

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 15 .12.2020</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.244/ 2019 in O.A.No.1080 of 2018 with M.A. No.245/ 2019 in O.A. 1081 of 2018 with M.A.246/2019 in O.A.1082/2018 with M.A.247/2019 in O.A.1083/219 with M.A.No.248/2019 in O.A.1098/2018 with M.A.No.249/2019 in O.A.1099/2018 with M.A.No.250/2019 in O.A.1100/2018 with M.A.No.251/2019 in O.A.1101 of 2018 with M.A.No.252/2019 in O.A.1103/2018 with M.A.No.253/2019 in O.A.No.1104/2018 with M.A.No.254/2019 in O.A.1105/2018 with M.A.No.255/2019 in O.A.1106 of 2018 with M.A.No.256/2019 in O.A.No.1107/2018</p> <p align="center">A. S. Kharbude & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Applicants and their Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. The matter was taken up in the morning session but Applicants and their Counsel are absent, and therefore, it was kept in the afternoon session. In the afternoon session, the Applicant and their Counsel are absent. Indeed, the Applicants Counsel has taken circulation for listing the matter on board, and therefore, it was listed on today's board but learned Counsel is absent. In view of above, the matter is removed from board. Apart as per the earlier orders passed in the matter, the office was directed to verify the nature of the matter and to place it before appropriate bench. As per office order dated 10.10.2019, the matter seems not pertain to Singh Bench. Registrar, is therefore, directed to do needful and place the matter before appropriate bench. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 15.12.2020

O. A. No.252 of 2020

V.D. BharleApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent Nos.1. It is taken on record.
3. For Hearing at the stage of admission.
4. S.O. to 12.01.2021.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.12.2020

O.A.No.663 of 2019

S. S. Panindre

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 10.12.2020 time was granted to produce Minutes of DPC meeting dated 12.01.2015.

3. However, today learned C.P.O. submits that the Minutes of DPC are not traceable and department had issued notices to the concerned employees who were supposed to maintain the record.

4. It is really surprising that the official record i.e. Minutes of DPC dated 12.01.2015 which are very important are lost. There seems to be no maintenance of the record in proper manner which needs remedial measures by the concerned who is Incharge of the establishment section.

5. Learned C.P.O. submits that the Additional DGP is the Head of the establishment section.

6. In view of above, the Additional DGP, Mumbai is directed to file Affidavit as to why Minutes of Meeting dated 12.01.2015 are not forthcoming and also to specify what action is taken against the concerned for loss of record.

7. Affidavit should be filed within a week. In addition to above, Affidavit should further clarify whether the Applicant's case was considered for promotion before any other meeting prior to meeting dated 12.01.2015.

8. If Applicant's case was considered in any such meeting then the record of Minutes of the said meeting should also be filed along with Affidavit.

9. S.O. to 24.12.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 15.12.2020

O. A. No. 21 of 2020

D.G. HingeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent Nos.1&2. It is taken on record.
3. For Final Hearing.
4. S.O. to 12.01.2021.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

LATER ON :

Date : 15.12.2020

O.A.No.723 of 2020

A.H. Hate ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submits that the subsistence allowance is already paid till November, 2020. The learned P.O. is directed to file affidavit-in-reply to that effect on next date.

3. S.O. to 16.02.2020.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.12.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.759 of 2020</p> <p>M.K. Satpute ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant seeks direction that a sealed cover consisting of the decision of the DPC about his fitness for promotion is to be opened by the Department and, if at all, he is found fit he is to be promoted. The applicant who is working as Assistant Commandant seeks his promotion to the post of Commandant.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. submits that she will take necessary instructions in view of the prayer made in the applicant and the issue involve.</p> <p>4. We direct the respondent authority to open the sealed cover tomorrow i.e on 16.12.2020 and then report about the decision of the DPC about the applicant on 18.12.2020.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.12.2020. Respondent to act on authenticated copy. Hamdast allowed.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson</p>
prk	

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

RA.12/2017 in OA.808/2016

J.M. Gurav ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri J.M. Gurav, Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

2. Applicant in person is present. He files additional set of correspondence and serves a copy of the same on the Ld. PO. Replies by the concerned respondents in the RA are already filed on record.

3. Admit. S.O. to 6.1.2021 for final hearing.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
15.12.2020

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.12.2020

O.A.No.1118 of 2019

Dinesh Kadam

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this O.A., notice has been issued on 25.11.2019 since then enough time is availed for filing reply, and thereafter ultimately the matter was proceeded for hearing without reply.

3. However, today learned P.O. requested to take on record the Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. He submits that Affidavit was ready but some documents were not forthcoming, and therefore, reply could not be filed earlier.

4. In the interest of justice, permission is granted to file reply. It be taken on record.

5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder.

6. Three weeks time is granted.

7. S.O. to 12.01.2021.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.12.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1199 of 2019</p> <p>Y. B. PhadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks time to file certain documents with additional Affidavit, and therefore, requested for grant of time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.01.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.12.2020

R.A. No.22 of 2019 in O.A.No.1116 of 2016

U. S. SalunkeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Sachin Salunkhe appearing as duly appointed attorney for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant submits that he has already filed Rejoinder in the office on 10.12.2020.

3. However, perusal of record reveals that the Rejoinder is not annexed to O.A.

4. The office is directed to find out the Rejoinder and place it along with O.A.

5. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for hearing with liberty to file Sur-Rejoinder, if any.

6. S.O. to 05.01.2021 at 2.00 pm.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15 .12.2020

O. A. No.1183 of 2019

Shri S. H. MoreApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.

2. Perusal of record reveals that in this matter notices were issued to the Respondents on 23.01.2020 but since then the Applicant and his Counsel are absent. They were absent on 19.03.2020, 01.10.2020, 15.10.2020, 22.10.2020 and 24.11.2020. Despite their absence, the matter was adjourned from time to time so as to give enough opportunities to them but in vain.

3. Thus, the Applicant and his Counsel appears not interested in the matter, hence O.A. is dismissed in default.

4. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.12.2020

O.A.No.741 of 2020

S.V. Patil & Ors. ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submits that she will seek necessary instructions and according make submission. Affidavit-in-reply will be filed later on.

3. Photo copy of certificate of Project Affected Persons which was issued on 21.01.1980 along with the certificate issued on 13.03.1999 and 14.03.1999 by PAP officer Aurangabad is tendered by learned Advocate. The same are taken on record.

4. S.O. to 17.12.2020.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.12.2020

M.A.No.322 of 2020 in O.A.No.741 of 2020

S.V. Patil & Ors. ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

5. M.A. is allowed.

Sd/- M -

(Mridula Bhatkar J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>15.12.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 531/2020</u></p> <p>Dr R.M Dhele ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant, Smt K.S Gaikwad , learned P.O for Respondent no. 1 and Shri Anand Gugale, learned advocate for Respondent no. 2.</p> <p>2. Mr Anand Gugale, learned advocate for Respondent no. 2 states that Respondent no. 2 is without posting and without salary for last 3 months. However, till date Respondent no. 1 has not filed reply.</p> <p>3. Respondent no. 1 is directed to file reply before the next date. If the reply is not filed matter will proceed. It is transfer case and therefore Respondents are directed to bring the office record for perusal of this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. S.O to 18.1.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.12.2020

O.A.No.965 of 2019

V. J. Pagare

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. submits that the reply has been filed by the Chief Presenting Officer and today only, the matter is entrusted to him. He, therefore, seeks short time for hearing.
3. In view of above, the matter is adjourned for hearing.
4. S.O. to 18.12.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.363 of 2020</u></p> <p>V.S. Chaudhary & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter appeared on board for the first time on 18.8.2020. Time was sought by the Ld. CPO to obtain instructions and file reply. Thereafter matter appeared on 8.9.2020, 15.9.2020, 24.9.2020, 13.10.2020, 15.10.2020 & 29.10.2020. Today also matter appeared on board and till today the Ld. CPO has not received any instructions from the concerned department i.e. respondent no.1 despite communication from the office of Ld. CPO.</p> <p>3. Hence, we specifically direct the Principal Secretary, Health to look into the matter and file affidavit in reply through responsible officer on the next date without fail.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.1.2021. Hamdast granted.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 15.12.2020 15.12.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.192 of 2020

H.A. Warwate & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. None for the applicants. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record. Copy be served on the applicants. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply on behalf of respondent no.1.

3. S.O. to 28.1.2021. Ld. Advocate for the applicants to comply para 2 of the order dated 12.3.2020.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
15.12.2020

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
15.12.2020

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.1218 of 2019

R.R. Ghatge ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This OA is filed on 17.12.2019 and Ld. CPO submits that appeal is provided against the order under challenge. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that on 6.10.2020 appeal along with application for condonation of delay is filed before the Commissioner, Land Records, Pune.

3. As the appeal is filed before the appellate authority, this OA is not maintainable. OA is disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
15.12.2020

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
15.12.2020

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

C.A. No.31 of 2020 in O.A. No.71 of 2017 (Nagpur)

R.S. Pawar ..Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- Applicant in person is not present and he has requested for hearing by Video Conferencing as he is staying at Nagpur. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply. Time granted.
- S.O. to 8.2.2021 and on that date the parties to take note that the matter will be heard by Video Conferencing. Respondents to serve copy of reply to the applicant by email on or before 30.1.2021.

Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 15.12.2020 (sgj)

Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 15.12.2020


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>15.12.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 335/2020</u></p> <p>S.M Sathe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.M Sathe, applicant in person and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O produces Corrigendum dated 1.12.2020 regarding the promotion of the applicant. The copy of the Corrigendum is furnished to the applicant. The Corrigendum is marked as Exh.1 and taken on record.</p> <p>3. After going through the prayers 1 to 9, the applicant admits that the relief under prayer clause 2 to 6 are granted. However, relief under prayer clause 1 & 7 is not granted.</p> <p>4. Prayer 1 is regarding declaration that refusing to promote him was taken maliciously and the decision was therefore illegal. This relief cannot be granted because the pleadings are vague.</p> <p>5. In clause no. 7 in the prayer he demands difference in his grade pay and 20% interest on it. On this Government is directed to file reply.</p> <p>6. In clause 8 of the prayer he prays for grant of Rs. 5000/- towards expenses of the application.</p> <p>7. In clause 9 of the prayer, he prays that the officer who has deliberately left the discrepancies is to be held responsible and action should be taken against such officer. Relief in clause 9 cannot be granted for want of vague pleadings.</p> <p>8. Respondents are directed to file reply in respect of prayer clause 7 & 8.</p> <p>9. S.O to 23.2.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

15.12.2020

O.A 716/2020

D.L Chavan ... Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.L Kulkarni, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. The applicant prays for grant of time bound promotion and pay the difference within three months along with interest @ 12% p.a and also for grant of difference of grade pay from 1.1.2006 to 30.9.2013.
3. Learned P.O states that copy of the application is not served. Learned advocate for the applicant is directed to serve copy on all the Respondents.
4. S.O to 16.2.2021.

Sd/- 
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.12.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 723/2020</u></p> <p>Smt Amruta Milind Hate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S.Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that the suspension order dated 13.2.2020 be quashed and set aside and she is also to be paid the subsistence allowance from 13.2.2020.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays that by way of interim relief, the subsistence allowance from 13.2.2020 is to be given to the applicant and it is a matter of survival.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O prays for time to file reply.</p> <p>6. Respondents are directed to pay the subsistence allowance to the applicant from 13.2.2020 till December, 2020 by 5.1.2021. Thereafter, Respondents are directed to continue paying subsistence allowance till final hearing of the Original Application.</p> <p>7. S.O to 16.2.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

15.12.2020

O.A 281/2020

S.S Hake ... Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.


2. Reply is not filed. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.

3. It appears from the record that the mater appeared before this Tribunal on 9.7.2020 and since then no reply is filed.

4. The applicant is working in the office of the Commissioner Food and Drugs Administration Department. No review in respect of suspension of the applicant is conducted till today.

5. Respondents are directed to file reply by way of last chance and till then in between the Respondents to take review in respect of suspension of the applicant.

6. S.O to 18.2.2021.

 Sd/-

 (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
 Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

15.12.2020


O.A 302/2020

B.M Shivdasani ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadeakr, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Interim relief to continue till next date.

3. S.O 16.2.2021.

 Sd/- _____

**(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

15.12.2020

O.A nos 327 & 339/2020

S.S Kamathe & Ors [O.A 327/2020]
M.V Mohite [O.A 339/2020] ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar & Shri U.V Mohite, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. On 27.10.2020, learned P.O sought time to file additional affidavit in reply.
3. The matter pertains to grant of deemed date. The Respondents seek time to file additional affidavit in reply.
4. Time granted till 23.2.2021.

Sd/- _____

**(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

Akn


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>15.12.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 403/2020</u></p> <p>Smt A.P Mahale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for the applicant informs that the applicant in pursuance to the directions given in the order dated 3.9.2020 is working at Nasik, though she was relieved. However, the Respondent no. 2, has not passed the formal order to that effect and therefore she is not getting salary. However, she is attending office daily.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to pay her salary forthwith. It is surprising that Respondents have stopped paying the salary to the applicant and not following the order passed by this Tribunal dated 3.9.2020. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents who are directed to pay the entire salary to the applicant till today.</p> <p>5. S.O to 28.1.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

15.12.2020

O.A 433/2020

Smt Manjusha R Kasane ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondent no. 1 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 2.
2. Learned P.O files reply on behalf of Respondent no. 1. The same is taken on record.
3. Mr Lonkar submits that he will reply on behalf of Respondent no. 2 within two weeks. Copy of reply on behalf of Respondent no. 2 to be given in advance to the applicant.
3. Admit. S.O to 29.1.2021 for final hearing.

Sd/-


**(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

15.12.2020

O.A 490/2020

S.R Patil ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply. Time granted by way of last chance.

3. S.O to 2.2.2021.

Sd/

**(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

15.12.2020

O.A 681/2020

Dr S Nivargi (Kotnis) ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.S Kalekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O submits that he has not received para-wise reply.

3. Learned advocate for the applicant files compilation of papers. Same is taken on record.

4. Learned P.O is directed to file reply without fail by way of last chance and produce all the documents on the next date.

5. S.O to 21.1.2021.

Sd/-

**(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

15.12.2020

O.A 612/2020 with M.A 277/2020

S.V Jadhav ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel submits that he wants to implead Special Inspector General of Police, Crime, Pune as Party Respondent no. 4.

3. Allowed to amend the Original Application by impleading Spl. I.G.P, Crime as Respondent no. 4 and other necessary consequential amendments.

4. Learned P.O prays for time to file reply in the Misc Application seeking delay in filing Original Application. Time granted.

5. S.O to 23.2.2021.



Sd/- _____

**(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 15.12.2020
---	--

O.A 677, 678, 679 & 680/2020

U.R Mode [O.A 677/2020]
S.S Mujawar [O.A 678/2020]
R.S Patil [O.A 679/2020]
S.A Patil [O.A 680/2020]... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O files reply in all the matters. Copy of reply is furnished to the learned advocate for the applicants.

3. S.O to 28.1.2021.

Sd/-

**(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.757 of 2020</u></p> <p>C.M. Kabade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Prasad B. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that the merit list dated 10.12.2020 issued by the MPSC-Respondent no.1 for the post of Assistant Director (Information)/Information Officer/ Examiner of Books and Publication, GAD Group 'B' is void and is to be quashed and set aside.</p> <p>3. Respondent No.3 is a private party as he is selected candidate in the select list. Hence, he is a necessary party. Applicant is directed to serve him. On application by the applicant MPSC-Respondent no.1 to furnish address of respondent no.3 to the applicant to enable him to serve copy of the OA. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that copy of the OA is served on respondents no.1 & 2.</p> <p>4. Applicant is directed to produce certificate from competent authority to indicate he fulfills eligibility criteria and relevant government orders (G.Rs.), if any.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 2.2.2021.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vice-Chairman Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">15.12.2020 15.12.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.12.2020

O.A.No.203 of 2018

S. K. Devkar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Snehal S. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.3.

2. Though, two Counsels are appearing on behalf of the Respondents, the ^{reply}~~reply~~ is filed jointly on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 which is at Page Nos.55 to 59.

3. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed additional Affidavit of the Applicant. It is taken on record.

4. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder, if any.

5. The matter be placed for final hearing at the stage of admission as well as for filing Rejoinder, if any.

6. S.O. to 07.01.2021.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.12.2020

O.A.No.864 of 2019

A. D. Daundkar & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. has tendered the copy of order dated 29.06.2019 whereby the Applicant Nos.2 and 3 were purportedly deputed in Highway Police temporarily. The copy of the record is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.

3. The order dated 29.06.2019 being produced for the first time on record, Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that he had no knowledge of the said order, and therefore, he wants to challenge the same by making suitable amendment.

4. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted to take necessary steps.

5. S.O. to 07.01.2021.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.12.2020

M.A. No.232 of 2020 in O.A.No.66 of 2020

Dr. A. S. Mane ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, one week's time is lastly granted for filing reply to M.A.

3. S.O. to 22.12.2020.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.12.2020

O.A.No.162 of 2020

V. L. HateApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Pleading is complete.
3. The matter is admitted and be kept for final hearing.
4. S.O. to 12.01.2021.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A.181/2020 in RA.8/2020 in OA.399/2018</u></p> <p>P.V. KorpaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A. Awasarmol, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondents and the same is taken on record. A copy of the same is also served on the Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.1.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 15.12.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 1
--	----------------------------

RA.6/2020 in OA.563/2019

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicants
(Ori. Respondents)

Vs.
Mohd. H.H. Kacchi ..Respondent
(Ori. Applicant)

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Respondent-original Applicant.

2. Ld. Advocate for the respondent-original applicant mentions that he proposes to file his reply in the RA. He seeks adjournment till 22.1.2021 to file reply and serve copy of reply in advance to the office of Ld. CPO.

3. Matter would be heard finally on 22.1.2021. Ld. CPO is directed to ensure that relevant documents are kept ready on that date.

Sd/- 2

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
15.12.2020

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.12.2020

O.A.No.394 of 2020

V.S. Karamble ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Matter is adjourned to 12.02.2021. Last chance given to respondents to file reply.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.12.2020

O.A.No.501 of 2020

S.B. Mali ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the requested of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents time granted by way of last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. Adjourned to 04.02.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.12.2020

O.A.No.519 of 2020 with M.A.No.236 of 2020

S.M. Balshetwar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.

3. Time granted. Adjourned to 21.01.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.12.2020

O.A.No.699 of 2020

S.B. Jagtap ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for leave to amend prayer clause (a).

3. Amendment is allowed to be carried forthwith.

4. The learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.

5. Time granted. Adjourned to 04.02.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.12.2020

O.A.No.90 of 2020

V.D. Kulkarni ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri U.D. Chemburkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant files affidavit-in-rejoinder. The same is taken on record.

3. Matter is Admitted and fixed for final hearing

4. Adjourned to 26.03.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.12.2020

**O.A.No.372 of 2020 with O.A.No.373 of 2020
with O.A.No.374 of 2020**

J.K. Khetre (O.A.372/2020)

P.A. Lad (O.A.373/2020)

B.K. Nagare (O.A.374/2020)

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned P.O. submits that she has received instructions in O.A.No.372 of 2020 and O.A.No.373 of 2020 and yet to receive instructions in O.A.No.374 of 2020 and needs time to file affidavit-in-reply.

4. Reply to be filed in respect of Respondents No.1 and

2. Time granted by way of last chance for filing reply.

5. Adjourned to 15.02.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.476 of 2020

N.L. Kasale

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri Yatin Malvankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Leave granted to amend prayer clause (a) regarding changing the date of order under challenge.

3. In this OA the applicant is challenging the legality and validity of the decision of the Government dated 14.1.2019. It pertains to denial of the promotion to the applicant who is in Police Department on the ground that DE was pending against him when the DPC was held. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.

4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.1.2021.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
15.12.2020

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
15.12.2020

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.135 of 2020</u></p> <p>J.R. Choudhary ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant in person is absent. Ld. PO informs that office of Ld. CPO has not received copy of OA. Applicant to furnish copy of OA to the office of Ld. CPO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.1.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 15.12.2020 15.12.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>