

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.719 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. M.A. Jadhav .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.B. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant Mr. Jadhav is directed to remain present on next date.</p> <p>3. The Applicant has challenged his non selection to the post of the Deputy Director, Public Health Department and challenges the selection of Respondent No.6 – Dr. Satish D. Pawar and Respondent No.7 – Dr. Smt. Archana V. Patil. The O.A. was not allowed, hence the Applicant went to Hon'ble High Court. The Hon'ble High Court did not interfere with the order of the M.A.T. The Hon'ble High Court passed the following order which is as follows:-</p> <p>“(b) The petitioners, if they so chose, are granted liberty to institute original applications within three months from today before the MAT to question the appointments of Dr. Satish Pawar and Dr. Smt. Archana Patil to the post of Dy. Director (Health) in pursuance of advertisement dated 22<sup>nd</sup> October 2003 and seek consequential reliefs therein.</p> <p>(c) If such original applications are indeed instituted within three months from today, the MAT, is requested to consider the same on merits and dispose of them as expeditiously as possible, without being influenced by the prima facie observations made in this judgment and order”</p> <p>He filed O.A. No.360/2012, 94/2013 and 95/2013 along with Dr. Abhay S. Gajbhiye and Dr. Ratna D. Raokhande</p> <p>4. Respondent No.6 – Dr. Satish D. Pawar and Respondent No.7 – Dr. Smt. Archana V. Patil. Approached the Hon'ble Supreme Court in S.L.P. No.15365/2018 and Hon'ble Supreme Court stayed the order of the Hon'ble High Court on 06.07.2018.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that many other matters are clubbed along with this matter.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Learned C.P.O. pointed out that Dr. Satish D. Pawar and Dr. Smt. Archana V. Patil both have retired from the service. Dr. Ambadekar, In-Charge Director of Health Services, Pune and Dr. Sadhana Tayade, Director of Health Service – Mumbai are presently working on the said post. The date of filing of O.A. No.719/2018 is 03.08.2018 with the prayer that the recommendation dated 24.03.2005 recommending the name of Respondents No.6 &amp; 7 to the post of Deputy Director Health Service issued by Respondent No.2 – M.P.S.C. be set quashed and set aside and the Tribunal be pleased to declare that the action on the part of Respondent No.2 for rejecting the candidature of the Applicant and not calling him for interview is illegal, void and arbitrary.</p> <p>7. On the basis of information provided by learned C.P.O., we understand that Respondents whose appointment was challenged in this O.A. for the respective posts have retired and we are of the view of no action survives and O.A. deserves to be dismissed.</p> <p>8. It is necessary to reproduced prayer in this O.A. to find out whether course of action survives.  “(a) this Hon’ble Tribunal be pleased to call for the records and proceedings and, upon perusal, be further pleased to quash and set aside recommendation dt 24/03/2005 in the names of Respondents No.6 and 7 for the post of deputy director health services issued by the respondent no. 2 MPSC and be further pleased to quash and set aside appointment of the respondent no 6 and 7 on the said post of deputy director health service issued by Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 3.  (b) this Hon’ble Tribunal be pleased to declare that the action of the part of Respondent No.2 rejecting the candidature of the Applicant and not calling him for interview to the post of Deputy Director of Health Services, Class-I, Group-A post as illegal, void, and arbitrary.”</p> <p>9. Mr. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the when the matter is subjudice in the Supreme Court the Tribunal should stay away till the final order of S.L.P.</p> <p>10. We are definitely bound by the order of the Supreme Court. However, the Tribunal has to take into account influx of time the developments has taken place and the prayers made in the O.A. have become infructuous. Hence, we keep this matter under the ‘caption of Dismissal’.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 19.07.2022. <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Member (A) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>15.07.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 91/2018</u></b></p> <p>Shri D.J Mundhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the applicant retired in April, 2018 and the Original Application was filed on 24.1.2018. The Original Application is not pressed thereafter.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, the Original Application is dismissed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> <p align="center"><b><u>LATER ON</u></b></p> <p>4. Smt. A.P. Kolpekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate appeared for the Applicant and requested for restoration of the O.A.</p> <p>5. O.A. is restored to its original number.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 05.08.2022</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 15.07.2022

C.A. No.30 of 2022 in O.A. No.1355 of 2009  
with  
C.A. No.31 of 2022 in O.A. No.1355 of 2009

K.R. Patil,  
M.G. Dhapate & Ors. ....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Shri K.R. Patil, Applicant-in Person is absent. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri Abhijit Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This is pursuant to the compliance of the order of the Tribunal dated 08.12.2020, directions were given to complete all formalities within six months. However, it is still not done as informed by Smt. Archana Walzade, Under Secretary, Public Health Department.
3. Advertisement was for 52 posts for the Clerk against which 96 candidates were filled-in, in 2009 and Government stayed the recruitment of 52 posts. After the decision of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal the committee established that multiple illegalities were found in this process and now the committee has submitted report. After scrutinizing all the report we found number of illegalities.
4. Out of 96, 88 were found with multiple illegalities and she submits that three months are required to complete entire exercise. We direct to hear audience of these 96 Persons who are recruited and given posting in all over Maharashtra.
5. In view of this, last chance of extension is given till 20.10.2022.
6. S.O. to 20.10.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.262 of 2017</p> <p>A.S. Yadav, .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri H. Sure, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Heard Smt. K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter pertains to Assured Career Progression Scheme (ACPS - 24 years). He joined service on 09.08.1984 and completed 12 years as continuous service on 08.08.1996. He was given benefits of 12 years under Time Bound Promotion scheme as per G.R. dated 08.06.1995, subject to passing Departmental examination of Revenue Forest Department.</p> <p>3. He was supposed to pass revenue qualifying examination within three years from the date of the order dated 10.09.2001 i.e. on or before 09.09.2004. However, he did not pass within a period of three years and he completed 45 years of age as on 29.07.2005, and therefore exemption was given to him by order dated 09.08.2012 and that exemption is to be given effect from 29.07.2005 when he became 45 years old. Thus thereafter 12 years period is to be counted from 29.07.2005. However, he took Voluntary retirement on 31.10.2011 without completing 12 years of service after 29.07.2005, and therefore he was not granted benefit of ACPS (24 years) as communicated to the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Matter be placed for Final Hearing on 22.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 15.07.2022</b>  <b>O.A.No.1076 of 2019 with O.A.1077/2019 with O.A.1078/2019 with O.A.134/2019 with O.A.266/2019 with O.A.461/2019</b></p> <p><b>T.R.Wagh &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3 in O.A. 1076/2019. It is taken on record. Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 is already filed.</li> <li>3. Whereas in O.A.1077/2019. learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 &amp; 2 and Respondent No.3 separately.</li> <li>4. In O.A. No.1078/2019, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.4. It is taken on record. Reply of Respondent Nos.1,2, 3 and 5 is already filed.</li> <li>5. In O.A. No.134/2019, the reply of Respondent No.2 has filed reply long back. No reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent No.1.</li> <li>6. Insofar as O.A.No.266/2019 is concerned, learned P.O. has pointed out that there is a mistake in the nomenclature of Respondent No.2- District Collector. According to him the nomenclature should be District Collector, Nashik and not District Collector, Nanded, and therefore, reply was not filed.</li> <li>7. Learned Counsel for the Applicant is directed to rectify the mistake immediately and it be corrected as District Collector, Nashik.</li> <li>8. Learned P.O. submits that he is adopting affidavit in reply on behalf of the Respondents filed in connected matters and not filed separate reply in this O.A.</li> <li>9. In O.A. No.461/2019, the reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2 is already filed. Learned P.O. submits that reply of remaining Respondents is not filed.</li> <li>10. In view of above, since pleadings in all these Original Application is complete and the issue is common, all these Original Applications will be heard together and be listed for final hearing.</li> <li>11. S.O. to 29.07.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....) )

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>O.A.569/2021</u></b></p> <p><b>Smt. M.A. Salve</b>   ... Applicant <b>      Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b>                                 ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed by the widow of deceased Government servant Arun Salve for medical reimbursement. The perusal of record reveals that deceased Government servant was operated for liver cancer at Global Hospital, Hyderabad. Later, he died. Applicant's husband got reimbursement of certain amount from Paramount Health Services Private Limited, Andheri, Mumbai (Insurance Company). Thereafter, Applicant claimed medical reimbursement of remaining amount deducting the amount received from Insurance Company. However, Government rejected the claim on the ground that Applicant has not submitted original bills which are required under Treasury Rules, 1968 and secondly, on the ground that once there was reimbursement partly, he is not entitled for remaining amount.</p> <p>3. Since original bills were in the custody of Global Hospital, Hyderabad, it was made party in the O.A. to know exact amount of bills, etc. However, Global Hospital (Respondent No.4) though served did not appear.</p> <p>4. The difficulty in the way of Applicant is non-availability of original bills. Since Applicant has availed reimbursement from Insurance Company, the original bills must have been in the custody of Insurance Company. Therefore, Insurance Company is necessary party so that details of bills as well as original bills should come on record.</p> <p>5. The Applicant is directed to add Paramount Health Services Pvt. Ltd. as Respondent No.5 immediately.</p> <p>6. Issue to Respondent No.5 newly added returnable on 5<sup>th</sup> August, 2022.</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

11. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> August, 2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
15.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.675 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>P. P. Karote</b> <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant's father was Godown Keeper on the establishment of Respondent No.4 namely the District, Food Supply Officer, Raigad. He died in harness on 04.08.2020. After his death, the Applicant applied for appointment on compassionate ground by letter dated 10.09.2020 addressed to Collector, Raigad. Accordingly, the name of the Applicant was taken in common list at Sr. No.119-31 by the Collector, Raigad as seen from communication dated 09.06.2021.</p> <p>3. However, the Applicant's grievance is that his name ought to have been taken in separate/waiting list to be maintained by the Respondent No.3 – the Collector in capacity of appointing authority instead of taking his name in common waiting list.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.08.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original / Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 10.08.2022.</p> <p>10. Learned P.O. is also directed to apprise the Tribunal about the procedure/ practice adopted by the Government and department in the matter of maintaining waiting list for appointment on compassionate ground.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v v v <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.07.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 672/2022</u></p> <p>Mr Firoj H. Shaikh &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned CP.O for the Respondents 1 &amp; 2 and Shri Aniruddha Lad and Mr T.V Louis i/b Klt Law Associates for Respondent no. 3.</p> <p>2. The applicant seeks directions to the Respondents to select and appoint the applicants to the post of Auditor and Accountant, Class-III Grade-C forthwith.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.7.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption <b>'for Dismissal'</b> and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>9. S.O to 29.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>15.07.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 570/2017</u></b></p> <p>B.G Kingare &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are out of service on account of retrenchment at the State Institute of Educational Technology has closed since 2017. The applicants have approached this Tribunal and they pray that the matter to be heard on account of their long unemployment since 2017.</p> <p>3. Matter is already fixed for hearing on 19.8.2022.</p> <p>4. Registry to show the matter on 19.8.2022 High on Board.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>15.07.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 91/2018</u></b></p> <p>Shri D.J Mundhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the applicant retired in April, 2018 and the Original Application was filed on 24.1.2018. The Original Application is not pressed thereafter.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, the Original Application is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1053 of 2019</p> <p>P.D. Shewale &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Time granted to file Reply by three weeks by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.226 of 2018 in O.A. No.331 of 2018</p> <p>M.S. Ambigar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant to inform why the matter should not be decided at this stage.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.07.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.40 of 2019 in O.A. No.392 of 2019</p> <p>D.D. Kamble .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri B. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Registry is directed to allow the P.O. to construct the C.A.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions and file the Reply within three weeks as last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS 678 & 622 OF 2022**

**DISTRICT : KOLHAPUR**

Shri Avadhut K. Sisal. [O.A 678/2022]  
Smt Priyanka V. Kadam [O.A 681/2022] )...**Applicants**

**Versus**

The State of Maharashtra & Ors )...**Respondents**

Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the Applicants.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM** : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**  
**Mrs Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)**

**DATE** : **15.07.2022**

**PER** : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**

**ORDER**

1. The applicants in O.A 678/2022 & in O.A 681/2022, aspiring to be Manager, (Large Government Press) and Assistant Manager, Group-B, respectively, pray that they be allowed to fill up the form for the post of Manager, (Large Government Press) and Assistant Manager, Group-B respectively.

2. Mr More, Incharge-Director, Printing & Stationery, Government of Maharashtra, is present today as per directions. ✓

3. We have heard learned counsel for the applicants and the learned C.P.O at length. We find that though the prayer is very short, it involves grassroot issue in respect of eligibility of the

Manager of Large Government Presses (Class I) in the Maharashtra Printing and Stationery Services prescribed in (ii) of sub-rule (b) of Rule 3, Printing and Stationery (Recruitment) Rules, 1988. Based on these Rules, M.P.S.C issued the advertisement regarding eligibility of the candidates. The said eligibility was mentioned and prescribed by the In-charge Director, Printing and Stationery and so also the Department of Industries, Energy and Labour Department. For the clarity at a glance, it is necessary to reproduce the said rule for clarity at a glance.

“3. Appointment to the post of Manager, Large Government Press in the Maharashtra Printing and Stationery Services under the Directorate of Government Printing and Stationery of the Government shall be made either:-

(a) by promotion of a suitable person on the basis of selection from amongst the persons holding the post of Deputy Manager (Government Central Press) or Manager of Small Government Press possessing qualifications prescribed for appointment by nomination in sub-clauses (ii) to (v) of clause (b) of this rule; or

(b) by nomination from amongst candidates who -

(i) Unless already in the service of the Government or not more than 40 years of age;

(ii) Possess—

(a) a degree in printing technology in offset printing or letterpress printing; or

(b) a diploma of a recognized institution in printing technology in offset printing or letterpress printing; or

(c) a certificate of Typography (Printing) granted by any recognized institution; or

(d) a certificate of National Apprenticeship in printing; or

(e) a certificate of a completion of 4 years Departmental Apprenticeship; or

(f) any other qualification declared by Government to be equivalent to any of the above qualifications.”

4. We are informed that since 1992 no Degree is given in Printing Technology in offset printing or letterpress printing. Similarly no Diploma is given in Printing Technology in offset printing or letter press printing by any recognized Institute in State of Maharashtra. We are informed that such Degree or Diploma was earlier issued upto 1990-92. Thereafter, on account of tremendous development in Printing Technology, the Degree or Diploma especially in offset printing or letterpress printing is not available. Printing Technology now is much wider subject wherein offset printing and letterpress printing are included in the syllabus of Printing Technology, as subjects, but no Degree or Diploma Certificate independently is made available in Printing Technology in offset printing or letterpress printing. Thus, issuance of Degree or Diploma in Printing Technology in offset printing or letterpress printing is outdated concept. Unless, a person studies other advance modern subjects in Printing Technology and complete the comprehensive course of Printing Technology, he cannot secure Degree or Diploma in Printing Technology. No independent or separate Degree or Diploma are issued in Printing Technology in offset printing or letterpress printing. Thus, this clauses (a) & (b) in sub-rule (ii) (b) of Rule 3 of the Recruitment Rules are away from reality of today's modern syllabus in Printing Technology. The Government has not taken a note of advanced Printing Technology and the consequential changes occurred in the said subject.

5. We would like to draw the attention of the Government and the concerned authority to clauses (a), (b) & (f) of sub-rule (ii) of (b) of Rule 3 of the Recruitment Rules of 1988. We are of the view that the State Government has power to declare the equivalent qualification to clauses (a), (b) to (e) because (a) & (b) as stated above by us are not available.

n

6. Neither the M.P.S.C nor the In-charge Director, Printing & Stationery, Government of Maharashtra have power to change the qualifications mentioned in the Recruitment Rules.

7. We are of the view that clause (f) is an enabling clause, so that the Government can declare the equivalent qualification. It is the responsibility of the Government and the concerned Department to remove this ambiguity and make the position clear so that the applicants and the public at large will not suffer.

8. We, therefore, direct the Additional Chief Secretary, Industries, Energy and Labour Department to take fruitful and positive decision till 1<sup>st</sup> August 2022, in view of clause (f) of sub-rule (ii) of Rule 3 of the Recruitment Rules of 1988. We direct Mr More, In-charge Director, Printing & Stationery to brief the Additional Chief Secretary, Industries, Energy and Labour Department on or before 19<sup>th</sup> July, 2022 on this point.

9. Till then the selection process for the post of Manager, (Large Government Press) and Assistant Manager, Group-B is stayed.

10. S.O to 2.8.2022.

Sd/-

  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

  
Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**Place : Mumbai**

**Date : 15.07.2022**

**Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.07.2022

O.A.No.536 of 2022

S. M. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has been retired on medical ground and invalid pension has granted. However, Applicant's grievance is that he is entitled to the benefit of Section 20 of the Persons with Disabilities (The Right of Persons with Disabilities) Act, 2016 and the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in *Kunal Singh Vs. Union of India (2003) 4 SCC 524* , taking note of this situation, this Tribunal by order dated 24.06.2022 directed the Respondents to reconsider the issue keeping in mind Section 20 of Disabilities Act, 2016.
3. Learned P.O. on instruction submits that Respondent No.3 – Commandant, SRP, Dhule is taking necessary steps. The matter is in process <sup>to consider the</sup> ~~so as to give~~ benefit of Section 20 of Disabilities Act, 2016 and the decision in this behalf will be taken soon. He requested for one week time.
4. One week time is granted.
5. Learned P.O. is directed to apprise the Tribunal by next date about final decision in the matter without fail.
6. S.O. to 21.07.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

ysm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.405 of 2021</b></p> <p>A. P. And here .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is claiming deemed date of promotion for the post of Head Clerk, Office Superintendent and Senior Desk Officer. He had made representation dated 11.01.2021 to the Respondent No.1 through Respondent No.2 – Director General of Police but it is not yet decided.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that the Respondent No.2 – Director General of Police is the competent authority.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that O.A. be disposed of with direction to Respondent No.2 to decide the representation made by the Applicant within stipulated period.</p> <p>5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.2 to decide the representation made by the Applicant on 11.01.2021 within three months from today and the decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>6. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>VSM</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1118 of 2016 with O.A.No.08 of 2018</p> <p>M. K. Rathod R. D. Ugale <span style="float: right;">...Applicants</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. T. Suryawanshi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule &amp; Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that the matters were undated in the office for long time and for the first time, it is listed today for hearing though it is ripe for final hearing long ago.</p> <p>3. O.As be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.979 of 2017</p> <p>G. S. Deshmukh .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard G. A. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that the matter is undated in the office for long time and for the first time, it is listed today for hearing. It is ripe for hearing long ago.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.858 of 2017</p> <p>U. A. Sayyed .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that the matter is undated in the office for long time and for the first time, it is listed today for hearing.</p> <p>3. It is noticed that in this O.A., the Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 02.08.2001. As such, by this time necessary steps must have been taken by the department about services of the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. submits that he will take instructions about the present status and will apprise the Tribunal in the afternoon session.</p> <p>5. Be kept in afternoon session at 2.30 PM.</p> <p>6. Later on, learned P.O. on instructions submits that Applicant is already dismissed from service by order dated 10.02.2021.</p> <p>7. Since today matter is listed for the first time after long back and the Applicant and his Counsel are absent, it is necessary to hear them before disposal of this O.A.</p> <p>8. O A. be kept on 18.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.21 of 2020</p> <p>D. G. Hingre ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Applicant's father is expired recently, therefore, he sought adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2022</p> <p align="center">R. A. No.01 of 2022 in O.A.No.328 of 2021</p> <p>P. A. Bodekar <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Enough time is granted to file affidavit in reply but the same is not filed.</li> <li>3. Therefore, I am not inclined to grant further time as requested by learned P.O.</li> <li>4. R.A. be kept for hearing.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 01.08.2022.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.235 of 2022</p> <p>R. P. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gajanan Kukde holding for Shri M. V. Thorat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. requested for short time to file reply.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept with other connected matters which are already fixed for hearing on 19.07.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.07.2022 for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.379 of 2022</p> <p>A. S. Jaiswal <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.400 of 2022</p> <p>R. D. Kurangal <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. T. Suryawanshi holding for Smt. P. H. Hendre , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.466 of 2022</p> <p>S. N. Ghatkamble &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt Mahajan has sent leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.612 of 2022</p> <p>A. S. Shamane .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks' time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.244 of 2021</b></p> <p>R. D. Mahajan <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Hande holding for Shri P. D. Pise, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has tendered communication dated 01.07.2022 which shows that interest of Rs.1,26,622/- has been already credited to the account of the Applicant on 30.06.2022.</p> <p>3. Thus, the grievance of the Applicant about interest which is the subject matter of this O.A. is already satisfied.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant, therefore, submits that O.A. be disposed of.</p> <p>5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>15.07.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 14/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.V Shete ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 23.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>15.07.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 410/2022 in O.A 672/2022</u></b></p> <p>Mr Firoj H. Shaikh &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned CP.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application seeking to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil/ Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>15.07.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 508 &amp; 509/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri B.J Girase Shri S.S Girase ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is already admitted. Registry is directed to remove this matter from the caption 'Due Admission' and is to be placed under the caption of 'Final Hearing'</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 29.7.2022, H.O.B.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/- </span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>Akn</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>15.07.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 878/2018</u></b></p> <p>Dr V.K Kadalage ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.D Hon, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The application is filed on 21.9.2018. In the said application, the applicant prayed that he is eligible and entitled to promotion to the post of Assistant Commissioner, Animal Husbandry and also challenges the select list dated 17.9.2018 for the purpose of promoting the applicant to the post of Assistant Commissioner, Animal Husbandry. The applicant also prays that he be granted deemed date of promotion w.e.f 30.10.2018.</p> <p>3. During the pendency of the Original Application, the applicant retired on 30.4.2019.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is claiming promotion and also deemed date of promotion w.e.f 30.10.2018 for the purpose of pensionary benefits, and therefore, wants to pursue the matter.</p> <p>5. Place the matter for final hearing on 16.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>