

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 16.01.2024**

**M.A.No.17/2024 in O.A.No.1268/2022**

B.D. Kamble & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that M.A. is filed seeking directions to the Respondents to issue the promotion orders of the applicant who are found fit are to be considered for promotion to the post of PI in view of the DPC held on 21.03.2023 along with the Applicants in O.A.No.1226/2022. Learned Counsel has submitted that all the applicants are APIs by direct recruits. Learned Counsel relies on paragraphs 12 and 14 of the order dated 16.12.2022 passed in O.A.No.1268/2022.

3. Learned Counsel has submitted that in view of the interim relief the Government was directed to recruit Direct Recruits stating that the order of stay granted by this Tribunal in O.A.No.557/2021 and O.A.No.676/2021 will not come in the way of promotions of these 450 APIs. Learned Counsel has submitted that in the DPC meeting dated 21.03.2023 the Government has considered the present applicants and has selected APIs for the promotions to the post of PI. The names of these applicants are included and shown in the list of candidates who are fit for promotion.

4. We made query to the learned C.P.O. whether order dated 16.12.2022 passed in O.A.No.1268/2022 is challenged before the Hon'ble High Court. She informed that as per instructions the order dated 16.12.2022 is not challenged before the Hon'ble High Court. We rely on paragraph 14 of the order dated 16.12.2022 passed in O.A.No.1268/2022 which is quoted below for reference :

"14. The applicants are direct recruits and order of stay granted by this Tribunal in O.A 557/2021 86 676/2021 will not come in the way of promotion of the present applicants to the post of Police Inspector."

5. We direct Respondent-Government to issue promotion order, as the present applicants are found fit as per DPC meeting held on 21.03.2023 within four weeks from today, in accordance with law.

6. In view of above, M.A. is allowed and stands disposed of.

Sd/-  
**(Medha Gadgil)**  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 16.01.2024**

**O.A.No.1174/2023**

D.S. Padvi .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,  
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P.  
Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the  
Respondents

2. Learned Counsel seeks time to file affidavit.

3. Time granted. Adjourned to 13.02.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 16.01.2024**

**O.A.No.699/2023**

Y.A. Ahire & Ors. ...Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar,  
learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P.  
Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the  
Respondents

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.

3. Time granted to learned C.P.O. by way of last  
chance for filing reply. If reply is not filed on or  
before next date, matter will proceed without reply.

4. Adjourned to 13.02.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 16.01.2024**

**O.A.No.1493/2023**

B.D. Shelar .....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar,  
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J.  
Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the  
Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to  
30.01.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 16.01.2024**

**O.A.No.1278/2023**

S.V. Shelar .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. R. Musale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 30.01.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 16.01.2024**

**M.A.No.701/2023 in O.A.No.870/2021**

A.R. Ishware & Ors. ...Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.V. Waghmare, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Pursuant to order dated 18.12.2023 matter is fixed today. Leaned C.P.O. request to keep back the matter as the concerned officer is on her way.

3. Suo moto speaking to minutes. In order dated 18.12.2023 in paragraph 4, line 6, the date '20.03.2023' has been wrongly typed. It should be read as '20.03.2013'.

4. Ordered accordingly.

5. Adjourned to 23.01.2024.

Sd/-  
**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

Sd/-  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 16.01.2024</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.4/2024 in O.A.No.865/2021</b></p> <p>C.Y. Kadam (M.A.4/2024) N.M. Ransing (O.A.865/2021) ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant in M.A.No.4/2024, Ms. S.G. Talhar, learned Counsel for the Applicants in O.A.No.865/2021 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Mr. Ashish Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Respondents No.2 to 5 and 7 to10 is absent.</p> <p>3. M.A. is filed by learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar seeking intervention in O.A.No.865/2021.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar has submitted that the Applicant in M.A. prays that Respondent no.1 be directed to modify the seniority list and these eight Original Applicants are to be shown in the seniority list at Serial Nos.44-A, 44-B, 44-C, 44-D, 44-E, 45-A, 45-B and 45-C. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar has submitted that the Applicant is at Sr. No.53 in the Seniority List dated 22.07.2021 which is under challenge and therefore the Applicants in O.A. are going to be ahead of him and so his seniority is going to be affected. He has submitted that during the pendency of this O.A. since 19.10.2021 the present Applicant/intervenor was promoted on 11.12.2023 to the post of Executive Engineer. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Applicants above him in between Sr. No.45 to Sr. No.65 in the seniority list are made party i.e. Respondents No.2 to 10, but the applicant is not made party in O.A. and the applicant is going to be affected adversely if O.A. is allowed. He has further submitted that the Applicant has served all the concerned. Hence, he prays that the application is to be allowed.</p> <p>5. Learned Counsel Ms. Talhar for the Original Applicant has submitted that she wants time to file reply.</p> <p>6. However, in view of the facts unfolded by us and the prayers made in O.A., we are of the view that the intervention is necessary and appropriate parties are to be added from Respondent No.11 onwards. Amendment to be carried out within one week. Amended copy to be served forthwith.</p> <p>7. In view of above, M.A.No.4/2024 seeking intervention in O.A.No.865/2021 is allowed.</p> <p>8. O.A.No.865/2021 Adjourned to 06.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 16.01.2024**

**O.A.No.318/2023**

V.P. Idate .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.
3. Time granted to learned C.P.O. by way of last chance for filing reply. If reply is not filed on or before next date, matter will proceed without reply.
4. Adjourned to 13.02.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**



**Date : 16.01.2024**

**R.A.No.11/2023 in O.A.No.1027/2019**

L.D. Surnar .....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Atharav Nalawade holding for Mr. R. Hake Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.02.2024

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of R.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Review Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Review Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 27.02.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 16.01.2024**

**M.A.No.650/2023 in R.A.No.11/2023 in  
O.A.No.1027/2019**

L.D. Surnar .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Atharav Nalawade holding for Mr. R. Hake Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay of 48 days in filing R.A.

3. Learned Counsel has submitted that R.A. is filed on 11.10.2023 and the delay of 48 days has occurred unintentionally and inadvertently in filing the R.A. He has further submitted that this delay has caused due to time consumed for communication and legal consultation.

4. Reply is not filed, though in earlier two dates adjournment was granted at the request of the learned P.O.

5. M.A.No.650/2023 seeking condonation of delay of 48 days in filing R.A.No.11/2023 is allowed.

6. M.A. is allowed.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 16.01.2024**

**M.A.No.647/2023 in O.A.No.291/2023**

N.P. Bhosale & Anr. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Mr. K.S. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A. Misal, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4 are absent.
3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay in filing O.A. and reply is already filed.
4. Adjourned to 30.01.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 16.01.2024</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.303/2023 in O.A.No.491/2021</b></p> <p>Dr. S.V. Sambhare .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay in filing O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has submitted that reply is filed.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel Ms. Pradhan seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>5. Time granted. Adjourned to 30.01.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 16.01.2024**

**O.A.No.1179/2023**

A.P. Devkate .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,  
learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P.  
Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the  
Respondents

2. In view that reply is not filed till date, matter  
to proceed without reply.

3. Adjourned to 06.02.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.47/2024**

**Dr. Ashok Rathod & Ors.**

**... Applicants**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The names of Applicants are shown at Exh. 'A' i.e. list published of ineligible candidates on 17.10.2023 and the Applicants have secured less than 41 marks in Interview and this is the reason declaring the Applicants ineligible.

3. In this Recruitment Process, out of 67 posts, 51 posts are filled-up and 16 posts are vacant. However, once they found ineligible, no protection can be given to present Applicants under Clause (iv) of operative order of Hon'ble Bombay High Court, otherwise it will amount to misleading the order of Hon'ble Bombay High Court.

4. Sofar as Applicant No.6 - Dr. Sayed A. Ubed is concerned, his name is not found in the list of 'Eligible Candidates' and the result is not declared. Hence, we direct to take instructions in respect of Applicant No.6 and till then, his services are not to be discontinued till next date.

5. However, we leave it to the State Government, if at all they want to avail of their services and continue as an exigency in the said Department, it is upto the State Government.

6. S.O. to 30<sup>th</sup> January, 2024.

*Sd/-*  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
Member-A  
16.01.2024

*Sd/-*  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
Chairperson  
16.01.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

/R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.25/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>Dr. A.R. Madkar</b> ... Applicant V/s. <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2024.</p> <p align="center"> <i>Sd/-</i>  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>            Member-A            16.01.2024         </p> <p align="center"> <i>Sd/-</i>  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>            Chairperson            16.01.2024         </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1210 of 2019</u></p> <p>Amina K. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Medha Gadgil)      <i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A)      Chairperson 16.1.2024      16.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.4 of 2020 in O.A. No.1119 of 2015</u></p> <p>Dr. B.M. Lad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO refers to reply dated 3.1.2024 filed by Rajesh D. Mashere, Under Secretary, MPSC, Mumbai wherein it is informed that order of the Tribunal was challenged before the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.9195/2021 along with other group of 35 writ petitions. The Hon'ble High Court by order dated 20.3.2023 directed to publish revised list. Ld. PO submits that pursuant to the order the Commission published recommendation list dated 8.9.2023 and also list of ineligible candidates on 17.10.2023. The applicant is found in the ineligible list and thus the order is complied with. Ld. PO submits that pursuant to the revised list the applicant has filed separate OA No.1368/2023 and which is pending.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, nothing remains in this CA and the same is disposed of.</p> <p align="center">             (Medha Gadgil)            Member (A)            16.1.2024         </p> <p align="center">             (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson            16.1.2024         </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"> <u>CA No.36/2021 in OA No.1029/2014 with</u>  <u>CA No.37/2021 in OA No.1030/2014 with</u>  <u>CA No.38/2021 in OA No.1031/2014 with</u>  <u>CA No.39/2021 in OA No.1032/2014 with</u>  <u>CA No.40/2021 in OA No.1033/2014 with</u>  <u>CA No.5/2021 in TA No.1/2020</u> </p> <p> R.S. Bidkar (CA.36/2021)  M.D. Sundarkar (CA.37/2021)  S.S. Kinhekar (CA.38/2021)  J.G. Rohankar (CA.39/2021)  V.P. Ingole @ V.V. Shelke (CA.40/2021)  K.C. Pawar (CA.5/2021) ..Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents </p> <p> Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar for Applicant in CA No.5/2021 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Special Counsel with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. </p> <p> 2. Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicants in CAs. No.36 to 40/2021 is absent. </p> <p> 3. Shri M.D. Lonkar, Ld. Special Counsel for the State submits that writ petitions are pending in the Hon'ble High Court. </p> <p> 4. S.O. to 27.2.2024. </p> <p align="center">   (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  16.1.2024  (sgj) </p> <p align="center">   (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  16.1.2024 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

C.A. No.41 of 2023 in O.A. No.297 of 2015

U.N. Yadav ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The order dated 8.8.2022 passed in the above OA is challenged in this CA. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that as per clause para 3(A) of the order, representation was made before the Additional Chief Secretary, Finance on 25.8.2022 and audience was given to the applicant. Out of 6 bullet points, 3 are sorted out and the other 3 are rejected. The decision was communicated by Section Officer on 7.6.2023. It shows that in clause 1(a) and (b) the Government has informed that Government will take decision by obtaining the opinion of Finance Department.

3. Joint Secretary, Higher & Technical Education Department and Dy. Secretary, Skill Development Department to remain present on the next date.

4. S.O. to 18.1.2024 at 10.30 a.m.

*sd/-*

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
16.1.2024

*sd/-*

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
16.1.2024

(sgj)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.56 of 2023 in O.A. No.614 of 2014</u></p> <p>D.E. Hirde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that W.P. is filed in the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.2.2024.</p> <p align="center">             (Medha Gadgil)            Member (A)            16.1.2024            (sgj)         </p> <p align="center">             (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson            16.1.2024         </p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.80 of 2023 in O.A. No.729 of 2019</u></p> <p>Dr. S.V. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that pursuant to earlier order MPSC has taken decision on 20.12.2023 and further submits that final decision will be taken by the Government.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.2.2024.</p> <p align="center">             (Medha Gadgil)            Member (A)            16.1.2024            (sgj)         </p> <p align="center">             (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson            16.1.2024         </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 16.01.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1488 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.B. Kalewad</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'One Week' time to obtain information from the office of the 'Settlement Commissioner &amp; Director of Land Records, Pune' about what action has been taken on the subsequent letter dated 22.02.2023 written by the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. further states that he will take instructions in this regard from office of 'Additional Chief Secretary (Revenue), Revenue and Forest Department, Mumbai' considering fact that the Applicant is 'Physically Handicapped' to the extent of 48% due to 'Polio' and thus is entitled to 'Reasonable Accommodation' under 'Section 2 (y) &amp; Section 20 (2) of 'The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 16.01.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.12 of 2024 With O.A.No.29 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>N. P. Patil &amp; Ors. M. M. Bidkar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. A. Gharate, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for Applicants seeks leave of this Tribunal to amend prayer clause seeking equivalence of 'Diploma' with 10 years' experience with 'Degree'</p> <p>3. Leave granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sd/-</i> <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Sd/-</i> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 16.01.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1475 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>R.A. Patil, .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Special Counsel along with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks more time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned 'Special Counsel' on behalf of Respondent No.1 states that they have no objection if more time is granted to learned Advocate for the Applicant to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. has already filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.1 and so has learned Advocate for Respondent No.2. Therefore, the O.A. No.1475/2023 be admitted and kept for 'Final Hearing.'</p> <p>5. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 24.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 16.01.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.1004 of 2023 with O.A. No.1011 of 2023 with O.A. No.1044 of 2023 to O.A. No.1050 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>K.H. Yadav</b>  <b>S.M. Jadhav</b>  <b>V.R. Khamkar</b>  <b>K.S. Dhotre</b>  <b>N.N. Karande</b>  <b>S.D. Gunjal</b>  <b>H.A. Shelke</b>  <b>M.P. Mhaske</b>  <b>S.S. Pawar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Shri A.J. Chougule, and Smt Archana B.K.; learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' on behalf of the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that permission be granted to withdraw O.A. No.1047/2023. Thus Permission is granted to withdraw O.A. No.1047/2023.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant further states that batch of other 8 O.As. be admitted with liberty to learned P.O. to file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder'.</p> <p>5. The batch of other 8 O.As. are admitted for 'Final Hearing' with liberty to learned P.O. to file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder'</p> <p>6. S.O. to 30.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

W.R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A.32/2024 in O.A.47/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>Dr. Ashok Rathod &amp; Ors. ... Applicants</b> V/s. <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>Sd/-</i>  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member-A</b>  <b>16.01.2024</b>  <small>(skw)</small> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>Sd/-</i>  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b>  <b>16.01.2024</b> </p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

A/R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

W.R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p><u>O.A.1529/2023</u></p> <p><b>Dr. S.M. Shete</b> ... Applicant V/s. <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 19<sup>th</sup> January, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>sd/-</i>  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>      <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>                  Member-A                      Chairperson                  16.01.2024                      16.01.2024             </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

W.R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.988/2023</u></b></p> <p><b>R.D. Kanade</b> ... Applicant  <b>V/s.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 24<sup>th</sup> January, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <span style="margin-right: 100px;"><i>sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 16.01.2024</span> <span><i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 16.01.2024</span> </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

W.R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.1024/2023</u></b></p> <p><b>N.S. Jadhav</b> ... Applicant  <b>V/s.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 6<sup>th</sup> February, 2024.</p> <p align="center"> <i>sd/-</i>  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Member-A</b> <b>Chairperson</b>  <b>16.01.2024</b> <b>16.01.2024</b> </p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.44/2024**

**S.S. Pakale**

**... Applicant**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 13.02.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> February, 2024.

*SA/-*  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member-A  
16.01.2024

*SA/-*  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
16.01.2024



**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

A/R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.53/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>B.S. Kendre</b> ... Applicant V/s. <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant who is Deputy Commissioner, Social Welfare Department challenges DE dated 18.11.2017 for the instance of 2010-2011.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that Enquiry Officer was appointed on 13<sup>th</sup> March, 2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <span style="margin-right: 100px;"><i>sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 16.01.2024</span> <span><i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 16.01.2024</span> </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

A/R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>O.A.46/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>S.L. Kotwal</b> ... Applicant <b>V/s.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> January, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>      <i>sd/-</i> <b>Member-A</b>                      <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>16.01.2024</b>                      <b>Chairperson</b> <b>16.01.2024</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

A/R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.48/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>Y.B. Gavade</b> ... Applicant  V/s.  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> January, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <span style="margin-right: 100px;"><i>sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 16.01.2024</span> <span><i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 16.01.2024</span> </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1424 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.D. Ombase &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. CPO seeks time to file reply</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.1.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhã Gadgil) Member (A) 16.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1412 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.P. Pasanna ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.1.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1384 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.B. Rajbanshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. PO files reply dated 12.1.2024 of respondent no.5 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.2.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1196 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.M. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 12.1.2024 of respondent no.4.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.2.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.535 of 2023</u></p> <p>Surekha M. Vyahare @ Surekha wd/o Shivaji Lahakar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.L. Adhe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 2.1.2024 of respondents no. 3 &amp; 5.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.2.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.602 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.S. Valmiki-Chaudhary ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 12.1.2024 of respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.1.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) 16.1.2024</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.22 of 2024 in O.A. No.1610 of 2023

S.R. Mungekar ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri R.L. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 1.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
16.1.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.519 of 2023 in O.A. No.1002 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.D. Sherkhane ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.N. Sagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Kanhaiya S. Yadav holding for Ms. A.C. Kaladharan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. I.d. PO files reply dated 16.1.2024 of respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  16.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.230 of 2023 in O.A. No.786 of 2022</u></p> <p>Tukaram D. Pednekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.R. Gawas, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is filed seeking permission to amend the OA as per scheduled annexed to the MA.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. MA is allowed. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served to all the respondents.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.272 of 2023 in O.A. No.786 of 2022</u></p> <p>Tukaram D. Pednekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.R. Gawas, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. MA is filed for condoning the delay of 895 days. Ld. Advocate for the applicant refers to order dated 3.2.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OAs No.1028-1030 of 2021 whereby he was allowed to withdraw the said OAs with liberty to file fresh OAs. Ld. Advocate submits that applicant retired two months prior to the time required for qualifying service for pension. He refers to the period of COVID-19. He submits that he is praying for pension and pensionary benefits and therefore there is continuous cause of action. He therefore prays that the delay may be condoned.</p> <p>3. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case the delay is condoned. MA is allowed accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.786, 787 &amp; 788 of 2022</u></p> <p>T.D. Pednekar K.D. Garud A.K. Patil ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.R. Gawas, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply in OAs No.786 &amp; 788 of 2022 and seeks time to file reply in OA No.787 of 2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.5 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.T. Jadhav &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N.

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.8 of 2024 in O.A. No.5 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.T. Jadhav &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.804 of 2023 in O.A. No.1598 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.D. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.N. Sagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 2.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.1.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 16.01.2024</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1081 of 2023</b></p> <p>R.D. Ghunkikar, .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of added Respondent Nos.4 &amp; 5. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 16.01.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.817 of 2023 in O.A. No.1599 of 2023</p> <p>S.A. Barse &amp; Ors .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants by M.A. No.817 of 2023 in O.A. No.1599 of 2023 are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in this M.A. No. 817 of 2023, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. M.A. No. 817 of 2023 is accordingly disposed off.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <u>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</u> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 16.01.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1599 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.A. Barse &amp; Ors</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-          (Debashish Chakrabarty)          Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>16.01.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 135/2020</u></b></p> <p>J.R Choudhary ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Madke, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that even though order was passed on 14.12.2023, the applicant has failed to open Pension Account in the Bank within the stipulated period. Applicant prays for one month's time to open the Pension Account. We are unable to accept the submission of learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O states that if the applicant submits cancelled cheque of the Bank, all the dues will be deposited in the said Bank Account of the applicant within one month.</p> <p>4. With the above directions, Original Application stand disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.1115, 1116, 1117 &amp; 1118 of 202</u></p> <p>S.R. Khade M.C. Mhaske U.S. Gurav A.S.M.I. Shaikh ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicants is absent. Applicants are present in the Court.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that she has received instructions from the respondents and seeks time to file sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>3. However, we make it clear that the above matters were admitted on 25.1.2023 and rejoinder was filed on 28.2.2023. Thereafter the matters were adjourned to 23.3.2023, 29.3.2023, 7.6.2023, 5.7.2023, 27.7.2023 and 24.8.2023. Thereafter the record shows that matters have appeared on 9.1.2024 and adjourned to 16.1.2024. We keep these matters tomorrow for filing sur-rejoinder. If sur-rejoinder is not filed, the matters will proceed without sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.1.2024.</p> <p align="center">   (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)  16.1.2024 </p> <p align="center">   (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  16.1.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.104 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.W. Devrukhkar &amp; 33 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate for the applicants, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondent No.2 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, Ld. Advocate Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicants adjourned to 22.1.2024.</p> <p align="center">             (Medha Gadgil)            Member (A)            16.1.2024            (sgj)         </p> <p align="center">             (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson            16.1.2024         </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.396 of 2017</u></p> <p>R.S. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that she wants time to file short affidavit for producing DE proceedings.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.1.2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sgj</i></p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.1.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sgj</i></p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 16.1.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.418 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.D. Kharat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 18.1.2024.</p> <p align="center">             (Medha Gadgil)            Member (A)            16.1.2024         </p> <p align="center">             (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson            16.1.2024         </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.488 of 2017
	<p>K.A. Jadhav &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants states that the applicants have informed him that they want to engage another lawyer.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants is directed to file a purshis to that effect. Applicants shall remain present on the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.1.2024.</p> <p align="center">             (Medha Gadgil)            Member (A)            16.1.2024            (sgj)         </p> <p align="center">             (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)            Chairperson            16.1.2024         </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>16.01.2024</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1101/2017</u></b></p> <p>S.K Gujale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We would like to know from the Respondents that after the revision of the merit list, Respondent No. 2, Ms Jyoti N Jadhav, who has secured 92 marks, why she was not migrated in open category when the cut-off marks for open category is 92 marks?</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.1.2024. Part Heard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>