

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.09.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 839/2022</u></p> <p>Shri L.B. Shaikh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks directions to Respondents no 1 to 6 to give promotion of Assistant Police Sub-Inspector as per the deemed date of promotion and all consequential service benefits.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.10.2022.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</li> <li>9. S.O 13.10.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>15.09.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 864/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri Vikas H. Rajnalwar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that the impugned order of promotion dated 24.6.2022 be quashed and set aside the Respondent no.1 to consider the name of the applicant and publish a fresh promotion order for the post of Dy. Superintendent, Central Jail /Superintendent, District Jail, Class-I.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.10.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>9. S.O 13.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akt</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>15.09.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 903/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri Ramiz J Shaikh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar holding for Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 1.3.2019 issued by Respondent no. 2 and order dated 25.5.2022 issued by Respondent no. 1 and after examining its legality and validity be pleased to hold and declare that the orders are illegal and the same be quashed and set aside.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.10.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption <b>'for Dismissal'</b> and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>9. S.O 13.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha/Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>15.09.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 871/2022</u></b></p> <p>Smt V.T Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that the departmental enquiry initiated by Respondent no. 1, pursuant to the memorandum charge dated 4.2.2019 be stayed.</p> <p>3. The cause of action arose on 4.2.2019 and the Original Application is filed on 29.8.2022. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the notice for recording of evidence was issued and the applicant is directed to remain present before the Enquiry Officer on 16.9.2022. Learned counsel further submitted that if the applicant is required to disclose her evidence, then she will be prejudiced in the criminal trial as they are the same witnesses.</p> <p>4. We are of the view that it is a artificial urgency created as the cause of action arose in the year 2019. Moreover, the applicant being a Government servant, is fully aware of the consequence of the D.E and how the D.E proceeds.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, we find no urgency in the matter and the same is adjourned to 13.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.917 OF 2022**

Shri Nilesh Maharu Rathod,

).. Applicant

**Versus**

State of Maharashtra & Anr.

) ..Respondents

**Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant.**

**Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.**

**CORAM : A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER(J)**

**DATE : 15.09.2022.**

**ORDER**

- 1) Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
- 2) Indeed, this is 2<sup>nd</sup> round of litigation seeking direction to release retrial benefits i.e. gratuity and regular pension. The Applicant stands retired on 30.04.2021 but his retiral benefits were withheld because of D.E. which was initiated in 2011 for the incident of 2004 but it was kept in cold storage though the Applicant stands retired in 2021. Therefore, he initially had filed O.A. No.705/2021 for direction to release gratuity and retirement benefits.
- 3) O.A. No.705/2021 was disposed of by this Tribunal by order dated 28.09.2021 by giving direction to Respondents to complete D.E. including passing final order within three months from the date of order and further direction were given to release provisional pension, GIS and GPF which was not required to be withheld on pendency of D.E.

*ink*

4) Regret to note that despite specific direction given by the Tribunal in order dated 28.09.2021 there is no compliance though period of near about one year is over. D.E. was to be completed within three months from date of order dated 28.09.2021 but still pending. The Tribunal has come across several such cases where retirement benefits are withheld due to pendency of D.E. for inordinate delay and despite several orders passed by the Tribunal the Departments are not taking remedial measures and Government servant are again constrained to approach the Tribunal.

5) True, the Applicant ought to have filed contempt proceeding against the Respondents in view of non compliance of the order dated 28.09.2021 passed in O.A. No.705/2021 but that would hardly be enough since claim of Gratuity and Pension would be delayed. In this fresh O.A. the Applicant has again claimed it on the ground of non-compliance of the order. The Claim of the Applicant for releasing Gratuity in view of inordinate delay of more than 10 years in deciding D.E. deserves to be considered by this Tribunal in the present O.A.

6) The Tribunal is required to take note of the disobedience of the order passed by the Tribunal seriously. Hence, issue notice to the Respondents as to why Suo Motu Contempt proceeding should not be initiated and referred to the appropriate Bench for contempt of the order passed by the Tribunal. Besides, notice be issued as to why Gratuity should not be paid immediately when there is delay of more than 10 years for completion of D.E.

7) The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

8) Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.09.2022.

9) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11) By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12) In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

13) S.O. to 29.09.2022.

14) Learned P.O., Smt. Archana B.K. tried to contend that instead of filing O.A. the Applicant ought to have been filed Contempt proceeding. This approach of the learned P.O. as well as the Government reflect total insensitive and disregard to the order passed by the Tribunal. Instead of making such submissions learned P.O. ought to have instructed the Department to mend their ways and to obey orders of the Tribunal. Such kind of submission is totally unacceptable and hereby rejected.

*Handwritten signature*

15) I/C. Registrar is directed to forward the copy of this order to the Chief Secretary, State of Maharashtra as well as to the office of C.P.O.

Sd/-

**(A.P. KURHEKAR)**  
**MEMBER (J)**

Place: Mumbai.

Date: 15.09.2022.

Dictation taken by: N.M. Naik.



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 15.09.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.919 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>P.N. Thakre</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Special Counsel for Respondent No.2 and Shri Rushikesh Sable, learned Advocate holding for Shri Kunal Damale, learned Advocate for Respondent - MIDC.</p> <p>2. The Applicant was initially appointed on the deputation in MIDC for one year and later the period was extended from time to time, lastly his deputation period was extended by order dated 26.10.2020 upto 19.09.2021 issued by Respondent No.2 which is parent Department of Respondent No.3. Thereafter, Respondent No.3 sent proposal dated 09.09.2021 to Respondent No.2 recommending extension of deputation period for one year i.e. upto 19.09.2022. No specific order either way is passed on the recommendation for extension of deputation but the Applicant continued working on deputation with MIDC. By order dated 29.07.2022 the Applicant was posted as Regional Officer, MIDC, Pune. Accordingly, he joined there.</p> <p>3. However, abruptly Respondent No.2 by order dated 08.09.2022 repatriated service of the Applicant to his parent Department and instantly relieved the Applicant which is challenged in this O.A.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. The learned Advocate for the parties fairly concedes that though there is no extension order on the proposal dated 09.09.2021, the Applicant continued on deputation at Pune. As per the proposal, one year proposed extended period would come to an end on 19.09.2022 which is 4 days away from today.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant fairly concedes that his client would hand over the charge on 19.09.2022 and would report for duties to his parent Department i.e. Respondent No.2. He therefore submits, he be allowed to continue on deputation upto 19.09.2022 and to dispose of O.A.</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.2, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar opposed the submission advanced by learned Advocate for the Applicant stating that he has no such instruction from the Department for continuing the extension upto 19.09.2022.</p> <p>7. Since, the proposed extended period would come to an end after 4 days i.e. on 19.09.2022 in such facts and circumstances, it would be appropriate to allow the Applicant to work on deputation till 19.09.2022 only, and his deputation could come to an end on that day as fairly conceded by the learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>8. In view of above, this O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondents to allow the Applicant to resume deputation and to continue him till 19.09.2022.</p> <p>9. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.09.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.914 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>Dr. K.D. Dayal</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant is Medical Officer and filed the present O.A. for direction to the Respondent to release increment not paid from 2003 without any valid reasons. He made representation but not responded. He is due to retire in next year, and therefore file this O.A.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.10.2022.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 11.10.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 15.09.2022

M.A. No.475 of 2022 in O.A. No.786 of 2022 with  
M.A. No.476 of 2022 in O.A. No.787 of 2022 with  
M.A. No.477 of 2022 in O.A. No.788 of 2022

T.D. Pednekar

K.D. Garud

A.K. Patil

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V.R. Gawas, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. These M.As are filed to condone delay caused in filing O.A. There is a huge delay.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.10.2022.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 11.10.2022.

^  
Sd/-

v'  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 15.09.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.863 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>N.N. Kulkarni</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri N.N. Kulkarni, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 25.07.2022 passed by Government thereby adjusting absence period into commuted leave and earned leave for the period from 19.07.2018 to 22.05.2019. Indeed, the Applicant has already filed O.A. No.326/2022 which is on today's board for claiming full pay and allowance of the said period. It is during the pendency of this O.A. No.326/2022 the Government has passed the order dated 25.07.2022 which is now challenged in this fresh O.A.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.10.2022.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> </ol> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 13.10.2022.</p> <p>10. This O.A. to be kept along with O.A. No.326/2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.326 of 2022</p> <p>N.N. Kulkarni .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.N. Kulkarni, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request on learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A.437/2022 in O.A.727/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri S.R. Shaikh Munir</b>                      ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b>                      ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-   <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>15.09.2022</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>15.09.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 338/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri C.S Bharude ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.S Bharude, applicant present in person and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is informed that he may engage Counsel of his choice as the matter is fixed on 17.11.2022</p> <p>3. S.O to 17.11.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 15.09.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.483 of 2022</b></p> <p>M.G. Prajapat .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.7.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 19.09.2022 at 10.30 a.m.. Interim relief to be continued till next date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/- </span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member(A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

Date : 15.09.2022

**O.A.No.346 of 2017**

V.B. Pawar

.....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Last chance is given to learned Advocate to argue the matter. If at all he does not argue on the next date, O.A. will be dismissed.

3. Adjourned to 29.09.2022.

Sd/-

Sd/- 

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate:.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>15.09.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 752/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri N.R Garje ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On 12.9.2022 we made a query as under:- "When the last order of regular promotion of the officers from the cadre of Tahsildar was issued.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit</p> <p>4. S.O to 20.9.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 15.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.210 of 2022</b></p> <p>D.T. Devkar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Chintamani Bhangoji, learned Advocate i/b. Mr. Hamid D. Mulla, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate seeks time to cite judgments on the point that if there is no post available, still a person can be accommodated.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Member(A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 15.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.402 of 2022 in O.A.No.662 of 2022 with O.A.No.662 of 2022</b></p> <p>T.B. Gharbudve .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Ganesh Masorkar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he wants to withdraw the O.A. as his representation has been decided by the Hon'ble Governor.</p> <p>3. In view of above, M.A. and O.A stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 15.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1048 of 2015</b> <b>(From the Group of Janaki Raman)</b></p> <p>S.C. Gadade .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that he wants time to cite some judgments and make submissions.</p> <p>3. Matter is part heard. In view that time is sought by learned Advocate matter is fixed tomorrow. However, it is not possible for him to make submissions tomorrow, hence, at the request of learned Advocate O.A. is adjourned to 19.09.2022 at 10.30 a.m.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.09.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.296 of 2022 in O.A. No.470 of 2022</p> <p>C.D. Anbhule, .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. Enough time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</li> <li>4. M.A. be kept for hearing without Reply.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 06.10.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.09.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.450 of 2022 in R.A. No.14 of 2022 in O.A. No.221 of 2020</p> <p>S.V. More .....Applicant Versus .....Respondents. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri L.K. Kalel, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This M.A. is filed to condone delay of 65 days caused in filing R.A.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.10.2022.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 11.10.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.566 of 2022</p> <p>S.A. More .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.621 of 2022 with O.A. No.622 of 2022</p> <p>M.S. Salunke S.D. Jagdale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryavanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer along with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- vv' (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.Nos.911 to 913/2022**

Smt. F.V. R. Mukadam

Shri N.V. Gavali.

Shri A.S. More.

... Applicants

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

... Respondents

**SPEAKING TO MINUTES**

1. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for Respondent No.1, Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Special Counsel for Respondent No.2 and Shri Kunal Kamble, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.

2. Today the matter is taken up for Speaking to Minutes to correct some typographical mistakes in the order passed yesterday i.e.14.09.2022 in this matter.

3. In all these O.As, the challenge was to the impugned order dated 08.09.2022, but the date is wrongly typed as 08.05.2022 in Para Nos. 1 and 24 of the Order. It needs to be corrected as 08.09.2022.

4. Similarly in Para No.4, in 2<sup>nd</sup> line, it is stated that Applicant is deputed for the period of one year by order dated 23.01.2020 as Deputy Chief Executive Officer and it should be 'Regional Officer' in place of 'Deputy Chief Executive Officer', since admittedly, Applicant in O.A.No.912/2022 was appointed as Regional Officer. Hence, the word 'Deputy Chief Executive Officer' in line No.2 of Para No.4 be corrected as 'Regional Officer'.

5. These are being inadvertent typographical mistakes, needs to be corrected and it be corrected accordingly.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member-J

15.09.2022

(skw)


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1227 of 2019</p> <p>H.S. Khude .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. submits that matter is being handled by P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule but he is in personal difficulty to attend the matter today.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (M.A. Lovekar)            Member (J)         </p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.795 of 2018</p> <p>V.N. Ghatge .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant is present in person.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is also present.</p> <p>3. The Applicant sought for adjournment stating that his Advocate is unwell and requested for short time.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            vv            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member (J)         </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.654 of 2022</p> <p>V.G. Gurav .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.664 of 2022</p> <p>S.A. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and requested for two days time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.680 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Pawar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and requested for two days time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.749 of 2022 with O.A. No.750 of 2022 with O.A. No.751 of 2022</p> <p>K.S. Jaware T.A. Jadhav A.N. Ghodke .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.766 of 2022</p> <p>A.B. Nimbalkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 15.09.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.768 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>K.L. Vartak</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 4. It is taken on record.</li> <li>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 13.10.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">           Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member (J)         </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.824 of 2022</p> <p>R.K. Gaikwad .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>