Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 15.10.2016.

O.A.No.89 of 2015

Miss Vrushali M. Sawant

...Applicant

Vs

The State of Mah. & Ors.

...Respondents

- 1. This disposed of O.A. is placed before us for speaking to minutes in relation to the date which in paragraph no.3 of the final order dated 29.9.2016 has been mentioned as 9th October, 2006 (last line paragraph no.3, page no.5).
- 2.. We have heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. With their assistants we have perused the record and we found that the fact that the Applicant first joined duties on 25.10.2005 is really not in dispute and therefore that correction is required to be made in paragraph no.3 of the final order in the said O.A.
- 3. It is therefore directed that the date 9th October, 2006 in paragraph no.3 internal page no.5 of the order dated 29.9.2016 is substituted by the date 25.10.2005.
- 4. In case the certified copy is issued, parties are directed to surrender the same. The office shall furnish corrected copy to them without any extra cost.

Sd/- Sd/
(R.B. Malik) (Rajil Agarwal)

Member (J) Vice-Chairman

DATE: 15/10/16

Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL

(Vice - Chairman)

Hen'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shris - B. A. Boundingadol

Advocate for the Applicant

Shrish Me. N.a. Golac

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

ourden passed le

The Lei locence solven

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

(Advocate	Original Application No. of	20 DISTRICT	
The State of Maharashtra and others """ Respondent/s Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders Date: 15.10.2016. M.A.No.409 of 2016 in O.A.No.742 of 2016 Shri Sachin Sadashiv Chavan Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ""Respondents" 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the			Applicant/s
The State of Maharashtra and others Respondent/s (Presenting Officer	(Advocate)	
The State of Maharashtra and others Respondent/s (Presenting Officer			
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders Date: 15.10.2016. M.A.No.409 of 2016 in O.A.No.742 of 2016 Shri Sachin Sadashiv Chavan Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. Respondents 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the			
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders Date: 15.10.2016. M.A.No.409 of 2016 in O.A.No.742 of 2016 Shri Sachin Sadashiv Chavan Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the	The State o	f Maharashtra and others	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date: 15.10.2016. M.A.No.409 of 2016 in O.A.No.742 of 2016 Shri Sachin Sadashiv Chavan Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. Respondents 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the			Respondent/s
Appearance, Tribunal's orders Tribunal's orders Date: 15.10.2016. M.A.No.409 of 2016 in O.A.No.742 of 2016 Shri Sachin Sadashiv ChavanApplicant Vs. The State of Mah. & OrsRespondents 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the	(Presenting Officer	(
Appearance, Tribunal's orders Tribunal's orders Date: 15.10.2016. M.A.No.409 of 2016 in O.A.No.742 of 2016 Shri Sachin Sadashiv ChavanApplicant Vs. The State of Mah. & OrsRespondents 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the	Office Notes Office Memoranda of Comm		
Date: 15.10.2016. M.A.No.409 of 2016 in O.A.No.742 of 2016 Shri Sachin Sadashiv ChavanApplicant Vs. The State of Mah. & OrsRespondents 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the	Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders	
M.A.No.409 of 2016 in O.A.No.742 of 2016 Shri Sachin Sadashiv ChavanApplicant Vs. The State of Mah. & OrsRespondents 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the	directions and Registrar's orders		
M.A.No.409 of 2016 in O.A.No.742 of 2016 Shri Sachin Sadashiv ChavanApplicant Vs. The State of Mah. & OrsRespondents 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the		Date: 15.10.2016.	
Shri Sachin Sadashiv ChavanApplicant Vs. The State of Mah. & OrsRespondents 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the			No. 742 of 2016
Vs. The State of Mah. & OrsRespondents 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the		M.A.No.409 01 2016 In O.A.P	40.742 OF 2016
The State of Mah. & OrsRespondents 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the		Shri Sachin Sadashiv Chavan	Applicant
1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the			Posnondants*
Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the		The state of Man. & Ors.	respondents
그 이번 그 경우 옷에 가능하는 상에 대한 학생들이 하를 즐겁게 되었어야 하지 않았다. 꽃이 선수를 받았다고 하고 있다. 네트워크		1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadeka	r, the learned
learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J	. Chougule, the
		learned Presenting Officer for the Respo	ondents.
2. This is an application in effect seeking expedite		2. This is an application in effect se	eeking expedite
hearing of the O.A.		hearing of the O.A.	
BATE: 15 10 16 3. It is not necessary to make any detailed	DATE: 15 10 16	3. It is not necessary to make	any detailed
CORAM: discussion of the matter and regard being had to the	CORAM:		eing had to the
Hon'bic Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) facts such as we expedited the hearing of this O.A. and		facts such as we expedited the hearing	of this O.A. and
Hen'ble Stei R. B. MALIE (Member) J appointed for final hearing on 21.11.2016.		appointed for final hearing on 21.11.201	16.
Shrix B.A. Bandicadokan		2	
Advocate in the Application is disposed of its these	Advocate for the Applicant		of in these
Shrips A. J. chocegcall directions with no order as to costs	Shrips A. J. chocegcoll		<u>)</u>
C.P.O.F. for the Respondents		directions with no order as to costs.	
M.A. ces desposed -	M.A. ces desposed	~	
Sd/- Sd/-		Sd/-	Sd/-
D. A. Adı J. (R.B. Malik) (Rajiv Agarwal)	D. A. Adl I will	(R.B. Malik) (Rajiv	Agarwal)
Member (J) Vice-Chairman	7. 70 2111116.	Member (J) Vice-0	hairman
lived ,	Cornel		

The State of Maharashtra and others

×	Res	pondent/s	

Presenting Officer	 	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corum, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 15.10.2016

O.A. No. 904 of 2016 (D.B.)

Subhash B. Patekar

.... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

15/10/16 Coraro - Hon. stri R.B.Malik (Mb) Adv. B. A. Bandi Wedder for the CPP. Sut. S. Syngwarshi, p.o. for the Response. S. o. to 26/10/16. 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. I have perused the copy of the Q.A. furnished by the learned Advocate for the applicant. For the present in as much as the matter is placed before the Hon'ble High Court on 24.10.2016. This O.A. is adjourned to 26.10.2016 and the respondents must file their reply on that date.

SE

3. S.O. to 26.10.2016.

Sd/-

16

(R.B. Malik) Member(J)

VSM

stritsme: Anchorus.

C.P.OTP.O. for the Respondents

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

N IIII W	IUMBAI
Original Application No. of	20 DISTRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate	
	versus
The State o	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>15.10.2016</u>
	O.A No 1002/2016
	Smt S.A Chavan Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri R.K Mendadkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2 Issue notice before admission made returnable on 27.10.2016.
	3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
DATE: 15/10/16 CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hen'ble Shri.R. B. MALIK (Member)	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
Shri/Smt - R. K. Mendadkas	5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rul 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribuna (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such a

(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions suc limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O 27.10.2016. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman

DATE 15/10/16

SOTION SHIP R.B. MACIK (MD)

AND THE PROPERTY OF THE RESPONDENT'S

Add to 2/11/20/6

HE.

Date: 15.10.2016

O.A. No. 1001 of 2016

Vinod B. Kulkarni

.... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- 1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. As of today, no interim relief is being granted with regard to prayer clause B' and it is also clarified that henceforth whatever steps are taken in the matter of the subject appointment to the post of Driver at Nashik will be subject to the final outcome or interim order made in future on this O.A. There is no interim order except this observations. All issues including that of territorial transfers are left open.
 - Issue notice returnable on 21.11.2016.
 - 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
 - 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
 - 6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.
 - 7. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
 - 8. S.O. to 21st November, 2016. The learned C.P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-