

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 16.02.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1182 of 2023 with O.A. No.151 of 2023</p> <p>N.D. Kedari H.C. Dasade & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.M. Kakade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicants produces copy of 'Additional D.G.P., S.R.P.F., Maharashtra State, Mumbai' letter dated 11.02.2024 addressed to all 'Commandants - S.R.P.F. Groups' by which information has been sought upto 22.02.2024 regarding 'Inter Establishment Transfers' of 'Police Constables' to other to establishments of (i) 'Commissioners of Police' and (ii) 'Superintendent of Police' in respect of year 2022-2023.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that new information about 'Inter Establishment Transfer' of 'Police Constables' to establishment of (i) 'Commissioners of Police' and (ii) 'Superintendent of Police' sought from 'Commandants S.R.P.F. Groups' is expected to be received by 'Additional D.G.P., S.R.P.F., Maharashtra State, Mumbai' by 22.02.2024 but it has to be prospectively considered in respect of year 2021-22.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant then draws attention to updated information of existing vacancies for 'Inter District Transfer' in respect of 'Raigad District' disclosed by way of 'Short Affidavit' dated 30.01.2024 on behalf of 'Additional D.G.P., S.R.P.F., Maharashtra State, Mumbai' to specifically point out</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

that 'Superintendent of Police, Raigad' on 14.07.2023 had revised figures about availability of posts of 'Police Constable' for 'Inter Establishment Transfer'. Infact as the revised figures are 27 posts instead of 19 posts as had been communicated earlier by 'Superintendent of Police, Raigad' on 12.12.2022 because of cancellation of earlier Order dated 12.01.2023 of 'Additional D.G.P., S.R.P.F., Maharashtra State, Mumbai' and due to issue of revised Order dated 05.07.2023 of 'Additional D.G.P., S.R.P.F., Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.

5. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that therefore 8 post of 'Police Constables' had become available as on 14.07.2023 in 'Raigad District'; admittedly was much prior to commencement of present exercise 'Inter Establishment Transfer' for 2021-22 as conveyed by 'Additional D.G.P., S.R.P.F., Maharashtra State, Mumbai' letter dated 11.02.2024 to 'Commandants - S.R.P.F. Groups'.

6. Learned P.O. on the basis of information received from officer present on behalf of 'Additional D.G.P., S.R.P.F., Maharashtra State, Mumbai' states that 'Seniority List' for 'Inter Establishment Transfer' of 'Police Constables' is not maintained at level of 'Commandants - S.R.P.F. Groups' but only at 'State Level' in office of 'Additional D.G.P., S.R.P.F., Maharashtra State, Mumbai' which is based on 'Joining Dates' of the 'Police Constables'.

7. Learned P.O. to therefore inform 'Additional D.G.P., S.R.P.F., Maharashtra State, Mumbai' that upon submission of report by 'Superintendent of Police, Raigad' on 14.07.2023; 8 posts of 'Police Constables' in establishment of 'Superintendent of Police, Raigad' were confirmed to be available for 'Inter Establishment Transfer' which undoubtedly was much earlier to commencement of present exercise for 2021-22. Hence, these 8 posts should not be counted amongst total posts of 'Police Constables' which may now be communicated by 'Superintendent of Raigad' upto or even after 22.04.2024. These 8 posts should be kept aside and held vacant till 'Final Orders' in O.A. No.1182 of 2023 with O.A. No.151 of 2023 because Applicants were given liberty by 'Interim Order' dated 07.08.2023 to make Applications of their revised choices for 'Inter Establishment Transfer' within 'Two Weeks' and

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>accordingly have now sought 'Inter Establishment Transfers' to 'Raigad District'.</p> <p>8. Learned P.O. to further ascertain from 'Additional D.G.P., S.R.P.F., Maharashtra State, Mumbai' with reference to revised Order dated 05.07.2023 for 'Inter Establishment Transfer' of 'Police Constable' whether those transferred to establishment of 'Superintendent of Police, Raigad' were higher up in 'Seniority List' at 'State Level' to Applicants, although admittedly at that time the first preference of Applicants were for other 'Police Establishments' viz. (i) Pune Rural (ii) Pune Railway & (iii) Mumbai Railway. Now as revised figure of 8 posts of 'Police Constables' for 'Inter Establishment Transfer' have been disclosed as available by 'Superintendent of Police, Raigad' as on 14.07.2023 therefore as per directions given in Para 7 of Order dated 07.08.2023 if 'Additional D.G.P., S.R.P.F., Maharashtra State, Mumbai' had taken decision with alacrity after confirming that no one else who was senior to Applicants as on 14.07.2023 had remained to be given first preference for 'Raigad District'; then after revised Order dated 05.07.2023; what held back the 'Additional D.G.P., S.R.P.F., Maharashtra State, Mumbai' from issuing 'Supplementary Order' in respect of Applicants when 8 posts were duly confirmed by 'Superintendent of Police, Raigad' to be available as on 14.07.2023 for 'Inter Establishment Transfer' of 'Police Constables' to establishment of Superintendent of Police, Raigad'.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 11.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.97 of 2024</p> <p>S. T. OhalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale , learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. On request of learned C.P.O. three weeks' time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. If at all the Applicant is entitled for promotion and is having a good case on merit and if the promotions are given to the juniors of Applicant, it will be subject to outcome of this OA.</p> <p>4. S.O.to 11.03.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16 .02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.119 of 2024</p> <p>S.V. Harmalkar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned CPO submits that she has received instructions from the department that there is no variation in the post advertised.</p> <p>3. We direct Respondents to communicate the decision to Applicant within one week.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.03.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p>Date : 16 .02.2024</p>		
	<p>O.A.No.188 of 2024</p>		
	<p>B. T. DurgeApplicant</p>		
	<p>Versus</p>		
	<p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p>		
	<p>1. Heard MS Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for Applicant submits that Applicant is from 'EWS' category and he has raised objection in respect of Question ID -630680312451 and Question ID -630680311424 and also about correctness of applying Article No.6 or Article No. 7 in Question ID, 630680312451.</p> <p>4. We accordingly grant two weeks' time to respondents to file reply. However, we direct, 'One Post' under 'EWS' category be kept vacant.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 01.03.2024.</p>		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> </td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </td> </tr> </table>	<p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>	<p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>
<p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>	<p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>		
	<p>vsm</p>		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.25 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. A. R. MadkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. A. Desai with Shri S. D. Patil learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for Applicant submits that other Candidates were recommended on 27.12.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned CPO however submits that Candidates were recommended on 04.01.2024.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for Applicant submits that as per Clause 8.2 of Advertisement No.016/2022, dated 18.12.2022, the Respondent No.4 has no 'Practical Experience' in 'Animal Husbandry' in Government Establishments. Therefore, recommendation of Respondent No.4 has not been correctly done by MPSC.</p> <p>5. Learned Counsel for Applicant further submits that Respondent No.5 and Applicant have secured 'Equal Marks' of '130'. The Applicant holds 'Ph.D. Degree' while Respondent No.5 is not holder of 'Ph.D. Degree'. Thus, Educational Qualification of the Applicant is to be considered in Descending Order, if two candidates secured Equal Marks as per MPSC Publication dated 12.09.2022.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Learned CPO submits that 'MPSC Publication' dated 12.09.2022 will be applicable prospectively for the Advertisement which is going to be issued after 12.09.2022. The Applicant is to be given precedence as per Advertisement dated 18.02.2022.</p> <p>7. Learned CPO submits that the process of 'Verification of Documents' of recommended Candidate: is going on, so the documents of Respondent No.4 so far as his 'Practical Experience' in 'Animal Husbandry' is required to be verified by MPSC.</p> <p>8. Learned Counsel for Applicant submits that notice is served on Respondent No.4 and Respondent No.5 and they are not present before the Tribunal. Learned Counsel produces 'Affidavit of Service' of Respondent No.4 and Respondent No. 5. It is taken on record and marked as 'Court Exhibit 1'.</p> <p>9. Under such circumstances, we direct the MPSC to verify the documents of Respondent No.4 so far as 'Practical Experience' in 'Animal Husbandry' is concerned as per Clause 8.2 of the Advertisement No.016/2022, dated 18.02.2022.</p> <p>10. We grant 'Three Weeks' time to Respondents to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Till then no 'Appointment Order' be given to 'Respondent No.4'.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 11.03.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16 .02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.171 of 2024 With O.A.No.204 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. C. D. Bodhe & Anr. Dr. P. N. PatilApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms Rashmi Shirke holding for Shri Kandarkar and Shri A. S. Reddy, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. Today learned Counsel has filed 'Service Affidavit' it is taken on record. 3. The matter be place along with O.A.No.204/2024. 4. Learned Counsel raised a question whether there can be any requisition for the post which is not existence. 5. On request of learned CPO, two weeks time is granted to file reply. 6. S.O. to 01.03.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Date : 16.02.2024

2

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	O.A.No.988 of 2023 Tribunal's orders ...Applicant
	<p>R. D. Kanade Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Applicant has filed his defence statement in the 2nd week of January 2024 and he will be retiring on 29.02.2024. So before that he prays, all the Departmental Enquiries should be decided by Urban Development Department.3. The Tribunal by earlier orders dated 07.12.2023 and 08.12.2024 directed to conclude all the Departmental Enquiries.4. Learned CPO submits that in 1st Departmental Enquiry report has handed over to Applicant on 07.12.2024 and he has given reply on 04.01.2024. Now file is pending with the Hon'ble Chief Minister.5. We, therefore, direct Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department to take necessary steps so that 1st Departmental Enquiry can be concluded before the Applicant retires on 29.02.2024. The Final Decision to be taken in the 1st Departmental Enquiry on or before 29.02.2024.6. Learned Counsel for Applicant submits that Enquiry Officer has submitted 'Enquiry Report' of the 2nd Departmental Enquiry to the Urban Development Department but copy of it is not yet furnished to Applicant.7. We direct Respondents to furnish the copy of 'Enquiry Report' of 2nd Departmental Enquiry today itself, to Applicant.8. Learned CPO states that 'Enquiry Officer' has submitted report in 3rd Departmental Enquiry on 11.01.2024 to Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department.9. The Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department is directed to inform by 22.02.2024 by when proposal for final decision regarding 2nd & 3rd Departmental Enquiries will be submitted to Competent Authority.10. S.O. to 22.02.2024. <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 16.02.2024 Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p>M. A. No.102 of 2024 In O.A.No.201 of 2024</p> <p>S. S. Chapekar & Ors.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M. A. No.102/2024 is allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 16 .02.2024

Tribunal's orders
O.A.No.131 of 2024

J. P. NikumbhApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. U. Pawar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.03.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the ~~Original~~ / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the ~~Original~~ / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 15.03.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 16.02.2024
	<p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.105 of 2024 In O.A.No.212 of 2024</p> <p>A. D. Sinkar & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly. 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately. 4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. 5. M. A. No.105/2024 is allowed. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Vsm</p>

Date : 16.02.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

O.A.No.212 of 2024
Tribunal's orders

A.D. Sinkar & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned Counsel for Applicants submits that 146 posts of 'Agriculture Officers' who are 'Junior' to Applicants are likely to be promoted by select list dated 11.01.2014.

3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.02.2024.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the ~~Original~~ / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the ~~Original~~ / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 23.02.2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Date : 16.02.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

O.A.No 203 of 2024
Tribunal's Orders

S. S. Survase & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer with Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1, 2 and 3.
2. The Applicants challenge the G.R. dated 05.02.2024 issued by PWD being illegal, arbitrary and irrational.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.03.2024.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the ~~Original~~ / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the ~~Original~~ / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
9. The issue of 'Interim Relief' be kept open.
10. S.O. to 01.03.2024 .

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Date : 16.02.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.103 of 2024 In O.A.No.203 of 2024</p>
	<p>S. S. Survase & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer with Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1, 2 and 3.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M. A. No.103 /2024 is allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p align="right">Date : 16.02.2024 Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p align="center">O.A.No.201 of 2024</p> <p>S. S. Chapekar & Ors.Applicant</p> <p align="center">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. The Applicants seek direction to hold and declare that Applicants recruitment, selection and promotion for the post of 'Tutor' pursuant to Advertisement dated 21.02.2019 are regulated and governed by 'Recruitment Rules for Nursing Personnel in Maharashtra Nursing Service, Class III 1964 (Old Rules of 1964) and further Applicants challenged Clause 8 of appointment orders which is condition borrowed from New Rules of 2021. 3. Learned Counsel submits that before M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad O.A.208/2021 was filed by similarly situated candidates and in that case, Government has filed Affidavit clarifying that State of Maharashtra did not intend to apply 'New Recruitment Rules of 2021' to the process which has been already started in the year 2019 and this fact is recorded by the Division Bench in its order dated 13.09.2022. 4. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.03.2024.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 01.03.2024 .</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.22 of 2024 in O.A. No.1610 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.R. Mungekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.L. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.3.2024.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.785 of 2023 in O.A. No.1574 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.R. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. MA is filed for condoning the delay of two months. The cause of action arose on 6.12.2021. He preferred appeal on 8.4.2022 and waited for 6 months i.e. till 8.10.2023. OA is filed on 15.12.2023.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO opposes the MA. She states that there is unreasonable delay and no sufficient reasons are given. She states that limitation starts from 27.12.2021 when he received copy of impugned order dated 6.12.2021.</p> <p>4. In the facts and circumstances of the case the delay is condoned. MA is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1574 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.R. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. PO files reply dated 15.2.2024 of the respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.2.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.176 of 2024</u></p> <p>Dr. V.K. Patne ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.3.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.168 of 2024</u></p> <p>C.S. Aarge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Suhas Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.45 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.B. Nimbalkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that there is delay in filing this OA.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is directed to file MA for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.3.2024.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.33 of 2024</u></p> <p>P.B. Shirsath ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through videoconferencing and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays that applicant has been suspended since 7.4.2023 by order dated 1.6.2023. The applicant is Physiotherapist in B.J. Medical College, Pune. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that as per GR dated 9.7.2019 the case of the applicant should be placed before the suspension review committee.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO opposes the submissions of the Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>4. However, in the circumstances of the case, I direct that the case of the applicant be placed the suspension review committee and decision be taken within one month from the date of this order.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 15.3.2024.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1176 of 2023</u></p> <p>N.R. Bariya ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam holding for Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant will be completing the age of 45 years in September, 2024. This is a matter of compassionate appointment. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.2.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.914, 921, 922, 947, 956 & 1347 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.E. Kerure D.B. Kadge & Ors. S.M. Wazarkar B.U. Patil D.N. Mirajkar Dr. K.U. Methekar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In these matters short reply has been filed by the respondents. Ld. PO is directed to file detailed reply in all the matters.</p> <p>3. If detailed reply is not filed the matters will be proceeded without reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 1.3.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Medhã Gadgil) Member (A) 16.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.378 of 2023</u></p> <p>K.S. More ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.U. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.3.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.300 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.D. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.N. Biradar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.2.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 16.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.94 of 2024 in</u> <u>M.A. No.105 of 2020 in O.A. No.145 of 2020 with</u> <u>M.A. No.106 of 2020 in O.A. No.146 of 2020 &</u> <u>M.A. No.107 of 2020 in O.A. No.147 of 2020</u></p> <p>P.B. Ghag K.R. Sutar S.D. Sukate Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p align="right">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Prachi Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants has filed MA No.94 of 2024 for restoration of above MAs and OAs which were dismissed for default by order dated 12.1.2024. Ld. Advocate for the applicants prays that the matters may be restored to file in the interest of justice.</p> <p>3. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case and for the reasons mentioned in the MA the above MAs and OAs are restored to file. MA No.94/2024 is disposed off.</p> <p>4. MAs and OAs are adjourned to 21.3.2024.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1307/2023</u></p> <p>F S Dhumal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri O.M Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 23.02.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>16.02.2024</p> <p><u>O.A 12 & 29/2024</u></p> <p><u>SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES</u></p> <p>N.P Patil & Ors M.M Bidkar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Amit Gharte, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter is not on Board. Taken on Board at the request of the learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p>3. Speaking to the Minutes is filed by the applicants seeking correction in para 16, last line of page 12 which is reproduced below:-</p> <p>“There is no mention as ‘Degree’ and <u>its equivalence</u>’. Had there been a term of equivalence used after the word ‘Degree’, then the policy decision on the point of equivalence taken by the State of Maharashtra would have been applicable and the submissions <i>of the learned counsel for the applicants would not be accepted.</i>”</p> <p>Learned counsel submitted that the said line should be corrected as <i>of the learned counsel for the applicants would have been accepted.</i>”</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>5. The said last line of para 16 is corrected as under:-</p> <p>“There is no mention as ‘Degree’ and <u>its equivalence</u>’. Had there been a term of equivalence used after the word ‘Degree’, then the policy decision on the point of equivalence taken by the State of Maharashtra would have been applicable and the submissions <i>of the learned counsel for the applicants would have been accepted.</i>”</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 16 .02.2024
	<p>O.A.No. 96 of 2024 With O.A.No.107 of 2024</p> <p>M. M. Bhogate B. K. GangawaneApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri P. Naik, learned Counsel for Applicant is absent. Heard Shri Ansari Faiyaz holding for Shri Amit Gharte, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.107/2024 and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4. None for Respondent No.6.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for Applicant submits that today this matter is wrongly listed on board and it should be listed on other date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.02.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ sd/- _____</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) vsm</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.32 of 2024</p> <p>A. S. MoreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. S. O.to 15.03.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16 .02.2024</p> <p>M.A. No.108 of 2024 in O.A.No.158 of 2024</p> <p>S.R. Pujari ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Chaitanya Khade, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 20.02.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.02.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 16 .02.2024
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.07 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. Girish ThakurApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri M. S.Kelkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.2. Learned CPO seeks time to file reply.3. S.O. to 01.03.2024. <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Date : 16 .02.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 16.02.2024

O.A. No.1534 of 2023


R.B. BhaleraoApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Sawaraj Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant produces copies of some more 'Transfer Orders' recently issued by 'D.G.P., Maharashtra State' on 07.02.2024 and 09.02.2024 by which several 'A.P.I's' including few who were serving in the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' have been transferred to the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik'.

3. Learned P.O. is requested to inform office of 'D.G.P., Maharashtra State' to expeditiously and sympathetically consider the representation made by Applicant on 21.01.2024 seeking transfer from establishment 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' to establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik'; as subsequently 'Transfer Orders' of several 'A.P.I's' have been issued by 'D.G.P., Maharashtra State'

4. S.O. to 23.02.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 16.02.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.72 of 2024 in O.A. No.1245 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. V.B. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Shrutika Tilak, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant stresses on the point that M.A. No.72/2024 must be allowed as the Order dated 19.12.2023 mentions pendency of this O.A. No.1245/2023 and also that the subsequent 'Transfer Order' dated 19.12.2023 is subject to Judgment in this O.A. No.1245/2023. Hence, the M.A. No.72 of 2024 must be allowed since the earlier 'Transfer Order' dated 30.06.2023 continues to remain under challenge in the O.A. No.1245 of 2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. therefore to seek clear instructions from 'Medical Education and Drugs Department' in respect of observations in 'Para 4' & 'Para 5' of Order dated 07.02.2024. Further instructions to be sought about the condition mentioned in the subsequent 'Transfer Order' 19.12.2023 that Applicant will require to be on the post of 'Assistant Professor (Obstetrics and Gynecology), B.J. Government Medical College, Pune' only till such time as new appointees are recommended by 'M.P.S.C.' and appointed on the post by 'Medical Education and Drugs Department'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.02.2024.</p>
	<p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 16.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.764 of 2023</p> <p>G.N. BadadareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Shrutika Tilak, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant reiterates that Applicant is due for retirement on 31.03.2024 and accordingly prays for the continuation of the orders of 'Interim Relief' granted on 05.07.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Principal Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department'. Further, she may also enquire with 'Principal Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department' as to whether they are willing to withdraw the 'Transfer Order' dated 30.06.2023, since Applicant is just few months away from his retirement on 31.03.2024.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 07.03.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 16.02.2024

O.A. No.1083 of 2023

Dr. J.B. MokalApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through 'Video-Conference' and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that the information which was sought as per directions in 'Para 8' of 19.01.2024 has yet not been provided including copies of 'File Notings'.

3. Learned P.O. states that information has just been received from 'Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fisheries Department' and she will be filing within next 3 days by way of 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' and subsequently will also provide in advance copy of 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' to learned Advocate for Applicant.

4. S.O. to 23.02.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 16.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.90 of 2024</p> <p>D.T. Bachhav,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.L. Dhone, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'One Week' time by way of last chance to inform 'Registrar (Administration)' of 'State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, Mumbai' to immediately relieve Applicant as per earlier directions in 'Para 6' of Orders dated 29.01.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.02.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">MMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 16.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1562 of 2023</p> <p>D.B. Tamhane & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Shrutika Tilak, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai.' Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. The directions in 'Para 8' of Order dated 14.12.2023 to continue only in respect of 'Assistant Inspector of Motor Vehicles' who are serving under 'R.T.O., Pune'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.03.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">MMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 16.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1469 of 2023</p> <p>B.H. Kundale,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Shri S.A. Daga, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Applicant both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Learned P.O. again seeks 'One Week' time to file to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O. by way of last chance as the Applicant is due for retirement on 29.02.2024. 4. S.O. to 26.02.2024. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 16.02.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.179 of 2024</p> <p>R.S. MulaniApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Bajirao Shelke, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. <li>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.03.2024. <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. <li>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. <li>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. <li>8. This O.A. No.179/2024 be tagged along with O.A. No.26/2022 & others relating to 'Inter District Transfer'. <li>9. S.O. to 05.03.2024. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 09/2024 in O.A 689/2023</u></p> <p>D.R Gujrathi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned files affidavit in reply dated 16.2.2024 on behalf of the Respondents stating that the applicant after reinstatement is posted as Circle Officer, at Tala, Dist-Raigad and accordingly the order of this Tribunal has been complied with.,</p> <p>3. In view of the Contempt Application stands disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1278/2023</u></p> <p>S.V Shelar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1307/2023</u></p> <p>R.S Dhumal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri O.M Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 23.02.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Ijedha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1333/2023</u></p> <p>A.R Surve ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 28.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1371/2023</u></p> <p>C.N Pisal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant in person absent. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1398/2023</u></p> <p>A. Farvande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Herard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 1.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1456 with 1429/2023</u></p> <p>G.P Girhe B.D Devkate ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 28.3.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1492/2023</u></p> <p>S.L Kokade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1528/2023</u></p> <p>A.P Singh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1578/2023</u></p> <p>J S Bansode ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time to file reply to the amended O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 16.02.2024
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1592/2021</u></p> <p>S.M Birambole ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.3.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 4/2024 in O.A 893/2022</u></p> <p>N.S Khandare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is regarding the implementation of the order of this Tribunal dated 23.8.2023 of conveying a special D.P.C meeting to consider the case of the applicant for promotion.</p> <p>3. It is expected that the Respondents to conduct D.P.C only in respect of the applicant and it is not to be tagged with the other candidates.</p> <p>4. S.O to 23.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 43/2023 in O.A 32/2023 with M.a 364/2023 in O.A 619/2023 with M.A 106/2024 in O.A 619/2023</u></p> <p>A.D Nargude & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for applicants in O.A 619/2023.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 23.2.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 607/2022 in O.A 465/2020</u></p> <p>G.Y Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 743/2023 in O.A 1163/2023 with O.A 985/2023 with O.A 1348/2023</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Applicants (Ori Respondents)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>O.B Adke ... Respondent (Ori Applicant)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">With</p> <p>A.R Shinde & Ors ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">With</p> <p>S.S Kedar ... Applicant</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned advocate for the applicants (Ori Respondents) and Shri S.S Dere, learned counsel for Respondents (Original Applicants) in all the 3 Original Applications.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants is directed to give name of the P.S.Is who did not appear for the examination and after completion of their probation they were immediately posted to Special Protection Unit.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>4. S.O to 23.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 16.02.2024
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 61/2024 in O.A 680/2023</u> <u>Through Video Conference</u></p> <p>S.J Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this application the applicant prays that his case be considered as per the order of this Tribunal dated 30.4.2019 in O./a 886/2017 as the applicant is similarly situated.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>4. S.O to 11.3.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 985/2017</u></p> <p>M.N Pastapure ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 15.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A Nos 848 with 997/2022</u></p> <p>V.B Saravade A.T Joseph ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Court, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 350/2023</u></p> <p>N.K Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Tanvi Phatak i/b Sachin Hande, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 772/2023</u></p> <p>K.R Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms S vati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 1.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 990/2023</u></p> <p>A.H Nautiyal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.N.P & Associates, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that reply is not filed by G.A.D and M.P.S.C.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter to proceed without reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 1.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1216/2023</u></p> <p>N.K Gore ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 1.3.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>16.02.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1275/2023</u></p> <p>K.K Sangh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Y.S Gharal, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.3.2024.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption <u>'for Dismissal'</u> and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>7. S.O to 28.3.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>