

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

C.P. NO. 22/2022 IN O.A. 25/2021
(State of Maharashtra & Ors. Vs. Shri Shankar P. Dange)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **15.9.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.J. Nirmal, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer respondent authorities, are present.

2. Issue simple notice to the respondents in the present Contempt Petition, returnable on 18.10.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 18.10.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. NO. 329/2022 WITH REV. ST. 1138/2022 IN O.A. 25/2021
(State of Maharashtra & Ors. Vs. Shri Shankar P. Dange)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **15.9.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the applicants in M.A. & REV. / respondents in O.A. and Shri R.J. Nirmal, learned counsel for the respondent in MA/REV / applicant in OA.

2. The present M.A. has been filed for condoning the delay of 60 days occurred in filing the accompanying Rev. Application.

3. For the reasons stated in the M.A. it is allowed and delay occurred in filing the Rev. Application is condoned. No order as to costs.

4. According to the learned C.P.O. while passing the impugned order this Tribunal has not considered the discussion made in the minutes of the Departmental Promotion Committee (for short DPC) meeting. It is his contention that in the DPC meeting thorough discussion had taken place and on the basis of that the decision was taken to promote Dr. Vivek Pakhmode having more experience than the applicant, Dr. Shankar P. Dange.

5. The learned C.P.O. has failed in bringing to our notice any such error apparent on the face of the record,

requiring the review of the order passed by us in the O.A. It is also not the case of the review petitioners that some new facts have been discovered or some new evidence has become available which after the exercise of due diligence could not be produced by the respondents at the time when the impugned order was passed. In the circumstances, it does not appear to us that any case is made out for review of the order passed by us in O.A. No. 25/2021. If it is the case of the review petitioners that while passing the impugned order the contentions of the respondents have not been properly appreciated, the remedy for the petitioners is not to seek the review of the impugned order, but to challenge the same before the appropriate forum.

6. The present Review Petition being devoid of any substance deserves to be dismissed and is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.97/2022
(Mirkhan Iliyaskhan Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Y.B.Pathan, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 12-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.803/2021
(Parmeshwar Bellale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.A.Golegaonkar, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 10-11-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.106/2022
(Munni Parvin Mahmood Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.R.Kedar, learned Counsel holding for
Shri V.B.Dhage, learned Counsel for the applicant and
Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 07-11-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.204/2022
(Ganesh Bangale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.B.Kakde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 10-11-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.210/2022
(Mangesh Wagholikar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.G.Salunke, learned Counsel for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 14-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.212/2022
(Shantilal More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant
and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 09-11-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

O.A.NO.241/2022, 242/2022, 250/2022, 257/2022, 258/2022,
271/2022, 272/2022 & T.A.NO.03/2022 IN W.P.NO.3432/2022
(Dr. Ravi Tale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.K.Kshirsgar, Shri N.K.Chaudhari, Shri
C.V.Dharurkar & Shri A.A.Yadkikar, learned Counsel
for the applicants and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned
Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are
present.

2. S.O. to 14-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.338/2022
(Khwaja Munneruddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri I.D.Maniyar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 03-11-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.507/2022
(Lande Akshay Ramnath V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.S.Kadam, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 11-11-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.509/2022
(Groundwater Engineers Association Maha. State Through its President
Babasaheb D. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 12-11-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.510/2022
(Prabhakar Jagtap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 03-11-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO.53/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.99/2022
(Gorakh Limaji V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.S.Mantri, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 07-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO.85/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.1790/2021
(Anil Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.V.Thombre, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 10-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO.86/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.1792/2021
(Sanjay Tarte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.V.Thombre, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 11-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.792/2019
(Datta Prasad Hiranman Galphade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.D.Suryavanshi, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Counsel for respondent no.3, are present.

2. S.O. to 14-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1021/2019
(Dr. Prashant Warkari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Vinaya Mule-Dharurkar, learned Counsel holding for Shri C.V.Dharurkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 21-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1027/2019
(Arjun Sonwane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri A.D.Pawar, learned Counsel for respondent no.3, are present.

2. S.O. to 10-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.41/2021
(Bharat Sangle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.R.Kedar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant has tendered across the bar rejoinder affidavit. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 09-11-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.684/2021
(Dr. Rekha Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Neha Kamble, learned Counsel for the applicant and Mr. M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 12-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.780/2021
(Dr. Gajanan Surwade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant
and Smt. M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting
Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 13-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO.194/2021 IN O.A.NO.497/2019
(Ravindra Turukmane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shritej Surve, learned Counsel holding for
Shri Hemant Surve, learned Counsel for the applicant
and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the
respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 10-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO.398/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1666/2021
(Sadashiv Shingare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.P.Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 03-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO.88/2022 IN O.A.NO.815/2021
(Yashwant Birhade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Harshal P. Randhir, learned Counsel for the applicant in M.A., Shri Jitendra V. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant in O.A. and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 15-11-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.624/2013
(Yogesh P. Ghuge & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.C.Ghode, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 19-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.654/2013
(Dr. Amol Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.G.Salunke, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 03-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.408/2017
(Shriram Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S.Dambe, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 11-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.432/2017
(Kishan Ghodekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant
and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting
Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 19-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.669/2017
(Balasaheb Surwase V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ku. Anagha Pandit, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.B.Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 19-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.06/2018
(Ganesh Kundle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 21-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.631/2018
(Chudaman Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shrikant Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 21-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.674/2018
(Eman Najir Mirza V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri I.D.Maniyar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 21-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.721/2018
(Bhagwan Sangle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.D.Dhongde, learned Counsel for respondent nos.2 and 3, are present.

2. S.O. to 09-11-2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 15-09-2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

M.A.NO. 397/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1545/2022

[Shri Vikram V. Mhaske & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **15.09.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.R. Borulkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.9.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 1545 OF 2022

[Shri Vikram V. Mhaske & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

WITH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 825 OF 2022

[Smt. Pallavi Vikas Alaspure & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.R. Borulkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The applicants in both these applications are employees falling in the category of Class-IV. It is the contention of the applicants that all of them are entitled for promotion to the Class-III post. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant pointed out that initially the decision was taken to promote only the graduate candidates. However, subsequently 10th passed candidates are also made eligible to be considered for promotion. Learned counsel pointed out that in spite of the decision so taken by the Government the respondents are intending to promote only graduate candidates and the applicants are likely to be deprived of the said promotion. Learned counsel has tendered across the bar communication

dated 10.9.2022, whereby the Deputy Director, Health Services has called for the information of the candidates from the Civil Surgeons and all the candidates included in the said list, according to the contention of the applicants are graduate candidates and none of the candidates learnt up to only 10th standard examination has been called. Learned counsel submitted that if the respondents continue to the process further according to the communication dated 10.9.2022, the applicants will lose the chance of getting promotion. Learned counsel in the circumstances has prayed for restraining the respondents from effecting the promotions till disposal of the present Original Application.

3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time to file affidavit in reply in both these matters. Learned C.P.O. submitted that he can take instructions by tomorrow and make submissions as about the process of the promotion and as about the communication dated 10.9.2022. We accept the request of the learned C.P.O. Learned C.P.O. is directed to inform the authority concerned today itself not to proceed further in granting promotion until further orders by this Tribunal.

4. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 30.9.2022.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly

authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to 16.9.2022 for taking necessary instructions from the concerned respondents and for making submissions.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 982 OF 2019
(Govind Y. Bharsakhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 18.10.2022 for final hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 91 OF 2020
(Shyam K. Bhavsar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 20 OF 2022
(Dnyaneshwar B. Sanap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 04/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1401/2020
(Sampat B. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.G. Rodge, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.G. Gunale, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 5 & 6 and Shri S.P. Pandit, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.10.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 308/2018 in O.A. St. No. 1257/2018
(Bapurao D. Pattewale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 20.10.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 20.10.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**T.A. No. 05/2022 (W.P. No. 6437/2022)
(Babita V. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 19.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**T.A. No. 06/2022 (W.P. No. 6466/2022)
(Sharada R. Gangawe & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.M. More, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 20.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**T.A. No. 09/2022 (W.P. No. 8518/2022)
(Laxman M. Khshid & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ketan Pote, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 03.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**T.A. No. 10/2022 (W.P. No. 4726/2022)
(Namdev V. Shelar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ketan Pote, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 03.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 455 OF 2022
(Satilal Z. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 20.10.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 20.10.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 815 OF 2022
(Ashok D. Nagrale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.P. Salgar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 17.10.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 17.10.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 508 OF 2017
(Syed Azam Syed Lal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 11.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 20 OF 2019
(Nita B. Magare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Amol R. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that nobody is appearing on behalf of the applicant since last three dates i.e. on 23.06.2022 15.07.2022 and 23.08.2022. In view of the same, it appears that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, the O.A. stands dismissed in default.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 21 OF 2019
(Nandini S. Holkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Amol R. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that nobody is appearing on behalf of the applicant since last three dates i.e. on 23.06.2022 15.07.2022 and 23.08.2022. In view of the same, it appears that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, the O.A. stands dismissed in default.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 55 OF 2019
(Bhimrao G. Bramahane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to regularization of out of service period on account of compulsory retirement. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 19.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 929 OF 2019
(Shaikh Mohd. Rafi Shaikh Mohd. Shafi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to refund of recovered amount. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 17.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 932 OF 2019
(Dr. Vijaykumar R. Sul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.R. Doke / S.K. Doke, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 19.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1083 OF 2019
(Ambadas P. Deshpande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sudhir Telgote, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 20.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 230 OF 2020
(Ashvini D. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.B. Solanke/B.T. Bodkhe/Sunil Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 17.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 512 OF 2020
(Subhash L. Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.C. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 18.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 544 OF 2020
(Dhanraj B. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Yogesh Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri Girish Nagori, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 585 OF 2020
(Anand B. Datar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is filed challenging the Panchanama dated 06.03.2020 (Annexure A-2) issued by the respondent No. 3 i.e. the Sub-Divisional Officer, Hingoli, suspension order of the applicant dated 09.03.2020 (Annexure A-4) issued by the respondent No. 3, communication dated 13.03.2020 (Annexure A-6) (wrongly mentioned in prayer clause as 12.03.2020) issued by the respondent No. 2 i.e. the Resi. Deputy Collector, Hingoli in concurrence of respondent No. 1 i.e. the Collector, Hingoli and communication dated 23.09.2020 (Annexure A-8) issued by the respondent No. 1 to the extent of initiating D.E. and regularization of suspension period for all purposes. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 20.10.2022.

3. It is burden upon the applicant to produce on record necessary documents, if required for deciding the present Original Application, as the respondents have failed to file affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 356 OF 2021
(Mirza Saleem Baig Ismail Baig V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing sur-rejoinder to the rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant.

3. S.O. to 17.10.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 358 OF 2021
(Taj Mohamad Khan Ameer Mohamad Khan Durani V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing sur-rejoinder to the rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant.

3. S.O. to 17.10.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 374 OF 2021
(Pradeep K. Puri V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to seeking posting as per the previous transfer order, which was cancelled by the impugned order dated 22.12.2020 (Annexure A-4). Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for hearing at the stage of admission on 18.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 416 OF 2021
(Dr. Ajit R. Kothari & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.P. Digewar, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.L. Choudhari, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 138 OF 2022
(Rajaram C. Sevalikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the present matter was proceeded further without affidavit in reply of the respondents. Today, learned Presenting Office seeks liberty to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Liberty granted.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that the applicant has not been paid his regular salary. As per the farad sheet order dated 11.08.2022, the respondents were directed to cover the aspect of non-payment of salary on account of compulsory leave.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

5. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to compulsory leave. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for hearing at the stage of admission on 11.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 692 OF 2022
(Avinash T. Dhondge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed sur-rejoinder affidavit on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to the rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to transfer. Hence, the same is admitted and it be fixed for hearing at the stage of admission on 06.10.2022.

4. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 597 OF 2020
(Amol S. Shidore V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ketan Pote, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Andhale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 506 OF 2016
(Smt. Mangala V. Donde & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Amit Savale, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicants submits that the applicants do not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

4. S.O. to 17.10.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 667 OF 2022
(Dr. Pradip N. Vaishnav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that in spite of passing of order by this Tribunal in farad sheet dated 02.08.2022, the applicant is not being paid salary since October, 2021.

3. Learned Presenting Officer to take note of it and to submit the progress report in respect of the order dated 02.08.2022 on or before the next date of hearing.

4. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

5. S.O. to 06.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 720 OF 2021
(Ramchandra G. Sawant V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 20.10.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 386 OF 2021
(Chandrashekhar R. Chopdar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Harish Bali, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 03.10.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 136 OF 2021
(Laxmi N. Dhotre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Record further shows rejoinder affidavit is also filed to the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

3. In view of above, S.O. to 10.10.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 431 OF 2022
(Baliram B. Cahvan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.10.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 516 OF 2022
(Arun N. Pujari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri L.V. Sangit, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Deeapli S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 20.10.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 648 OF 2022
(Nilesh V. Sapkale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. V.B. Dolas, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.K. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 19.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 653 OF 2022
(Mankha Gulab Tadvi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.M. Mundlik, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.U. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 20.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 679 OF 2022
(Sanjay B. Jagtap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 06.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 680 OF 2022
(Subhash S. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 06.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 721 OF 2022
(Laxman R. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 17.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 722 OF 2022
(Devidas M. Kandhare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 17.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 723 OF 2022
(Dattatrya K. Istake V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 17.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 724 OF 2022
(Baban N. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 17.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 756 OF 2022
(Jitendra V. Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.D. Godbharle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 13.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 117/2020 in O.A. No. 320/2020
(Pamabai D. Wanve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 07.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 909 OF 2018
(Atamaram N. Mahide V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.M. Mundik, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on respondent No. 3.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

4. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.10.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 895 OF 2019
(Dinkar K. Shelar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 19.10.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 596 OF 2020
(Dnyaneshwar M. Pandit V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 19.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 51 OF 2021
(Devidas F. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Amit Savale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 17.10.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 382 OF 2021
(Shaikh Hafijoddin Hanifoddin Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Shri A.C. Deshpande, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 & 4, **absent**.

2. Await service of notice on respondents.

3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 19.10.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 526 OF 2021
(Vilas M. Joshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1, 3 to 5 and Shri M.C. Swami, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2.

2. Await service of notice on respondent No. 4.

3. At the request made on behalf of respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 & 5.

4. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.10.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 159 OF 2022
(Sanjay D. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Akshay Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

3. S.O. to 17.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 275 OF 2022
(Sandip D. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Avinash Khedkar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 18.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 278 OF 2022
(Anwar Khan Aziz Khan Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

3. S.O. to 20.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 329 OF 2022
(Suresh M. Jagtap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

3. S.O. to 14.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
M.A.NO.148 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.595 OF 2022
(Rahul K. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present Misc. Application is closed for order.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.748 OF 2017
(Parasram N. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.B. Lihinar, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.A. Manjramkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1,2 and 4 to 6. Shri S.S. Bhuse, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3, is **absent**.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request made on behalf of learned Advocate for the applicant and learned P.O., S.O. to 06.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.116 OF 2018
(Sanjay M. Deokate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. Considering the facts of the case, the original record in respect of punishment imposed upon the applicant would be necessary to decide this Original Application.

4. Hence, the respondents are directed to produce the said original record by the next date.

5. In view of the above, S.O. to 23.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.879 OF 2018
(Balasaheb M. Kaldate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 26.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.180 OF 2021
(Chandrashekar S. Kulthe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. Short affidavit together with the documents produced on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. Learned P.O. has received the copy of it.

4. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.237 OF 2021
(Kakasaheb K. Thote V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is closed for order.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.122 OF 2019
(Shaikh Ahamad Abdul Satter Mujawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is closed for order.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.129 OF 2019
(Tejraoi B. Gadekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.N. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri H.P. Randhir, learned Advocate holding for Ms. Vaishali S. Chaudhari, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.3 & 4.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 15.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.543 OF 2019
(Shivprasad C. Potpalliwar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ganesh R. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 18.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.954 OF 2019
(Rameshwar R. Chandak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 17.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.128 OF 2020
(Pandit V. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Manoj M. Kadtu, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 03.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.197 OF 2020
(Vijay R. Suryawanshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 14.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.496 OF 2020
(MOhd. Akif Abrar Mohd. Abdul Rauf V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 11.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.380 OF 2021
(Prabhakar Bhagat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Suresh Pidgewar, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.L. Chaudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 30.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.399 OF 2021
(Dr. Shivaji J. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3. Shri Rakesh N. Jain, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4, is **absent**.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 30.09.2022 for final hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.832 OF 2021
(Suraj B. Solunke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.P. Shinde, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.P. Dhobale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 20.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.69 OF 2022
(Sudhakar G. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 18.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.669 OF 2022
(Vivek T. Bade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3.

2. Learned P.O. placed on record the copy of relevant document regarding the transfer and posting of the officers in the cadre of Superintending Engineer (Civil). The same is taken on record.

3. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 06.10.2022 for final hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

DATE : 15.09.2022

M.A.NO.396 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.1438 OF 2022

**(Mohammad Hafiz Ur Ibadur Raheman V/s The
State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,
M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, ld. P.O. for the respondents, are present
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A.No.396/2022, returnable on 19.10.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **19.10.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1086 OF 2019
(Chintaman H Vasave V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for respondent No. 3, are present.

2. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 3 has sought time to file affidavit in reply on his behalf. Time granted by way of last chance.

3. S.O. to 30.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 232 OF 2019
(Maruti T. Kamble & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vivek G. Pingle, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought more two weeks' time to comply with the directions given by this Tribunal stating that the person concerned has been recently transferred and old record is to be examined for submitting information as has been sought. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 30.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

M.A.NO. 397/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1545/2022

[Shri Vikram V. Mhaske & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **15.09.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.R. Borulkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.9.2022-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 1545 OF 2022

[Shri Vikram V. Mhaske & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

WITH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 825 OF 2022

[Smt. Pallavi Vikas Alaspure & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.R. Borulkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The applicants in both these applications are employees falling in the category of Class-IV. It is the contention of the applicants that all of them are entitled for promotion to the Class-III post. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant pointed out that initially the decision was taken to promote only the graduate candidates. However, subsequently 10th passed candidates are also made eligible to be considered for promotion. Learned counsel pointed out that in spite of the decision so taken by the Government the respondents are intending to promote only graduate candidates and the applicants are likely to be deprived of the said promotion. Learned counsel has tendered across the bar communication

dated 10.9.2022, whereby the Deputy Director, Health Services has called for the information of the candidates from the Civil Surgeons and all the candidates included in the said list, according to the contention of the applicants are graduate candidates and none of the candidates learnt up to only 10th standard examination has been called. Learned counsel submitted that if the respondents continue to the process further according to the communication dated 10.9.2022, the applicants will lose the chance of getting promotion. Learned counsel in the circumstances has prayed for restraining the respondents from effecting the promotions till disposal of the present Original Application.

3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time to file affidavit in reply in both these matters. Learned C.P.O. submitted that he can take instructions by tomorrow and make submissions as about the process of the promotion and as about the communication dated 10.9.2022. We accept the request of the learned C.P.O. Learned C.P.O. is directed to inform the authority concerned today itself not to proceed further in granting promotion until further orders by this Tribunal.

4. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 30.9.2022.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly

authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to 16.9.2022 for taking necessary instructions from the concerned respondents and for making submissions.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

C.P.NO. 29/2020 IN O.A.NO. 1014/2019
(Bade Ratanhari Shridhar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Vinaya Mule-Dharurkar, learned counsel holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant seeks time to go through the affidavit in reply filed by the respondents and to make submissions. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 7.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 851 OF 2019
(Smt. Sunita S. Sabu V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 3.11.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 104 OF 2022
(Bhagwan N. Ugalmugale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. In the present matter affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 has already been filed. List the present case for hearing on 7.10.2022. In the meanwhile it would be open for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit, if the applicant so desires.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. 313/2020 IN O.A. 246/2020
M.A. 314/2020 IN O.A. 238/2020
M.A. 315/2020 IN O.A. 239/2020
O.A. NOS. 242, 243, 244, 245, 248 AND 249 ALL OF
2020
(Vinod V. Jaware & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.Y. Shinde, learned Counsel for the applicants in M.A./respondents in O.A., Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Counsel for applicants in O.A.s, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 4.11.2022 for final hearing. **High on Board.**

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 240 OF 2020
(Mustaq Ismail Sayyed V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Siddharth Y. Shinde, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 4 to 7.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant, on instructions, seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application.

3. Leave as prayed for is granted. The present Original Application stands disposed of since withdrawn without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 241 OF 2020
(Manohar Sadu Gawande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Siddharth Y. Shinde, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 4 to 7.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant, on instructions, seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application.

3. Leave as prayed for is granted. The present Original Application stands disposed of since withdrawn without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 41/2022 IN O.A.NO. 458/2018
(Anita B. Kolgane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that according to the instructions received to the applicant her name is being considered positively for promotion. In the circumstances, adjournment is sought beyond the vacation. Granted as prayed for.

3. S.O. to 9.11.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

O.A.NOS. 658 TO 661 ALL OF 2021 AND O.A.NOS. 182 TO 187 ALL
OF 2022

(Sayyed Taufik Harun & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.S. Karad, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these matters, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks three weeks' time by way of last chance to submit affidavit in reply in the matter stating that the reply is already forwarded for approval and it will be positively filed on the next date. The respondents shall note that no further adjournment will be granted.

3. S.O. to 7.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 384/22 IN M.A.NO. 385/22 IN O.A.ST.1402/22
(Subhash R Mahajan & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 386/22 IN M.A.NO. 387/22 IN O.A.ST.1405/22
(Tulshiram K. Patil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 388/22 IN M.A.NO. 389/22 IN O.A.ST.1408/22
(Bhimrao B. Devre & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicants and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 390/22 IN M.A.NO. 391/22 IN O.A.ST.1411/22
(Arun B. Salunkhe & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 385/22 IN O.A.ST.1402/22
(Subhash R Mahajan & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 29.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 387/22 IN O.A.ST.1405/22
(Tulshiram K. Patil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 29.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 389/22 IN O.A.ST.1408/22
(Bhimrao B. Devre & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicants and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 29.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 391/22 IN O.A.ST.1411/22
(Arun B. Salunkhe & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 29.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 384/22 IN M.A.NO. 385/22 IN O.A.ST.1402/22
(Subhash R Mahajan & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 386/22 IN M.A.NO. 387/22 IN O.A.ST.1405/22
(Tulshiram K. Patil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 388/22 IN M.A.NO. 389/22 IN O.A.ST.1408/22
(Bhimrao B. Devre & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicants and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

O.A.NO. 304/2019 WITH O.A.NO. 305/2019
(Pandharinath M. Ahire & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.S. Bali, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both these matters.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 28.9.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 384/22 IN M.A.NO. 385/22 IN O.A.ST.1402/22
(Subhash R Mahajan & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 386/22 IN M.A.NO. 387/22 IN O.A.ST.1405/22
(Tulshiram K. Patil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 388/22 IN M.A.NO. 389/22 IN O.A.ST.1408/22
(Bhimrao B. Devre & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicants and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 399 OF 2018
(Kantilal K. Golwal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Santosh S. Dambe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 11.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 128 OF 2021
(Pradeep M. Thakkarwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel holding for Shri Ganesh V. Mohekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 9.11.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 566 OF 2021
(Manisha H. Sonar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Swaraj S. Tandale, learned, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 3.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 567 OF 2021
(Arifa Gulab Maniyar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Swaraj S. Tandale, learned, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has tendered across the bar rejoinder affidavit and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. List the matter for hearing on 3.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 435 OF 2019
(Sadhu K. Lohar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.A. Joshi, learned, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 21.10.2022. **High on board.**

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 362/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1539/2019
(Prakash W Bhambre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Harish S. Bali, learned, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time. Learned counsel for the applicant has no objection for granting time.

3. Hence, S.O. to 28.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 62/2021 IN O.A.NO. 461/2020
(The Chief Engineer Water Resources Department, Aurangabad V/s.
State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned, learned counsel for the applicant in M.A. (respondent Nos. 2 to 4 in O.A.), Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A., are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant in O.A. S.O. to 20.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 718 OF 2017
(Mohammad Arifoddin Gulam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Shital Pujari, learned counsel holding for Ms. Poonam V. Bodke Patil, learned, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 13.10.2022. **High on board.**

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 845 OF 2017
(Rambhau L. Kuskar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 17.10.2022. **High on board.**

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 946 OF 2017
(Namdeo L. More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 21.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 445 OF 2022
(Shilpa S. Dhanmane & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned, learned counsel for the applicants and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, reissue notice to the respondent No. 1, returnable on 30.9.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 30.9.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 91 OF 2022
(Narendrasingh I Kachhwaha V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of all the respondents. The same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. List the matter for hearing on 3.11.2022. In the meanwhile it would be open for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit, if he so desires.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1076 OF 2019
(Ramling S. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought two weeks' time by way of last chance. Learned counsel for the applicant has brought to our notice that due opportunities are already availed by the respondents. Time granted as prayed for as a last chance. It is clarified that if no affidavit in reply is filed within the given date the respondents will not be permitted to file affidavit in reply thereafter.

3. S.O. to 29.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 691 OF 2022
(Dr. Vasant M. Garudkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned counsel for the applicant.

3. The present case be now listed for hearing on 13.10.2022. In the meanwhile it would be open for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

T.A.NO. 8/2022 (W.P.NO. 3060/2022)
(Kailas P. Yarmurwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Dhananjay Mane, learned, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the present matter would lie before the Single Bench. The Registrar of this Tribunal is directed to verify the legal position and act accordingly.

3. S.O. to 14.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 854 OF 2018
(Bhima Satawa Chakale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 15.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Manish P. Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The applicant had applied for the post of Police Constable in response to the advertisement published in the year 2015 for the recruitment of Police Constable for the year 2015-2016. As contended in the application the applicant has disclosed while filling in the application form that the offence was registered against him in the Police Station. The applicant successfully underwent the selection process and at the time of document verification the applicant provided the respondents all relevant documents in respect of the criminal case against him. It is the grievance of the applicant that though in the Sessions Trial against him he has been clearly acquitted by the competent Sessions Court, the respondents have failed to interpret the said orders and have recorded that the applicant has been given acquittal on technical grounds and by giving benefit of doubt. It is the contention of the applicant that the learned Sessions

Judge has in many words observed that the complaint filed against him was dubious and it was an attempt to falsely implicate the applicant in the alleged crime. Learned counsel submitted that the reason for which the respondents have rejected the candidature of the applicant itself is unsustainable. Learned counsel submitted that because of the wrong decision taken by the respondents, grave injustice has been caused to the applicant. Learned counsel in the circumstances has prayed for allowing the present Original Application.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant further submitted that though in Sessions trial No. (UTP) St. No. 115/2013 the applicant was one of the accused and he was prosecuted for the offences punishable under Sections 376, 306 & 506 of the IPC, it was a false complaint filed against the applicant and the applicant got clean acquittal in the said case vide the judgment delivered by the learned Sessions Court on 24.2.2014. Learned counsel also referred to the judgment of the Sessions Court and brought to our notice the observations made by the learned Sessions Judge in paragraph 18 of the said judgment. Learned counsel submitted that the reason which has been assigned by the respondents for not considering the candidature of the applicant is unjust and it has casted the stigma on the applicant. Learned counsel submitted that all the particulars were disclosed by the applicant before the respondent authorities and

though in the judgment the observations as are referred herein above are made by the Sessions Court, they were not considered by the appointing authority, as well as, appellate authority.

4. Learned Presenting Officer reiterated the contentions raised in the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 3. Learned Presenting Officer pointed out some of the observations made by the learned Sessions Judge in the judgment given by him in the Sessions Trial, which are reproduced in paragraph 10 of the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of the respondents. Learned P.O. submitted that from the observations made in paragraph No. 10 of the judgment in the Sessions Trial, no other conclusion can be drawn except that the applicant was given the benefit of doubt. Learned P.O. submitted that in the circumstances no error can be found on the part of the respondents in rejecting candidature of the applicant.

5. Learned Presenting Officer further submitted that even otherwise there is no indefeasible right of the selected candidate to get appointment merely on the basis of the said selection.

6. Learned P.O. submitted that the applicant was prosecuted, not only for serious offences but offences having of moral turpitude. Learned P.O. submitted that in the Police Force such employee could not have

been selected by the respondents and, as such, candidature of the applicant has been rightly rejected.

7. We have considered the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the applicant, as well as, learned Presenting Officer appearing for the respondents.

8. The entire controversy revolves around the judgment in the Sessions Court. It is the contention of the applicant that he has been given clean acquittal and the observations are made by the Sessions Court to the effect that the complaint filed against the applicant was a dubious compliant. As against it, it has been contended by the respondents that the acquittal is recorded merely by giving benefit of doubt to the applicant. In premise of the rival contentions of the parties, we perused for the text of the judgment delivered by the learned Judge in Sessions Trial No. 115/2013. We have noticed that the learned Sessions Judge has not acquitted the accused by giving benefit of doubt, on the contrary the observations made in paragraph 18 of the said judgment clearly indicate clean acquittal of the accused. We deem it appropriate to reproduce the said paragraph, which reads thus,

“18. There is no dispute about Jyoti committing suicide in the early morning of 24/5/2013. However, when the prosecution has failed to prove that she was subjected to sexual intercourse by the accused, we cannot jump to the conclusion that his such alleged act of rape had abetted her to commit the

suicide. On this background, the defence appears to be probable when it has been suggested that complainant Usha was always doubting (conduct) of Jyoti and on the fateful morning of 24/5/2013 also she had raised doubts viz a viz conduct of Jyoti returning late after answering call of nature and that was the reason for Jyoti to commit suicide and as such no one from the neighbor and even husband of the complainant has come forward to support version of complainant Usha about Jyoti raising accusing finger towards the accused as a rapist. From evidence of complainant Usha and her brother-in-law Tukaram it appears that they had grudge against the accused for his playing songs on his mobile, therefore, after deliberations amongst the family members and their well wishers from the village a false and concocted story was put forth in a dubious complaint lodged after much deliberations, apparently get clean chit for complainant Usha, most probably for her role of questioning Jyoti about her late returning to the residence which prompted her to commit suicide. Therefore, I hold that the prosecution has failed to prove that the accused had abetted Jyoti to commit suicide and record my negative finding against point No. 2.”

9. In view of the observations made in the judgment of the Sessions Trial, there remains no doubt that the applicant was acquitted from the offences against him not because there was no evidence but the evidence was not trustworthy and it was found false. It appears that the appointing authority as well as, appellate authority both have failed in appreciating the import of the judgment delivered by the Sessions Court in proper spirit and perspective.

10. The criminal case wherein the applicant was impleaded was based on the FIR filed by one Usha. Learned Sessions Judge after having appreciated the entire evidence on record has unambiguously held that the complainant Usha and her brother-in-law Tukaram had grudge against the accused and hence after deliberations amongst the family members a false concocted story was put-forth in dubious complaint. Learned Sessions Judge has also observed that the name of the applicant was impleaded by the complainant Usha most probably for creating a ground for herself as her role of questioning Jyoti (deceased) about her late returning to the resident was alleged to have prompted said Jyoti to commit suicide.

11. After having read the entire text of the judgment of the Sessions Trial the only conclusion which emerges is that the applicant was falsely implicated in the said crime and no evidence could come on record showing the culpability of the applicant in Commission of the alleged crime. The Sessions Judge has, therefore, recorded a clean acquittal of the applicant observing that accused is not found guilty of the offence. In the entire judgment it is nowhere observed or no such finding has been recorded by the learned Sessions Judge that the accused in the said case i.e. the present applicant is given the benefit of doubt. The copy of the judgment in Sessions Trial was furnished by the applicant at the time of scrutiny of

the documents. Thus, the said judgment was well available for the perusal of the scrutiny committee. As is revealing from the averment in the impugned order the case of the present applicant was examined by the High Power Committee headed by the Divisional Commissioner. The said committee has held the applicant ineligible for appointment on the post of Police Constable. In the impugned order the opinion given by the High Power Committee is noted which reveals that the High Power Committee has recorded that the offence against the applicant was of moral turpitude and serious. The High Power Committee has also recorded that the accused has been acquitted from the said offence on some technical grounds or by giving benefit of doubt. It appears that High Power Committee either did not peruse the judgment in the Sessions Trial and if had perused has failed to appreciate the import of the said judgment. The conclusions recorded by the High Power Committee are wholly unsustainable in view of clear findings recorded by the Sessions Court. We are surprised that even the Appellate Authority did not consider the objections raised by the applicant against the impugned order. The findings recorded by the High Power Committee are unsustainable and cannot be supported. The order of cancellation of selection based on such findings also therefore, cannot be sustained and deserves to be set aside. For the same reasons the order passed by the Appellate Authority also deserves to be set aside and is accordingly set aside.

12. Securing an employment in Government is the difficult task. It is common experience that for one Government post advertised, hundreds of applications are received. It is not that easy to successfully complete the selection process for a Government job. The applicant has successfully undergone the selection process and when he was waiting for his appointment, he received the impugned order, whereby his selection was cancelled. The employment so secured with great difficulties cannot be taken away without any cogent reason. In the present matter the applicant has got the acquittal even before making application. At the time of verification of the documents, the applicant had duly submitted the copy of the judgment in the Sessions Trial. Officers concerned and more particularly High Power Committee failed in properly appreciating the import of the said judgment and apparently recorded the wrong findings. On such grounds the applicant cannot be deprived of the right accrued in his favour. For the reasons as aforesaid the following order is passed: -

ORDER

The orders dated 16.11.2017 and 14.9.2018 are hereby quashed and set aside. The respondents are directed to reconsider the case of the applicant in light of the observations made by us in the present order and by carefully perusing the judgment in Sessions Trial No. 115/2013 delivered by the Sessions Court on

24.2.2014. The aforesaid exercise is to be carried out within six weeks from the date of this order.

The present Original Application stands allowed in the aforesaid terms. There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 15.09.2022-HDD