

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.12.2023.</p> <p align="center">O.A.1567 of 2023 (DB)</p> <p>S. N. Funde & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that Applicants who have passed 'Limited Departmental Competitive Examination' for post of PSI have not been relieved to join for training with 'Batch No.124' of Direct Recruits which has commenced from 24.11.2023. The names of Applicants were recommended by Home Department's letter dated 28.08.2023 to 'DGP Maharashtra State'.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for Applicants then states that the Applicants in O.A. No.1454 of 2023 by order dated 23.11.2023 were permitted to join training of PSI with 'Batch No.124' of Direct Recruits. Therefore, no plausible reasons exist as to why Applicants were not permitted to go for training of PSI with 'Batch 124' of 'Direct Recruits' given the fact that names of Applicants had been recommended by Home Department's letter dated 28.08.2023 to 'DGP Maharashtra State'.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. on the basis of information from officer present from the office of 'DGP Maharashtra State' mentions that 'Maharashtra State Police Training Academy, Nashik' has adequate intake capacity of Trainee Officers.</p>

- Tribunal's orders**
5. Learned P.O. is therefore directed to take instructions from the office of DGP, Maharashtra State whether as per recommendations in Home Department's letter dated 28.08.2023 to DGP Maharashtra State and based on judicial precedence set by order dated 23.11.2023 in O.A.1455 of 2023; the Applicants in present O.A.1567/2023 can also be permitted to forthwith join for training of PSI with 'Batch No.124' of Direct Recruits.
 6. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
 7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.12.2024.
 8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
 9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
 10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
 11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
 12. S.O. to 21.12.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member(A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.12.2023.</p> <p>M. A. No.777 of 2023 in O.A.1567 of 2023 (DB)</p> <p>S. N. Funde & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.5. M. A. No.777 /2023 is allowed. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.12.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1267 of 2023</p> <p>K.B. BhagatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that as per the directions given on 07.12.2023; the 'District Collector, Raigad' has submitted proposal to 'Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division, Navi Mumbai' seeking permission to transfer of Applicant from earlier post of 'Awal Karkoon – 1 (Revenue), Tahsil office Murud-Janjira, District Raigad' by invoking provisions of Section 4(4)(2) and Section 4(5) of the 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. also draws attention to provisions of 'Section 12' of 'Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013' which reads as follow:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">"12. Action during pendency of inquiry – (1) During the pendency of an inquiry, on a written request made by the aggrieved woman, the Internal Committee or the Local Committee, as the case may be, may recommend to the employer to –</p> <p style="padding-left: 80px;">(a) transfer the aggrieved woman or the respondent to any other workplace; or</p> <p style="padding-left: 80px;">(b) grant leave to the aggrieved woman up to a period of three months; or</p> <p style="padding-left: 80px;">(c) grant such other relief to the aggrieved woman as may be prescribed."</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. The 'District Collector, Raigad' is therefore informed to refer to provisions of 'Section 12' of 'Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013' and ascertain if the action taken earlier in respect of Applicant and aggrieved 'Woman' has been in accordance with aforesaid provision of 'Section 12'.</p> <p>5. The 'District Collector, Raigad' to then to pass reasoned order about transfer of Applicant on receiving due approval to proposal submitted to 'Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division, Navi Mumbai' on 12.12.2023.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 08.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.12.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1318/2023</p> <p>R.B. BhonsaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to the order dated 01.11.2023 learned P.O. has submitted that the Department wants to file reply to the O.A.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 03.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.12.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1392/2023</p> <p>D.V. TawdeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle holding for Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 04.01.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.12.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1292/2023</p> <p>A.A. BansodeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. though adjourned to 20.02.2024 is taken up at the request of learned Advocate Mr. Kolge.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 02.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.12.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1250 of 2023</p> <p>S.M. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, learned Advocate along with Shri. M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The 'Tahsildar Haveli, District Pune' was directed on 01.12.2023 to immediately forward letter of Applicant dated 24.11.2023 to 'District Collector, Pune' thereafter 'District Collector, Pune' to personally examine the case of Applicant and take appropriate decision within 'One Week' to permit Applicant to join back on any 'Vacant Post' of 'Talathi'. However, regretfully this is not been done; as no compliance has been reported by 'District Collector, Pune'. However, it appears that the Applicant is not being given adequate opportunity to present his own case and explain the facts and circumstances under which he has remained without posting since 28.08.2019 when he was found fit by 'Standing Medical Board' of 'Sassoon General Hospital, Pune'.</p> <p>3. 'District Collector, Pune' therefore to give 'Personal Hearing' to the Applicant within 'One Week' and immediately thereafter take appropriate decision to permit the Applicant to join back on any 'Vacant Post' of 'Talathi'</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.01.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) [PTO.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.12.2023.</p> <p>M. A. No.777 of 2023 in O.A.1567 of 2023 (DB)</p> <p>S. N. Funde & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.5. M. A. No.777 /2023 is allowed. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.12.2023.</p> <p>O.A.No.481 of 2023 With O.A.1391 of 2023 (DB)</p> <p>R. B. Mote & Ors. P. B. Mane & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Neha Kachi holding for M/s Talekar & Assoc., learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 18.12.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.12.2023. It be kept before 1st Division Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Date : 15.12.2023

O.A.No.1551 of 2023
(DB)

A. S. Sadaphule

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.01.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 08.01.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member(A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.481 of 2023 With O.A.1391 of 2023 (DB)</p> <p>R. B. Mote & Ors. P. B. Mane & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Neha Kachi holding for M/s Talekar & Assoc., learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 18.12.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.12.2023. It be kept before 1st Division Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.12.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.914/2023 with O.A.No.921/2023 with O.A.No.922/2023 with O.A.No.947/2023 with O.A.No.956/2023 with O.A.No.1347/2023</p> <p>A.E. Kerure & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that today she is tendering the chart showing the amount of recovery which was paid to the Applicant. She further seeks time to file detailed reply.</p> <p>4. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 12.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.12.2023

O.A.No.1175/2023

V.A. KoyandeApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant who was working as Laboratory Assistant, Institute of Forensic Science, prays for direction to the Respondents to pay the retirement dues i.e., pension, Commutation, Gratuity and Leave Encashment of the Applicant within four weeks along with interest at the rate of GPF. Applicant has retired on 30.06.2022, but his retirement dues had not been paid.

3. Learned Counsel Mr. Bhosle has submitted that there is no D.E. or Criminal case pending against the Applicant. Moreover, he is not even paid provisional pension.

4. Learned P.O. has submitted that GPF and GIS is already been paid to the Applicant. She fairly concedes that the retirement dues had not been paid to the Applicant.

5. It appears that there has been unreasonable delay in granting the retiral dues to the Applicant. Hence, O.A. stands disposed of with the following order :-

ORDER

(I) Respondents No.2 and 4 are hereby directed to release all the retiral dues to the Applicant within a period of three months as the Applicant has already retired on 30.06.2022.

(II) As regards to the interest for the delay is concerned the Applicant may separately make representation to the office of Respondent.

sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.12.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.995/2023</p> <p>D.D. SherkhaneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel points out that as far as prayer Clause A is concerned the Applicant has received all his retiral benefits. As far as Prayer Clause B is concerned learned Counsel states that he will make statement on the next date.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to ¹⁹18.12.2024 P.H.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.12.2023

O.A.No.1235/2023

S.R. MoreApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant's father was working as Badli Kamgar in the office of Respondent No.1. Applicant seeks appointment on Compassionate Ground. He has made representations to the Department dated 03.10.2020 and 01.11.2020.

3. Learned Counsel prays for directions to the Respondents to decide the representations dated 03.10.2020 and 01.11.2020 and proposal dated 17.12.2020 within a reasonable time.

4. In view of the submissions made by learned Counsel I hereby direct Respondent No.2 to decide the proposal dated 17.12.2020 within a period of three months from the date of this order.

5. With above, directions O.A. stands disposed of.

sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.12.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1272/2023</p> <p>S.M. GangurdeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that today she will tender unaffirmed copy of reply to learned Counsel.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 05.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>prk</p>

Date : 15.12.2023

O.A.No.1524/2023

S.V. PatilApplicants
Vs.
State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.V. Shinde, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.01.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
8. Adjourned to 30.01.2024.

sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.12.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1552/2023</p> <p>A.D. MahakalApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. L.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.01.2024.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 11.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.12.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.20/2019 in O.A.No.54/2019</p> <p>G.A. TilekarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. To be placed under the caption 'For Dismissal' on the next date.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21.12.2023. Learned Counsel Ms. S. Suryawanshi is directed to remain present.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.12.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.577/2023 in O.A.No.1156/2023</p> <p>D.A. MangaonkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. P.H. Hendre, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. states that today during the course of the day he will file reply.</p> <p>4. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 05.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.12.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.642/2023 in R.A.No.10/2023 in O.A.No.555/2021</p> <p>S.N. RaneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Satil A. Pandire holding for Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Time granted by way of last chance to learned C.P.O. to file reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 22.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.12.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.662/2023 in O.A.No.1366/2023</p> <p>N.P. SonarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V. Nirbahavane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay in filing O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel prays for condonation of delay of 7 months in filing O.A.. He further submits that the cause of action for the impugned order is 20.07.2020. Learned Counsel has submitted that due to COVID-19 Pandemic the Hon'ble Supreme Court by order dated 10.01.2022 in M.A.No.21/2022 in M.A.No.665/2021 in Suo Motu W.P.(C) No.3/2020 has directed that the period of limitation is extended upto February, 2022, hence, the said period can be condoned.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. has opposed the same.</p> <p>5. In view of the submissions made by learned Counsel delay is condoned.</p> <p>6. M.A. stands disposed of as allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.12.2023

O.A.No.1366/2023

N.P. SonarApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. V. Nirbahavane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.01.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 12.01.2024.

sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.12.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 990/2023</u></p> <p>A.H Nautiyal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shyamsunder Solanke with Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>kn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.12.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1401/2023</u></p> <p>P.P Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Poonam Pal, learned advocate holding for Shri V.V Gujarfor the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akr</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.12.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1202/2023</u></p> <p>S.M Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.12.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1352/2023</u></p> <p>A.A Bhosle & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Poonam Pal, learned advocate holding for Shri P.B Kamble for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that copy of the O.A is sent through email. However, she submits that hard copy of the Original Application will be served on the Respondents and seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.12.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1154/2023</u></p> <p>M.L Khot ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 10.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.12.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1150/2023</u></p> <p>S.B Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed today.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 22.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.12.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 990/2023</u></p> <p>A.H Nautiyal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shyamsunder Solanke with Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.12.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 967/2023</u></p> <p>M.B Magar ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 4.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>15.12.2023</p> <p><u>O.A 951/2023</u></p> <p>P.T Chavan & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Poonam Pal, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that copy of the O.A is sent through email. However, she submits that hard copy of the Original Application will be served on the Respondents and seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 9.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.12.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 906/2023</u></p> <p>S.B Avale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. Place for final hearing on 2.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.12.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 772/2023</u></p> <p>K.R Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 2.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.12.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 389/2023</u></p> <p>M.S Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Poonam Pal i/b Shri S.K Hande, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files reply.</p> <p>3. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>4. Place for final hearing on 12.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.12.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 249/2023</u></p> <p>A.A Kale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 3.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>15.12.2023</p> <p><u>C.A 10/2023 in O.A 367/2022</u></p> <p>P.B Umrani ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant in person absent. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the applicant in person is absent and therefore request that the matter be adjourned.</p> <p>3. S.O 17.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.12.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A Nos 1105 & 1106/2022</u></p> <p>A.V Gadge P.S Khatpe ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.1.2024. Interim relief to continue till then.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>15.12.2023</p> <p><u>O.A 952 with O.A 953/2021</u></p> <p>M.P Repe N.P Gawade ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Poonam Pal i/b Shri Sachin Hande, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants request that the matter be kept on 12.1.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O to 12.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.12.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 993/2022</u></p> <p>R.B Shaikh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant requests to prepone the matter.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, adjourned to</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>