

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 424 & 454 BOTH OF 2020  
(Anil D. Kondhare & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.R. Shirsath, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the O.As. and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the O.As., are present.

2. Since Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 23.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-KPB

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 189 OF 2018  
(Dhiraj A. Salve & Anr.Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.O. Awasarmol, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Since Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 17.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-KPB

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 269 OF 2019  
(Sunil S. Wagh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.P. Tripathi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri R.N. Chavan, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2, are **absent**. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1, is present.

2. Rejoinder affidavit is not filed by the applicant.
3. List the matter for hearing on 18.1.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 294 & 296 BOTH OF 2019  
(Sujata R. Palve & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.C. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the O.As. (**Absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the O.As., is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that after lockdown has been revoked, the present matter is coming first time on board. In that view of the matter, he is seeking time for filing affidavit in reply in both the O.As. by way of last chance, though already last chance was granted. Request accepted. If the affidavit in reply is not filed by the next date, no further adjournment will be granted and the matter will be heard without affidavit in reply.

3. S.O. to 14.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 859 OF 2019  
(Kalpesh R. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.S. Anerao, learned Advocate for the applicant  
(**Absent**). Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Rejoinder affidavit is not filed by the applicant.
3. List the matter for hearing on 17.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-KPB

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1069 OF 2019  
(Prabhakar M. Kathar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Sur-Rejoinder affidavit is not filed by the respondents.

3. List the matter for hearing on 18.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 78 OF 2020  
(Nagorao R. Paikrao Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 5, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply of respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Granted by way of last chance.

3. S.O. to 21.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 84 OF 2020  
(Chunilal M. Yawalkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant  
(**Absent**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondents, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing  
affidavit in reply. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 20.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-KPB



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 408 OF 2020  
(Bharat G. Jaybhaye Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.B. Pokale , learned Advocate for the applicant  
(**Absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for  
the respondents, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing  
affidavit in reply. Granted.

3. S.O. to 20.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 412 OF 2020  
(Omprakash H. Kothari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 & 5 and Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, are present.

2. Learned P.O. has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the other sides.

4. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Granted.

5. S.O. to 19.01.2022.

6. Status-quo granted earlier to continue till next date.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 422 OF 2020  
(Nilesh R. Tagad & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicants  
and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for  
the respondents, are present.

2. List the matter for hearing at the stage of admission  
on 20.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 255 OF 2021  
(Ashvini M. Dudhbhate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S. Mirajgaonkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, Shri G.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 and Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5, are present.

2. Learned P.O. has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the other sides.

3. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the other sides.

4. Learned Advocate for the respondent No. 5 submits that affidavit in reply is ready and he will file the same

//2//

O.A. No. 255/2021

during the course of the day and will serve the copy thereof to the other sides.

5. Since the respondents have tendered their affidavit in reply, now list the matter for hearing at the stage of admission on 18.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-KPB

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 345 OF 2021  
(Suvarna R. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Already opportunities were given to the respondents for filing affidavit in reply. Time is granted by way of last chance. If the affidavit in reply is not filed on or before the next date, the matter will be heard without affidavit in reply.

3. S.O. to 17.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-KPB

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 564 OF 2021  
(Suhas S. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Granted.

3. S.O. to 20.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 692 OF 2021  
(Sachin S. Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Mayur Subhedar, learned Advocate holding for  
Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant  
and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for  
the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Granted.
3. S.O. to 19.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 698 OF 2021  
(Jitesh P. Wagh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time is granted by way of last chance.
3. S.O. to 10.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 181/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1208/2020  
(Groundwater Engineers' Association & Ors. Vs. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the affidavit in reply has been drafted and the same is forwarded for approval to the concerned department and the same shall be filed within two weeks. Time is granted for filing affidavit in reply in M.A.

3. S.O. to 07.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 668 OF 2021**  
**(Raosaheb M. Mukhamwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.B. Chalak, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the order which is impugned in the present Original Application, the appeal was also provided against the said order by virtue of G.R. dated 01.07.2016. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that accordingly the appeal was preferred by the applicant with the authority as stated in the said G.R. and the said appeal has been decided by the appellate authority during pendency of the present Original Application. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that in view of the facts as above, the present Original Application has become infructuous. He therefore, prayed for disposing of the present Original Application.

3. The facts as stated by the learned Advocate for the applicant are not disputed by the learned Presenting Officer.

//2//

O.A. No. 668/2021

4. In view of above, following order is passed :-

**ORDER**

The Original Application stands disposed of being infructuous. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-KPB

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 591 OF 2020  
(Anil Suresh Burkul Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

By consent the present Original Application is taken up for final disposal.

2. Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

3. When the present matter is taken up for consideration, the learned Advocate for the applicant submits that presently the applicant is restricting the present Original Application only to the prayer clause 9 (B) of the application. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant has filed application seeking voluntary retirement. However, the same has not been processed for the reason that enquires are pending against him. Learned Advocate for the applicant further brought to my notice that enquiry Nos. 2 to 4 were pending against the applicant and though the Government G.R. dated 07.04.2008

prescribes time of six months for completing the enquiry and the extension for further three months i.e. maximum nine months provided for completing the enquiry. The enquiries against the applicant have not been completed, though the period of more than two years has lapsed. Learned Advocate for the applicant in the circumstances has prayed for direction to the Disciplinary Authority to complete the enquiry as expeditiously as possible and to provide time frame for completing the said enquiry. Learned Advocate for the applicant further submitted that the applicant will cooperate the respondents without seeking adjournment in the said enquiry.

4. Learned Presenting Officer though submitted that the disciplinary proceedings were prolonged at the instance of the applicant, no such material is placed on record except bare statement in the written submission.

5. After considering the record, it appears that the present Original Application can be disposed of by giving direction as has been prayed for by the applicant in his application. In view of above, following order is passed :-

//3//

O.A. No. 591/2020

**ORDER**

- (i) The respondents are directed to complete the inquiries against the applicant within a period of four months from the date of this order.
- (ii) The applicant shall co-operate in completing the inquiries within the aforesaid period.
- (iii) With the direction as above, the Original Application stands disposed of.
- (iv) No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-KPB

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 796 OF 2021**

**(Dinesh A. Chavan Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,  
M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri Afzal Hussain, learned Advocate holding for Shri Amol S. Gandhi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 13.01.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **13.01.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**



**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 753 OF 2021**

**(Shankar P. Dhupe & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,  
M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 20.01.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **20.01.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 754 OF 2021**

**(Sunil S. Pradhan Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,  
M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 20.01.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **20.01.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 755 OF 2021**

**(Vishwanath P. Amle & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,  
M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 20.01.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **20.01.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 756 OF 2021**

**(Pandurang K. Sarode & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,  
M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 20.01.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **20.01.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 757 OF 2021**

**(Jayshri S. Bhokre & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,  
M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 20.01.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **20.01.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**M.A. No. 402/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1631/2021**

**(Prakash H. Bhamare Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,  
M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri T.R. Daware, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 14.01.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **14.01.2022.**
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.23 OF 2020**  
**(Arvind P. Awad & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos. 3 to 5.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant produced on record the withdrawal pursis stating that the applicants seek to withdraw the Original Application as they have assured that the respondents would take decision on the grievance of the applicants with liberty to file a fresh on same cause of action.

3. I have no reason to refuse the permission to withdraw the Original Application.

4. Accordingly, the Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh application on same cause of action if eventuality arises. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.322 OF 2020**  
**(Lilachand H. Patel Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the respondents submits that during the course of day he would file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1 & 2.
3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.
4. S.O. to 24.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.464 OF 2020**  
**(Bhagwan M. Dhabdge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the Original Application stating that the grievance of the applicant is redressed as the applicant is retained on the same post.

3. I have no reason to refuse the permission to withdraw the Original Application.

4. Accordingly, the Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.568 OF 2020**  
**(Shankar S. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.
3. S.O. to 25.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.581 OF 2020**  
(Ganesh S. Khetre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.P. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit-in-rejoinder is already filed on behalf of the applicant.
3. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder on behalf of the respondents.
4. S.O. to 27.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.136 OF 2021**  
**(Smt. Laxmi N. Dhotre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 & 2.

3. S.O. to 24.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.235 OF 2021**  
**(Hirasingh K. Chandelthakur Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.A. Ingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 27.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.364 OF 2021**  
**(Vinayak K. Kambakar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vikram Sarse, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that interim relief of stay to the execution and implementation of recovery was rejected by this Tribunal.

3. The applicant challenged the said order before the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay Bench at Aurangabad by filing the Writ Petition No.10072 of 2021. As per the order dated 09.09.2021 passed in the said Writ Petition, the recovery is stayed till final dismissal of the Original Application. The copy of order dated 09.09.2021 produced by the learned Advocate for the applicant is taken on record.

//2//

O.A.364/2021

4. Learned P.O. for the respondents seeks time for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

5. S.O. to 24.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.365 OF 2021**  
**(Prabhakar B. Jondhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Khedkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for the respondent No. 1 and learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3, short time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.
3. S.O. to 21.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.387 OF 2021**  
**(Shankar B. Ghogare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O for the respondents submits that during the course of day he would file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondent No.3.
3. Learned P.O. seeks further time for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1 & 2.
4. S.O. to 28.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.407 OF 2021**  
**(Aziz I. Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Quadri Syed Md. Saquebuddin, learned Advocate holding for Shri Gaurav L. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. As per the Circular No. MAT/MUM/ESTT/732/2021, dated 25/28.05.2021 issued by the Hon'ble Chairperson of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai, the matters regarding time bound promotion and ACPS are to be dealt with by the Division Bench. The present matter is pertaining to A.C.P.S.

4. In view of the same, the present matter be placed before the Division Bench for further hearing.

5. S.O. to 28.01.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.469 OF 2021**  
**(Dhondiba M. Zade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 24.01.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.477 OF 2021**  
**(Tukaram R. Bhojane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.M. Maney, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.
3. S.O. to 21.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.504 OF 2021**  
(Dilip B. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.D. Godhamgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 is taken on record.
3. S.O. to 28.01.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.509 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Ramling C. Mahajan & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashitosh Sisodiya, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.B. Girase, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 27.01.2022 for filing service affidavit on record.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.512 OF 2021**  
**(Usha A. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondents.
3. S.O. to 20.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.513 OF 2021**  
**(Manik G. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondents.
3. S.O. to 20.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.514 OF 2021**  
(Gautam G. Dhule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondents.
3. S.O. to 24.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.515 OF 2021**  
**(Mahasab G. Khan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 21.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.537 OF 2021**  
**(Balasaheb S. Khairnar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondents.
3. S.O. to 20.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.612 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Arun B. Morale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.P. Salgar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri Promod Pisal, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.3 to 5.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the respondent Nos.1 & 2 and learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.3 to 5, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 20.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.650 OF 2021**  
**(Prakash T. Vaichal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Karwre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 24.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.662 OF 2021**  
**(Yashwant P. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that during the course of the day he would file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1 & 2.
3. S.O. to 24.01.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder on behalf of applicant, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.691 OF 2021**  
**(Amol V. Padale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.A.Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondents.
3. S.O. to 25.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.697 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Santosh B. Naikwade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.P. Salgar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Pankaj A. Bharat, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondents.
3. S.O. to 28.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS



**M.A.NO.457 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1693 OF 2019**  
**(Sakharam B. Rakh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 to 4.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the respondent No.1 and learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 to 4, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 20.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.591/2019 IN M.A.NO.308/2018 IN  
O.A.ST.NO.1257/2018  
(Bapurao D. Patticewale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.P. Salgar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 28.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**M.A.NO.209 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.424 OF 2020**  
**(Rahul I. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri U.A. Bhadgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 is taken on record.
3. S.O. to 28.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.714 OF 2021**  
**(Rahul G. Malsamindar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shaikh Wajeed Ahmed, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of respondent.
3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.
4. S.O. to 25.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.800 OF 2021**  
**(Sunil A. Thete Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 25.01.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

**//2//**

**O.A.800/2021**

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 25.01.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.
9. The present matter is placed on separate board.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.85 OF 2019**  
**(Mahadeo P. Ghuge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 25.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.148 OF 2020**  
**(Goraknath J. Bhalerao Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As per the Circular No. MAT/MUM/ESTT/732/2021, dated 25/28.05.2021 issued by the Hon'ble Chairperson of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai, the matters regarding time bound promotion and ACPS are to be dealt with by the Division Bench.

3. The present matter is pertaining to time bound promotion/A.C.P.S.

4. In view of the same, the present matter be placed before the Division Bench for further hearing.

5. S.O. to 10.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.329 OF 2019**  
**(Ashok V. Birari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Mayur Subhedar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Girish Nagori, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of respondent No.2.
3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.
4. S.O. to 28.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.810 OF 2019**  
**(Shamsunder M. Choudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that pleadings upto affidavit-in-rejoinder are complete.
3. The matter is pertaining to monetary relief of yearly increments. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.
4. S.O. to 21.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.41 OF 2020**  
**(Nagesh C. Kumbre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As per the Circular No. MAT/MUM/ESTT/732/2021, dated 25/28.05.2021 issued by the Hon'ble Chairperson of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai, the matter regarding continuation in service is to be dealt with by the Division Bench. The present matter is pertaining to continuation in service.

4. In view of the same, the present matter be placed before the Division Bench for further hearing.

5. S.O. to 10.01.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.149 OF 2020**  
(Vitthal S. Ambatwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.L. Bhapkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The Original Application is filed by the applicant claiming relief of arrears of back-wages and further that the applicant is entitled to get monthly salary of two years and also for extension of age of retirement from 58 years to 60 years.

3. In view of the Circular No. MAT/MUM/ESTT/732/2021, dated 25/28.05.2021 issued by the Hon'ble Chairperson of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai, the matters regarding extension in age of retirement are to be dealt with by the Division Bench. The present matter is pertaining to benefit of G.R. / extension in age of retirement.

3. Hence, the present matter be placed before the Division Bench for further hearing.

4. S.O. to 14.1.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.214 OF 2020**  
(Ajinath A. Bangar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of respondent No.1.
3. As none present on behalf of the applicant, S.O. to 27.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.7 OF 2021**  
**(Arun W. Thakur Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**M.A.NO.71 OF 2021 IN O.A.NO.80 OF 2021**  
**(State of Maharashtra & Ors. Vs. Bhimrao N. Kokate)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the applicants in M.A./ Original Respondents and Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent in M.A./Original Applicant.

2. The present matter is fixed for rehearing, as no relevant G.Rs. dated 01.12.1995, 21.04.1999 and 25.06.2004 though referred by the applicant and Circular dated 15.04.2009 though referred by the respondents are produced on record.

3. Moreover, in affidavit-in-reply in paragraph No.11, the respondents have stated that the earlier pay fixation order dated 23.10.2020 and revised pay fixation order dated 28.12.2020 are sent with service book to the Pay Verification Unit. However, further development is not placed on record.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant today produced on record three G.Rs. The same are taken on

//2//

record and marked as document 'X' collectively for the purpose of identification.

5. Learned P.O. for the respondents submits that he would produce on record the copy of circular dated 15.04.2009 on the next date. He would seek further instructions as regard the progress of the matter so far as the Pay Verification Unit is concerned and also to seek instructions as regards the response from the office of Deputy Commissioner, Divisional Commissioner Office, Aurangabad in respective of letter dated 30.12.2020 sent by Collector, Nanded.

6. In view of above, S.O. to 15.01.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS



**M.A.NO.433 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1789 OF 2019**  
**(Samadhan B. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is reserved for order.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**M.A.NO.240 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.570 OF 2020  
WITH  
M.A.NO.241 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.569 OF 2020  
WITH  
M.A.NO.257 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1154 OF 2020  
WITH  
M.A.NO.258 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1076 OF 2020  
(Rajendra R. Shah & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these matters.

2. Record shows that the present matters were substantially heard by Shri Bijay Kumar, learned Member (A) and certain observations are also made in farad sheet dated 23.09.2021.

3. In view of same, the present matters be placed before the learned Member (A) for further hearing.

4. S.O. to 20.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.532 OF 2021**  
**(Kaviraj J. Kucche Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri N.B. Narwade, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3.

2. Learned P.O. for the respondents places on record additional short explanatory affidavit on behalf of respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.

3. Learned P.O. for the respondents submits that the matter is being attended by learned C.P.O. Today, the learned C.P.O. is not in a position to attend the matter as he is not feeling well.

4. In view of above, S.O. to 20.12.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.174 OF 2017**  
**(Dr. Madhav F. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 25.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.395 OF 2017**  
**(Smt. Shobha R. Pathak Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

2. By consent of parties, S.O. to 25.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.962 OF 2017**  
**(Bhausahab B. Rayate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Archana Bhange, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Bayas, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As per the Circular No. MAT/MUM/ESTT/732/2021, dated 25/28.05.2021 issued by the Hon'ble Chairperson of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai, the matters regarding time bound promotion and ACPS are to be dealt with by the Division Bench.

3. The present matter is pertaining to time bound promotion/A.C.P.S.

4. In view of the same, the present matter be placed before the Division Bench for further hearing.

5. S.O. to 14.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.89 OF 2018**  
(Sayeda Kalida Md. Naeem Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2. Shri S.R. Pande, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3 is **absent**.

2. By consent of learned Advocate and learned P.O., S.O. to 25.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.812 OF 2018**  
**(Bhagwant P. Kapale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 28.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.853 OF 2018**  
**(Prmod C. Bute Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 25.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.879 OF 2018**  
**(Balasaheb M. Kaldate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 27.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.104 OF 2019**  
(Shaikh R. Shaifoddin Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sanket N. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri S.K. Sirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present on behalf of the applicant, S.O. to 03.02.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.400 OF 2019**  
**(Krushna G. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 28.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.918 OF 2019**  
**(Ashok M.Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter be treated as part heard.

3. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 11.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.930 OF 2019**  
**(Balu V. Sonawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 03.02.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.515 OF 2020**  
**(Aniket D. Rakh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Swaraj Tandale, learned Advocate holding for Shri B.R. Kedar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 03.02.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.23 OF 2021**  
**(Nagnath P. Telgane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter be treated as part heard.
3. S.O. to 07.01.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-SAS



**M.A. 306/2021 IN O.A. ST. 1305/2021  
(Kiransingh A. Pal Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE :** **15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Suchitta Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 3 & 4, are present.

2. The P.O. appearing for respondent nos. 1 & 2 and the learned Counsel appearing for respondent nos. 3 & 4 seek time for filing replies in the M.A. Time granted as last chance.

3. S.O. to 19.1.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P. NO. 36/2018 IN O.A.NO. 846/2011**  
**C.P. NO. 37/2018 IN O.A.NO. 843/2011**  
**C.P. NO. 38/2018 IN O.A.NO. 842/2011**  
**C.P. NO. 39/2018 IN O.A.NO. 278/2012**  
**C.P. NO. 40/2018 IN O.A.NO. 634/2011**  
**C.P. NO. 41/2018 IN O.A.NO. 277/2012**  
**(Vilas S. Patil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE :** **15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these matters, are present.

2. In the present group of 06 Contempt Petitions the learned P.O. has placed on record the seniority list in pursuance of the directions given by the Tribunal vide order dated 22.7.2019. In C.P. 40/2018 in O.A. 634/2011 and C.P. 41/2018 in O.A. 277/2012 affidavit in replies are already filed by the respondents. The learned P.O. has sought time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents in remaining matters. Time granted.

3. In the matters in which the respondents have filed their replies, the respective applicants have tendered their rejoinders and the same are also taken on record in respective matters..

4. S.O. to 20.1.2022 for further consideration and for filing reply by the respondents in remaining matters.

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P. 13/2021 IN O.A. 797/2019**  
**(Maharashtra Rajya Rekhachitra Shakha Karmachari**  
**Sanghatana Mah. Rajya through its President Zaki**  
**Ahmed Jafri Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE :** **15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S. Khedkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The respondent nos. 1 to 5 have issued the G.R. in pursuance of the order passed by this tribunal. The respondent no. 6 has not yet complied the order. The learned P.O. has sought by way of last chance. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 14.1.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P. 33/2019 IN O.A. 678/2017  
(Markand S. Bhalerao Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE :** **15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Asif Ali, learned Counsel holding for Smt. A.N. Ansari, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned P.O. has tendered the reply on behalf of res. no. 2. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned Counsel for the applicant.

3. The matter be listed for hearing at the stage of admission itself on 19.1.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 67/2018**  
**(Dr. Mohd. Feroz Iqbal Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE :** **15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Reply of res. nos. 2 & 3 is already filed on record. The learned P.O. submits that the respondent no. 1 is adopting the reply filed by the respondent nos. 2 & 3.

3. Since all the respondents have filed their respective reply, the matter be listed for hearing on 28.1.2022.

4. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 02/2020  
(Prakash P. More Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE :** **15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.R. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant  
(**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer  
for the respondents, is present.

2. Reply of res. nos. 1 to 4 is already filed on record.

3. Since the applicant and his learned Counsel are  
absent today, S.O. to 20.1.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 69/2021  
(Jayshree R. Sonkavade Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE :** **15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Respondents have filed their reply in the matter. The matter be now posted for hearing on 19.1.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 342/2021**  
**(Kalpana T. Shelke & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE :** **15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available today. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 6.1.2022 for hearing.
3. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 445/2021  
(Gautam C. Deolalikar Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE :** **15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Pleadings are complied with. List the matter for hearing on 19.1.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 358/2015 IN O.A. 238/2015  
(Dinesh S. Joshi Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Time is sought by the learned P.O. for filing reply of the respondents. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 19.1.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021

**MA 409/14 WITH M.A. 93/2017 IN O.A. ST. 1452/2014  
(Manjushree B. Deshpande Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE :** **15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Perused the M.A. No. 409/2014 seeking condonation of delay occurred in filing the O.A. and the reply filed by the respondents to the said application. The main grievance as has been assigned in the M.A. for occurrence of delay is that the applicant was seeking redressal of his grievance firstly in the Tribunal and thereafter in the Hon'ble High Court. The delay is said to be of about 2 years.

3. After having considered the submissions of both the sides, it appears to me that, sufficient reasons are assigned for occurrence of delay in filing the O.A. Ultimately the matter is to be decided on merit. I am, therefore, inclined to allow the M.A. for delay condonation. Hence, the following order :-

**::-2-::**

**MA 409/14 WITH MA 93/2017  
IN O.A. ST. 1452/2014**

**ORDER**

- (i) M.A. No. 409/2014 stands allowed and the delay of about 2 years occurred in filing O.A. is condoned.
- (ii) The Registry to register the O.A. according to law.
- (iii) There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 93/2017 IN O.A. ST. 1452/2014  
(Manjushree B. Deshpande Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE :** **15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In the O.A. notices are not yet issued since application for condonation of delay in filing O.A. i.e. M.A. no. 409/2014 was not decided. Today, the said M.A. has been decided and the delay occurred in filing O.A. has been condoned. In the circumstances, the following order is passed :-

**ORDER**

1. Issue notices to the respondents O.A. and M.A. No. 93/2017 seeking amendment in the pleadings, returnable on 24.1.2022.
2. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book

::-2-::

**M.A. 93/2017 IN O.A. ST. 1452/2014**

of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

5. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

6. S.O. to 24.1.2022.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021

**M.A. 307/2020 IN O.A. 150/2020  
(Ramkrishna D. Nagargoje Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE :** **15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.L. Bhapkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicants submits that the O.A. has been filed by the applicant seeking benefit of extension of age of retirement and consequential monetary benefits of the said period. The learned Counsel for the applicant submits that during the pendency of O.A. such benefit was given to the applicant. He further submits that within a month thereafter the recovery of said amount is directed from the applicant. Therefore the applicant intends to bring on record the said facts since it is subsequent event. The learned Counsel for the applicant, in the circumstances, prayed for allowing M.A. No. 307/2020.

3. The learned P.O. submits for passing appropriate order.

4. After having considered the submissions of the learned Counsel for the applicant, I am satisfied that sufficient case is made out by the applicant for allowing the

::-2-:: **M.A. 307/2020 IN O.A. 150/2020**

M.A. The amendment has certainly nexus with the relief as has been sought by the applicant in the O.A. In the circumstances, I am inclined to allow the M.A. Hence, the following order :-

**ORDER**

- (i) M.A. No. 307/2020 is allowed in terms of prayer (B) thereof with no costs.
- (ii) The applicant to carry out the proposed amendment in O.A. within 2 weeks from the date of this order. Needless to state that, if the respondents intend to file reply after amendment, it would be open for them to file the same on or before the next date.
- (iii) O.A. to come on board on 14.1.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**M.A. 317/2020 IN O.A. 151/2020  
(Krantikumar V. Penurkar Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE :** **15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.L. Bhapkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicants submits that the O.A. has been filed by the applicant seeking benefit of extension of age of retirement and consequential monetary benefits of the said period. The applicant intends to bring on record the said facts occurred during the pendency of O.A. The learned Counsel for the applicant prayed for allowing the present M.A.

3. The learned P.O. submits for passing appropriate order.

4. After having considered the submissions of the learned Counsel for the applicant and having considered the M.A., I am satisfied that sufficient case is made out by the applicant for allowing the M.A. The amendment as sought for has certainly nexus with the relief as has been sought by the applicant in the O.A. In the circumstances, I am inclined to allow the M.A. Hence, the following order :-

::-2-:: **M.A. 317/2020 IN O.A. 151/2020**

**ORDER**

(i) M.A. No. 317/2020 is allowed in terms of prayer (B) thereof with no costs.

(ii) The applicant to carry out the proposed amendment in O.A. within 2 weeks from the date of this order. Needless to state that, if the respondents intend to file reply after amendment, it would be open for them to file the same on or before the next date.

(iii) O.A. to come on board on 14.1.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 168/2021 IN O.A. 566/2017**  
**(Rajendra G. Mane Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE :** **15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. On perusal of the M.A. filed for amendment, I am satisfied that just and sufficient cause is made out for allowing the amendment. Even if the amendment is allowed, the nature of the O.A. and the prayers made therein are not likely to change. It further appears to me that, no prejudice is likely to be caused to other side, if the amendment is allowed. I am, therefore, inclined to allow M.A. for amendment. Hence, the following order :-

**ORDER**

- (i) M.A. stands allowed in terms of prayer clause (B) thereof.
- (ii) The applicant to carry out the proposed amendment in O.A. within 2 weeks from the date of this order. Needless to state that, if the respondents

::-2-::

**M.A. 168/2021 IN  
O.A. 566/2017**

intend to file reply after amendment, it would be open for them to file the same on or before the next date.

(iii) O.A. to come on board on 18.1.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021

**M.A. 385/2021 IN O.A. 555/2021**  
**(Mahendra D. Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This case is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE :** **15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri N.L. Choudhary, learned Counsel for respondent no. 3.

2. Today Shri N.L. Choudhary, learned Counsel has filed his V.P. for respondent no. 4. He has sought time for filing affidavit in reply of res. nos. 3 & 4. Learned C.P.O. also sought time for filing reply of res. nos. 1 & 2. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 18.1.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 12 OF 2018  
(Khandu T. Chavan Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.R. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Since Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 14.1.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 76 OF 2018  
(Purushottam S. Andhale & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2, Shri L.M. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 6 and Shri S.R. Shirsath, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 7 to 9, are present.

2. Since Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 17.1.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 383 OF 2018  
(Meraj Begum Syeed Abdul Khalak Vs. State of Maha. &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Since Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 18.1.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-HDD



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 488 OF 2018  
(Dattu Banaji Kolpe Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmkh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Since Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 18.1.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 110 OF 2019  
(Ashok M. Randhe Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 15.12.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Since Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 19.1.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 15.12.2021-HDD