ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 482 OF 2016 (Shri Harshal V. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.8.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

C.P. 9/2021 IN O.A. NO. 70/2018 (Shri Dadabhau T. Parte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE**: 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. The learned P.O. has tendered across the bar the additional affidavit of the respondents. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.
- 3. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 4.8.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

T.A. 7/2016 (W.P. 1533/2015) (Smt. Chandrakala K. Navgire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Rasiq Shaikh, learned counsel holding for Shri Nasim R. Shaikh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.8.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 178 OF 2016 (Shri Deora R. Jondhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri V.S. Panpatte, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 19.8.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 733 OF 2016 (Shri Vithal L. Badhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri P.B. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.8.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 739 OF 2016 (Shri Datta B. Mukhade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri A.V. Patil (Indrale), learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 22.8.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 750 OF 2016 (Shri Jaysing S. Maher Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for respondent nos. 2 & 3 and Shri S.T. Shelke, learned counsel for respondent no. 4, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 23.8.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 752 OF 2016 (Shri Sanjay B. Rasane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri B.G. Sagade Patil, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 23.8.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 773 OF 2016 (Shri Govind D. Nagargoje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri G.J. Karne, learned counsel for the applicant (absent). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of absence of the learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 24.8.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 939 OF 2017 (Shri Dattatraya S. Bargaje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.8.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 555 OF 2018 (Smt. Nirmala S. Bhandari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 25.8.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 833 OF 2018 (Shri Prashant A. Falke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Rasiq Shaikh, learned counsel holding for Shri Nasim R. Shaikh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.8.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 887 OF 2018 (Dr. Sumant N. Wagh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 10.8.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 141 OF 2019 (Dr. Pandharinath S. Gawali & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 26.8.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 385 OF 2019 (Shri Suresh U. Nande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.8.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 540 OF 2019 (The Maharashtra State Gazetted Veterinary Officers Union Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri P.R. Tandale, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.8.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 220 OF 2021

(Shri Sayyed Shoukatali Sabirali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri H.M. Shaikh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.8.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## C.P. 41/2019 IN O.A. NO. 25/2018 (Shri Sachin R. Salve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 11.8.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## C.P. 28/2020 IN O.A. NO. 113/2012 (Shri Bhagwat T. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 3.8.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## C.P. 03/2022 IN O.A. NO. 80/2021 (Shri Bhimrao N. Kokate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 15.7.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 27.7.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 800/2018 (Dr. Kirankumar L. Bondar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri V.M. Chate, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 19.8.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 825/2016

(Shri Prakash A. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
WITH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 864/2016

(Shri Vasant S. Londhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
WITH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 865/2016

(Shri Vaijanath E. Jogdand Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
WITH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 866/2016

(Shri Vasant G. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
WITH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 867/2016

(Shri Shivaji S. Solanke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.7.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Vijay B. Jogdand Patil, learned counsel for the applicants in all these matters, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these matters and Shri H.A. Joshi, learned counsel for respondent nos. 7 to 9 in O.A. No. 825/2016, are present. Shri Pradeep Patil, learned counsel for respondent no. 5 in O.A. No. 864/2016, Shri Satyajit Bora/Shri P.P. Kothari, learned counsel for respondent no. 5 in O.A. no. 865, 866 & 867 all of 2016 (absent).

2. In view of absence of learned counsel for respondent no. 5 in O.A. No. 864/2016 and learned counsel for respondent no. 5 in O.A. no. 865, 866 & 867 all of 2016, S.O. to 11.8.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 646/2019 (Shri Manoj N. Pande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Abhay R. Rathod, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for respondent nos. 4, 5 & 7, are present. Shri V.M. Mane, learned counsel for respondent no. 6 (**absent**).

2. In view of absence of learned counsel for the applicant and learned counsel for respondent no. 6, S.O. to 12.8.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 859/2019 (Shri Kalpesh R. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 15.7.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Panditrao S. Anerao, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.8.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 917/2019 (Shri Ashok D. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.8.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A. NOS. 47, 48, 49 AND 59 ALL OF 2020 (Shri Damodhar B. Jadhav & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants in all these matters, Shri D.R. Patil / Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri G.N. Patil, learned counsel for respondent no. 5 / 3 & 4 in O.A. No. 49/2020 & 59/2020 respectively, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 5.9.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 608/2021 (Shri Pawansing R. Bighot Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 15.7.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 12.8.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 702/2021 (Shri Vivekanand P. Dhongade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri A.S. Khedkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 3.8.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A. 109/2018 IN O.A. ST. 408/2018 M.A. 110/2018 IN O.A. ST. 410/2018 M.A. 111/2018 IN O.A. ST. 412/2018 M.A. 269/2018 IN O.A. ST. 1036/2018 MA 366/2018 IN MA ST. 1591/18 IN OA ST. 1592/2018 M.A. 270/2018 IN O.A. ST. 1034/2018 M.A. 353/2020 IN O.A. ST. 1469/2020

(Shri Punjaram N. Wathore & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 15.7.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicants in all these matters and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these matters, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 27.7.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A. 325/2019 IN O.A. ST. 1389/2019 (Shri Sukhdeo R. Solanke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri R.K. Khandelwal, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 23.8.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A. 62/2021 IN O.A. 461/2020

(The chief Engineer Water Resources Department, A'bad Vs. Shaikh Rahim Ameeroddin & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant in M.A. & respondent nos. 2 to 4 in O.A., Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicants in O.A., are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 11.8.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## M.A. 82/2021 WITH M.A. ST. 276/21 IN O.A. 34/2011 (Shri Bharat B. Sangale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri S.R. Kedar, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 5.8.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A. 215/2022 WITH M.A. 244/2022 (Shri Salim Mohd. Hanif Shaikh & Another Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants in O.A., Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri U.L. Momale along with Shri M.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the respondent nos. 4 to 20 & 22 to 24 in O.A. / applicants in M.A. 244/2022, are present.

- 2. S.O. to 26.7.2022 for haring. **High on Board**.
- 3. The interim relief granted earlier in O.A. to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.ST.NO.802/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.803/2021 (Dattatray S. Sonawane & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 15-07-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri R.C.Bhramhankar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. M.A.ST.No.802/2021 is preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.
- 3. For the reasons stated in the M.A. and since the cause and the prayers are identical and applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity of litigation, leave to sue jointly is granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.
- 4. Present M.A.ST.No.802/2021 stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO.269/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.803/2021 (Dattatray S. Sonawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bilon Kuman Mamban (A)

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 15-07-2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri R.C.Bhramhankar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. For the reasons stated in M.A., we are satisfied that the delay occasioned in filing the O.A. is for genuine reasons and not intentional. We are, therefore, inclined to condone the delay so that the applicants may get an opportunity to prosecute the matter on merit. Hence, the delay occasioned for filing the O.A. is condoned.
- 3. M.A.No.269/2021 stands disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.
- 4. O.A. be registered and numbered in accordance with law.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.803/2021 (Dattatray S. Sonawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 15-07-2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.C.Bhramhankar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. After registration of the O.A., issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 25-08-2022.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

## =2= O.A.St.No.803/2021

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 25-08-2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

YUK ORAL ORDERS 15-07-2022

M.A. No. 387/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1673/2021 (Nilesh Vishnu Sapkale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 15.07.2022

#### ORDER

- 1. The present Misc. Application is filed seeking condonation of delay of about 422 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, challenging the impugned communications dated 01.02.2018 and 19.08.2018 (Annexure-F in the O.A.) issued by the respondent No. 3 i.e. the Office Superintendent, S.P. Office, Jalgaon in concurrence of the respondent No. 2, thereby rejecting the claim of the applicant for appointment on compassionate ground stating that there is no provision of substitution in the said scheme.
- 2. The applicant's father named Vishnu Sapkale died in harness on 25.12.2001 while working in the establishment of respondent Nos. 2 and 3 i.e. the Superintendent of Police, Jalgaon as Police Constable. Thereafter, applicant's mother made application seeking compassionate appointment. Her name was taken in the waiting list. However, as she crossed the age of 40 years, her name was deleted from the waiting

list on 30.06.2008. At that time the applicant was minor. Thereafter, the mother of the applicant died on 07.04.2014. Meanwhile, the applicant attained the age of majority on 15.07.2013. Upon death of his parents, the applicant became orphan. He passed his 12<sup>th</sup> examination in June 2016. Thereafter, he made applications dated 30.10.2017 and 20.12.2017 to the respondent No. 2 seeking compassionate appointment. However, the respondent No. 2 rejected his claim by the impugned communications dated 01.02.2018 and 19.08.2019 (Annexure-F).

3. It is submitted that after removal of name of his mother, the respondent authorities did not inform the applicant about guidelines of giving compassionate appointment. After rejection of the applicant's claim, he made several representations in the year 2019 & 2020. Those were not considered by the respondent authorities. Due to unemployment, the applicant is in economic crises. Moreover, due to lack of legal knowledge, there was delay in filing the Original Application. The said delay is not deliberate or intentional one. Hence, the present Misc. Application.

- 4. The affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3 by one Shri Ambadas S/o Shantaram More, working as Police Inspector (Human Resources), in the office of the Superintendent of Police, Jalgaon, Dist. Jalgaon. He denied all the adverse contentions raised in the Misc. Application and contended that no sufficient cause has been shown by the applicant for condonation of inordinate delay. Moreover, there is no merit in the contentions raised by the applicant seeking compassionate appointment. Hence, the present Misc. Application is liable to be dismissed.
- 5. I have heard the arguments advanced by Shri M.K. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant on one hand and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents on the other hand.
- 6. The accompanying Original Application is filed by the applicant challenging the impugned communications dated 01.02.2018 and 19.08.2019 (Annexure-F), whereby the claim of the applicant for compassionate appointment is denied stating that there is no provision for substitution in the said policy. Admittedly though the name of the applicant's mother

was taken in the waiting list for compassionate appointment, her name was deleted on 30.06.2008, as she crossed the age of 40 years as per the Rules. Thereafter, she also died on 07.04.2014. In view of the same, the applicant became orphan is a fact. applicant said to have made for applications compassionate appointment on 30.06.2017 and 20.12.2017 after he attained the age of majority on 15.07.2013. In such circumstances, merit of the case is required to be considered on the basis of the contentions raised in the Original Application.

- 7. Accompanying Original Application along with the present Misc. Application is filed on 02.12.2021. The orders impugned in the said O.A. are 01.02.2018 and 19.08.2019 (Annexure-F). In view of the same, there seems to be delay of about 422 days caused in filing the accompanying O.A. It is also contended that the applicant was not informed about the said scheme of compassionate appointment by the respondent authorities after name of his mother was removed from the waiting list.
- 8. From the facts and circumstances, it cannot be said that the delay was caused by the applicant

//5// M.A. 387/2021 in O.A. St. 1673/2021

deliberately or intentionally, thereby the applicant had nothing to gain. It is a settled principle of law that the expression "sufficient cause" is to be construed liberally. In view of the facts and circumstances, refusing to condone the delay is likely to defeat the cause of justice at the threshold. Hence, I proceed to pass following order:-

### ORDER

- 1. The M.A. No. 387/2021 is allowed.
- 2. The delay of 422 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application is hereby condoned.
- 3. Accordingly, the M.A. stands disposed of. The Registry is directed to register and number the accompanying O.A. in accordance with law by taking into account other office objection/s, if any.
- 4. No order as to costs.

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1673 OF 2021 (Nilesh Vishnu Sapkale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri M.K. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Upon registration, issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 11.08.2022.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 11.08.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1148 OF 2021 (Manoranjan M. Gatkal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.A. Nimbalkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Amol S. Gandhi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

# M.A. No. 300/2022 in O.A. St. No. 855/2022 (Tukaram S. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 15.07.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri M.M. Patil (Beedkar), learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 24.08.2022.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 24.08.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

# M.A. No. 301/2022 in O.A. St. No. 856/2022 (Uttam V. Bhumre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 15.07.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri M.M. Patil (Beedkar), learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 24.08.2022.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 24.08.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

M.A. No. 302/2022 in O.A. St. No. 1092/2022 (Prerna S. Gadve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The present Misc. Application is filed seeking condonation of delay of about 28 days caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, counting further period of 90 days from 01.03.2022, which is ordered to be considered for exempting the limitation period by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.
- 3. In the case in hand, the applicant seeks to challenge the impugned order dated 29.07.2020 (Annexure A-1 in O.A.) issued by the respondent No. 2 denying his claim for compassionate appointment stating that there is no provision for substitution of name in the scheme for compassionate appointment. The accompanying O.A. along with the present Misc. Application is filed on 28.06.2022.

### //2// MA 302/22 in OA St. 1092/22

- 4. In view of the foregoing discussion, there will be delay of 28 days caused in filing the accompanying O.A., if it is counted from 01.06.2022. The said delay is marginal.
- 5. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the necessary order may be passed.
- 6. The applicant is seeking compassionate appointment. In view of the same, it would be just and proper to condone the delay in the interest of justice in order to give fair opportunity to the applicant to prove his claim. I therefore, proceed to pass following order:-

### ORDER

- 1. The M.A. No. 302/2022 is allowed.
- 2. The delay of 28 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application is hereby condoned.
- 3. Accordingly, the M.A. stands disposed of. The Registry is directed to register and number the accompanying O.A. in accordance with law by taking into account other office objection/s, if any.
- 4. No order as to costs.

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1092 OF 2022 (Prama S. Gadve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Upon registration, issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 19.08.2022.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 19.08.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

## **MEMBER (J)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 513 OF 2022 (Vikram S. Vairale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Akshay Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 19.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 518 OF 2022 (Ganesh A. Mundhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 15.07.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri H.M. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 19.08.2022.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 19.08.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 541 OF 2022 (Khiluba B. Sarak Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 15.07.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.P. Gunge, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 22.08.2022.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 22.08.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 638 OF 2022 (Jitendra N. Mutkule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 15.07.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present Original Application is filed seeking appointment on compassionate ground in view of the background that the father of the applicant viz. Narayan Tukaram Mutkule was missing since 25.10.2013 while he was working as Government In view of the same, the heirs and legal servant. representatives of the deceased Narayan Mutkule filed R.C.S. No. 385/2020 seeking declaration of civil death of the said Narayan Tukaram Mutkule before the learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Jalna. The learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Jalna by the judgment and order dated 10.06.2021 (Annexure A-6) declared the civil death of the said Narayan Mutkule as on 29.10.2020. As per the date of birth of the said Government employee, he would have retired on superannuation from the government service 31.05.2018.

- 3. The applicant is seeking relief on the basis of the document titled as "Scheme for Compassionate Appointment" (Annexure A-12), which was published before issuance of the subsequent G.Rs., Circulars and Notifications. Latest G.R. consolidating all these G.Rs., Notifications and Circulars is dated 21.09.2017, in which there is no mention of compassionate appointment in case of missing Government servant.
- 4. It is the contention of the applicant that when the scheme provides the benefit of compassionate appointment for the family members of the missing Government servant, it ought to have been reflected in the subsequent G.Rs., Circulars and Notification issued by the Government of Maharashtra from time to time.
- 5. In view of the same, it appears that the applicant is seeking relief on broader level traveling beyond the requisite G.Rs., Circulars and Notifications. Hence, in my considered opinion, this is a fit case to refer it before the learned Division Bench for further consideration.

In view of above facts, the present matter be 6. placed before the learned Division Bench for further consideration.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 962 OF 2022 (Dinkar S. Gawande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.O. Mane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.08.2022 to taking necessary steps to remove the office objection/s.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 508 OF 2017 (Syed Azam Syed Lal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

## **ORAL ORDER**:

Smt. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. AS none present for the applicant, S.O. to 18.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 20 OF 2019 (Nita B. Magare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri A.R. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. AS none present for the applicant, S.O. to 23.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 21 OF 2019 (Nandini S. Holkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri A.R. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 23.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 536 OF 2020 (Ravi U. Vanjare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri G.J. Karne, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to compassionate appointment. Hence, O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 11.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 190 OF 2021 (Pratibha M. Bankar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Rahul M. Jade, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Shri V.P. Narwade, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Manjushri V. Narwade learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 5.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 19.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 444 OF 2021 (Shivkumar A. Pohal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri M.S. Chaudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 23.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 491 OF 2021 (Bhagwan S. Naik Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.V. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that in the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 and 4 the contention about non-joinder of necessary party viz. Accountant, Pay Verification Unit, Aurangabad is raised.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks liberty of this Tribunal to add the said party as party respondent No. 5 to the Original Applicant in order to meet the above-said objection.
- 4. Liberty as prayed for by the applicant to add the respondent No. 5 is granted. The applicant shall carry out the necessary amendment in the O.A. forthwith.
- 5. Issue notice to the newly added respondent No. 5, returnable on 19.08.2022.

- 6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 10. S.O. to 19.08.2022.
- 11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

M.A. No. 347/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1444/2019 (Vijaykumar M. Nawale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Shritej Surve, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 01.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 242/2019 in O.A. St. No. 897/2019 (Shripad K. Marakwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.A. Nimbalkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Amol Gandhi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 266/2021 in O.A. St. No. 942/2021 (Mangilal N. Shirsath Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 583 OF 2021 (Jitendra M. Panje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.S. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to suspension. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for hearing at the stage of admission on 17.08.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 309 OF 2021 (Dinesh R. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri P.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 04.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 787 OF 2018 (Shahadeo S. Bangar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.
- 3. At the request of learned P.O. for the respondents, S.O. to 29.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 399 OF 2021 (Dr. Shivaji J. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Rakesh Jain, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.
- 3. S.O. to 17.08.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 373/2019 in O.A. No. 980/2018 (Narayan K. Vyas Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 17.08.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 536 OF 2020 (Ravi U. Vanjare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri G.J. Karne, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 17.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 348 OF 2021 (Balaji M. Ghulekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the para-wise remarks are received and therefore, she seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 22.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 648 OF 2021 (Dr. Usha N. Bholane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.P. Gase, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Shri N.R. Dayama, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5, **absent**.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.
- 3. S.O. to 25.08.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. 411/2021 in M.A. 126/2019 O.A. St. 534/2019 (Sachin B. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.A. Nimbalkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Amol Gandhi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 22 .08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 03/2021 in O.A. St. No. 971/2020 (Asha D. Kharat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.P. Narwade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 19.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 364 OF 2021 (Vinayak K. Kalambkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent 1 & 4 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 4.
- 3. S.O. to 23.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 475 OF 2022 (Dnyanoba W. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice on the respondents.
- 3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 22.08.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 476 OF 2022 (Prakash M. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice on the respondents.
- 3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 22.08.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 477 OF 2022 (Ashok S. Shelke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice on the respondents.
- 3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 22.08.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 517 OF 2022 (Shital A. Gaundewad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.

3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 23.08.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 117/2020 in O.A. St. No. 320/2020 (Pamabai D. Wanve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri G.J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 18.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 70/2022 in O.A. St. No. 1830/2020 (Uttam C. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri U.B. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 19.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 156/2022 in O.A. St. No. 621/2021 (Bhimrao S. Bilappatte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri M.L. Muthal, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 18.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 194/2022 in O.A. St. No. 616/2022 (Sumit D. Kakade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Await service of notice on the respondents.
- 3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.08.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 299/2020 in O.A. St. No. 1286/2020 (Ram S. Bajulge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Amol Kokad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
- 3. S.O. to 19.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 516 OF 2022 (Arun N. Pujari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri L.V. Sangit, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Same it taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 12.08.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 506 OF 2022 (Mangala V. Daonde & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Amit Savale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 04.08.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 503 OF 2022 (Kailas R. Walekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10.08.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 469 OF 2022 (Arun S. Joshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.08.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 323 OF 2022 (Baliram B. Mahale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3. Same it taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 17.08.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 516 OF 2022 (Arun N. Pujari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri L.V. Sangit, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Same it taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 12.08.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 304 OF 2022 (Nitin P. Mankar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 17.08.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 263 OF 2022 (Bhaskar D. Hire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 18.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 96 OF 2022 (Nagraj S. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 04.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 68 OF 2022

(Laxman S. Kolhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

3. S.O. to 03.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 833 OF 2021 (Deepali Y. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri D.B. Thoke, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondent No. 4.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

4. S.O. to 23.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 806 OF 2021 (Pralhad S. Soune Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri P.B. Salunke, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

3. S.O. to 23.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 789 OF 2021 (Ganesh Y. Pavar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Ramesh Wakde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 25.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 720 OF 2021 (Ramchandra G. Sawant Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Alkesh Jadhva, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 17.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 689 OF 2021 (Ajay R. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 05.08.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 586 OF 2021 (Prakash I. Sarode Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the affidavit in reply is not filed by the respondents. Hence, the present matter will proceed further without affidavit in reply of the respondents in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 08.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 548 OF 2021 (Rajendra M. Gunjal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.T. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply to the amendment O.A.
- 3. S.O. to 08.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 464 OF 2021 (Vilas K. Hiwale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Same it taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 11.08.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 17 OF 2021 (Parashram S. Khetre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.08.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 371 OF 2020 (Sanjay B. Bhandarkawathe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.P. Yenegure, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondent No. 3.

3. At the request of learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

4. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.08.2022 for taking necessary steps on behalf of respondent No. 3.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 90 OF 2020 (Satish N. Badade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 18.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.07.2022

M.A. No. 556/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1846/2019 (Sambhaji R. Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 15.07.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ajit B. Chormal, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The applicant had filed M.A. No. 556/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1846/2019 seeking condonation of delay caused in filing the accompanying O.A. St. No. 1846/2019 seeking relief in respect of pay fixation. The said M.A. was allowed by the order dated 04.05.2022 subject to payment of costs of Rs. 500/- within a period of one month from the date of the order i.e. on or before 04.06.2022.
- 3. Today, learned Advocate for the applicant filed application seeking extension of time for depositing the said amount of costs contending that during the summer vacation, he could not deposit the said amount of costs.
- 4. In view of the same and in the interest of justice, time is extended for enabling the applicant to deposit the abovesaid amount of costs on or before 29.07.2022.

M.A. No. 558/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1845/2019 (Datta L. Sangvikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 15.07.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ajit B. Chormal, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The applicant had filed M.A. No. 558/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1845/2019 seeking condonation of delay caused in filing the accompanying O.A. St. No. 1845/2019 seeking relief in respect of pay fixation. The said M.A. was allowed by the order dated 04.05.2022 subject to payment of costs of Rs. 500/- within a period of one month from the date of the order i.e. on or before 04.06.2022.
- 3. Today, learned Advocate for the applicant filed application seeking extension of time for depositing the said amount of costs contending that during the summer vacation, he could not deposit the said amount of costs.
- 4. In view of the same and in the interest of justice, time is extended for enabling the applicant to deposit the abovesaid amount of costs on or before 29.07.2022.

M.A.NO.626 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.2319 OF 2019

(Baban N. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

#### ORDER:

1. This application is made seeking condonation of delay of about 5 years and 5 months caused in filing the Original Application seeking notional annual increment on 01.07.2013 which was not paid to him in view of the fact of the retirement of the applicant on superannuation on 30.06.2013.

2. The applicant received last annual increment on 01.07.2012 before his retirement on superannuation on 30.06.2013. After completion of one year service from 01.07.2012 to 30.06.2013, the applicant is entitled for the annual increment due on 01.07.2013 though he was no more in service on that date being completed one year full service.

- 3. The applicant made representation in that regard in the year, 2019. However, the respondents did not respond to the said representation. The applicant is entitled for the said annual increment in view of revised pay Rules framed in the year, 2009. It was the bounden duty of the respondents to consider and grant annual increment. There is delay in seeking relief. However, the said delay is not deliberate or intentional. Non action on the part of the respondents in that regard is not adhering to the principles of law. Hence, this application.
- 4. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the respondents have failed to file the affidavit-in-reply. Hence, hearing of the present M.A. proceeded without affidavit-in-reply.
- 5. I have heard the arguments advanced by Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant

on one hand and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents on other hand.

- 6. Learned Presenting Officer in the arguments apposed the present Misc. Application and contended that no sufficient cause has been shown by the applicant for condonation of inordinate delay. Hence, the present Misc. Application is liable to be dismissed.
- 7. After having considered the contentions raised by the applicant, it appears that the applicant is seeking his right as per Rule 10 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2009, thereby seeking notional annual increment as of 1st July following the next day immediately after his retirement.
- 8. The Original Application along with this delay condonation application is presented on 28.11.2019.

  No doubt the applicant before filing this Original

Application made representation on 07.09.2019. The said representation itself is beyond the period of limitation. Hence, this cannot be relevant for considering the delay. By taking into consideration the date of retirement of the applicant and the date of filing of the Original Application, there is delay of about 5 years and 5 months.

9. By filing the present Original Application the applicant is seeking interpretation of Rule 10 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2009. In such circumstances, refusing to condone the delay is likely to defeat the cause of justice at the threshold. It is a settled principle of law that the expression "sufficient cause" is to be construed liberally. By considering the issued raised by the applicant in the Original Application, other Government Servant's right is not likely to be prejudiced. In the circumstances, in my considering opinion, it would be just and proper to

condone the delay of 5 years and 5 months caused in filing the Original Application by imposing moderate costs upon the applicant. I compute the costs of Rs.1000/- (One Thousand only) on the applicant and proceed to pass the following order: -

#### ORDER

The Misc. Application No. 626/2019 in O.A.St.No.2319/2019 is allowed in following terms:-

(A) The delay of about 5 years and 5 months caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned subject to payment of costs of Rs. 1,000/- (One Thousand only) by the applicant. The amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal within a period of one month from the date of this order.

# //6// M.A.626/2019 In O.A.St.2314/2019

(B) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.201 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.464 OF 2020 (Satish S. Gugale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 01.08.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.136 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.397 OF 2021 (Dinesh R. Choudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) WITH

M.A.NO.137 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.399 OF 2021 (Dinesh R. Choudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) WITH

M.A.NO.138 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.401 OF 2021 (Dinesh R. Choudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Sanjay N. Pagare, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. The present matters are closed for order.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.298 OF 2022 IN M.A.NO.503 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.2016 OF 2019

(Amina Begum Maheboob Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.386 OF 2019 (Gautam R. Fasale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE: 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. On earlier occasion during the course of hearing, it transpired that the documents relating to promotion of the applicant along with grant of respective pay scale from time to time would be necessary for determining controversy in this matter.

- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant in this regard produced on record the documents under the list. Those documents under the list are taken on record and placed at the end of the last annexure to the O.A. Those documents under the list are also served on the other side.
- 4. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.543 OF 2020 (Gaurav C. Randive Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant, has filed **leave note**. Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

O.A.NOS.60, 61, 116 TO 136 AND 158 ALL OF 2022 (Abhaykumar S. Salve & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these O.As.

- 2. Common written notes of argument filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. At the request of the learned P.O., S.O. to 19.07.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.15 OF 2017

(Subhas M. Sonwane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.116 OF 2017 (Dr. Dhanraj W. Kendre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Vijay V. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant placed on record the copy of Government order dated 18.08.2020 issued by the respondent No.1 granting deemed date of promotion dated 30.06.2003 as prayed in prayer clause 'C', but denied the monetary benefits from that date. The same is taken on record and placed after the last annexure of the O.A. at page Nos.104-A and 105-B.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 162 & 163 OF 2018 (Baliram B. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the O.As. and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 in both the O.As. Smt. Sunita D. Shelke, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 & 4 in both the O.As., **absent**.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 20.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.398 OF 2018 (Dnyaneshwar K. Sanap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 12.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.104 OF 2019 (Shaikh Rahimuddin Shaifoddin Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Vijay Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sanket N. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.323 OF 2019 (Jairam V. Mitke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter be treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 10.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.406 OF 2019 (Dayanand V. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Girish Awale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. The present matter be treated as part heard.

4. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.07.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.489 OF 2019 (Vinod R. Kandere Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 23.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.835 OF 2019 (Sanjay M. Churmurkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 17.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1049 OF 2019 (Pralhad L. Bhalerao Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Anand D. Kaware, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.4 & 5.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.56 OF 2020 (Anil S. Barkul Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Kishor D. Khade, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.233 OF 2020 (Arun A. Ghate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 23.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.302 OF 2020 (Rajesh M. Choudhary Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shr I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 17.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.317 OF 2020 (Emam Najir Mirza Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 17.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.502 OF 2020 (Shrikant B. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 08.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.5 OF 2021 (Dr. Kalimoddin Ajit Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 17.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.30 OF 2021 (Kedarnath R. Budhwant Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 08.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.317 OF 2021 (Vishwanbhar V. Tidke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 11.08.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.347 OF 2021 (Suresh K. Bharati Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

## **ORAL ORDER:**

None present on behalf of the applicant.

Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 03.08.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.351 OF 2021 (Kautik Y. Kachole Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 12.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.750 OF 2021 (Subhash B. Choudhary Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.66 OF 2022 (Nathu N. Khartade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**DATE** : 15.07.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 17.08.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 15.07.2022

M.A.NO. 290/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 934/2022 (Maharashtra Rajya Adarsh Shikshak Samiti Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant submits that there are five beneficiaries and he intends to include the names of all five and, therefore, he has sought leave of this Tribunal. Leave granted as prayed for. Leave is also sought for mentioning the number of O.A., which has been referred in paragraph No. 5 of the O.A. The permission is granted to insert the OA number as prayed for. The necessary amendment be carried out within one week.
- 3. S.O. to 29.7.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 62 OF 2022 (Sanjay N. Hange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Avinash S. Khedkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4, are present.

- 2. Though the respondent No. 5 has been duly served no one has caused appearance in the matter.
- 3. Affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 has already been filed on record. Hence, list the matter for hearing on 19.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 505 OF 2020 (Madan D. Dube Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for respondent No. 3. are present.

- 2. It is brought to our notice that despite of availing due opportunities the respondent No. 1 has not yet filed affidavit in reply. Learned Presenting Officer seeks two weeks time by way of last chance to file affidavit in reply. Time granted as prayed for by way of last chance.
- 3. It is clarified that if the affidavit in reply is not filed on or before the next date, the respondent No. 1 may not be permitted to file affidavit in reply and the matter will be heard without affidavit in reply of respondent No. 1.
- 4. S.O. to 29.7.2022.

## MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 268 OF 2022 (Chandrashekhar K. Mundhe & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri Kalyan V. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar short affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant has also tendered across the bar rejoinder affidavit and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

4. In view of above, list the present case for hearing on 29.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A.NOS. 526/18, 715/18, 219/19. 220/19, 344/19, 345/19, 347/19, 293/21, 294/21 & 295/21 (Dr. Prachi K. Haridas Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these cases and Shri Ashish B. Shinde, learned counsel for respondent No. 4, are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 in all these cases. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 27.7.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A.NOS. 779 & 780 BOTH OF 2019 (Dr. Shashikant S. Dange & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 15.7.2022

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the cases, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 27.7.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 257 OF 2020 (Sambhaji S. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri M.V. Thorat, learned counsel holding for Shri Ganesh Gadhe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 2.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 597 OF 2022 (Babasaheb B. Narwade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE**: 15.7.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Rahul O. Awasarmol, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 23.8.2022.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

## :: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 597/2022

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 23.8.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 623 OF 2022 (Dr. Deepak B. Kharat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE**: 15.7.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.S. Ware, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 23.8.2022.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

## :: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 623/2022

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. S.O. to 23.8.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 851 OF 2009 (Smt. Sunita Satyanarayan Sabu Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. When the present matter is taken up for consideration the learned counsel appearing for the applicant has tendered across the bar the copy of order passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench of the Bombay High Court on 30.11.2010 in W.P. No. 10701/2010 and the same is taken on record.

3. In view of the aforesaid order passed by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, the present matter is adjourned to 15.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 337 OF 2019 (Namdev Dagdu Londhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Omprakash Y. Kashid, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time to argue the matter. The arguments of the learned counsel appearing for the applicant have already been heard.
- 3. S.O. to 29.7.2022 for arguments of the learned Presenting Officer appearing for the respondents.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## M.A.NO. 348/2021 IN O.A.NO. 832/2016 (Vishal P. Gangawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 15.7.2022

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for respondent No. 2 in O.A., Shri P.S. Dighe, learned counsel for respondent No. 4 in O.A., & Shri Parag Bhosale, learned counsel for respondent No. 5 in O.A., are absent.

- 2. The present matter was extensively heard and various orders have been passed by this Tribunal based on the facts which emerge from the arguments so advanced. In the circumstances, as per the last order passed by this Tribunal on 5.5.2022 the affidavit in reply has been filed by Shri Ranjit Singh Deol, Secretary, School Education and Sports Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer submits that today since the learned Chief Presenting Officer is not available, the matter be suitably adjourned. He has prayed time till Monday. Time granted.
- 4. S.O. to 18.7.2022.

#### MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 743 OF 2021 (Ashish S. Susare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Yogesh Lagad,, learned counsel holding for Shri Suresh P. Salgar, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time since the arguing counsel is not available today. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 21.7.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 376 OF 2022 (Abhilash V. Gore Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE**: 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Omprakash Y. Kashid, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted by way of last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 23.9.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

C.P.NO. 10/2022 IN O.A.NO. 191/2021 (Sandip W. Khadse Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri A.W. Khadse, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. List the matter for hearing on 5.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

C.P.NO. 14/2022 IN O.A.NO. 367/2019 (Arvind D. Sulakhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri R.B. Ade, learned counsel for the applicant (absent). Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

- 2. Await service.
- 3. S.O. to 17.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 625 OF 2019 (Dattatrya N. Shastri Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri M.D. Narwadkar, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 26.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 811 OF 2019 (Bhushan D. Kagane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Deepak D. Choudhari, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 26.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 554 OF 2021 (Harshal N. Yevle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri R.B. Ade, learned counsel for the applicant (absent). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. S.O. to 26.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 633 OF 2021 (Sunil H. Nirmal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri S.B. Solanke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 26.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 175 OF 2022 (Dr. Asha P. Kathar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Smt. Vaishali Shinde, learned counsel for respondent No. 4 (absent).

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 27.7.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 176 OF 2022 (Dr. Arun A. Ghuge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 27.7.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 254 OF 2022 (Sandip W Khadse Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri A.W. Khadse, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 29.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 311 OF 2022 (Dr. Arun N. Saruk & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. Await service.
- 3. S.O. to 29.8.2022.

### MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 354 OF 2022 (Riyaj Mehmud Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

**AND** 

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 11.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO. 47/2019 IN O.A.NO. 387/2016 (Dr. Nomani M. Mufti Taher Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri A.D. Patil, learned counsel holding for Smt. Vinya Muley Dharurkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. Second set is not filed.
- 3. S.O. to 24.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO. 295/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1154/2021 (Mrunalini P. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant has filed leave note. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 26.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO. 68/2022 IN O.A.NO. 692/2021 (Sachin S. Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri A.D. Patil, learned counsel holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 29.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO. 275/2022 IN O.A.NO. 432/2020 (Sanjay R. Rajput & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE** : 15.7.2022

**ORAL ORDER**:

Shri Suhash R. Shirsat, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. Await service.
- 3. S.O. to 30.8.2022.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 535 OF 2019 (Shri Nitin D. Ingale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM**: Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,

Vice Chairman

AND

Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE: 15.7.2022

### **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. Arguments of both the sides are concluded.
- 3. The matter is closed for orders.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN**