

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.230 of 2024</p> <p>Y.K. BangarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3. 2. Learned P.O. files 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 12.04.2024 on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks liberty to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' to 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' of 'Respondent No.1' dated 12.02.2024 and to the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 04.04.2024 of 'Respondent No.3'. 4. The learned P.O. is thus informed that to file 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.1 to bring on record all facts not incorporated in 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 12.04.2024 relating to transfers of Applicant from post of 'Tahsildar Thane' to 'Deputation Post' of 'Tahsildar' in 'M.M.R.D.A.' and 'Respondent No.3' from post of 'Competent Authority - 9' in 'S.R.A. Mumbai' to post of 'Tahsildar, Thane' especially why the recommendations made by 'C.S.B.' in respect of both Applicant & Respondent No.3 were repeatedly over ruled without recording any reasons whatsoever by 'Competent Transferring Authority' and next 'Superior Transferring Authority'; so as to appraise if orders of 'Hon'ble Supreme Court of India' in '(2013) 15 SCC 732 (T.S.R. Subramanian and Ors. Vs. Union of India & Ors.)' were observed in letter & spirit while transferring both Applicant and Respondent No.3.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. The learned P.O. to also file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' of 'Respondent No.2' as to whether specifically services of Applicant or any officer from cadre of 'Tahsildar' had been sought by 'M.M.R.D.A.' or 'Urban Development Department' before issue of 'Revenue & Forest Department' Order dated 15.02.2024 in respect of Applicant.

6. The issue of grant of 'Interim Relief' to Applicant was heard at length today. However from documents brought on record by way of 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' of Respondent No.1 dated 12.04.2024 and 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 04.04.2024 filed by 'Respondent No.3' it is very evident that 'Tahsildar Thane' was earlier assigned routine work relating 'EVM' & 'VVPAT' - 'Godown Inspections'. However, upon joining of 'Respondent No.3' as 'Tahsildar Thane' specific work relating to (i) 'Godown Inspections' of 'EVM & VVPAT' (ii) 'Shifting' of 'EVM & VVPAT' (iii) 'Compilation of Reports' of 'MCC' which all relate directly to conduct of 'General Election - Lok Sabha : 2024' were assigned to 'Tahsildar Thane' by 'District Collector' & 'D.E.O. Thane' & 'Returning Officer-25 - Thane Parliamentary Constituency'. Therefore at this stage, grant of 'Interim Order' to Applicant cannot be considered as Applicant cannot be assigned any of these election duties of 'General Elections - Lok Sabha: 2024' due to reasons of completion of tenure as 'Tahsildar, Thane' of more than '3 Years' in last '4 Years' besides serving in 'Home District'. So; if at all Applicant was to be permitted to join back on post of 'Tahsildar, Thane' during conduct of 'General Election - Lok Sabha : 2024' then it would '*de-facto*' amount to passing 'Interim Order' which would be in direct in contravention of directions in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023.

7. S.O. to 10.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.423 of 2024 with O.A. No. 424 of 2024</p> <p>N.B. Bhosale K.S. DhondeApplicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that in inclusion of 'Para 5, 6, 7' in Order dated 26.03.2024 may have happened inadvertently and proposes to submit precipe for 'Speaking to Minutes'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

A/R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

OA Nos.20 to 22/2024 with
O.A.No.35/2024 and O.A.No.190/2024.

D.V. Chandanshiv & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. In all these OAs, Affidavit-in-Reply is filed.

3. S.O. to 2nd May, 2024.

sd/
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
15.04.2024

sd/
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
15.04.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date: 15.04.2024

O.A. No.427 of 2024

C. KerureApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant points out that orders of 'Interim Relief' granted on 28.04.2024 has not yet been implemented by (a) 'Respondent No.2' - 'Principal Secretary Urban Development Department' and (b) 'Respondent No.4' - 'Municipal Commissioner, PMC.'

3. Leaned PO states that no information is available as to why orders of 'Interim Relief' granted on 28.03.2024 have not yet been implemented by (a) 'Respondent No.2' - 'Principal Secretary Urban Development Department' and (b) 'Respondent No.4' - 'Municipal Commissioner, PMC'.

4. The 'Municipal Commissioner PMC'- 'Respondent No.4' therefore directed to implement orders of 'Interim Relief' granted on 28.03.2024 to allow Applicant to join back on post of 'Deputy Commissioner PMC'; even if no communication is received by 19.04.2024 from 'Principal Secretary Urban Development'.

5. The O.A. No. 427 of 2024 to be tagged along with O.A. No. 462 of 2024.

6. S.O. to 19.04.2024

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.134 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.B. Tadge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 8.4.2024 of respondents no.1 & 4.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.4.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder. HOB.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.04.2024</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.240/2024 in O.A.No.667/2022 with O.A.No.667/2022</p> <p>P.B. Kakade & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant in M.A.No.240/2024, Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.667/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer along with Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Mr. Bhosle has filed M.A. seeking intervention in O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar has submitted that M.A. is filed by learned Counsel Mr. Bhosle seeking intervention is not maintainable and he seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. submits to the orders of the court.</p> <p>5. Adjourned 01.06.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">prk [P.T.O.]</p>

Date: 15.04.2024

O.A. No.517 of 2024

P.D. KatkarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that the Applicant was serving on post of 'Deputy Municipal Commissioner, Pune Municipal Corporation' from 25.07.2023.
3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that by 'Urban Development Department' Order dated 26.03.2024 Applicant has been transferred to the post of 'Deputy Commissioner, Ichalkarnji Municipal Corporation, District Kolhapur'.
4. Learned Advocate for Applicant then referred to directions in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 18.03.2024 by which only those 'Municipal Commissioners' / 'Additional Municipal Commissioners' / 'Deputy Municipal Commissioners' who had completed '3 Years' on their present postings were required to be transferred, but since Applicant had completed just about '8 Months' on present post of 'Deputy Municipal Commissioner, Pune Municipal Corporation' he was not required to be transferred by the 'Urban Development Department' Order dated 26.03.2024.
5. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon mentioned that Applicant has since joined on post of 'Deputy Commissioner, Ichalkarnji Municipal Corporation, District Kolhapur'.
6. Learned Advocate for Applicant accordingly contended that 'Urban Development Department' in all fairness must be directed to keep 'One Post' of 'Deputy Municipal Commissioner, Pune Municipal Corporation' vacant till final decision in case of Applicant.

7. Learned P.O. states that no information about 'Vacant Posts' of 'Deputy Municipal Commissioner in Pune Municipal Corporation' is readily available. So status of 'Vacant Posts' is required to be ascertained from Municipal Commissioner, Pune Municipal Corporation' and the 'Urban Development Department'.

8. The 'Urban Development Department' nonetheless; is directed to keep 'One Post' of 'Deputy Municipal Commissioner, Pune Municipal Corporation' vacant as of today, if not already filled up and submit factual information by filing 'Affidavit-in-Reply' within 'Two Weeks'.

9. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.05.2024.

11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

13. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

14. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

15. S.O. to 03.05.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1145 of 2023</p> <p>V.S. LambhateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for 'Applicant' states that Applicant has since joined on 'Deputation Post' of 'Deputy Collector' in 'CIDCO'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for 'Respondent No. 3' also states that 'Respondent No. 3' has since joined on post of 'Competent Authority - 4' in 'SRA Mumbai'.</p> <p>4. The 'Interim Relief' thus stands vacated with directions to learned PO to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' regarding information sought in 'Para 2' of the Order dated 14.02.2024.</p> <p>5. S.O. 17.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.463/2024**S.M. Dabade & Ors.****... Applicants****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. This matter pertains to age relaxation for recruitment of Police Constable, Police Constable Driver, Bandsman, Armed Police Constable and Jail Constable for the year 2022-2023. In this matter, GAD GR of 03.03.2023 and guidelines of Rural Development Department GR of 15.05.2023 are relevant to examine the prayers of Applicants for Age Relaxation.

3. The learned Advocate for Applicant specifically relies on 'Clause 3.1' of Advertisement dated 01.03.2024 issued by 'DGP, Maharashtra State' i.e. instructions given to the Candidates. He also relies on Home Department GR dated 31.01.2024. This Home Department GR dated 31.01.2024 pertains to giving relaxation in the recruitment process in order to fill-up 100% posts in the cadre of 'Police Constables' for the year 2022 and 2023.

4. The learned Advocate for Applicant further submits that total 17471 posts of Police Constables are shown vacant and available for recruitment and accordingly, Advertisement was issued on 01.03.2024 by 'DGP, Maharashtra State'.

5. The learned CPO submits that total 18331 posts of Police Constables of the year 2020 and 2021 were filled-up and for that 'Advertisement' was issued on 09.11.2022 by 'DGP, Maharashtra State'. In March, 2023, the process of filling-up 18331 posts in the year 2020-2021 was finally over. The Advertisement of 01.03.2024 is for filling-up 17471 posts of Police Constables for the year 2022 and 2023. The 'DGP, Maharashtra

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

State' submitted proposal to Home Department on 15.01.2024.

6. The learned CPO further submits that in view of judgment of Hon'ble Bombay High Court in Writ Petition No.6631/2017 [Sushant S. Salvi Vs. The State of Maharashtra] decided on 28.09.2017 along with other Writ Petitions, no GR issued by GAD is applicable to Police Department. The GRs which are issued by Home Department are only applicable to Police Department. So, even if Advertisement of the 17471 posts of Police Constables had been issued before 31.12.2023 yet GR issued by GAD dated 03.03.2023 could not have been made applicable to Home Department.

7. The Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department to file Short Affidavit explaining whether GAD GR dated 03.03.2023 was applicable to Home Department and to make statement whether this GR was to be made applicable to recruitment for pots of Police Constables. Further query is also to be answered on Affidavit that in the Advertisement dated 09.11.2022, did the Home Department include 18331 posts of Police Constables as per GR dated 03.03.2023 in the 75,000 posts which were to be filled-up on occasion of celebrations of 'Amrut Mahotsav'.

8. We would also like to know that the posts of the rank of Police Constable, Police Constable Driver, Bandsman, Armed Police Constable and Jail Constable which are sanctioned in Maharashtra State and how many were vacant on 31.12.2023 and at present 17471 posts are proposed to be filled-up by Advertisement dated 01.03.2024 issued by 'DGP, Maharashtra State'.

9. The learned Advocate for Applicants submits that it is a very urgent matter, as the last date of filling-up the Application Forms is today i.e. 15.04.2024.

10. S.O. to 18th April, 2024.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
15.04.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
15.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.651/2023</u></p> <p>Dr. V.P. Wahane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 03.05.2024. HOB.</p> <p align="center"> <i>Sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 15.04.2024 </p> <p align="center"> <i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 15.04.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.84 of 2024</u></p> <p>Y.P. Khonore ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to amend the title of the OA.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served on all the respondents.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 6.5.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.105/2024</u></p> <p>Dr. V.V. Ingle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO files Affidavit-in-Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.05.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 15.04.2024 <i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 15.04.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A.257/2023 in O.A.212/2023**State of Mah. & Ors.****... Applicants
(Ori. Resps.)****Vs.****S.V. Kadri****... Respondent
(Ori. Applicant)**

1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent (Ori. Applicant).

2. S.O. to 11.06.2024.

sd/
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
15.04.2024

sd/
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
15.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.44/2024</u></p> <p>S.S. Pakale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 29th April, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>SKW</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 15.04.2024 (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 15.04.2024 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.25/2024**Dr. A.R. Madkar****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri S.D Patil, learned Advocate for Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents and Shri Kailash Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for Applicant.

2. S.O. to 29th April, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
15.04.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
15.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.448/2024**S.H. Pallewad****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 22nd April, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)

Member-A

15.04.2024

(skw)

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)

Chairperson

15.04.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.368/2024 with O.A.370/2024

R.Y. Bhosale**P.D. Rathod****... Applicants****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for Applicants, S.O. to 24.04.2024.

SKW
(Debashish Chakrabarty)

Member-A

15.04.2024

(skw)

SKW
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)

Chairperson

15.04.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.455/2024**A.S. More****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Enquiry Officer has submitted the report in the month of November, 2019 in respect of Charge-sheet dated 19.11.2018. He therefore prayed that Disciplinary Authority to pass the order and give final order in DE.

3. The learned PO submits that as per GR dated 03.04.2000, when the Criminal Case is pending against the Public Servant and the DE is initiated, then DE to be kept in abeyance till Criminal Trial is concluded and therefore, though Enquiry Report is received by Respondents in November, 2019 in view of GR, no decision is taken by Disciplinary Authority.

4. This notion of State Government is absolutely wrong. When the Criminal Case is pending, DE is required to be kept in abeyance as no prejudice to be caused to Accused for revealing his defence. Now, DE is over and report is submitted and therefore, we direct Disciplinary Authority to take decision within four weeks from uploading of this Order.

5. The OA stands disposed off accordingly. No order as to costs.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
15.04.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
15.04.2024

(skw)

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.508/2024

R.N. Bhanase ... **Applicant**
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... **Respondents**

1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 22.04.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 22nd April, 2024.

skw
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
15.04.2024

skw
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
15.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.229/2024

Dr. P.R. Bhuyekar

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.


... Respondents


1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents and Shri Kailas Jadhav, learned Advocate for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.

2. The learned CPO files Affidavit-in-Reply. It is taken on record.

3. The learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 also files Reply. It is taken on record.

4. S.O. to 12th June, 2024.


(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
15.04.2024


(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
15.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.157/2024</u></p> <p>B.S. Jadhav & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19th April, 2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 15.04.2024</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 15.04.2024</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.11/2024</u></p> <p>Dr. S.R. Katke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.V. Kate, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for Applicant seeks permission to make amendment in Prayer. Permission Granted. It is to be amended forthwith.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18th April, 2024. HOB.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 15.04.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 15.04.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....))

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.105/2024

Dr. V.V. Ingle
Vs.

... Applicant

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. The learned CPO files Affidavit-in-Reply. It is taken on record.
3. S.O. to 03.05.2024.

SP/
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
15.04.2024

SP/
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
15.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.489/2024**S.S. Walke****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard V.V. Kabade, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. At the outset, learned PO objects maintainability of OA on two grounds i.e. (i) Territorial Jurisdiction and (ii) Limitation, as the Report of 'Vishakha Committee' was submitted on 9th November, 2022.

3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that the Report of 'Vishakha Committee' was received by Applicant on 15.01.2024.

4. Issue notice returnable on 18.06.2024.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

10. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. S.O. to 18th June, 2024.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
15.04.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
15.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.461/2024

V.B. Mali**... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Ms. Tanvi Phatak, learned Advocate for Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. The Applicant prays that Respondents be directed to issue promotion order in favour of Applicant to the post of 'Inspecting Officer (Supply) Group B' on the basis of 'Seniority List' dated 18th May, 2023.
3. Issue notice returnable on 18.06.2024.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 18th June, 2024.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
15.04.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
15.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.500/2024**P.S. Madane****... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kologji, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The Applicant challenges the DE initiated against him on 02.06.2023 for incidents relating to years from 2015-2016 to 2019-2020.

3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Enquiry Officer as well as Disciplinary Authority are not allowing Applicant to appoint friend for his defence in DE.

4. The Respondents to consider the legal position of appointment of friend to defend the Applicant in DE.

5. Issue notice returnable on 18.06.2024.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

9. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

10. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. S.O. to 18.06.2024.

S/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
15.04.2024

S/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
15.04.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.406 of 2024</u></p> <p>N.A. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.5.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.175 of 2024</u></p> <p>Dr. S.R. Jain ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 6.5.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.134 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.B. Tadge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 8.4.2024 of respondents no.1 & 4.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.4.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1474 of 2023</u></p> <p>K.D. Pimple ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.5.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1408 of 2023</u></p> <p>P.M. Raut ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.4.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.1403 & 1404 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.B. Kangude K.R. Mulani ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 10.4.2024 of respondent no.2.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1301 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.D. Kaushal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 14.2.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1264 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.M. Mujawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.5.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: right;"><u>O.A. No.1203 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.R. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.6.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1197 of 2023</u></p> <p>J.G. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A. Dhannawat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 15.4.2024 of respondent no.3.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.6.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1156 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.A. Mangaonkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 20.3.2024 of respondent no.3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.5.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.509 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.D. Gawade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 18.4.2024. To be shown under the caption of Urgent Admission.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.501 of 2024</u></p> <p>P.S. Madane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.5.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.496 of 2024</u></p> <p>M.S. Bhumkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gādgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.491 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.B. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that applicant a Class III employee retired on 31.5.2023. He states that DE was initiated on 11.5.2023 and no criminal case is filed against him. He prays that pending hearing of this OA, provisional pension from December, 2023 till date should be paid to the applicant.</p> <p>3. Respondents No.2 & 3 should pay provisional pension to the applicant regularly as per Rules.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.487 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.S. Thasale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Deokar holding for Shri Shashank Mangale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. To be shown under the caption of Urgent Admission.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.457 of 2024</u></p> <p>Dr. D.K. Pardeshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 9.5.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.456 of 2024</u></p> <p>H.Y. Kasbe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 9.5.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.453 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.D. Patel ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 9.5.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.425 of 2024</u></p> <p>C.S. Vitekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Tanvi Phatak holding for Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 9.5.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.458 of 2020</u></p> <p>H.D. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The above matter is on board however scheduled to 28.6.2024.</p> <p>3. Applicant is present in the Court and seeks early date.</p> <p>4. We direct the MPSC to produce copy of email sent to the applicant calling him for interview for the post of Chief Administrative Officer, AYUSH.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 15.4.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.865 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.V. Gavhane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned by Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and taken on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for continuation of interim relief.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.4.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024 Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.488 of 2017</u></p> <p>K.A. Jadhav & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, Ld. Advocate for the Applicants, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Ld. Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 nd Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Advocate for Respondents No.24, 26, 42 & 90.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 16.4.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.4.2024</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 15.4.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.04.2024

R.A.No.15/2023 in O.A.No.414/2021

Dr. N.S. PadmapaniApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Gidh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Adjourned to 16.04.2024 at 10.30 a.m.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.04.2024**O.A.No.1463/2023**

P.J. Ingawale

.....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Reply is filed.

3. Adjourned to 10.06.2024 for filing rejoinder if any.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.04.2024

O.A.No.1080/2023

P.P. IngleApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 24.04.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.04.2024

O.A.No.1202/2023

S.M. ChavanApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.

3. Adjourned to 11.06.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1368/2023 with O.A.No.1442/2023</p> <p>Dr. B. Lad (O.A.1368/2023) Dr. J.A. Bhalerao & Ors. (O.A.1442/2023)Applicants</p> <p>Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Vijay holding for Mr. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conferencing) and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. Gaikwad has submitted that reply is filed in O.A.No.1368/2023 and she seeks time to file reply in O.A.No.1442/2023.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 22.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.04.2024</p> <p>M.A.No.240/2024 in O.A.No.667/2022 with O.A.No.667/2022</p> <p>P.B. Kakade & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant in M.A.No.240/2024, Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.667/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer along with Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Mr. Bhosle has filed M.A. seeking intervention in O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar has submitted that M.A. is filed by learned Counsel Mr. Bhosle seeking intervention is not maintainable and he seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. submits to the orders of the court.</p> <p>5. Adjourned 01.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson [P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.04.2024

M.A.No.226/2024 in O.A.No.340/2023

P.R. GampleApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bandiwadekar A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 10.06.2024.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 15.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.830/2017</p> <p>S.D. BhandareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav holding for Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 18.04.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) prk</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 15.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.555/2020</p> <p>D.N. LohkareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Shubham Gurav i/b. Mr. Talekar & Assoc., learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant belongs to Schedule Caste and he worked as Part Time Teacher in Zilla Parishad. The State of Maharashtra has provided 10% reservation to part time teachers for seeking employment in Group-C.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day she will be filing affidavit-in-reply. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 17.04.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.242/2017 with O.A.No.789/2017</p> <p>S.K. Bolbhat & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant in O.A.No.242/2017 challenge order dated 27.10.2015 dismissing him from the post of Senior Technical Assistant. Applicant in O.A.No.789/2017 is compulsorily retired by impugned order dated 13.05.2016. This is the second round of litigation as the Respondents did not follow the mandatory provision laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Yoginath D. Bagde Versus State Of Maharashtra & Anr on 16.09.1999 reported in AIR 1999 SCC 3734 of giving reasons for the disagreement.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 19.04.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.967/2023</p> <p>M.B. MagarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. Solanki, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3 is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar has submitted that he will be filing affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 22.04.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.277 of 2024 with O.A. 278 of 2024</p> <p>S.C. Shinde S.M. KasbeApplicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, along with Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO again seeks 'One Week' time to find out the status about transfer of 'Police Inspectors' who were earlier working in 'C.I.D. H.Qs, Pune', in respect of whom appropriate clarification had been sought from 'Principal Secretary & Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State' by D.G.P. Maharashtra State letter dated 26.02.2024.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.390 of 2024</p> <p>V.R. BhosaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Home Department' and 'Commissioner of Police, Thane'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.05.2024</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakraborty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date: 15.04.2024</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.328 of 2024</p> <p>B.M. DeshmukhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks 'Two Weeks' time to get information relating to observation made in 'Para 4' of Order dated 06.03.2024. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1062 of 2023</p> <p>S.B. KoliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>15.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 43/2024 in O.A 1480/2023 with C.A 44/2024 in O.A 1481/2023</u></p> <p>S.R Chate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.5.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 15.04.2024
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 412/2023</u></p> <p>B.P Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel has submitted that the Enquiry Officer has submitted report on 21.8.2023 and the applicant has submitted his reply on 26.9.2023 and matter is pending with the Disciplinary Authority. Learned counsel further submitted that on 16.10.2023 the Respondents have stated in their affidavit in reply that within three months the Disciplinary Authority will take decision. The period of three months came to end on 16.1.2024.</p> <p>3. We direct the Respondents to take final decision in the matter by 6.5.2024 and communicate the decision to the applicant.</p> <p>4. With the above directions, the Original Application stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1039/2016</u></p> <p>A.N Sonkamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms V.L Maindad, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We call upon the learned counsel for the applicant to produce the original copy of the report of Surekha Hospital, by Dr Anil Patil, wherein the height of the applicant is shown as 164.0 cms.</p> <p>3. S.O to 17.4.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>15.04.2024</p> <p><u>C.A 50/2022 in O.A 493/2021</u></p> <p>S.G Parab ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O submits that Writ Petition is filed before the Hon'ble High Court and stays is granted. 3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time. 4. S.O to 10.6.2024. <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 17/2023 in O.A 50/2019</u></p> <p>V.T Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.S Jadhav i/b Shri S.N Rathod, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.4.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 90/2023 in O.A 13/2017</u></p> <p>N.S Navale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Darshana Kambli, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.4.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>15.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 105/2023 in O.A 1201/2016</u></p> <p>A.D Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the order of the Tribunal dated 7.6.2022 in O.A 1201/2016 is complied with and nothing remains in the Contempt Application.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, Contempt Application stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>15.04.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 210/2024 in O.A 644/2022</u></p> <p>V.B Kashid ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C with Kaustub Gidh, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We have gone through the Circular dated 13.3.2024, wherein MPSC has mentioned in clause 2 that the result of the Transgenders is reserved subject to the decision in M.A 124/2024 pending before the Tribunal. The said M.A 124/2024 was dismissed on 19.3.2024. In between on 13.3.2024, M.P.S.C published the list of the Main Examination of the year 2002 wherein the cut-off marks and result of all the categories of reservation including Orphan were declared, except of Transgenders. By Circular dated 5.4.2024 MPSC fixed the standards for physical examination for Transgenders. Thereafter on 10.4.2024, MPSC published the Circular thereby calling the Transgenders for the Physical Test. However, the result and cut-off marks as per the list of the Main Examination dated 13.3.2024 is not declared in respect of the Transgenders.</p> <p>3. We would like to know from the MPSC when the cut-off marks and result of all the categories including Orphans were declared on 13.3.2024 and M.A No. 124/2024 was dismissed on 19.3.2024 and when MPSC in its Circular of 13.3.2024 have mentioned that the result would not be declared of Transgenders subject to M.A 124/2024, which was rejected, under such circumstances why adding the cut-off marks, result and names of Transgenders who are found eligible were not published in the list published on 13.3.2024. M.P.S.C has to give the explanation why the Transgenders are given discriminatory treatment which is violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India in declaring the cut-off marks and result of the Main Examination. As per Circular dated 5.4.2024, they were expected to declare the result of the Transgenders as they have stated in the Circular.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O states that M.P.S.C is expected to comply as they have stated in the Circular dated 5.4.2024.</p> <p>5. S.O to 16.4.2024. Hamdast.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>