

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 16.01.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.500 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>P. B. Jagtap</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 20.05.2022 whereby he was transferred from the post of Range Forest Officer, Talki, Dhokeshwar (EGS), Dist. Ahmednagar (Nasik Forest Circle) to Range Forest Officer, Social Forestry, Karjat, Alibag (Thane Forest Circle) <i>inter alia</i> contending that it is in contravention of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Act 2005') and G.R. dated 09.04.2018.</p> <p>3. When the matter was heard for quite some time, it is transpired that though the Applicant was due for transfer, the Government by order dated 18.06.2021 accepted the Applicant's request for change of Circle from Nashik Circle to Pune Circle. Consequent to it, the Applicant has made representation on 12.08.2021 for giving posting in Pune Circle giving details of taking post in Pune Circle. In alternative, he submits that if it is not possible to accommodate him on any one of the posts asked by him, he be retained at present post. Later, at the time of general transfer of 2022, he gave nine options <del>as</del> Karjat, Social Forestry Alibag, Thane Circle was one on the options and accordingly he was posted there.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
	<p>3. The Tribunal by order dated 30.05.2022 directed not to fill in the one post of Range Forest Officer Protection and Encroachment Department, Pune which was vacant at that time.</p> <p>4. Thus, the situation emerges that Government had changed the circle from Nashik to Pune by order dated 18.06.2021 and obviously the Applicant is required to be posted in Pune Circle. However, he is posted in Thane Circle though he was his one of the options. However, now in view of change of circle from Nashik to Pune, the Applicant is entitled to Pune Circle. It appears, the Respondent No.2 lost sight of this aspect. Now, the general transfers of 2023 are due in next 3-4 months.</p> <p>5. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the Respondent No.2 about vacancy of Range Forest Officer in Pune Circle in general transfer so that Applicant could ne accommodate in Pune Circle.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 17.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">A Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>16.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 7/2023 in O.A 96/2021</u></p> <p>Dr Manoj M. Mahind &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad holding for Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter, the learned counsel for the applicants pray that the applicant should not be relieved till the matter is heard.</p> <p>3. We protect the services of the applicants till the date of next hearing i.e. on 6.2.2023.</p> <p>4. S.O to 6.2.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>16.01.2023</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 814/2022 with O.A 280 &amp; 281/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri G.S Chavan &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Sabhia Ansari, learned advocate for the applicants, Shri Mihir Desai learned Special Counsel with Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We direct the M.P.S.C and the Respondent-State to provide the dates of issuance of the E.W.S Certificate to the S.E.B.C candidates in this examination. We call upon learned counsel Mr Lonkar, Mr Dere and Mr Waghmare for the private Respondents to produce the dates of issuance of the E.W.S Certificates of all the private Respondents in O.A 814, 280 &amp; 281/2022.</p> <p>3. We also direct the Respondent-State to produce the details when the 13 candidates from State Tax were given the appointments who are originally from E.W.S and given appointment in supernumerary posts.</p> <p>4. S.O to 17.1.2023 at 10.30.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p><b>16.01.2023</b></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.01.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.60 of 2023</p> <p>M. A. Ghavate .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has filed present O.A. for direction to Respondent No.2 to consider the representation for transfer as Forester, Mohol since she has already completed two tenure.</li> <li>3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.02.2023.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ <del>Miscellaneous</del> Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / <del>Miscellaneous</del> Application shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 13.02.2023.</li> <li>10. In the meantime, the Respondents are directed to decide the representation on its own merit.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.68 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. V. P. Kale ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 13.01.2023 issued by the Government thereby transferred and giving posting to the Applicant as Director of Maharashtra Institute of Mental Health, Pune <i>inter-alia</i> contending that it is mid-term and mid-tenure transfer and out of cadre posting in contravention of Rules.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., one day time is granted to consider the relief of interim stay.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.918/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Mr. V.D. Wakode</b>                      ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b>        ... Respondents</p> <p>1.        Shri D.W. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2.        Learned Advocate for the Applicant files Additional Affidavit on behalf of Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3.        S.O. to 1<sup>st</sup> February, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>16.01.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.527/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Mr. M.M. Thakur</b>                        ... Applicant <b>      Vs.</b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b>            ... Respondents</p> <p>1.        Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2.        Learned P.O. files Affidavit-in-Sur-rejoinder on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3.        S.O. to 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>16.01.2023</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1083 of 2022</p> <p>P. C. Palugulla <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant in person absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicant had sent this O.A. by post and on receipt of it, it has been registered.</p> <p>3. On scrutiny, certain objections were found, therefore, the matter was listed before the Registrar for removal of objections from time to time but the Applicant is continuously absent. Ultimately, O.A. is listed before the Tribunal for appropriate order.</p> <p>4. The Applicant is retired Government servant and sought refund of Rs.43,940/- deducted from his gratuity after retirement. It would be appropriate to give one opportunity to Applicant to remove objection and remain present.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 23.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- N (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 16.01.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M. A. No.10 of 2023 in O.A.No.795 of 2018</b></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>V. N. Ghatge ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri A. S. Patil, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant ).</p> <p>2. This is 2nd M.A. for extension of time to implement the order passed by the Tribunal. The Tribunal decided the O.A. 795/2018 by order dated 22.09.2022 and directions were issued to consider the application made by the Applicant for appointment on compassionate ground within two months from the date of order. Thereafter, M.A. No.664/2022 was filed by the Respondents for extension of time. The Tribunal by order dated 22.11.2022 granted six weeks' time to comply the order with specific directions that no further extension will be entertained.</p> <p>3. However, now again second M.A. is filed for extension of time to comply the order solely on the ground that proposal is under process and necessary steps are being taken. This is nothing but lame excuse. Already six weeks' time was additionally granted in M.A.664/2022. The Respondents, thus not serious about the time limit given by the Tribunal. It seems file is moving with snail space. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>4. Misc. Application is dismissed with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- [P.T.O.]</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>16.01.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 62/2023</u></b></p> <p>Akshay B. Khade &amp; Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants pray that the Respondent no. 1 be directed to change the answer to Option 1 of Question No. 48 (Set-A) and add 2.5 marks to the total marks of General Studies Paper I, so as to make them qualify to appear for the Main Examination to be held in the month of January, 2023 or thereafter as the case may be.</p> <p>3. Question No. 48 is reproduced below:-</p> <p>“48. Pituitary gland is endocrine gland connected to hypothalamus at the base of the brain. It secretes many hormones.</p> <p>(a) It is called as master endocrine gland. (b) Its anterior part is neurohypophysis and posterior is adenohypophysis. (c) Oxytocin and Vasopressin are secreted by posterior pituitary. (d) STH, JTSH, ACTH are secreted by adenohypophysis.</p> <p>Which of the statements given above is/are correct? (1) (a), (c) and (d) (2) Only (a) and (c) (3) Only (d) (4) Only (b) and (d)</p> <p>4. The applicants have opted answer (1) as the correct answer, where as M.P.S.C states option (2) is the correct answer.</p> <p>5. We have already decided O.A Nos 1266 &amp; 1267/2022 on 3.1.2023 and O.A Nos 1145/2022, 1150/2022 &amp; 1175/2022 on 24.11.2022 regarding Question No. 48 and we have held that we are not experts and unable to decide whether the question is right or wrong.</p> <p>6. Hence O.A is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>16.01.2023</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>M.A 28/2023 in O.A 62/2023</u></b></p> <p>Akshay B. Khade &amp; Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.973 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. N.S. Bohari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 &amp; 2 and Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that the Government is in the process of framing rules on the issue of eligibility of transgender for filling the post of Constable. Ld. PO seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.1.2023 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 16.1.2023 16.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.602 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.D. Ganage ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.2.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 16.1.2023 16.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.871 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.R. Sawant &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.2.2023 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 16.1.2023 16.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.359 of 2021</u></p> <p>B.R. Thorat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the applicant.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.2.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 16.1.2023 16.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.58 of 2022 in O.A. No.863 of 2017</u></p> <p>C.V. Bhosle ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that writ petition is filed in the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.3.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 16.1.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 16.1.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.61 of 2022 in O.A. No.212 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.H. Parche ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.1.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 16.1.2023 16.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

O.A. No.65 of 2022

P.P. Bhosale ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.2.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
16.1.2023

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
16.1.2023

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.36 of 2022 in O.A. No.65 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.P. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. According to the applicant there is delay of 2 years and 7 months. OA is filed on 24.1.2022 thereby challenging the order dated 29.4.2018. OA could have been filed on 28.4.2019. It is stated in MA that applicant could have filed OA within limitation. The documents which he asked for were supplied to him by the Respondent-State at late stage and so he could not file the OA within time.</p> <p>3. The applicant should not have waited for the documents instead he should have approached this Tribunal. However, he approached the Tribunal after two waves of COVID-19 Pandemic and therefore we condone the delay of 2 years and 7 months. The applicant to deposit a sum of Rs.1000/- (Rupees one thousand only) towards cost in the Registry of this Tribunal. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 16.1.2023 16.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1276 of 2022</u></p> <p>J.R. Deshmukh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Vaishali K. Jagdale i/b. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.2.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span> 16.1.2023 <span style="float: right;">16.1.2023</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 16.01.2023</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.610 of 2022 in O.A.No.182 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>Asha E. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter was heard for some time on 12.01.2023, it was revealed that name of the Applicant No.1 is still existed in waiting list and the Respondent No.2 had also issued communication dated 15.12.2021 calling upon the Applicant No.1 to remain present in the office along with documents on 16.12.2021. However, it appears that her insistence was for substitution of Applicant No.2 in her place. Therefore, on 12.01.2023, learned Counsel for the Applicant was directed to take instructions from the Applicant No.1 about her willingness to accept the post offered to her.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that there is vacancy in Group 'D' post and if the Applicant No.1 is willing to accept the same, the O.A. can be disposed of.</p> <p>4. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicants has filed additional affidavit of Applicant No.1 stating that she is ready to accept the Group 'D' post.</p> <p>5. Since the name of Applicant No.1 is already in the waiting list, the question of substitution of Applicant No.2 in her place does not survive. It is only in case where the name of heir is deleted on account of age bar, in that event only, there may be consideration of substitution of another heir. Therefore, the claim of Applicant No.2 for substitution is untenable.</p> <p>6. In view of above, M.A. and O.A. are disposed of with directions to Respondent No.2 to take further steps for appointment of Applicant No.1 in Group 'D' post since there is already vacancy available. Necessary orders be issued within a month from today.</p> <p>7. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1250 of 2022</p> <p>D. S. Kasar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. O.A be kept with connected O.A.s on 01.02.2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1234 of 2022</p> <p>L. M. Gaikwad .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Affidavit in Rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing .</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1094 of 2022</p> <p>H. K. Sanas <span style="float:right">...Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.01.2023. <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1041, 1042, 1043 1044 &amp; 1048 of 2022</p> <p>R. P. Dhake &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Applicants</span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder. However, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder.</li> <li>3. Since pleading is complete, O.A.s be kept for hearing.</li> <li>4. Interim relief to continue till next date.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 02.02.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.853 of 2022</p> <p>P. S. Ujgare <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On previous date, two weeks time is granted as a last chance but reply is not filed. Today, again learned P.O. sought time to file reply.</li> <li>3. Since enough time is granted, I am not inclined to grant further time. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without reply.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 01.02.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="right"> <sup>N</sup>  Sd/-    (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>