

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 15.06.2023

O.A. No.315 of 2023

C.D. Kasar .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 05.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 15.06.2023</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.48/2023 in O.A.No.1097/2022</b> <b>With</b> <b>M.A.No.80/2023 in O.A.No.97/2023</b></p> <p>K.S. Tordmal &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We direct Section Officer of Desk No.6(B) of G.A.D. to remain present tomorrow.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to <sup>19</sup><del>16</del>.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.06.2023

C.A. No.6 of 2022 in O.A.No.574 of 2020

L. D. Veer ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt. A. M. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3.

2. This contempt proceeding has been filed for non-implementation of the order passed by the Tribunal in M.A. No.184/2021 in O.A.574/2020, decided on 30.09.2021.

3. By order dated 30.09.2021, the Respondent No.3 was directed to take all the necessary steps for updating service book of the Applicant and shall pass further appropriate order about her entitlement to pension and if found entitled to pension, the same be released within four months.

4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant admits that pension is sanctioned and paid.

5. Learned Counsel for the Respondents also placed on record the details of payment of provisional pension, regular pension, difference of 7th Pay Commission, commutation etc. Learned Counsel for the Applicant does not dispute it. As such, the pension is already granted and being disbursed to the Applicant.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. However, learned Counsel for the Applicant raised grievance that GIS is not paid.
7. Insofar as GIS is concerned, it cannot be the subject matter of contempt application since the direction of the Tribunal was only pertaining to pension.
8. This being so, legally speaking the question of contempt does not survive.
9. Though the compliance is not made within four months but the fact remains that compliance is made later. As such, there is no willful disobedience to take cognizance of contempt. Hence, this contempt proceeding is disposed of.
10. The Applicant may avail legal remedy to claim GIS as may be permissible in law.
11. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**15.06.2023**

**O.A 668/2022 with O.A 533/2023**

Shri S.S Misale (O.A 668/2022)  
 Shri K.S Dongare (O.A 533/2023)... Applicants  
 Vs.  
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, holding for Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents. Shri U.V Bhosle, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A 533/2023

2. Learned counsel Mr Bhosle for the applicant in O.A 533/2023 states that he has challenged the order dated 31.5.2022 passed by Respondent no. 2, appointing him as Plumber. However, he is not allowed to join the said post in view of pending O.A 668/2022.

3. The applicant in O.A 668/2022 has challenged the appointment of Mr K.S Dongare, who is applicant in O.A 533/2023. Learned counsel Mr Dere submits that Shri L.S Deshmukh, is in personal difficulty and therefore, he is unable to attend the Tribunal.

4. We issue notice before admission in O.A 533/2023 returnable on 30.6.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit in not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '**for Dismissal**' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."

9. S.O to 30.6.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
 Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
 Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 15.06.2023
	<p align="center"><b>O.A.No.436/2017</b></p> <p>S.S. Mane .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Superintendent of Police, Ratnagiri is hereby directed to file affidavit by tomorrow i.e. 16.06.2023 as we have received the answer from learned P.O. which was on instructions from Mr. Manoj Sawant, Officer Superintendent, that on account of pendency of O.A. Respondent No.3 is not appointed.</p> <p>3. S.P. Ratnagiri to send the scanned copy of affidavit as the same will be accepted on account of urgency.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 16.06.2023. Interim relief to be continued till next date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>15.06.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 650/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.R Gire ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant seeks directions from this Tribunal to the Respondents to forthwith operate the waiting list dated 20.5.2022 vis-à-vis the post of Sweeper and appoint the applicant to the said post in open category vacancy.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 3.7.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption <b>'for Dismissal'</b> and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 3.7.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 15.06.2023**

**O.A.No.623/2023 with O.A.No.626/2023**

Dr. V.N. Dekate & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Abhijeet A Desai along with Mr. Karan R. Gajra and Mr. Vijay Singh, learned Advocates for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Mr. Desai has pointed out that the Finance Department has not been consulted which is the requirement under Rule 7 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.

3. We, therefore, direct Mr. Ashish Kumar Singh, Finance (Treasury and Accounts) ACS to file affidavit on the point of Notification dated 22.02.2022 especially proviso (iv) on the basis of the Cabinet note.

4. Matter will be heard finally on 19.06.2023. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date. To be tagged along with O.A.No.658/2023 and O.A.No.598/2023 with O.A.No.599/2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil  
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>15.06.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 799/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri U.R Shinde &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar with Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents no 1 &amp; 2. 2. Shri S. Raktate, learned counsel for Respondents no 3 to 8 absent.</p> <p>2. The applicants seek deemed date of promotion to the post of Deputy Superintendent, State Excise, Group-B w.e.f 30.4.2014.</p> <p>3. Shri S. Raktate, learned counsel for Respondents no 3 to 8 to take note that it is a High Court expedited matter.</p> <p>4. S.O to 3.7.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>15.06.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 651/2023</u></b></p> <p>Dr V.P Wahane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant seeks directions from this Tribunal to quash the requisition sent by Respondents no 1 and 2 to Respondent no. 3 to fill up the post of Deputy Director, Group-A within the Directorate of Archaeology and Museum, on the ground that the advertisement is contrary to the Recruitment Rules called Deputy Director (Archaeology) Group 'A' (Directorate of Archaeology and Museums) (Recruitment) Rules, 1994. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that it is a single post and as per rules, the said post is to be filled in only by way of promotion.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O to take instructions from G.A.D that whether it is a rule that if there is one post then it should necessarily be filled in by promotion. Further the Respondents are directed to file a short affidavit.</p> <p>4. S.O to 22.6.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>15.06.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 702/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri D.N Chimade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Thorat, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that this Tribunal be pleased to direct Respondent no. 1 to forthwith issue appointment order of the applicant to the post of Talathi from Special Backward Class category.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the Collector, Raigad, by letters dated 28.11.2019, 18.3.2020, 2.12.2020, 2.6.2021, 25.6.2021 and 12.7.2021 addressed to the Addl. Chief Secretary, Revenue &amp; Forest Department, Mantralaya, has sought guidance for filling up the post of Talathi which is kept vacant.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O points out that while the waiting list lapsed on 30.6.2021, the decision regarding disqualification of Mr G.M Teke was taken on 19.7.2021 after the select list has expired. Further it is stated in the affidavit in reply dated 17.9.2022 filed by Shri S.L Shejal, Revenue Tahsildar in the office of the Collector, Raigad, that due to non-availability of Member from the Law &amp; Judiciary Department no decision has been taken in respect of Character Verification of Mr G.M Teke. Learned C.P.O further pointed out that the post of Talathi which was not filled up through the said selection process, is carried forward in the next year and fresh requisition is sent by the Respondents on 13.1.2023.</p> <p>5. It is seen that the present applicant Mr D.N Chimade, from Special Backward Class category could not be considered within the stipulated period and the waiting list lapsed due to delay on the part of the Respondent-State. Thus, it is clear that the applicant is not at fault. Further the fresh requisition sent by the Respondents for filling up the post of Talathi will not come in the way of appointment of the present applicant as it was not his fault, but it was the fault on the part of the Respondents.</p> <p>6. Hence, we direct the Respondent no. 2, Collector, Raigad to issue appointment order in favour of the applicant Mr D.N. Chimade, subject to his eligibility and in accordance with rules, within a period of one month.</p> <p>7. With the above directions, Original Application stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.06.2023

O.A.1304 of 2022

D. S. Rasam

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.

3. S.O. to 06.07.2023

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.654 of 2023</p> <p>A. K. Bhosale <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged the communication dated 22.05.2023 whereby his representation dated 06.03.2023 has been rejected and also prayed to quash and set aside the order dated 26.02.2022 and for restoration of earlier pay and allowances.</li> <li>3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.07.2023.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 13.07.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.06.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1151 of 2022</p> <p>P.S. Kadam, .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li><li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li><li>3. Today matter is for hearing at the stage of admission.</li><li>4. In the interest of justice adjourned to give one opportunity to the Applicant.</li><li>5. S.O. to 14.07.2023.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 15.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1085 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>U.G. Joshi</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Advocate both are repeatedly absent.</li> <li>4. Today matter is for hearing without Reply.</li> <li>5. The Applicant and his Advocate seems not interested in the matter. Hence, O.A. is dismissed in default with no order as to costs.</li> </ol> <p align="right">   Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.06.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.640 of 2022 with O.A. No.684 of 2022 with O.A. No.1130 of 2022 with O.A. No.1131 of 2022 with O.A. No.1141 of 2022 with O.A. No.1142 of 2022</p> <p>R.S. Ratho S.D. Singh L.S. Patil A.B. Sali S.B. Chaudhari S.R. Ambadekar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer along with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks two weeks time to file Rejoinder in O.A. No.1141/2022 &amp; 1142/2022. In remaining O.A. Rejoinder is already filed.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.07.2023 for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 15.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.153 of 2023 in M.A. No.158 of 2023 in O.A. No.268 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>M.A. Chopade &amp; Anrs., .....Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. S.O. to 06.07.2023 for hearing of M.A.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- w <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 15.06.2023

M.A. No.79 of 2023 in O.A. No.140 of 2023

Dr. M.G. Khan .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.4 in M.A. It is taken on record. Remaining Respondents have not filed separate Reply.
3. S.O. to 05.07.2023 for hearing of M.A.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 15.06.2023

M.A. No.472 of 2022 in O.A. No.780 of 2022

S.M. Chavan .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
2. Learned Advocate Shri M.B. Kadam, holding for learned Advocate Ms. Savita Suryawanshi sought adjournment.
3. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
4. S.O. to 14.07.2023.

Sd/-  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 15.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.290 of 2023 in O.A. No.1260 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>V.N. Thasale</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Shete, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.G. Lad, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Applicant has filed M.A. for condonation of delay in pending O.A. in view of the objection raised by learned P.O.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to file Reply to M.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2023</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.668 of 2023</b></p> <p>Dr. P. P. Kande <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 10.10.2022 whereby he is suspended invoking Rule 4(1)(a) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline &amp; Appeal) Rules, 1979.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that there was allegations of sexual harassment against the applicant which were inquired into by the internal committee and the said committee has already submitted its report on 10.05.2022 and there was no such necessity of suspension of the Applicant.</p> <p>4. That apart, the period of more than three months from suspension is already over which is outer permissible limit for suspension.</p> <p>5. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to satisfy the court how suspension order dated 10.10.2022 is legally sustainable. She sought time to take instructions from the department.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 19.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.656 of 2023</p> <p>D. S. Shelar <span style="float: right;">...Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged the communication dated 11.05.2023 whereby his request for additional earned leave is rejected.</li> <li>3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.07.2023.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 13.07.2023. <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2023</p> <p>O.A. Nos.635 of 2023 with O.A. No.644 of 2023</p> <p>S. V.Rathod S. S. Patil .....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In both the Original Applications since the suspension order is not challenged within one year, the Applicants are required to file M.A. for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to file reply to M.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 15.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.673 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.M. Said</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is serving in the cadre of Talathi. Respondent No.2 – The Sub Divisional Officer, Khed by order dated 01.06.2023 transferred him from Tahsil Office Khed to Ambethan. Accordingly, the Applicant joined there. However, later Respondent No.3 by letter dated 09.06.2023 stayed order dated 01.06.2023. The Applicant has therefore challenged order dated 09.06.2023 and sought stay to the order dated 09.06.2023.</p> <p>3. Thus what transpires that the Applicant was due for transfer and accordingly he was transferred from Khed to Ambethan and joined there. Once he joined there transfer order got implemented, and therefore it could not have been stayed in such a manner.</p> <p>4. Since, the Applicant is already working at Ambethan, status qua is required to be maintained.</p> <p>5. In view of above, the Applicant shall be continued at Ambethan till filing of Reply.</p> <p>6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.07.2023.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

12. S.O. to 14.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (I)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 15.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.229 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.S. Chaudhari</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri O.A. Wable, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the standing matter it has been brought to the notice of the Applicant that Exhibit-B at pg.18 of paper book of O.A. the date of death of deceased employee late Shri Sharad Shankar Chaudhari, Police Constable, Buckle No.836, Nandgaon Police Station does not appear clearly. Therefore, printout and properly scanned copy should be submitted to the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. It has been clarified by learned Advocate for the Applicant that the position of the Applicant being lower in the waitlist, she could not be accommodated against vacancies that occurred till the Applicant attained age of 45 years and became overage.</p> <p>4. This O.A. is for substitution of name of daughter of the Applicant in her place filed by the Applicant before becoming overage.</p> <p>5. Two weeks time is granted to Respondents to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 30.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(Bijay Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date: 15.06.2023**

**O.A. No.130 of 2023**

**S.Z. Patil & Ors. ....Applicant**  
**Versus**  
**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri S.P. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It is noticed from the records that the Applicant submitted an application of substitution of name of one of her son's in her place in the year 2018, citing grounds of her ailment of diabetes, hypertension and heart diseases. However, she had not substantiated her claim by submitting her medical report. Moreover, the Applicant had also not submitted No Objection from the children eligible to make similar claim.

3. Learned P.O. has been served with the copy of citations and who seeks three weeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply covering the points of law in the O.A. and also in the four citations.

4. As the Applicant could not get appointment on compassionate ground from the year 2012 till year 2018, and she attained the age of about 40 years by that time there is possibility that the application for substitution of her name by name of her son may have been proposed to continue to have claims before turning out to be overage for the post. The Applicant has not given details of source of income by which she could support her family for about 10 years after death of her husband. These issues may come up during argument of the matter.

5. S.O. to 05.07.2023.

Sd/-  
**(Bijay Kumar)**  
**Member (A)**

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><b>Date : 15.06.2023</b> <b>Tribunal's orders</b> <b>O.A.No.331/2023</b></p>
	<p>S.T. Jadhav .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day reply will be filed.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 05.07.2023 to file rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>15.06.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 193/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri B.S Thakur ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Archan B.K holding for Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that this Tribunal be pleased to direct the Respondents to issue the permanency certificate to the applicant.</p> <p>3. Pursuant to the order dated 27.3.2023, the learned counsel for the applicant was to remain present and inform about the progress in the matter in W.P 6158/2022 before the Aurangabad Bench of the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to remain present on the next date without fail.</p> <p>5. S.O to 30.6.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b>15.06.2023</b>
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 395/2023</u></b></p> <p>Dr Yogita Shah &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O to 22.6.2023. Interim relief, if any, to continue till next date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b>15.06.2023</b>
---	--

**O.A 1121/2022 with M.A 244/2023 in O.A 401/2023**

A.V Pujari  
V.B Kashid ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C with Shri Kaustubh Gidh, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.

3. S.O to 22.6.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

Akn

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>15.06.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 925/2017</u></b></p> <p>D.K Gunjal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant files affidavit and states that he will serve copy of the same on the Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.6.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p>Sd/- _____ <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>15.06.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 258/2020</u></b></p> <p>Ms C.A Rane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the matter relates to appointment of Talathi for the year 2015. The applicant was at waiting list no. 1. Learned P.O submits that the wait list for the said selection process has lapsed. Further there is no clear vacancy for the said post and one Ms Sheetal Damse has joined on the said post and she resigned after six months.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.6.2023.</p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>15.06.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 412/2020 with O.A 413/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.V. Tamhankar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that this Tribunal be pleased to quash and set aside the impugned order dated 6.8.2019 wherein he was refused the benefit of second time bound promotion. He relied on the order dated 23.8.2019 in O.A 1121/2018, wherein it was stated that the applicant is entitled for ACPS from 1.9.2013.</p> <p>3. The Respondents are directed to verify whether the order dated 23.8.2019 passed by this Tribunal was implemented.</p> <p>4. In O.A 413/20220 the applicant prays exemption from passing the departmental qualifying examination to the post of PSI after completion of 45 years of age as on 31.1.2011. The applicant is now 57 years of age and he is going to retire in January, 2024. Applicant further prays that he is entitled to pay scale of the post of P.S.I of Rs. 38600-122800 as per 7<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission w.e.f 1.1.2016.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O is directed to take instructions in the matter and the Respondents are directed to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>6. S.O to 22.6.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 15.06.2023**

**M.A.No.283/2023 in O.A.No.1036/2021**

S.M. Katkar & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. M.A. is filed seeking urgent order. The applicants are Senior Clerks seeking promotion to the post of Head Clerks. Learned Advocate states that people belonging to the reserved category had already been promoted to the post of Head Clerk and they are under challenge in this O.A. He further states that the Department is in the process of granting further promotions to reserve category candidates who have already enjoyed the benefit of reservation earlier by way of appointment or promotion. He also pointed out that the DPC is likely to be held next week and therefore he presses for urgent orders to follow the law laid down by the Hon'ble High Court in the case of Vijay Ghogare as well as G.R. dated 07.05.2021 while affecting further promotion.

3. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit and she further pointed out that the D.P.C meeting is likely to be held in the next week.

4. Learned Advocate therefore pressed for stay of promotions or implementing the orders of the Hon'ble High Court in the matter of Vijay Ghogare.

5. We are of the view that there is grey area as far as G.R. dated 07.05.2021 is concerned. In view of the above we partly allow the M.A. with following directions.

Respondents are directed to implement the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble High Court in the case of Vijay Ghogare while effecting further promotions in this DP.C.

6. M.A. stands disposed of as partly allowed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 15.06.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.436/2017</b></p> <p>S.S. Mane .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Superintendent of Police, Ratnagiri is hereby directed to file affidavit by tomorrow i.e. 16.06.2023 as we have received the answer from learned P.O. which was on instructions from Mr. Manoj Sawant, Officer Superintendent, that on account of pendency of O.A. Respondent No.3 is not appointed.</p> <p>3. S.P. Ratnagiri to send the scanned copy of affidavit as the same will be accepted on account of urgency.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 16.06.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 15.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.199 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S.A.Shaikh Hameed</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. Copy of Reply is served on other side.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that she has not received any instruction from Respondent No.2 &amp; 3 and for purpose of getting instruction she seeks time.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also seeks time to file Rejoinder, if necessary.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Bijay Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 15.06.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.382 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>M.R. Tonpe .....Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Bijay Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 15.06.2023

O.A. No.414 of 2023

M.R. Thakare .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.

3. S.O. to 06.07.2023.

Sd/-

**(Bijay Kumar)**  
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 15.06.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.500 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>V.D. Suryawanshi</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Bijay Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 15.06.2023**

**O.A. No.286 of 2022 with M.A. No.163 of 2022**

**M.H.I. Shaikh .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for hearing.
3. S.O. to 03.07.2023.

Sd/-

**(Bijay Kumar)  
Member (A)**

NMN