>[Signature]</u> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 16.03.2016.

O.A.No.36 of 2016

1. Heard Ms. Sujata Krishnan, the learned Advocate holding for Shri S.P. Saxena, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered reply. It is taken on record

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that Applicant would examine as to whether rejoinder is necessary.

4. Rejoinder should not be filed unless it is imperative.

5. S.O. to 31.03.2016

DATE: 16/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Justice Shri S. K. Kulkarni (Member) A~~

APPEARANCE:

for Applicant: Sujata Krishnan holding for S.P. Saxena

for Respondent: A.J. Chougule

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

sba

Adj. To: 31/3/2016

BTE

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>16/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri.A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri's <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Shri: <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.R.O./P.A. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To: <u>28/3/2016.</u></p>	<p>Date : 16.03.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.10 of 2016</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri C.T. Chandratre prays for time to consider the matter. 3. Time as prayed for is granted. 4. S.O. to 28.03.2016. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>T.A.H. Joshi</u> Chairman</p>

sb

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

District _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 16.03.2016.

R.A.No.33 of 2015 in O.A.No.706 of 2014

1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri A.R. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Respondents (Org. Applicant).

2. Learned P.O. for the Applicant (Org. Respondents) states as follows:-

The matter of grant of payment of various monetary arrears, excluding pension is in the process and time of four weeks is necessary.

3. It is hoped that all pensionary benefits along with interest be settled during this period.

4. S.O. to 21.04.2016.

DATE: 16/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. N. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice Shri Kamesh Chour (Member) A


APPEARANCE BY

Shri A.J. Chougule

Advocate for the Applicant (Org. Resp.)

.....

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.J. 
Chairman

sb

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>16/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice K. B. Bhise (Member) A.</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>J. N. Kamble</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K. B. Bhise</u></p> <p>C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>21/3/16.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.Te</i></p>	<p>Date : 16.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.143 of 2016</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered affidavit. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.03.2016</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

Date : 16.03.2016.

O.A.No.215 of 2016

1. Heard Shri S.P. Dighe, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 21.04.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorised and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced alongwith Affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 21.04.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi,
Chairman

sba

DATE: 16/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.P. Dighe

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 21/4/16

[Signature]

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 16.03.2016.

O.A.No.964 of 2015

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned P.O. holding for Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for adjournment.

3. S.O. to 30.03.2016.

Sd/-


A.H. Joshi, J.
Chairman

sba

DATE: 16/3/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.B. Bhise holding for
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

MS N.G. Gohad, P.O. for R.O.S.

Adj. To 30/3/16

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>16/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Kameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. : <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>Adj. To by consent adj to 30/3/16</u> <u>BTE</u></p>	<p>Date : 16.03.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.05 of 2016</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that time may be granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. By consent adjourned to 30.03.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>(A.H. Joshi, J.Y. v. St)</u> Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 16.03.2016

M.A.No.120 of 2016 in O.A.No.265 of 2016

1. Heard Shri V.S. Deokar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 22.3.2016.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorised and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Learned C.P.O. do waives service.
8. S.O. to 23.03.2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

sba

DATE: 16/3/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. V.S. Deokar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./C.O. for the Respondents

Order passed in the
Respondents's favour.

Adj. To 23/3/16

[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>16/3/16</u></p> <p>GRAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/S. <u>M. R. Patil</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/S. <u>A. J. Chougale</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>order passed in the Tribunal's column.</u></p> <p><u>S.O. to 6/4/16.</u> <u>Reply filed by R. 1324-@ad FH</u> <u>Rejoinder filed by Applicant.</u></p>	<p>Date : 16.03.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.961 of 2015</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.R. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougale, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Affidavits-in-reply and rejoinder are taken on record. 3. The O.A. pertains to retired employee and therefore the fixed date is being given. The O.A. is admitted it be placed before appropriate bench for final hearing on 6.04.2016. 4. Sur-rejoinder, if any, must be filed on that day and thereafter. 5. S.O. to 6.04.2016. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 16.3.16 Member (J)</p> <p>sb</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

District _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>Date: 16/3/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri. K.R. Jagdale Applicant Smt. K.S. Gaikwad P.O. for Respondents holding for N.K. Rajpurohit.</p> <p>order passed in the Tribunal's order. S.O. to 1/4/16.</p>	<p>Date : 16.03.2016</p> <p>O.A.No.135 of 2016</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks an adjournment for filing affidavit-in-reply on the plea that para-wise reply has not been received. 3. Interim relief such as it was sought was in terms not granted. However, liberty was reserved. 4. If the matters are of the nature such as this one the Respondents also have to act with due dispatch and seriousness. They cannot be allowed to drag their feet along and if the affidavit-in-reply is not filed on the next day it is possible that either the issue of interim relief will be considered or may be worked out. Finally adjourned for reply to 1.04.2016. 5. S.O. to 1.04.2016. <p>Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member(J) 16.03.16</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
O.As. No.1119/2015, 144/2016 & 148/2016

Heard Shri A.A. Desai, Ld. Advocate with Miss S.L. Maindad, Ld. Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, Ld. PO holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, Ld. CPO for the Respondents.

2. OA No.148/2016 is not on board. It is taken on board after hearing the submissions at the bar.

3. It is submitted on behalf of the applicants that on 10.2.2016 when the interim order was passed by inadvertence these OAs. bearing Nos.1119/2015, 144/2016 & 148/2016 remained to be set out in the cause title. The facts are identical and, therefore, it is recorded that the order dated 10.2.2016 shall also govern these three OAs. Nos.1119/2015, 144/2016 & 148/2016. S.O. to 23.3.2016.

DATE: 16/3/16

GRAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.A. Desai with
V.L. Maindad.
Advocate for the Applicants. K. B. Bhise
holding for N.K. Rajpurohit.

Shri/Smt. C.B. Jadhav
C.P.O. for the Respondents
order passed in the
Tribunal's column.

Adj. To
S.O. 23/3/16.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
16.3.2016

16.3.16

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.112 of 2016 in O.A. No.1120 of 2015

Heard Miss S.L. Maindad, Ld. Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, Ld. Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, Ld. CPO for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for amendment of the OA. Ld. CPO complains that copy of MA has not been served on him. Be it noted at the outset that the Ld. CPO was insistent on granting him time to file affidavit in reply to this MA inter alia because according to him there are details which are necessary to be furnished which are absent herein and that may have some implication in so far as the issue of limitation is concerned which it is possible may have to be raised. It was only to this limited extent that I mentioned that I have heard the Ld. CPO. I place this on record further to make it clear that for the reasons to be presently set out I decline to protract this MA.

3. The affidavit in reply to the main OA has not been filed. If these amendments are incorporated in the OA the respondents are not going to be prejudiced at all because in any case they will have an opportunity to meet with the case of the applicants which would even otherwise have been the case in the OA unamended. Ld. CPO was mentioning a possible plea of a separate application for condonation of delay being raised that would be there even otherwise regardless of whether the amendment is allowed or not allowed or whether OA and MA proceed as two proceedings. In that view of the matter, therefore, I can visualise no prejudice at all being caused to the respondents. And most importantly what is being allowed hereby is raising of a plea without ruling upon the worth thereof.

4. The amendment as per schedule hereto is allowed to be incorporated within one week from today. Consolidated copy of OA after amendment be served to the Ld. CPO and respondents. OA adjourned to 6.4.2016 for filing affidavit in reply. MA is allowed in these terms with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J) 16.03.16
16.3.2016

DATE: 16/3/16

GRAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri RAHVAGARWAL~~
~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. V.L. Maindad
holding for A.A. Desai
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

order passed in the
Tribunal's codeman.

~~Adj. to~~
M.A. is Allowed.

O.A. Adj. to 6/4/16.

(Signature)