

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.21 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. Mali & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.S. Ghumare, the learned Counsel for Respondents No.7, 8, 10, 12, 14 to 19.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate Mr. ^{Desai}Desa argued the matter today. However, the argument of the Respondents i.e. State and learned Counsel Shri S.S. Ghumare are yet to be heard. Due to COVID-19 pandemic situation the next day of the working of the Tribunal is on 20.04.2021 and on that date already the matters are fixed. Thereafter on next working day of the Tribunal which is on 23.04.2021, one matter is already fixed and it could take the whole day. We cannot hear the matter before 26.04.2021 which according to learned Advocate Mr. Desai is the last date of sending the candidates for training. However, learned Counsel Shri Ghumare is arguing the matter on video conferencing from Aurangabad Bench as it is not possible for him to attend this Tribunal physically.</p> <p>3. In view of these circumstances, we keep this matter on 29.04.2021 at 2.30 p.m. for interim relief.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.236 of 2021 with O.A.No.237 of 2021</p> <p>K. Suryakrishnamurty (O.A.236/2021) A.N. Padwal (O.A.237/2021) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Akhilesh Dubey a/w. Shri Rajuram Kuleriya i/b. M/S. Troy legal, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.236/2021, Shri Atul Rajadhyaksha, learned Senior Counsel a/w. Shri Vagish Muhra, Shri U. Kumar, learned Counsel i/b. M/S. Law Counsellors, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.237/2021, Shri Atul Damle, learned Senior Counsel i/b. Shri B.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.9 in O.A.No.237/2021, Shri Surel Shah, learned Advocate i/b. Shri B.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.11 in O.A.No.237/2021, Shri Apte, learned Counsel for the Respondents and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We refer the order dated 05.04.2021 passed by us in O.A.No.464/ 2020 and O.A.No.99/2020. Pursuant to said order the amendments were carried out in O.A. 236/2021. The O.A.No.237/2021 and T.A.No.1/2021 and T.A.No.2/2021 pending at Aurangabad are on the same point wherein the final seniority list of 31.12.2020 is challenged on the ground that the seniority and the promotions to the post of Additional Collector (Selection Grade) for the present applicants are going to be disturbed. Earlier in the Writ Petition 2612/2021, between Samiksha Ramakant Chandrakar Versus State of Maharashtra, the Division Bench of Aurangabad Bench by order dated 10.02.2021 has granted ex-party status quo which continued further without hearing the</p>

Tribunal's orders

matter on merit by the Hon'ble High Court and also further by this Tribunal. The petitioner in the said petition (Samiksha Ramakant) is now the applicant in T.A.2/2021. All the applicants are similarly situated.

3. All these applications are opposed on the ground of granting any Interim Relief and also extending the order of status quo granted in the earlier Writ Petition and then continued in T.A.No.2 of 2021.

4. The T.A.No.1/2021 and T.A.No.2/2021 are filed at Aurangabad and they need to be transferred to Mumbai. The State has moved application of transfer under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunal Act. The two applications i.e. M.A.No.145/2021 and M.A.No.146/2021 are placed before us. However, in those matters the learned Counsel from Aurangabad are appearing. These M.A.s can be heard through video conference on next date i.e. on 20.04.2021.

5. After hearing the learned Counsel for the applicants for considering time and also learned Senior Counsel for the State at length, we are of the view that the affidavit-in-reply is required to be filed by the State while hearing and it should be on record while deciding the issue of Interim relief. The order of status quo which is passed earlier cannot be vacated without hearing the learned Counsel in that petition.

6. Short affidavit-in-reply on interim relief to be filed on or before 20.04.2021 and the copy to be served by email to the applicants. Notices are issued to all the respondents and we make it clear that they are to be served along with O.A. and necessary documents by email.

7. Matter fixed on 04.05.2021 at 11.00 a.m. for interim relief.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 149/2021

**(Shri Sunil H. Suryawanshi & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
(Virtual Hearing)**


CORAM : Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.04.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in this O.A. suspension order dated 28.10.2020 is challenged by the applicant.
3. Learned C.P.O. for the respondents submitted that he would be filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents during the course of the day and matter can be kept in next seek for hearing at the stage of admission itself.
4. Learned Advocate for the applicants concedes for hearing the matter at the admission stage itself.
5. In view of above, S.O. to 23.4.2021 for hearing at the stage of admission provided that affidavit in reply is filed by the learned C.P.O. today.

Sd/-

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 570/2020
(Shri Abasaheb M. Ingale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
(Virtual Hearing)

CORAM : Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 15.04.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. During hearing of the arguments, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submitted that the Applicant before filing this Original Application has not exhausted the available remedy of filing appeal against the suspension order before the District Collector and as such the Original Applications itself is not maintainable. He, however, concedes that this part of argument is not incorporated in the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of the respondents. He requests some short time for filing short affidavit of the concerned respondent on this point.

3. Considering this peculiar situation, the matter is now fixed on 23.4.2021 for enabling the respondents to file short affidavit, if any, on the above issue. The respondents are directed to furnish the copy of short affidavit in advance to the learned Advocate for the applicant and this Tribunal. The applicant is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any, to the said short affidavit of the respondents.

4. S.O. to 23.4.2021.

Sd/-
MEMBER (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.236 of 2021 with O.A.No.237 of 2021</p> <p>K. Suryakrishnamurty (O.A.236/2021) A.N. Padwal (O.A.237/2021) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Akhilesh Dubey a/w. Shri Rajuram Kuleriya i/b. M/S. Troy legal, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.236/2021, Shri Atul Rajadhyaksha, learned Senior Counsel a/w. Shri Vagish Muhra, Shri U. Kumar, learned Counsel i/b. M/S. Law Counsellors, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.237/2021, Shri Atul Damle, learned Senior Counsel i/b. Shri B.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.9 in O.A.No.237/2021, Shri Surel Shah, learned Advocate i/b. Shri B.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.11 in O.A.No.237/2021, Shri Apte, learned Counsel for the Respondents and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We refer the order dated 05.04.2021 passed by us in O.A.No.464/ 2020 and O.A.No.99/2020. Pursuant to said order the amendments were carried out in O.A. 236/2021. The O.A.No.237/2021 and T.A.No.1/2021 and T.A.No.2/2021 pending at Aurangabad are on the same point wherein the final seniority list of 31.12.2020 is challenged on the ground that the seniority and the promotions to the post of Additional Collector (Selection Grade) for the present applicants are going to be disturbed. Earlier in the Writ Petition 2612/2021, between Samiksha Ramakant Chandrakar Versus State of Maharashtra, the Division Bench of Aurangabad Bench by order dated 10.02.2021 has granted ex-party status quo which continued further without hearing the</p>

Tribunal's orders

matter on merit by the Hon'ble High Court and also further by this Tribunal. The petitioner in the said petition (Samiksha Ramakant) is now the applicant in T.A.2/2021. All the applicants are similarly situated.

3. All these applications are opposed on the ground of granting any Interim Relief and also extending the order of status quo granted in the earlier Writ Petition and then continued in T.A.No.2 of 2021.

4. The T.A.No.1/2021 and T.A.No.2/2021 are filed at Aurangabad and they need to be transferred to Mumbai. The State has moved application of transfer under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunal Act. The two applications i.e. M.A.No.145/2021 and M.A.No.146/2021 are placed before us. However, in those matters the learned Counsel from Aurangabad are appearing. These M.A.s can be heard through video conference on next date i.e. on 20.04.2021.

5. After hearing the learned Counsel for the applicants for considering time and also learned Senior Counsel for the State at length, we are of the view that the affidavit-in-reply is required to be filed by the State while hearing and it should be on record while deciding the issue of Interim relief. The order of status quo which is passed earlier cannot be vacated without hearing the learned Counsel in that petition.

6. Short affidavit-in-reply on interim relief to be filed on or before 20.04.2021 and the copy to be served by email to the applicants. Notices are issued to all the respondents and we make it clear that they are to be served along with O.A. and necessary documents by email.

7. Matter fixed on 04.05.2021 at 11.00 a.m. for interim relief.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.21 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. Mali & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.S. Ghumare, the learned Counsel for Respondents No.7, 8, 10, 12, 14 to 19.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate Mr. Dere argued the matter today. However, the argument of the Respondents i.e. State and learned Counsel Shri S.S. Ghumare are yet to be heard. Due to COVID-19 pandemic situation the next day of the working of the Tribunal is on 20.04.2021 and on that date already the matters are fixed. Thereafter on next working day of the Tribunal which is on 23.04.2021, one matter is already fixed and it could take the whole day. We cannot hear the matter before 26.04.2021 which according to learned Advocate Mr. Desai is the last date of sending the candidates for training. However, learned Counsel Shri Ghumare is arguing the matter on video conferencing from Aurangabad Bench as it is not possible for him to attend this Tribunal physically.</p> <p>3. In view of these circumstances, we keep this matter on 29.04.2021 at 2.30 p.m. for interim relief.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">11</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.542 of 2018 (Mumbai) O.A.No.829 of 2018 With M.A.No.22 of 2021 (Aurangabad)</p> <p>K.P. Kolte ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard M/S. Talekar & Asso., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter is of the year 2018. The respondents should file their affidavit-in-reply on or before 16.06.2021.</p> <p>3. We take note that the respondents on earlier occasions have taken adjournment for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 16.06.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.521 of 2019 in O.A.No.962 of 2016</p> <p>M.P. Khadilkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant present in person.</p> <p>2. Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Leave note is on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 30.04.2021</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.944 of 2004</p> <p>G.R. Gharule ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Leave note is on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is fixed on 27.04.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.64 of 2012 in O.A.No.1330 of 2009</p> <p>Dr. M.M. Bapat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply dated 12.04.2021 on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2, through Dr. Yuvraj Shivram Malghe, working as Joint Director, office of Higher Education, Mumbai Region, Mumbai. The same is taken on record. In paragraph 5 of the said affidavit-in-reply, it is sated that the Respondents have fully complied with the directions of this Tribunal. It is prayed that the delay be condoned in taking the decision.</p> <p>3. Accordingly delay is condoned. In view of this nothing remains in C.A.No.64/2012. Hence, C.A. is disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.04.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.541 of 2020</p> <p>J.C. Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Leave note is on record.</p> <p>3. Today the learned P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply dated 22.03.2021 on behalf of Respondent No.3 through Shri Kamlakar Samptarao Takawale, Assistant Commissioner of Police, office of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Solapur. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. Matter is adjourned to 01.07.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-left: 20px;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.438 of 2019</p> <p>S.B. Borade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply in respect of Respondent No.1, 2 and 3 is already filed. Today the learned P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply dated 12.04.2021 on behalf of Respondent No.4. through Dr. Yja, Joint Driect.</p> <p>3. Matter is admitted and adjourned to 17.06.2021. The subject of the present O.A. is 'appointment' and not 'transfer'. Corrections be made accordingly in the board.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.228 of 2021</u></p> <p>Shivram V. Destewad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to add Caste Scrutiny Committee as party respondent.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith and notice be also issued to added respondent.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that proposal of caste claim is submitted to the Caste Scrutiny Committee on 18.7.2017. However, till today the Caste Scrutiny Committee has not given any decision.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, order of reversion dated 5.3.2021 qua applicant is stayed in view of the directions given by the Division Bench of Hon'ble Bombay High Court dated 19.1.2017 in W.P. No.874 of 2017 specially para 5(g) and (h).</p> <p>6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.4.2021.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dhat) Vice-Chairman 15.4.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 15.4.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.298 of 2020</p> <p>R.S. KarpeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents is present. Learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of Respondents No.1 & 2. It is taken on record. S.O. to 06.05.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.669 of 2020</p> <p>A.B. HotkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.B. Hotkar, Applicant in Person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.05.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.230 of 2021</p> <p>R.R. JirepatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 & 2. It is taken on record. Adjourned to 30.04.2021 for filing Rejoinder, if any. <p align="right">Sd/- ^v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.199 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. S.B. BaralayApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Adjourned to 30.04.2021 for filing of Reply. 4. Interim Relief already granted by order dated 16.03.2021 is continued till next date. 5. S.O. to 30.04.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.575 of 2020 with O.A. No.589 of 2020</p> <p>P.K. Marde S.N. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, and Learned Advocate Shri M.D. Lonkar both are absent. Applicant in O.A. No.589/2020 is present in person.</p> <p>2. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate is on leave note.</p> <p>3. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. submits that review committee has already recommended for revocation of suspension and reinstatement in service and necessary order will be issued soon. She has requested for one week time.</p> <p>5. Indeed, on last date i.e 31.03.2021 matter was adjourned on same ground on issuance of appropriate orders but the same are not issued.</p> <p>6. However, since review committee has already taken decision short time is granted for issuance of necessary orders.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 23.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) [P.T.O.]</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.997 of 2019</p> <p>S.A. SutarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. short time is granted for filing Affidavit of Shri S.D. Naranvar, Deputy Conservator of Forest, Sawantwandi, in terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 30.03.2021.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.121 of 2019 with O.A. No.240 of 2019</p> <p>S.K. ChormaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note. 3. Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents is present. 4. S.O. to 20.04.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.768/2020</u></p> <p>Shri D.T. Katke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant for the long time. Due to paucity of time, his arguments remained incomplete. He is coming from Aurangabad. He has requested to keep the matter on 20th April, 2021 in the noon for his remaining arguments through Video Conferencing, since it has become difficult to come physically in pandemic situation.</p> <p>2. In view of above, matter is adjourned to 20th April, 2021 at 2.30 p.m. for remaining arguments through Video Conferencing.</p> <p>3. Registrar is directed to make necessary arrangement.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 15.04.2021</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.242 of 2021</p> <p>D.B. KedariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On previous date i.e. 08.04.2021 the Tribunal has given specific direction to the Respondent No.1, to file Reply on Affidavit and to explain why no final decision is taken in D.E. for period of more than three years.</p> <p>3. However, today learned P.O. submits that she has contacted the concerned official from Respondent No.1, but she is not getting proper instructions.</p> <p>4. The Applicant stands retired on 30.09.2014. At the time of retirement two D.Es. were pending against him. Enquiry officer has submitted report in 2018. The Applicant was served with enquiry report and he had already tendered his reply in 2018 itself. However, since then no final decision is taken by the Respondent No.1, who is competent authority in the present matter.</p> <p>5. It is really disgusting to note that Respondent No.1 is sitting over the matter for the period of three years and failed to pass final order in D.Es. It is because of negligence on the part of the Respondent No.1, the</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Applicant is deprived of his legitimate retrial dues. Despite specific directions given on 08.04.2021, Respondent No.1 has not realized the seriousness of the matter. It is become common phenomenal to keep D.E. pending for years together and to delay retrial benefits of the Government servant for which serious note needs to be taken.

6. In view of above the Secretary, Planning Department, Government of Maharashtra, Mantralaya, Mumbai is directed to file his Affidavit and to explain as to why no final decision is taken in D.E. for more than three years, He is further directed to explain as steps taken in the matter after receipt of enquiry report from the Respondent No.3, as well as present status of the matter.

7. Affidavit be filed on 20.04.2021. Copy of order be sent to the Respondent No.1 for compliance.

8. On failure to do so appropriate proceeding for Contempt Order of the Court would be initiated.

9. Hamdast granted.

10. S.O. to 20.04.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p>M.A. No.115 of 2021 in O.A. No.115 of 2021</p> <p>H.C. SalokheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. N.S. Sharma, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to condone the delay of 104 days caused in filing O.A. No.115/2021.</p> <p>3. In O.A. the Applicant has challenged order dated 23.10.2019 denying the claim for appointment on compassionate ground.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that due to COVID-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown the Applicant was unable to file O.A. within the period of one year, and therefore, prayed to condone the delay of 104 days. She referred to the order of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo Motu Writ Petition (Civil) No.03 of 2020, whereby directions has been issued for extending the period of limitation w.e.f. 15.03.2020 till further orders, in view of COVID-19 pandemic situation faced by the Country.</p> <p>5. In view of above, I am inclined to condone the delay.</p> <p>6. Delay is accordingly condoned. M.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.115 of 2021</p> <p>H.C. SalokheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. N.S. Sharma, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Delay caused in filing O.A. is condoned, in view of order passed in M.A. No.115/2021 today. 3. In O.A. challenged is to the order dated 23.10.2019 denying the claim for appointment of Applicant on compassionate ground. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.06.2021. 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

10. S.O. to 08.06.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.260 of 2021</p> <p>M.M. MahaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note. 3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents is present. 4. Learned P.O. has tendered Reply. It is taken on record. 5. S.O. to 04.05.2021. <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- ^v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.209 of 2021</p> <p>D.K. DokeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note. 3. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents is present. 4. S.O. to 10.06.2021. <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.232 of 2021</p> <p>C.S. KhoseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents is present. 3. Though, learned Advocate for the Applicant has taken circulation for today, she is absent. 4. In the interest of justice to give one opportunity, ^{प्रति} _{द्वारा} 5. S.O. to 03.05.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.241 of 2021</p> <p>R.N. ManeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note. 3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents is present. 4. S.O. to 03.05.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.210 of 2021 with M.A. No.111 of 2021</p> <p>R.L. BhongApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note. 3. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents is present. 4. S.O. to 10.06.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p>R.A. No.01 of 2021 in O.A. No.935 of 2017</p> <p>S.R. KoliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.06.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">R.A. No.02 of 2021 in O.A. No.580 of 2019</p> <p>D.S. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents is present. 3. S.O. to 10.06.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- <small>W</small> (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p>R.A. No.04 of 2021 in O.A. No.323 of 2019 with R.A. No.05 of 2021 in O.A. No.321 of 2019 with R.A. No.06 of 2021 in O.A. No.322 of 2019</p> <p>A.T. Rajam S.A. Koyande M.Y. RaoraneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in R.A. No.04/2021 & R.A. No.05/2021 and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in R.A. No.06/2021.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.06.2021.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 08.06.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.132 of 2021 in O.A. No.180 of 2021</p> <p>F.MD. I. SourajApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. This M.A. is for amendment in O.A. which is already fixed on 29.04.2021. Since, today the Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. M.A. be kept on 29.04.2021.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.04.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.175 of 2020</p> <p>M.J. MohiteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted as last chance for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. 4. S.O. to 06.05.2021. <p align="right">^ Sd/- - (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.190 of 2020</p> <p>S.A. WarangApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note. 3. Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents is present. 4. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance. 5. S.O. to 04.05.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.447 of 2020</p> <p>D.A. KhadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents is present. Today, learned P.O. has tendered copy of order dated 25.03.2021 issued by the Respondent No.1, whereby suspension of the Applicant is revoked and he is reinstated in service. In present O.A. challenged was to the suspension order and to the reinstatement in service. Since, suspension of the Applicant is now revoked and he is reinstated in service, O.A. has become infructuous. As copy of order dated 25.03.2021 is taken on record and marked as letter 'X'. In view of above O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.484 of 2020</p> <p>R.S. NagareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note. 3. Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents is present. 4. Pleading is complete. 5. Matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission. 6. S.O. to 04.05.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.486 of 2020</p> <p>S.D. TejaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note. 3. Shri A.J. Chougule., learned P.O. for the Respondents is present. 4. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. 5. Adjourned for filing Rejoinder, if any. 6. S.O. to 08.06.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="765 479 989 510">Date: 15.04.2021</p> <p data-bbox="994 556 1263 587">O.A. No.265 of 2021</p> <p data-bbox="765 633 1495 741">A.B. NyaynitApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="765 829 1495 947">1. Heard Shri A.B. Nyaynit, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. <li data-bbox="765 1004 1495 1071">2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.06.2021. <li data-bbox="765 1117 1495 1226">3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. <li data-bbox="765 1267 1495 1488">4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. <li data-bbox="765 1524 1495 1669">5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. <li data-bbox="765 1710 1495 1895">6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. <li data-bbox="765 1937 1495 2122">7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. <li data-bbox="765 2158 1107 2189">8. S.O. to 08.06.2021. <p data-bbox="1204 2205 1285 2261" style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1154 2308 1357 2380" style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p data-bbox="765 2426 812 2452">NMN</p>