

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 15.07.2024

O.A. No.1329 of 2023 to O.A. No. 1332 of 2023

**R.P. Jadhav,
D.B. Choudhari,
B.S. Tachale,
V.A. Kale** Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad & Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicants reiterates that in spite of repeated directions given to withdraw (i) S.D.O. Pune, District Pune Memorandum dated 13.09.2023 & 01.01.2023 and (ii) 'Tahsildar Shirur, District Pune' Order dated 01.10.2023; no specific action has been taken to cancel these even although appropriate orders had been given by 'District Collector, Pune' on 23.02.2024 by which all Applicants have been permitted to join back and continue on their earlier posts of 'Talathi' in establishment of 'Tahsildar Shirur, District Pune'.

3. The grievances of Applicants undoubtedly stand redressed by 'District Collector, Pune'; as per communication received by PO on 29.02.2024.

4. The 'District Collector, Pune' should have also revoked on 21.12.2023 itself impugned (i) 'S.D.O. Pune, District Pune' 'Memorandum' dated 13.09.2023 & 01.01.2023 (ii) 'Tahsildar Shirur, District Pune' Order dated 01.10.2023. Therefore impugned (i) 'S.D.O. Pune, District Pune' 'Memorandum' dated 13.09.2023 & 01.01.2023 (ii) 'Tahsildar Shirur, District Pune' Order dated 01.10.2023 are formally quashed and set aside. Further, as nothing survives now in O.A. No. 1329/2023 to O.A. No. 1332/2023; they are disposed off. No Order as to Cost.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.253 of 2024 with O.A. No. 254 of 2024 with O.A. No. 255 of 2024 with O.A. No. 281 of 2024 with O.A. No. 356 of 2024 to O. A. No. 358 of 2024</p> <p>A.R. Jadhav Dr. S.B.A. Mokashi A.R. Waghmare K.P. Mane A.M. Kumbhar LG. Gawade M.S. MadguikarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri S.S. Thorat, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 4 is not present in O.A. No. 281/2024.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that in respect of O.A. No.281/2024, that as post to which Applicant had been transferred on 23.02.2024 by 'Rural Development Department' which is 'Deputy C.E.O. (EGS) in office of 'Divisional Commissioner Pune' was not available and therefore Applicant could not join; it is now proposed to transfer Applicant to other available post for which proposal of 'Rural Development Department' has already been submitted to 'Competent Transferring Authority' for prior approval of 'Superior Transferring Authority' as per 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Registrar's orders

3. Learned P.O. then states that in respect of O.A. No.254/2024 they are filing 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder'. It is taken on record.
4. Learned P.O. further states that for 'Group of O.As.' relating to transfers of 'Dy.CEOs; BDO's & Assistant BDO's' which were done as per directions in 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2024'; the 'Rural Development Department' based on direction in 'Para 11' & 'Para 12' of Order dated 09.05.2024 in O.A. No.281/2021 has recently initiated consultations with (i) 'Principal Secretary' and 'Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' and (ii) 'Additional Chief Secretary, G.A.D (Services)'. Hence, it will take another 'Two Weeks' to decide about how to redress the grievances of Applicants in these 'Group of O.As.' which relate to transfers of 'Dy.CEOs; BDO's & Assistant BDO's'.
5. The 'Rural Development Department' it appears has selectively acted by not implementing directions in 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2024' uniformly across all '34 districts' resulting in transfer of only certain 'Dy.CEOs; BDO's & Assistant BDO's' particularly in districts like Satara and Kolhapur. Infact the transfer of Applicants who are from cadre of 'Dy.CEOs; BDO's & Assistant BDO's' were not necessitated as per directions in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2024' as transfer were to be effected only in respect of those 'Dy.CEOs; BDO's & Assistant BDO's' as mentioned in 'Para 5 who were directly deployed for election works by respective 'District Collectors and District Election Officers' during 'General Elections Lok Sabha: 2024'. Hence it is now for 'Rural Development Department' to consider how grievances of Applicants who are from cadre of 'Dy. C.E.O., BDO's. & Assistant B.D.O.' can redressed expeditiously during ensuing 'General Transfer: 2024'.
6. S.O. to 29.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.07.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1538 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO states that (a) Shri Sanjay Metrewar, 'Joint Transport Commissioner' (b) Shri Deepak Shinde, 'Assistant Transport Commissioner' are personally present on behalf of 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' as per directions in 'Para 4' of Order dated 27.06.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned PO based on information given by these 'Senior Officers' present on behalf of 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' mentions that those 'Assistant Inspectors Motor Vehicle' who were posted on 9 posts in Solapur and 2 posts at Akhuj during 'General Transfer 2023' by using the 'Computerized Transfer System' were placed much above Applicant in 'Seniority List', as well as entitled to higher 'Priority No.1' to 'Priority No.5' as per GAD GR dated 09.04.2018.</p> <p>4. Learned PO therefore to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' in 'One Week' to provide all details in respect of these 'Assistant Motor Vehicle Inspectors' who were transferred to 11 posts available in Solapur District during 'General Transfer:2023' by using 'Computerized Transfer System'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Learned PO is also informed that detailed observations recorded in 'Para 3' to 'Para 9' during initial hearing on 14.12.2023 about various aspects relating to use of 'Computerized Transfer System' are yet to be addressed by 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' for which time is granted by way of last chance of further 'Four Weeks'.

6. Learned Advocate for Applicant is informed that Applicant was posted to office of 'RTO Mumbai (West)' on 16.11.2023, but if he still intends to request for transfer to Solapur District then he should submit 'Fresh Representation' to 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' within 'Two Weeks' which may thereupon placed in next meeting of the 'CSB' which still continuous to function as per earlier procedures but limited only to requests for 'Mid Term' & 'Mid Tenure' as these cannot be handled by 'Computerized Transfer System' which will now be used only for 'General Transfers 2024' of 'Assistant Inspectors Motor Vehicle' who have completed 'Normal Tenure' of 3 Years as per 'Section 3' (1) of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'.

7. S.O. to 12.08.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.07.2024

O.A.No.683 of 2024

S. B. Nalge & Ors.Applicants

Versus


The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.


1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned Po seeks time to file reply.

3. We direct Applicants to file Affidavit stating that how many vacancies in the quota of promotees from the post of Accountant/ Auditor Grade-B to the post of Accountant/Auditor Grade-A is available.

4. S.O. to 23.07.2024


(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)


(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.07.2024

O. A. No. 520 of 2024

P. S. ThoolApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned PO submits that 'Final Selection List' and 'Final Waiting List' regarding police recruitment 2021 has been published on 23.05.2023. In the said 'Final Selection List', candidate from sport parallel reservation of scheduled caste category i.e. (1) Mr. Akash S. Patade (2) Kishor V. Waghmare have been disqualified in reverification by the office of 'Director of Sports and Youth Services, Pune.'

3. The learned PO further stated that after the disqualification, one of the candidate Shri Kishor V. Waghmare filed O.A.No.209/2024 before the M.A.T. Bench.

4. The learned PO further submits that as the candidate Shri Kishor V. Waghmare has filed O.A.209/2024 before the M.A.T. Bench, the Chairman of Police Recruitment Board considered the next candidate i.e present Applicant – Shri Pravin Thool who is in 'Waiting List' at Serial No.2 and he has been given appointment as two candidates were disqualified and one of the disqualified candidates Shri Kishor V. Waghmare has challenged the order of disqualification in O.A. No.209/2024.

5. The present Applicant Shri P. S. Thool has given appointment to post of 'Police Constable' subject to outcome of O.A. No.209/2024.

6. The learned Counsel for Applicant therefore submits that O.A. No.520/2024 be disposed of.

7. The O.A. No.520/2024 is thus disposed off. No Order as to Costs.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.07.2024

O.A.No.386 of 2024

Dr.V. H. Shetty & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere for Shri S. P. Pingle , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned Counsel for Applicant submits that since 10.08.2017 inquiry has not been completed. He submits that he has limited his prayer to the extent that enquiry is to be made time bound. He does not want to press other reliefs regarding quashing of enquiry.

3. In view of submission made by learned Counsel for Applicant, we direct Respondent – Public Health Department to forthwith take action to ensure completion of Departmental Enquiry against 7 Applicants in present OA No.386/2024 which is pending since 2017. The Enquiry Officer has to conduct hearing of Departmental Enquiry on day to day basis and all 7 Applicants are also directed to cooperate fully with Enquiry Officer.

4. In view of above, Original Application is disposed off with direction to Enquiry Officer to complete the Departmental Enquiry till 15.09.2024 and Disciplinary Authority to pass appropriate Order on or before 01.10.2024. The decision of the Disciplinary Authority as the case may be, shall be communicated to all 7 Applicant within Two Weeks.

5. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date: 15.07.2024 Tribunal's orders O.A. No.276 of 2024</p>
	<p>V.G. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant through 'Video-Conference', Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri D. B. Thoke, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.5 to 6 through 'Video-Conference'.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'P.E.B.-1' held on 11.07.2024 is yet to be approved by 'Competent Authority' under 'Section 22N' of Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'. Hence, it is not possible to disclose at this stage what appropriate decisions have been taken upon review of earlier decisions in respect of Applicant and Respondent No.6</p> <p>3. The 'Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department' is thus restrained from taking any further course of action till approved 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'P.E.B.-1' held on 11.07.2024 are produced on 19.07.2024.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 19.07.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 15.07.2024

O.A. No.697 of 2024

A.S. ShaikhApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.S. Shaikh, Applicant-in-Person and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. 'Applicant-in-Person' states that he was earlier serving on post of 'Talathi SGY Solapur City' in office of 'SDO – Solapur – 1, District Solapur'. However, his services were attached to 'Tahsil Office North Solapur' by Order dated 17.08.2023 of 'SDO – Solapur – 1, District Solapur' on grounds of pending 'Departmental Enquiry' and 'Criminal Case'.

3. Learned P.O. states that he will seek information as to why services of Applicant still continue to be kept attached to 'Tahsil Office' 'North Solapur', as 'Departmental Enquiry' stands completed and 'Minor Penalty' has been imposed on Applicant by Order dated 16.05.2024 of 'District Collector Solapur'.

4. 'Applicant-in-Person' is informed that as establishment matters of the cadre of 'Talathi' has been brought at 'District Level' by G.R. dated 17.09.2023 of 'Revenue and Forest Department'; therefore he is required to implead 'District Collector Solapur' as 'Respondent No.3'.

5. S.O. to 30.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.641 of 2024</p> <p>S. V. Abhange & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Rama Somani holding for Shri C. A. Alai, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Smt.Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms S. R. Patil, learned Counsel for Respondent.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for the Applicants produces the copy of the Order dated 28.06.2024 passed by the Division Bench of M.A. T. Bench at Aurangabad in <i>O. A in O.A. No.778/2023 (Shri Vitthal Shinde V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)</i>. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel for Applicants submits that the benefit of this order is to be extended to the Applicants as the Applicants in present OA are at Serial Nos.1, 2 and 3 of Wait/Reserve list and they are above from the Applicants in O.A. No.778/2023.</p> <p>4. The learned PO seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 25.07.2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i></p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i></p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.832 of 2024</p> <p>V. B. Patil & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant through video conference, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for Applicants submits that Applicants are 'Primary Teachers' and their names are under the zone of consideration. However, the names of Applicants were excluded from the 'Select List' on the ground that they have obtained qualification i.e. B.Ed Degree 'Without Leave'.</p> <p>3. We direct the learned Counsel for Applicants to serve the copy of OA on Respondent No.4 today itself.</p> <p>4. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>5. If promotion orders are issued to 'Primary Teachers' as 'Secondary Teachers' qua Applicants then it will be subject to outcome of this O.A.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 22.07.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2024</p> <p>O. A. No. 580 of 2024</p> <p>S. R. Shinde & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent No.4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.600 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. A. S. Kulkarni Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. D. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2, Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondent Nos.5 to 10.</p> <p>2. S. O. to 05.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>sd/-</i> <i>sd/-</i> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Member (A) Chairperson </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.534 of 2024</u></p> <p>D.G. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 8.7.2024 of respondent.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.8.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.488 of 2024</u></p> <p>P.V. Thokal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan h/f Shri D.B.Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.7.2024 by way of last chance otherwise the matter will be proceeded without reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.248 of 2024</u></p> <p>Dr. V.K. Patne ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.8.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.169 of 2024</u></p> <p>D.V. Ghag ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO to file reply of Respondents No.1 & 2 positively.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.8.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.94 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.S. Gangurde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 9.6.2024 of respondent no.3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.8.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1614 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.S. Joshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.8.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.207 of 2024 in O.A. No.45 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.B. Nimbalkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 3.7.2024 of respondent no.2.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.7.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.70 of 2023 in O.A. No.123 of 2023</u></p> <p>G.G. Dasarwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant in person is absent.</p> <p>2. Applicant in person is directed to serve copy of MA and OA in the office of Ld. CPO.</p> <p>3. Time granted of two weeks by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.7.2024 for dismissal.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.7.2024.</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.842 of 2024</u></p> <p>P.D. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. Ld. PO states that there is delay. Applicant to file MA for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>15.07.2024</p> <p><u>M.A 226/2024 in O.A 340/2023</u></p> <p>P.R Gamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 29.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.07.2024

O. A. No. 812 of 2024

M. A. Gaikwad

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents .
2. The Applicant challenges Order dated 30.11.2023 issued by the Respondent under which departmental enquiry charge sheet was issued to the Applicant for the alleged misconduct as mentioned therein.
3. The Respondent is directed to verify Rule No.44(1)(i) of Maharashtra Registration Rules, 1961 is set aside by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court or not.
4. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.08.2024.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
10. S.O. to 12.08.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.617 of 2024</p> <p>B. K. GuleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The learned PO seeks time to file reply. 3. Pursuant to order dated 01.07.2024, the officers from the Respondents produced photocopy of documents as prayed in clause of interim relief (a) and (b). 4. The photocopy of relevant documents is to be furnished to learned Counsel for Applicant. 5. The learned PO on instructions submits that GAD has received the file from Disabled Welfare Department on 03.06.2024. 6. S.O. to 22.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2024</p> <p>O. A. Nos.352 of 2024 with O.A.368 of 2024 with O.A.370of 2024 with O.A.566 of 2024</p> <p>V.V. Teli & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents .</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel for Applicants submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 23.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.740 of 2024</p> <p>R. V. ChopdeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant through video conference and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.07.2024

O. A. No. 25 of 2024

Dr. A. R. Madkar

....Applicant


Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.


1. Heard Shri S. D. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shr S. B. Solanke, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4.

2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.

3. S.O. to 29.07.2024.



(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)



(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.26 of 2024</p> <p>K. D. KaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO submits that Affidavit in Reply is under preparation and will be filed shortly.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.27 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. R. P. SuryawanshiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 12.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.83 of 2024</p> <p>L. D. Gawade & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicants and their Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The learned PO submits that reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.108 of 2024</p> <p>S. B. RajmaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Y. P. Morbale, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. The learned PO submits that reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4 seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.07.2024

O.A.No.139 of 2024

C. A. Meshram

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned Counsel for Applicant seeks time to file Affidavit in Rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 29.07.2024.

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.212 of 2024</p> <p>A. D. Sinkar & Ors.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Vaishali Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 12.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.322 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. D. B. OwhalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. D. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A. Raktade, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 29.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.822 of 2024</p> <p>V.A. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to Order dated 11.07.2024, we directed the Respondents to disclose the reason of exclusion of name of Applicant form the Select List of year 2022-2023.</p> <p>3. The learned PO seeks time to take instructions and to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.07.2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.07.2024

O.A.No.836 of 2024

J. P. Katyare

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.08.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 19.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.07.2024

O.A.No.841 of 2024

N. D. Rathod

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant who retired on 31.05.2009 challenges departmental enquiry initiated against him on 03.07.2012.

3. All Respondents are directed to remain present on next date to answer query of Tribunal.

4. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.07.2024.


6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.


7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

10. S.O. to 23.07.2024.


(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)


(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.479 of 2024</p> <p>A.M. KhobragadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' if any. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.335 of 2024</p> <p>A.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through 'Video Conference', Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.07.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.173 of 2024</p> <p>P.S. Bhosale.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 5.</p> <p>2. Learned PO states that she wants to file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' during the course of the day. Statement is accepted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.849 of 2024</u></p> <p>Prashant S. Bedse ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is challenging the impugned suspension order dated 11.7.2024. Ld. Advocate for the applicant points out that the applicant was working as Tahsildar, Khed, Pune. He was earlier working as Tahsildar, Mohol, District Solapur until he came to be transferred from Mohol to Khed vide order dated 16.6.2023. He points out that on the basis of a complaint filed by RTI Activist, the Divisional Commissioner conducted an enquiry against the applicant. The applicant gave detailed explanation regarding the observations made by the Divisional Commissioner vide communication dated 8.3.2023 and was under a bonafide belief that the explanation given by him was accepted by the respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces a copy of representation dated 2.3.2024 made by the applicant to the Hon'ble Chief Minister regarding the threat given by local MLA of placing the applicant under suspension or transfer.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks interim relief against the impugned suspension dated 11.7.2024 on the ground that the order has been passed for extraneous consideration at the behest of the sitting MLA of the said Constituency. He also pointed out that the order suffers from total non-application of mind. He points out that the charges against the applicant are of trifling nature. He relies on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <u>State of Maharashtra Vs. Dr. Subhash Dhondiram Mane (2015) 4 Mh.L.J. 791</u> and <u>Union of India & Anr. Vs. Ashok Kumar Aggarwal (2013) 16 SCC 147</u>.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Ld. PO opposes the prayer for interim relief and pointed out that the applicant has an alternative remedy available to him and can file appeal against the said suspension order. He also seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>5. I have considered the submissions of both the sides. In this case it is seen that the applicant has an alternative remedy of appeal available to him which he has not exhausted. Moreover the respondents seek time to file reply. The respondents are granted two weeks' time to file reply.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 30.7.2024. The issue of interim relief is kept open.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha^vGadgil) Member (A) 15.7.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 983/2017</u></p> <p>K.J Shinde & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants seek time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1024/2023</u></p> <p>N.S Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 23.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 23/2024 in O.A 1480/2023 with C.A 44/2024 in O.A 1481/2023</u></p> <p>S.R Chate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time for compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. S.O to 18.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

15.07.2024

Original Application No. of 20

M.A 226/2024 in O.A 340/2023

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	P.R Gample ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents Tribunal's orders
	1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply. 3. S.O to 29.7.2024. Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1390/2023</u></p> <p>S.V Varne ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri T.T Jadhav, learned advocate for the applicant absent. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O holding for Ms Swati Manchekaer, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that reply is filed.</p> <p>3. S.O to 26.8.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.07.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 17/2024 in O.A 641/2023</u></p> <p>S.S Rawle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.07.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 488/2017</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>K.A Jadhav & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents, Ms Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for Respondents No 24, 26, 41, 42 & 90 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for the Intervenor-Respondents. Shri D.B Khaire, learned Special Counsel for the Respondents absent.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Smt Mahajan, appearing for Respondents No 24, 26, 41, 42 & 90 submits that though the promotion from the post of Under Secretary to the post of Deputy Secretary was stayed, the Tribunal by order dated 3.5.2024 has permitted the State to consider the names of some the Intervenor-Respondents No 91 to 106 as prima facie their consideration was not controversial for the purpose of promotion. The stay order was partially modified qua those intervenor-Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel Mrs Mahajan further submitted that D.P.C was conducted on 15.4.2024 and some of the applicants and also some of the Respondents were considered for promotion to the post of Deputy Secretary as they have already been promoted by way of promotion from the post of Assistant to the post of Section Officer and from the post of Section Officer to the post of Under Secretary and now aspiring for promotion to the post of Deputy Secretary. Learned counsel Mrs Mahajan therefore submitted that the stay order be similarly modified with some rider so some of the applicants and Respondents will be benefited.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel Shri Dere for the applicants submits to the order of the Court. Learned P.O for the Respondents and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for the Intervenor-Respondents have no objection.</p> <p>5. Hence, in view of these submissions, we pass the following order:-</p> <p>(i) The order dated 18.4.2024 passed by this Tribunal, granting stay to the promotion is modified as under:-</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>(a) The Respondent-State to proceed by issuing the orders of promotion to the post of Deputy Secretary pursuant to the D.P.C meeting earlier conducted for the said post and so also any further D.P.C meeting which is going to be held in near future, which will be subject to the outcome of this Original Application.</p> <p>(b) This condition should be mentioned in the promotion orders which are going to be issued for the post of Deputy Secretary.</p> <p>(c) The Applicants/Respondents who will be promoted to the post of Deputy Secretary shall not claim any equity.</p> <p>6. S.O to 12.8.2024. Matter is De-Part Heard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>