



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.641 of 2022 in O.A. No.814 of 2022</u></p> <p>Nilesh A. Shete &amp; Anr. <span style="float: right;">..Petitioners</span> And Gajanan S. Chavan &amp; 4 Ors. <span style="float: right;">..Applicants</span> Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">..Respondents</span></p> <p>Heard Ms. Sabiha Ansari with Ms. Aisha N. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the Petitioners and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Petitioners has filed this MA praying that the two petitioners be added as party applicants no.6 &amp; 7 in the array of applicants in OA No.814 of 2022.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits to the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. MA is allowed. Petitioners be added as party applicants no.6 &amp; 7 in the array of applicants in OA No.814 of 2022. Amendment be carried out within three days and amended copy be served on all concerned.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>15.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>15.11.2022</p> </div> </div>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.814 of 2022</u></p> <p>Gajanan S. Chavan &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Sabiha Ansari with Ms. Aisha N. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the Petitioners, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri M.D. Lonkar, Ld. Advocate for Respondents no.6, 9, 10, 11 &amp; 13 and Shri S.S. Dere, Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.12.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed on behalf of respondents no.1, 3 &amp; 4, 5,7 8, 12 &amp; 14. Reply is not filed by respondent no.2 and Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Shri S.S. Dere, Ld. Advocate for Respondent no.12 adopts the reply filed by Shri M.D. Lonkar, Ld. Advocate.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 2.12.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 15.11.2022 15.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.821 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.D. Inamdar &amp; Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri B.A. Khopade, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.4 to 21, 25 to 40, 42 to 67, 70 to 84, 86 to 91, 93 to 104, 106, 107, 109 to 124, 126 to 129, 131 to 143, 145 to 169, 171 to 177, 181, 184, 186, 187, 189 to 200.</p> <p>2. Shri M.D. Lonkar, Ld. Advocate files reply on behalf of private respondents submits that he will file Vakalatnama during the course of the day. Copy of the reply is handed over to Ld. Advocate for the Applicants.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.12.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/- </span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span> 15.11.2022 <span style="float: right;">15.11.2022</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.281 of 2022</u></p> <p>Y.S. Kulkarni &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.T. Yaseen, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 &amp; 2.</p> <p>2. Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.3 to 8 is not present.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that GAD has filed reply however MPSC seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 2.12.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 15.11.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.89 of 2016</u></p> <p>S.S. Rakh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is of 2016. The applicant prays for notional pay fixation on the promoted post of Senior Clerk with arrears of pay w.e.f. 12.7.2006 when the roster point of NT(D) category fell vacant with all consequential service benefits.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant was promoted to the post of Senior Clerk on adhoc basis in March, 2014.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO seeks time to produce file. The concerned officer shall remain present along with the file.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.11.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 15.11.2022 15.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.862 of 2016</u></p> <p>A.J. Telvekar &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri D.B. Khaire, Special Counsel for Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. Shri S.R. Pawar, Ld. Advocate for private respondents is not present.</p> <p>3. Shri S.S. Dere, Ld. Advocate submits that he will file Vakalatnama on behalf of private respondents within one week.</p> <p>4. Shri S.R. Pawar, Ld. Advocate for private respondents to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.11.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 15.11.2022 15.11.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 15.11.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>C.A.No.74 of 2022 in O.A.No.526 of 2022</b></p> <p>Sundari S.B. &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Yashashree Munde with Ms. Sailee Dhayalkar, learned Advocate i/b. Parinam Law Asso. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. informs that M.P.S.C. has challenged the decision in O.A. before the Hon'ble High Court vide Writ Petition Stamp No.21237 of 2022.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 16.12.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>15.11.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 271/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri D.G Mate ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt Punam Mahajan has filed leave note. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 10.1.2023.</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>15.11.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 451/2022 with O.A 631/2022</u></b></p> <p>H.R Gangurde [O.A 451/2022] P.V Topale [O.A 631/2022]... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that reply is filed in O.A 451/2022 and she seeks time to file affidavit in reply in O.A 631/2022.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O is granted time to file affidavit in reply in O.A 631/2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>15.11.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 200/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri D.H Choudhari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchear, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 13.12.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>15.11.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 795/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri B.B Thite ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, holding for Shri U.V Bhosale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant two weeks' time is granted to file affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 29.11.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>15.11.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 742/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri Y.V Sawalakhe &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.D Patil, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission to file application for amendment.</p> <p>3. One weeks' time is granted to the learned counsel for the applicants to file application for amendment.</p> <p>4. S.O to 22.11.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>15.11.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 329/2022</u></b></p> <p>D.P Deore ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that result of the applicant will be declared within 2 to 3 days. Learned C.P.O further submits that interviews are not yet scheduled and therefore, she seeks four weeks to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 13.12.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>15.11.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 572/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.S More ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 10.1.2023.</p> <p>Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>15.11.2022</u></b></p> <p><b>O.A 775, 776, 777, 778, 779, 7890, 791, 793, 796 &amp; 830/2022.</b></p> <p>-----</p> <p>V.R Sarole ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Avhad and Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents no 1 &amp; 2 and Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, Shri S.S Dere and Shri Chavare, learned counsel for Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>2. Interim relief is granted in O.A 775, 776, 777, 778 &amp; 779/2022 to the applicants thereby not to take any coercive action till 8.8.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.11.2022 at 12.30.</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil) / Member (A)</b></p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.11.2022</p> <p><b>C.A.No.14 of 2022 in O.A.No.343 of 2021</b></p> <p>S.G. Rajput &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 29.11.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.11.2022</p> <p><b>C.A.No.39 of 2019 in O.A.No.216 of 2016</b></p> <p>A.P. Chavan .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Writ Petition No.7879/2019 is pending before the Hon'ble High Court and the Hon'ble High Court has directed not to proceed further with the present C.A.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 03.01.2023</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 15.11.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.730 of 2018</b></p> <p>B.I. Fulari .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter was called out for Final Hearing applicant was not present. This being High Court remanded matter, adjourned to tomorrow.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 17.11.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 15.11.2022**

**O.A.No.1204 of 2016**

Y.R. Angle & Ors. ....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadckar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant seeks post of Sectional Engineer appointing and challenging disqualification which is communicated to him by order dated 23.02.2016 by District Officer, P.W.D. Mantralaya, Mumbai.

3. Learned Advocate has prayed that he be given the status of so after 7 years and not after 10 years. However, it is the policy of the State that the Surveyor Course which is completed by the Applicant from ITI, Aundh, Pune is not equivalent to the qualification of Diploma Civil Engineer and therefore he cannot be given status of Sectional Officer after 7 years, but his case can be considered after 10 years. It is mentioned that the said Industrial Training Institute, Aundh, Pune is not excluded in the recognized institutes where such courses are to be done and therefore his case was rejected for giving him statues of Sectional Officer after 7 years. He further prays that the Respondent State is to be directed to forthwith implement the decision dated 09.12.2013 rendered by the Maharashtra State Technical Education Council at Mumbai where the Surveyor Course done in I.T.I. Aundh is held as equivalent to Diploma Civil Engineer.

4. Adjourned to 17.11.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.135 of 2020</u></p> <p>Jyoti R. Choudhari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant is present and informs that she has prayed the Women's Commissions and the Commission will take some time to take steps. She requests for adjournment till 15.3.2023.</p> <p>3. Registry to take note that the name of Ld. Advocate Shri M.D. Lonkar as Amicus Curiae may be removed as he is discharged from the above matter.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.3.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.11.2022 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 15.11.2022</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1115 of 2018</u></p> <p>M.S. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate submits that OA No.168/2018 be tagged along with the present OA.</p> <p>3. OA No.168/2018 is tagged with OA No.1115/2018.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.11.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>15.11.2022</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>15.11.2022</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>