

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. .... of 20 ..... DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.673 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. Y. S. Mehere .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he is simply seeking relief of direction to the Respondents to consider his representation dated 04.07.2019 for his transfer at Nashik or nearby Nashik on the ground that his daughter is learning in 10<sup>th</sup> standard at Nashik.</li> <li>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant further submits that the Applicant has worked in tribal area, therefore, he was required to be accommodated as per choice given by him in terms of Government policy vide G.R. dated 09.04.2018.</li> <li>4. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of.</li> <li>5. The Respondents are directed to consider the representation of the Applicant and to pass appropriate orders as per Rules within four weeks from today.</li> <li>6. Liberty is granted to the Applicant to make elaborate representation afresh within seven days, if necessary.</li> <li>7. No order as to costs.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.635 of 2019</p> <p>S. R. Wani .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. In the present matter, the challenge is to the transfer order dated 06.07.2019 whereby the Applicant has been transferred mid-term and in his place Respondent No.2 is posted on the medical ground.</p> <p>3. However, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that his client wants to withdraw the present O.A. and does not want to continue with the proceedings. he has also tendered the application written by the Applicant address<sup>ed</sup> to him to that effect. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. In view of above, allowed to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>5. Accordingly, Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 15.07.2019.
---	---

O.A.No.632 of 2019

Milind A. Kadam .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Drs. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This matter was taken up for admission on 10.07.2019 but on that date, the Applicant and his Counsel both are absent and, therefore, the matter was adjourned for one week to give one more opportunity to the Applicant.
3. However, today also the Applicant and his Counsel both are absent in the morning session as well as in afternoon session.
4. In the present matter, challenge is to the suspension order dated 04.04.2015, however, the Applicant seems not interested in the O.A.
5. In view of above, Original Application is dismissed in default with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

ysm

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="732 613 964 647">Date : 15.07.2019.</p> <p data-bbox="992 680 1240 714">O.A.No.483 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="732 741 1386 775">S. A. Repal .....Applicant</p> <p data-bbox="732 815 818 848">Versus</p> <p data-bbox="732 893 1411 927">The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="732 965 1500 1151">1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare holding for Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="732 1189 1500 1296">2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit-in-Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p data-bbox="732 1341 1500 1449">3. On the request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p data-bbox="732 1487 1053 1520">4. S.O. to 29.07.2019.</p> <p data-bbox="1192 1621 1256 1655">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1110 1711 1305 1778">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p data-bbox="716 1778 764 1812">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2019.</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.160 of 2019</b></p> <p>A. R. Bhalekar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. S. Mane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant had already filed additional Affidavit of the Applicant (Rejoinder) and also placed on record some documents.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents sought time to file Sur-Rejoinder in view of additional Affidavit and documents filed by the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Two weeks time is granted for filing Sur-Rejoinder.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 31.07.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.367 of 2017</p> <p>S. M. More .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw the Original Application on the ground that his client has already filed representation with the Government on 27.06.2019 for permission to join the post in pursuance of the appointment order dated 24.05.2012.</li><li>3. The Applicant is present in person.</li><li>4. In view of above, allowed to withdraw the Original Application. The Respondents may pass appropriate order at the earliest on the representation made by the Applicant in accordance to law.</li><li>5. No order as to costs.</li><li>6. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.</li></ol> <p>Sd/- _____</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.589 of 2019</p> <p>R. B. Sahu ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one week's time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.07.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.07.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.831 of 2018</p> <p>V. B. Pawar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Abhay Jadhavar holding for Shri S.A. Kashid, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under typing and the same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 31.07.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 15/7/19.</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) (Vic. A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. Ms. Meghna Goyalani</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S. D. Dole ..... C.F. W.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to 23/8/19. ....</p> <p>Head order passed in Tribunal column.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>OA.299/2019</u></p> <p>J.V. Bhandare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Meghna Goyalani, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. Issue fresh notice returnable on 23.8.2019.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.7.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 15/7/19</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri <del>ms.</del> Ms. Meghna Gowalani</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt: S. D. Dole C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to Head in MA 335/19 and in MA 303/19 both are allowed and OA is restored to file</p>	<p>M.A.335/2019 in MA.303/2019 in OA.299/2019</p> <p>J.V. Bhandare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Meghna Gowalani, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. MA No.303 of 2019 is for restoring the OA to file and MA No.335 of 2019 is for condoning the delay in filing MA No.303 of 2019.</p> <p>3. For the reasons stated therein, both the MAs are allowed and OA is restored to file.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.7.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

DATE: 15/7/19.  
CORAM:  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jeehi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri P. N. [unclear] (Vice-Chairman)  
APPEARANCE:  
Shri/Smt. [unclear] - m. Kolge  
Advocate:  
Shri/Smt. S. D. Dole  
Ad. S.O. to [unclear] 2/8/19 at the request of Ld. Adv for the applicant.

M.A. No.185 of 2019 in O.A. No.347 of 2019

P.A. Gangarde ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks two weeks time to amend the MA seeking condonation of delay.
- At his request S.O. to 2.8.2019.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
15.7.2019

(sg)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

DATE: 15/7/19  
 CORAM:  
 Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
 Hon'ble Shri P. ... (A) (V/C-A)  
 APPEARANCE:  
 Shri/Smt Ravi V. Asabe for s. shinde  
 Adj. S.O. to 19/7/19 at the request of Ld. Advocate for Res. no. 1.

M.A. No.361 of 2019 in O.A. No.645 of 2017

M.A. Damale ..Applicant  
 Vs.  
 Superintending Engineer & Administrator,  
 CADA, Nasik & Anr. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.  
 Heard Shri Ravi V. Asabe, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Shinde, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent No.2.

- This MA is filed by respondent no.1 seeking extension of time by three months to comply with the order dated 2.4.2019 passed in OA.
- Respondent No.1 is directed to clarify the difficulty in implementing the orders in last three months.
- At the request of Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.1 adjourned to 19.7.2019.

Sd/-  
 (P.N. Dixit)  
 Vice-Chairman (A)  
 15.7.2019

(sgl)

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.427 of 2019
<p>DATE: <u>15/7/19</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) (Vice-P)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. B. A. Bandiwadekar</p> <p>Advocate: Shri/Smt. N. G. Gohad ..... C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>29/7/19</u> .....  </p>	<p>M.P. Mohite &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N.'Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.7.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 15/7/19</p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar (Member (A) (V/C))</p> <p>Adl. S.O. to Head</p> <p>order passed in Tribunal column.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.667 of 2019</u></p> <p>R.L. Tayade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. Issue notice returnable on 19.8.2019.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. Respondent No.1 to clarify, why applicant with higher qualification is considered as ineligible for the advertised post.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.7.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
O.A. No. 386 of 2019

Dr. D.R. Jadhav ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicants and Advocate for the Applicants are absent. Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply. The respondents are directed to ensure that reply is submitted within the stipulated period.

3. S.O. to 29.7.2019. Steno copy and hamdast is granted. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

DATE: 29/7/19.

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice S. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice S. H. Joshi (Member) (C. J.)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/S<sup>rs</sup> Applicant and advocate for the Applicant are absent.

Advocate

Shri/S<sup>rs</sup> N. G. Gohad  
C. for Respondents

Adj. S.O. to 29/7/19.

Steno copy and hamdast is granted. e

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
15.7.2019

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.As. No. 324 & 325 of 2019
---	--

K.A. Dhamapurkar  
R.D. Andher ..Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicants and Advocate for the Applicants are absent. Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 29.7.2019.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
15.7.2019

(sg)

DATE: 15/7/19.

CORAM

Hon'ble Justice ~~Chaitanya~~ Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Justice ~~Prakash~~ (A) (Vic. A)

APPEARANCE

Shri/Smt. Applicant and Advocate for  
the Applicants are absent

Advocate for

Shri/Smt. N. G. Gohad  
C.F. for Respondent/s

Adj/S.O. to 29/7/19.





# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.311 of 2019</u>
<p>DATE: 15/7/19.</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) (VIC-2)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Shri/Smt. B. A. Bandiwadekar</p> <p>Advocate</p> <p>Shri/Miss. N. G. Gohad C.F. /P.O. ....</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to 29/7/19. </p>	<p>V.N. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.7.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
O.A. No. 258 of 2019

V.R. Joshi ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the  
Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting  
Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks one week's time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 22.7.2019.

DATE: 15/7/19

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~  
Hon'ble Smt. P. ... (A) (VIC-A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K. R. Jagdale.....

Advocate

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K. ....  
C.F. P.O for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 24/7/19



Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
15.7.2019

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
O.A. No. 227 of 2019

DATE: 15/7/19

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Smt. A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) (V.C.P.)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K. R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Miss Shri/Smt. A. G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 22/7/19

V.A. Phadke & Ors. ..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 to 4 and the same is taken on record.

3. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the Applicants. S.O. to 22.7.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
15.7.2019

(sgl)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders ar directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No. 325 of 2019
<p><b>DATE:</b> 15/7/19</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) (vic-A)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. Sushant R. Kamble for N.P. Dalvi, Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj/S.O. D. 29/7/19.</p> 	<p>Dr. R.B. Nirmale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sushant R. Kamble, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.P. Dalvi, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. At her request S.O. to 29.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.7.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>