

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="751 599 911 628"><u>15.10.2019</u></p> <p data-bbox="1029 694 1227 723"><u>O.A 190/2019</u></p> <p data-bbox="751 765 1386 798">Smt S.S Mohare (Khamkar) ... Applicant</p> <p data-bbox="938 802 980 831">Vs.</p> <p data-bbox="751 827 1430 864">The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol data-bbox="751 937 1507 1313" style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Smt PUnam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.2. Enough time has been granted to Respondent no. 1, but no reply is forthcoming.3. Admit. Respondent is at liberty to file reply. To come up for final hearing in due course. <p data-bbox="846 1433 906 1466">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="764 1506 976 1572">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p data-bbox="1333 1446 1393 1479">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1208 1513 1458 1579">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p data-bbox="737 1579 776 1601">Akn</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.10.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.563 of 2019 in O.A.No.1022 of 2019</p> <p>A.S. Sonawane & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A. the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. M.A. is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> [^] Sd/- ^v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> [^] Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

Date : 15.10.2019

O.A.No.1022 of 2019

A.S. Sonawane & Ors. Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 19.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 15.10.2019. Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p>O.A.No.906 of 2019</p> <p>S. S. MagdumApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 has filed reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 05.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">N 15 Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 15.10.2019.
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.910 of 2019</p> <p>S. P. DhavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti GAikwad , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Present O.A. is filed challenging the impugned transfer order dated 10.08.2018 whereby the Applicant was simply informed that he was already relieved from the post of Surveyor, and therefore, he cannot be allowed to join again on the same post. Indeed, the Applicant had made representation on 18.10.2017 stating that he is exercising lien for joining his earlier post in the light of Rule 20 to 26 of Maharashtra Civil Services (General Conditions of Services) Rules, 1981. However in impugned order, there is no reference of lien claimed by the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks four weeks time to take instructions about lien claimed by the Applicant and to file reply, if necessary.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)**

vsm

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.521 of 2019</p> <p>G. K. GaikwadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v' (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.927 of 2019</p> <p>R. P. MoreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1. The Respondent No.2 is served but not present.</p> <p>3. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 05.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1001 of 2019</p> <p>A. S. A. Raheman ShaikhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the impugned transfer order dated 16.09.2019 whereby she was transferred from the post of Staff Nurse, Rural Hospital, Natepute, Dist. Solapur to District Rural Hospital, Pune on her request. She seems to have requested for Pune on the ground that her son that time was serving in IT Sector at Pune. However, by the time transfer orders were issued, her son shifted to Palghar. Therefore, the Applicant made representation to continue her at Natepute for time being.</p> <p>3. Perusal of transfer order reveals that the Respondent No.4 is also transferred at Rural Hospital, Natepute. However, learned P.O. on instructions from Shri Raghunath Marane, Assistant Superintendent, Deputy Director Health Services, Pune fairly states that she is accommodated and posted at same place in view of the vacancy. As such, if the Applicant is continued at Rural Hospital, Natepute, there will be no hurdle for joining for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>4. In view of above, learned P.O. fairly submits that she can be kept continued at Natepute for the time being and also submits that the matter be disposed of with suitable direction for consideration of representation made by the Applicant.</p> <p>5. In view of above, Respondent No.1 is directed to continue the Applicant at Rural Hospital, Natepute till the decision on her representation and shall decide the representation made by the Applicant for continuation at Natepute as she will be due for transfer in next General Transfers of May, 2020 and shall pass appropriate order about her continuation or otherwise, as the case may be. The representation of the Applicant be decided within two months from today. In case, the Applicant is not satisfied with the decision on her representation, she may avail further legal remedy in accordance to law.</p> <p>6. Original Application is accordingly disposed of with no order to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.10.2019.

~~MA.No.566/2019~~ O.A.No.896 of 2019

S. P. Bidarkar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V. S. Undre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In view of the order passed in M.A.No.566/2019, O.A. No.896/2019 is restored to the file.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.11.2019.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
9. S.O. to 19.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corom, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.10.2019.</p> <p>M.A. No.566 of 2019 in O.A.No.896 of 2019</p> <p>S. P. BidarkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. S. Undre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M. A. is filed to restore O.A. No.896/2019 which was dismissed in default for non compliance of the condition order for collection of notices within three days and to file affidavit of service accordingly.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that due to oversight, he could not collect the notices. He requested to restore the O.A.</p> <p>4. In view of above, M.A.No.566/2019 is allowed and O.A.No.896/2016 is restored to file.</p> <p>5. M.A.No.566 of 2019 is accordingly disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="760 559 992 592">Date : 15.10.2019.</p> <p data-bbox="1008 625 1279 659">O.A.No.1000 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="760 687 1414 721">G. M. KambleApplicant</p> <p data-bbox="760 725 846 758">Versus</p> <p data-bbox="760 763 1442 796">The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol data-bbox="760 836 1516 2001" style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="760 836 1516 957">1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.<li data-bbox="760 968 1516 1112">2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the impugned transfer order dated 18.09.2019 whereby he was transferred to Mumbai.<li data-bbox="760 1134 1516 1776">3. The Applicant had completed more than six years tenure at the present post, and therefore, he had given option for Pune. The Civil Service Board had also recommended his transfer to Pune. However, when the file was placed before the Hon'ble Cabinet Minister, he changed the place of posting of Applicant from Pune to Mumbai and in his place, posted Respondent No.2 at Pune though his name did not figure before the CSB. As such, apparently only to accommodate the Respondent No.2 who has completed hardly one year at the present post, the Applicant was denied posting as per his choice in terms of Circular dated 09.04.2018.<li data-bbox="760 1798 1516 2001">4. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicant as well as learned P.O. fairly submits that the Applicant as well as Respondent No.2 are not relieved and are working on their prior post.

5. In view of above, prima-facie, impugned transfer order is not in consonance with the provisions of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Act 2005).

6. For the aforesaid reasons, interim relief is granted in terms of Prayer Clause 10(a) of O.A..

7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.11.2019.

8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

13. S.O. to 19.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 134/2019</u></p> <p>Shri V.L Kardile ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 26.11.2019 for filing replying by way of last chance.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appaarence, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 221/2019</u></p> <p>Shri N.S More ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files reply on behalf of Respondent no. 1. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks four weeks' time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 13.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others
..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>15.10.2019</p> <p>O.A 265/2019, Mumbai O.A 472/2017 (Nagpur)</p> <p>Shri D.M Mandavgade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant. Heard Smt K S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned CPO submits that reply has already been filed. O.A 610/2017 has already been decided on 19.11.2018.</p> <p>3. The present O.A has been transferred from Nagpur Bench on 8.3.2019 on the request of the applicant. However, as mentioned above, the O.A 610/2017 has already been decided prior to the same on 19.11.2018.</p> <p>4. The applicant as well as his advocate are absent. Hence the O.A is dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/-  (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.10.2019</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 295, 296, 297 & 298/2019</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Lad (O.A 295/2019) R.S Shingre (O.A 296/2019) A.V Tone (O.A 297/2019) N.B Mulla (O.A 298/2019) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant files rejoinder. He states that he would do pagination and submit the same during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admit. Kept for final hearing in due course.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p><small>Akn</small></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 545/2019</u></p> <p>Shri W.H Suryawanshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files reply on behalf of Respondents no. 1. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for the applicant request for time.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 13.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/-  (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 558/2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.S Pereira ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files reply on behalf of Respondents no 1 & 2. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 13.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- <u> </u> (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others
..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 574/2019</u></p> <p>Shri B.P Nagamwar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply as instructions are still awaited.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 5.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p><small>Akn</small></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>15.10.2019</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 685/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Gaikwad, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 13.11.2019.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p><small>Akn</small></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others
..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>15.10.2019</p> <p><u>O.A 807 with 939/2019</u></p> <p>Shri A.M Samindar Shri G.S Sable ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that no instructions have been received.</p> <p>3. As no instructions have been received, the matters would further proceed without reply from the Respondents.</p> <p>4. Admit. Kept for final hearing in due course with liberty to the Respondents to file reply if necessary.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>15.10.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 754/2019</u></p> <p>Shri V.V Sawant & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks two weeks' time to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 5.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.10.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.412 of 2019 in O.A.No.789 of 2017</p> <p>S.K. Pawar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 and 3. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 21.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="690 358 886 387">Date : 15.10.2019</p> <p data-bbox="902 409 1122 437">O.A.No.911 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="690 471 1276 561"> Gagade S.T. & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents </p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="690 601 1333 705">1. Heard Shri C.V. Bharurkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents. <li data-bbox="690 738 1333 802">2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.11.2019. <li data-bbox="690 836 1333 940">3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. <li data-bbox="690 973 1333 1187">4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. <li data-bbox="690 1221 1333 1360">5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. <li data-bbox="690 1393 1333 1572">6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. <li data-bbox="690 1605 1333 1785">7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. <li data-bbox="690 1818 972 1847">8. S.O. to 21.11.2019. <p data-bbox="829 1884 889 1917">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="768 1950 938 2012">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p data-bbox="1154 1884 1214 1917">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1092 1950 1256 2012">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p data-bbox="690 2015 721 2041">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.10.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.499 of 2019 in O.A.No.911 of 2019</p> <p>Gagade S.T. & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.V. Bharurkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A. the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. M.A. is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.10.2019

C.A.No.51 of 2014 in O.A.No.465 of 2008

The Tracers Association Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

2. This is the old case of 2008 and Contempt Application was filed in 2014 by the Association.

3. Today during hearing learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent (Secretary, Agriculture) along with affidavit it also encloses list which mentions that out of 1003 members of the Association compliance has been made in respect of 970 persons. However, in respect of 33 persons arrears are yet to be paid which could not be done because of the inadequate documents as pointed out by the Treasury.

4. Applicants are directed to furnish the necessary documents to the Respondents so that the matter can be expedited.

5. If the Applicants do not furnish necessary details by the next date, C.A. would be disposed off.

6. Adjourned to 13.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.10.2019

C.A.No.44 of 2019 in O.A.No.1134 of 2016

B.R. Nalawade & Ors. Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Contempt Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 13.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Oixit)
Vice-Chairman

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1019 of 2019</p> <p>G.S. Kumawat Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.11.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 19.11.2019. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.10.2019

O.A.No.983 of 2019

J.W. Patil Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 27.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Oixit)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.10.2019</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1017 of 2019</p> <p>S.M. Jawale Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant has been superseded by the person who is junior to him and therefore the same needs to be rectified.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that the Department has considered his prayer and is already in the process of issuing necessary rectification order. However, she pleads that the matter may be adjourned by four weeks to provide adequate opportunity to the Respondents.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, adjourned to 19.11.2019.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p>

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.10.2019</p> <p>C.A.No.48 of 2019 in O.A.No.885 of 2018</p> <p>A.G. Medhekar Applicant Versus Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.11.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent's intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Contempt Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 19.11.2019. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.44 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.K. Ambhore ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant and Ld. CPO adjourned to 11.11.2019.</p> <p>3. Meanwhile Ld. Advocate mentions that he would seek instructions from the applicant whether applicant has approached AG, Nagpur who is expected to pass orders regarding payment of his gratuity. Ld. Advocate submits that remaining retirement benefits have been paid to the applicant. He is still awaiting payment of 1/3rd commuted pension which is about to be approved.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO submits that she would find out from respondent no.1 Principal Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department the present position regarding payment of gratuity.</p> <p>5. Hamdast granted.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.729 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.V. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that no instructions have been received. She mentions that she would follow it up and seeks three weeks for filing reply.</p> <p>3. At her request adjourned to 11.11.2019 by way of last chance for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.630 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.M. Gavhane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that reply would be filed by 4.11.2019.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.11.2019 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- }i- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.1 of 2019 in O.A. No.2 of 2019 with M.A. No.20 of 2019 in O.A. No.54 of 2019</u></p> <p>R.S. Bhagwat G.A. Tilekar ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO tenders a communication dated 21.8.2019 from respondent no.1. The same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. She mentions that copy of the same will be provided to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he would like to go through the same and make submissions on 11.11.2019.</p> <p>4. At his request adjourned to 11.11.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.988 of 2019</u></p> <p>V.Y. Mokashi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 4.11.2019 for bring additional facts on record.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- P (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

I N


Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="1013 555 1300 592" style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1082 of 2018</u></p> <p data-bbox="769 628 1495 665">P.D. Salunkhe & Ors. ..Applicants</p> <p data-bbox="862 672 906 703" style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p data-bbox="769 703 1523 741">The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p data-bbox="769 769 1555 887">Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="769 915 1555 997">2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for applicants adjourned to 19.11.2019 for final hearing.</p> <p data-bbox="1187 1041 1442 1203" style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.10.2019</p> <p data-bbox="781 1218 841 1256">(sgj)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA.552/19 in MA.553/19 in OA1082/18</u></p> <p>P.D. Salunkhe & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is for condoning delay of 13 days in filing MA No.553 of 2019 for bringing legal heirs on record as the original applicant expired on 24.8.2019.</p> <p>3. For the reasons stated therein, MA No.552/2019 is allowed and the delay is condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.10.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>