

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1111 of 2019</p> <p>A. S. AdagaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant was serving on the post of Tahsildar on the establishment of Sub Divisional Officer, Karad, Dist. Satara. He was subjected to disciplinary proceeding for absenteeism under Rule 8 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979. After inquiry, the disciplinary authority i.e. S.D.O. by order dated 01.06.2017 removed the Applicant from service invoking Rule 5(8) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979. Being aggrieved by it, the Applicant has filed appeal with application for condonation of delay before the Collector, Satara. However, the Collector, Satara by order dated 17.01.2019 dismissed the appeal stating that appeal is filed after the period of expiry of limitation. Being aggrieved by it, the Applicant has filed present O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that the Applicant along with appeal memo has filed separate application for condonation of delay of 7 months and 25 days stating that he is sole earning member of the family and due to illness of old parents, he could not file appeal within 45 days of limitation. However, the Collector, Satara without deciding application for condonation of delay straight way dismissed the appeal. He, therefore, prayed to quash and set aside the impugned order.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. Learned P.O. also concedes that matter needs to be remitted back to Collector, Satara for deciding application for condonation of delay on its own merit and to decide the appeal if delay is condoned.
5. Perusal of record reveals that even though there was separate application for condonation of delay, the Collector, Satara completely ignored it and proceeded to dispose of the appeal on assumption that there was no such application for condonation of delay. In fact, he was obliged to decide the application for condonation of delay first and then to proceed with appeal.
6. It is thus explicit that the impugned order dismissing the appeal without deciding application for condonation of delay is clearly unsustainable in law and liable to be quashed.
7. In view of above, impugned order dated 17.01.2019 is quashed and set aside. The matter is remitted back to Collector, Satara for direction to decide the application for condonation of delay made by the applicant on its own merit within six weeks from today and if delay is condoned then to decide the appeal within one month from the date of decision on application for condonation of delay in accordance to law.
8. The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter.
9. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Bijay Kumar)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.370 of 2021</p> <p>R. A.R. ShaikhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Hitesh Sangle holding for Shri S. S. Chitale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought time and requested to continue interim relief.</p> <p>3. Interim relief was^{is} granted in M.A. No.299/2021 to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.294 of 2021 in O.A. No.611 of 2021</p> <p>S.V. BramheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that she has not received instructions for filing Reply to M.A. nor any official from the Department is present today. She therefore expressed her inability to file Reply.</p> <p>3. This M.A. for condonation of delay was filed long back and notices were issued in August 2019. Enough time is availed but till date Affidavit-in-Reply is not filed. On previous date most last chance was given but in vain, therefore we are not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>4. M.A. be kept for hearing without Reply.</p> <p>5. It needs to be noted that the Tribunal had accepted request of the Government to keep the matters Department wise on particular date in a week and accordingly matters are being listed Department wise. However, our experience is that official from the concerned Department are not attending the matter and for their absence matters are often adjourned. The present matter pertains to Public Health Department but none is present from the said Department though waited for some time. As such, for if Government did not adhere to their commitment the Tribunal may reconsider the issue of keeping the matter Department wise.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. I/C. Registrar is directed to send copy of this order to the Chief Secretary for information and necessary action.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 22.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1136 of 2016</p> <p><i>N.A.A. Khan</i>Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Heard submission of Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant for quite some time.</p> <p>3. This O.A. is arising from very peculiar situation, the Applicant was initially appointed as a candidate sponsored by Employment Exchange by order dated 06.01.1981 on the post of Urdu Typist as temporary Appointment. However, later by order dated 07.05.1991 Government appointed him on the post of Stenographer (Higher Grade - Urdu) temporarily and he was continued in service. Later Government by order dated 16.06.1995 continued the appointment on the post of Stenographer (Higher Grade - Urdu) till the availability of M.P.S.C. candidate. However, no candidate was made available by M.P.S.C. and the Applicant continued on the appointed post and stands retired on 31.08.2017.</p> <p>4. Interesting to note that when the Applicant was appointed on the post of Urdu Typist as well as Stenographer (Higher Grade - Urdu) no recruitment Rules were in place. It is for the first time in 1994 the Government framed recruitment Rules for Stenographer (Higher Grade - Urdu) but no candidate was made available through M.P.S.C. and correspondence was also made to change recruitment Rules but in vain. It is on these backgrounds the Applicant continued his service as Stenographer (Higher Grade - Urdu).</p> <p>5. He was terminated by order dated 30.11.2016 on the ground that he did not possess requisite</p>

P.T.O.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

qualification for the post of Stenographer (Higher Grade - Urdu). He challenged it by filing this O.A. wherein interim relief was granted by the Tribunal by order dated 06.12.2016. On the basis of interim relief the Applicant continued his service and on attaining the age of superannuation he retired on 31.08.2017.

6. The perusal of record further reveals that the Applicant had filed O.A. No.68/2004 for regularization on the post of Stenographer (Higher Grade - Urdu) but it was dismissed on 01.09.2005 since the Applicant did not possess requisite qualification. Despite dismissal of O.A. the Applicant was continued in service.

7. It is on above background the Applicant has challenged termination order *inter-alia* contending that he was not at fault and Government himself appointed him on the post of Stenographer (Higher Grade - Urdu) and availed his services for almost 26 years and now left high and dry.

8. Learned Advocate for the Applicant in alternative submission contended that the Applicant was possessing necessary qualification for the post of Urdu Typist when he was appointed by order dated 06.01.1981 and further pointed out that it was through Employment Exchange Office and not back door entry. Emphasizing on this aspect he contended that the Applicant services can be regularized for the post of Urdu Typist if not found eligible for the post of Stenographer (Higher Grade - Urdu).

9. He has further pointed out that in somewhat similar situation the Government has regularized services of Smt. Premila J. Kundhadia & Javed Abdul Wahid Khan on the representation made by them by order dated 09.02.2015.

10. However, there is no pleading for claiming alternative relief for regularization on the post of Urdu Typist. Learned Advocate for the Applicant therefore seeks one week time to file M.A. for necessary amendment, one week time is granted.

11. S.O. to 21.06.2022.

Sd/-

(Bijay Kumar)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>15.06.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 448/2021</u></p> <p>Shri V.R Mane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Dilip Bodake, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Registry to verify whether the earlier order of this Tribunal dated 5.10.2021 is intimated to the Respondents 5 to 7. Respondents no 5 to 7 should file affidavit in reply and engage new advocate in place of Shri K.R Jagdale, advocate, who has withdrawn his appearance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.655 of 2021</u></p> <p>J.M. Kumbhar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent no.4.</p> <p>2. Shri Jagdale, Ld. Advocate files reply on behalf of respondent no.4 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (मेढनायरावगुण) Member (A) 15.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.805 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. A.Y. Saraf & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar holding for Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The subject matter pertains to Division Bench. Hence, the matter may be placed before the Division Bench.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil)/ Member (A) 15.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.921 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.P. Mhaskar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files sur-rejoinder on behalf of respondent no.1 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.6.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.759 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.N. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A) 15.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.461 of 2022</u></p> <p>K.N. Mavchi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.7.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.354 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.G. Gole ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.G. Kudle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.6.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / Member (A) 15.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.336 of 2022</u></p> <p>K.S. Aher ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. 22.6.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.21 of 2022 in O.A.No.900 of 2021</p> <p>B.R. GirmeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.R. Kapadia, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. While hearing this matter especially after going through the factual position which is mentioned by the leaned P.O. that at present all the Marathi medium Practice Schools attached to D.Ed college in Maharashtra are closed down for want of students in Marathi medium primary schools. The Practice Schools attached to D.Ed college is run by only Zilla Parishad and Municipal Corporation and therefore all the 48 teachers including the present Applicant working earlier in those schools are shifted to either Zilla Parishad or Municipal Corporation by accommodating them in those establishment.</p> <p>3. The Applicant is also accommodated earlier in Zilla Parishad in Pimpri, Chinchwad and now in Municipal Corporation. The Applicant has challenged the earlier appointment in Zilla Parishad. The Single Bench by its judgment dated 23.03.2022 while allowing the O.A.No.900 of 2021 has directed the Respondent to take the decision and accommodate him as per G.R. dated 15.09.2020. After going through the said G.R. we understand that the teachers are to be accommodated on the same post and non-teaching staff is to be appointed in the non-teaching post of the other establishments.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. Considering the educational qualification of the Applicant and the post which was earlier given to him was of teacher and as per G.R. he was to be given the post of teacher and therefore he was given the post of teaching in Municipal Corporation. However, the Applicant demands that the service conditions are not to be changed and his establishment should not be changed and to be allowed to be accommodated in Government only. If the State of Maharashtra is not running any Practice Schools attached to D.Ed college, we fail to understand whether and how he can be given job in the said establishment without changing his service conditions.

5. At present learned Member (Judicial) who has decided O.A.No.900/2021 is heading the Division Bench at Mumbai and therefore this Contempt Application which is the subject matter of the Division Bench can be taken by the said Bench therefore we think it is appropriate to transfer this C.A. before the Division Bench wherein the same Member (Judicial) has decided the O.A., is party to the said Division Bench.

6. Matter is to be placed before the Division Bench tomorrow i.e. on 16.06.2022.

7. Adjourned to 16.06.2022.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Pursuant to the order of Division Bench dated 15.06.2022, I assign this particular C.A.No.21/2022 in O.A.No.900/2021 to the Division Bench headed by Member (Judicial).

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.06.2022

O.A.No.434 of 2022

- R.T. KaleApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.
1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
 2. Applicant, working in Sub Divisional Office, Walva Division, Islampur, Sangli is suspended by order dated 21.03.2022
 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.07.2022.
 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
 8. Adjourned to 13.07.2022.

Sd/-

✓
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.06.2022

O.A.No.534 of 2022

S.S. JattiApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate submits that an amount of Rs.4,94,221/- is excessively recovered from the arrears of pension in the year 2017.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents to take proper instructions.

4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.07.2022.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. Adjourned to 13.07.2022.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.06.2022

O.A.No.557 of 2022


N.K. MujawarApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate submits that the Applicant working as Talathi, Akalkot is suspended twice on the ground of mis-conduct of non-recovery of Revenue arrears and mistakes in recovery of Revenue of Rs.38.26,843/-. He was suspended on 13.10.2021 and 2nd mis-conduct dated 19.05.2022.
3. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions on this point.
4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.07.2022.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. Adjourned to 13.07.2022.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.537 of 2022</p> <p>A.P. SansareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.F. Wasif, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant who is working as Forest Officer, Group-C in the area of Dindori, District Nashik was suspended by order dated 16.02.2021. Thereafter by order dated 14.06.2021 he was transferred to Forest Rehekuri Maldhok, Bird sanctuary.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.07.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 13.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 15.06.2022
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.478 of 2022</p> <p>U.N. FundeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant who is retired as Circle Officer in May 2021 in absence of D.E. and any criminal case his retirement dues are not yet given to him.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that the Department has deposited Rs.13,81,745/- towards gratuity made on 22.04.2022 in the salary account of the Applicant. She further submitted that an amount of Rs.1,24,044/- towards provisional pension is deposited on 10.06.2022. The Provisional gratuity amount of Rs.4,04,063/- is also deposited on 10.06.2022. Further Group Insurance Scheme amount of Rs.54,898/- is deposited on 14.06.2022.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to take decision on the basis of his representation dated 31.05.2021 regarding salary for the period from June 2019 to May 2021.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.07.2022.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. Adjourned to 27.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.513 of 2022</p> <p>S.P. KadamApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.07.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 06.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.498 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3 Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.07.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 13.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- J (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.326 of 2022 in O.A.No.498 of 2022 with</p> <p>S.R. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay. The cause of action arose on 11.07.2019 thus thereafter one year period was available for the Applicant to take legal remedy.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel submits that due to COVID-19 Pandemic the Hon'ble Supreme Court by order dated 10.01.2022 in M.A.No.21/2022 in M.A.No.665/2021 in Suo Motu W.P.(C) No.3/2020 has directed that the period of limitation is extended upto February, 2022. The Applicant filed this O.A. on 26.05.2022 and therefore there is delay of approximately three months.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. submits to the orders of the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. In view of the reasons and circumstances the M.A. is hereby allowed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">prk</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 15.06.2022
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.525 of 2022</p> <p>P.P. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.C. Mangle & Mr. S.S. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that the Applicant was suspended on 03.06.2022. He submits that there are no allegations against the Applicant, her name is not appearing in the representation made by that Committee on 30.05.2022. He further submits that the complainant Ms. Trimurti has withdrawn her compliant by giving written letter and it is produced before the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has submitted the video and audio clip are collected by the Respondent wherein the Applicant's participation in the incident of accepting illegal gratification, prima facie, can be seen.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. opposed for interim relief. He submits that the video and audio clip can be produced and can be viewed by the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. submits that one Ms. Laxmi had joined the service and therefore she has withdrawn her compliant is the fact that the incident has in-fact occurred.</p> <p>6. On carefully perusal of the order as pointed by learned P.O. and considering the submissions of learned P.O. and also learned Counsel for the Applicant I am of the view that it appears that the</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>incident of demand and accepting of bribe if at all appears to be taken place.</p> <p>7. In view of above, I do not find any reason to grant any interim relief at this stage.</p> <p>8. Learned P.O. is directed to inform this Tribunal on the next date whether the Criminal case is registered against the wrongdoers (Government Officers).</p> <p>9. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.07.2022.</p> <p>11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>13. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>14. Adjourned to 13.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.153 of 2022 in O.A.No.43 of 2021</p> <p>Smt. M. B. SonawaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for correction of some dates and to add one prayer clause C(1). It does not change the nature of O.A.</p> <p>3. Leave granted to amend the O.A. as prayed for. Amendment be carried out during the course of the day.</p> <p>4. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.43 of 2021</p> <p>Smt. M. B. SonawaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3, two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.584 of 2021 in O.A. No.92 of 2021</p> <p>R.N. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing of M.A., learned Advocate for the Applicant contend that though there is challenge to 2 orders i.e. 14.07.2014 & 20.08.2018 in prayer clause of O.A. inadvertently prayer for setting aside order dated 14.07.2014 remain to be made. In M.A. for condonation of delay it is already explained.</p> <p>3. Leave granted to the Applicant to add prayer clause 9 (B) for quashing and setting aside impugned order dated 14.07.2014. Amendment be carried out during the course of the day.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.06.2022 for hearing of M.A.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1096 of 2017</p> <p>S.S.KerleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel has sent leave note. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned 06.07.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O.to 06.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.595 of 2019 with O.A.No.597 of 2019</p> <p>A. A. Andhare R. K. JadhavApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Smt. Mankoji, Learned Counsel holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant requested for adjournment on the ground that Shri Dere is busy in other court. It appears that Special Counsel Shri Ashutosh Kulkarni appearing for the Respondents is also absent. 3. Perusal of record reveals that the matter was adjourned from time to time due to absence of learned Counsel as well as Special Counsel for Respondents. 4. Since the matter is of 2019, it requires to be decided expeditiously. 5. In the interest of justice, one day time is granted for final hearing. 6. S.O. to 16.06.2022. No further time will be granted. <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.401 of 2021</p> <p>S. P. Paralkar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel has sent leave note. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned 12.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.104 of 2020</p> <p>Y. B. SalviApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel has sent leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned 11.07.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O.to 11.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.623 of 2019</p> <p>V. B. RajputApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one day time is granted for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- 22 Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.490 of 2021 in O.A. No.1115 of 2018</p> <p>M.S. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed O.A. for selection to post of Sub Inspector, State Excise and during the pendency of O.A. the Applicant has filed the present M.A. for direction that in view of subsequent development of vacancies, the Applicant be included in revised list of Sub Inspector, State Excise. Indeed, grant of such M.A. would amount to final decision of O.A. which is still pending. This being the position main O.A. needs to be decided on its own merit. Since, O.A. is already ripe for Final Hearing it requires to be heard by appropriate Bench.</p> <p>3. In view of above, M.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing before appropriate Bench.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.06.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.666 of 2020</p> <p>S.T. Kakade & Anr.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Y.S. Khochare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that another O.A. No.74/2020 arising from same situation is fixed on 09.07.2022 and further stated that one more O.A. No.48/2020 filed by learned Advocate, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar arising from same facts is fixed on 20.06.2022 for Final Hearing. He therefore requested to keep all these 3 matters on 20.06.2022 for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p>[PTO.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.867 of 2016</p> <p>R.M. HaridasApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Affidavit of Additional Chief Secretary, Medical Education & Drugs Department.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that he has gone for training and will return on Monday. She therefore requested to keep the matter on Tuesday.</p> <p>4. Adjourned for Tuesday for filing Affidavit of Additional Chief Secretary, Medical Education & Drugs Department in terms of order passed by the Tribunal on 13.06.2022.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 21.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[PTO.]</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.579 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. M. A. WaghmareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one day time is granted to file Sur-Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

Date: 15.06.2022

O.A. No.1147 of 2017

A.G. PatilApplicant
 Versus
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in compliance of order passed by the Tribunal on 09.06.2022 about the action taken against the concerned employee for negligence. Affidavit is taken on record.
3. Closed for order.

Sd/-

(Bijay Kumar)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">R.A. No.11 of 2022 in O.A.No.50 of 2021 (S.B.)</p> <p>A. N.BhalchandraApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard the Applicant in person and Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.07.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 11.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.354 of 2022 in R.A. No.11 of 2022 in O.A.No.50 of 2021 (S.B.)</p> <p>A. N.BhalchandraApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard the Applicant in person and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Tribunal has decided O.A.No.50/2021 by judgment dated 26.04.2022 declaring the Applicant entitled to deemed date of promotion to the post of Joint Commissioner of Transport w.e.f. 01.12.2019 with all consequential service benefits.</p> <p>3. The Applicant in person now filed R.A.No.11/2022 and thereafter filed M.A.No.354/2022 for amendment in Review Application <i>inter-alia</i> contending that certain grounds are not raised in R.A. He, therefore, sought permission to amend R.A. and to incorporate certain grounds.</p> <p>4. In R.A. notice is not issued since it was filed in vacation and for the first time listed on board today.</p> <p>5. Allowed to amend R.A. as prayed in M.A. Amendment be carried out during the course of the day in R.A.</p> <p>6. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.14 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. B.S. Lohare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.7.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 15.6.2022 15.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.95 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.P. Bharad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.7.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- ¹¹⁰</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 15.6.2022 15.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA No.6/2022 & CA No.7/2022 in OA No.574/2020</u></p> <p>Smt. Lalita D. Veer ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents and Ms. Advaita M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.1 & 2 in CA No.6/2022 and for Respondents No.1, 2 & 3 in CA No.7/2022 in OA No.574/2020.</p> <p>2. Ms. Advaita M. Lonkar, Ld. Advocate states that she will file affidavit of compliance in two weeks.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.6.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 15.6.2022</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.06.2022

O.A.No.471 of 2022

K.G. PatkarApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.07.2022.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Adjourned to 13.07.2022.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 15.06.2022
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.472 of 2022</p> <p>K.G. PatkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant retired at Head Quarter Assistant prays that the final decision in the determination of suspension period of the Applicant pursuant to the notice dated 30.11.2018 after considering the reply dated 05.02.2019 and 29.08.2019 to be taken and it is to be communicated to the Applicant within the period of 4 weeks from today.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits to the orders of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. In view of the prayer the Respondents are directed to decide those representations of the Applicant within the period of 4 weeks i.e. on or before 20.07.2022 and thereafter the said decision is to be communicated to the Applicant on or before 05.08.2022.</p> <p>5. As the cause of action does not survive the matter is disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.06.2022

O.A.No.474 of 2022

D.G. MoreApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.07.2022.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. Adjourned to 13.07.2022.

Sd/-


(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.06.2022

O.A.No.494 of 2022

P.V. NikamApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.07.2022.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Adjourned to 27.07.2022.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.06.2022

O.A.No.492 of 2022

D.M. ChavanApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.07.2022.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Adjourned to 13.07.2022.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.06.2022

O.A.No.499 of 2022

S.R. PatilApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.07.2022.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Adjourned to 20.07.2022.

Sd/-


(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1032 of 2016</p> <p>U.D. WalunjApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter learned P.O. produces copy of letter dated 01.03.2017 wherein the Applicant has been promoted to the post of Joint Secretary w.e.f. 01.03.2017. Taken on record and marked as Exhibit-X for identification.</p> <p>3. In view of above as nothing remains in the matter the same it is disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.235 of 2022 in O.A. No.350 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. R.H. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.M. Savagave, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 13.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA and OA. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.6.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 15.6.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1020 of 2021</p> <p>J.G. NaikApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.B. Chalak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time as learned Advocate Mr. Talekar is suffering from COVID.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 22.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.436 of 2022</u></p> <p>B.S. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson 15.6.2022 / 15.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.435 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.H. Keer ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 15.6.2022</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.437 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.K. Sarpotdar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)✓ Member (A) 15.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 15.6.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.438 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.A. Varekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 15.6.2022 15.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.51 of 2021 in O.A. No.763 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.S. Kokane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO produces a copy of letter dated 12.4.2022 and submits that order has been complied with and the balance amount after due calculation has been disbursed to the applicant and it has been communicated to the applicant. Copy of letter dated 12.4.2022 is taken on record and marked Exhibit A for identification.</p> <p>3. Hence, there is compliance of the order. CA is accordingly disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 15.6.2022 15.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p><u>O.A. No.420 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.G. More & 8 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Shyamsunder Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p>		
	<table border="0"> <tr> <td> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.6.2022</p> </td> <td> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 15.6.2022</p> </td> </tr> </table> <p>(sgj)</p>	<p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.6.2022</p>	<p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 15.6.2022</p>
<p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.6.2022</p>	<p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 15.6.2022</p>		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.274 of 2022 in O.A. No.420 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.G. More & 8 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Shyamsunder Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- 2</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 15.6.2022</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.479 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.B. Tungar & 2 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>15.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>15.6.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.298 of 2022 in O.A. No.479 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.B. Tungar & 2 Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 15.6.2022 15.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.440 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.R. Khadpe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>15.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>15.6.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.441 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.N. Nagvekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 15.6.2022 15.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.439 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.A. Keer ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.6.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 15.6.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.564 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.R. Kawale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.6.2022</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 15.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">C. A. No.08 of 2021 in O.A.No.848 of 2018 with M.A. No.344 of 2020</p> <p>R. S. Salunkhe & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ansari Faiyaz holding for Shri A. A. Gharte, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file Affidavit in terms of order passed by the Tribunal on 27.04.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1017 of 2021</p> <p>C. N. GawandApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter be kept for hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.995 of 2021</p> <p>M.D. Saste ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing with liberty to file Sur-Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.496 of 2021</p> <p>R. H. KaziApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

Date : 15.06.2022

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.36 of 2022</p> <p>M. S. DalviApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that reply is already filed and the matter was kept for filing Rejoinder. However, no Rejoinder is filed.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.129 of 2015 in O.A. No.142 of 2015 with O.A. No.143 of 2015 with O.A. No.144 of 2015</p> <p>Dr. S.S. DeshpandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.P. Nalavade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit giving breakup of the amount paid to the Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.483 of 2021</p> <p>A. F. MurdeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Smt. Kranti, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Original Application be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) vvv</p> <p align="center">Member (A) (A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p align="center">Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.336 of 2022 in O.A.No.185 of 2018</p> <p>A. D. NikhadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for interim relief.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, two weeks time is granted to file reply to M.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.817 of 2021</p> <p>D. C. Rathod & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- A. P. Kurhekar</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p align="center">Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>