

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**15.03.2023**

**O.A 270/2023**

Shri R.N Bhise & Ors ... Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Praveen Kamble, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant prays that the Respondent no. 1 be directed to grant the applicants permission to fill the form for Preliminary Examination pursuant to advertisement dated 24.2.2023 for the post of Food Safety Officer by granting suitable extension.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that the Pune Municipal Corporation has recruited Food Safety Officers wherein Bachelor Degree of Pharmacy are considered as eligible candidates.

4. Learned counsel seeks leave of this Tribunal to add Medical Education and Drugs Department as party Respondent.

5. Leave granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith and copy be served on the Respondents.

6. S.O to 17.3.2023.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

Attn

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<b>15.03.2023</b>

**O.A 171/2023**

Smt S.A Rasankar &amp; Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that on 30.12.2022 show cause notice was issued to the applicants and the they have replied to the same.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants further submit that the applicants were promoted as Awal Karkoon by order dated 24.2.2021 and they have put in more than 2 years on the said post.

4. Hence, we direct the Respondents to take decision on the reply submitted by the applicants to the show cause notice and communicate the same to the applicants and also file affidavit in reply.

5. Till then the applicants should not be reverted.

6. S.O to 12.4.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<b>15.03.2023</b>
	<b><u>O.A 109/2023</u></b>
	<p>Shri A.A Mohite ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.R Suryavanshi holding for Shri G.M Savagave, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that pursuant to the order dated 8.2.2023 the Respondents were directed to decide the representation dated 23.8.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O produces copy of communication dated 14.3.2023 addressed to the office of C.P.O stating therein that the representation of the applicant is decided. Copy of the same is handed over to the learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>4. As the representation of the applicant dated 23.8.2022 is decided, nothing remains in the Original Application and the same stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.As 55 & 56 of 2018**

**Mr. A.T. More & Anr. ... Applicants**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.

2. These two O.As were heard and decided by this Tribunal by order dated 01.03.2021 against which review was filed and it was also dismissed on 05.08.2021. Being aggrieved by it, the Respondent-State filed Writ Petition Nos.8302/2021 and 8303/2021 before Hon'ble High Court.

3. Hon'ble High Court by order dated 20.02.2023 remanded the matter to the Tribunal directing the parties to remain present before the Tribunal today. Accordingly, learned Advocate for the Applicant and P.O. made appearance before the Tribunal today.

4. Perusal of order of Hon'ble High Court dated 20.02.2023 reveals that the Government had tendered letters dated 13.02.2015 and 17.08.2015 stating that Applicants have not worked for 10 years. Those letters were not tendered before the Tribunal while deciding O.As. Hon'ble High Court granted permission to produce letters before the Tribunal and then to decide the matter on its merits.

5. In view of above, one week time is granted to the Government to produce the letters referred in the order of Hon'ble High Court, so that O.A. could be decided afresh.

6. S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> March, 2023.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
**Member-J**  
**15.03.2023**

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20


I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 15.03.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.950 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>S.G. Pawar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Pravin Sabban, learned Advocate holding for Dr. R.P. Sabban, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed present O.A. for direction to the Respondents to regularize his services as Medical Officer, Class-II by appointment order dated 02.11.2017 issued by The Dean, Grant Government Medical College &amp; Sir J.J. Group of Hospital, Mumbai initially for 120 days and thereafter with technical break he was continued in service till date. The Government by G.R. dated 01.07.2010 issued order for appointment of temporary Medical Officer in Government Medical College and Hospital through selection board at the level of Dean. Thus it is in issuance of G.R. dated 01.07.2010 the Applicant was appointed by The Dean, Grant Government Medical College &amp; Sir J.J. Group of Hospital, Mumbai by order dated 02.11.2017 having found qualified and eligible for the said post. As per last appointment order dated 15.11.2022 issued by The Dean, Grant Government Medical College &amp; Sir J.J. Group of Hospital, Mumbai, <sup>he</sup> <del>he</del> was lastly appointed for 120 days from 16.11.2022 to 15.03.2023 and period of appointment is expiring today.</p> <p>3. It is on the above background, learned Advocate for the Applicant prayed for interim relief to protect the services of the Applicant.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. In O.A. yesterday Respondents have filed Affidavit-in-Reply and matter is ripe for Final Hearing. Since, Final Hearing <sup>will</sup> take some time the matter is kept today for consideration of interim relief.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. opposed interim relief stating that appointment order of the Applicant was not as per due process of law and Recruitment Rules of 2022.</p> <p>6. Admittedly, there was no such Recruitment Rules framed and notified at the time of Appointment of the Applicant in 2017. The very fact that the Applicant was continued in service for more than 5 years <i>prima-facie</i> shows necessity of his service and vacancy.</p> <p>7. Learned Advocate for the Applicant in reference to decision rendered by Tribunal, Aurangabad Bench in O.A. No.379/2018 decided with connected O.A. on 18.08.2022 has pointed that in similar situation the Tribunal allowed O.A. and regularized the services of similarly situated Medical Officer.</p> <p>8. In view of above, <i>prima-facie</i> the Applicant is entitled to continuation in service subject to same terms and conditions in terms of order dated 15.11.2022 and he be continued in service till further order.</p> <p>9. Hearing of O.A. is expedited.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 06.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-    (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 15.03.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>M.A. No.163 of 2023 in R.A. No.04 of 2023 in O.A. No.367 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. (Org. Resps.).....Appl. Versus P.B. Umrani (Org. Appl.) .....Resps.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri P.B. Umrani, Respondent in Person (Org. Applicant in Person).</li> <li>2. These M.A. and R.A. are filed in respect of the order passed by the Tribunal on 20.07.2022.</li> <li>3. Since, the Applicant in person is present issuance of notices of M.A. and R.A. are dispensed with.</li> <li>4. Two weeks time is granted to Applicant in person to file Reply to M.A. and R.A. both.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 30.03.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.59 of 2023</p> <p>Y. M. Rawool <span style="float:right">...Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file Affidavit in Reply by way of most last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.03.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p><u>L.O.</u></p> <p>4. Learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 15.03.2023**

**O.A.No.99/2022**

J.S. Pandit .....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Admit.

3. Place for Final hearing.

4. Adjourned to 29.03.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
Member (A)

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b>15.03.2023</b>
---	--

**O.A 1259/2022**

Shri G.R Shelar & Ors ... Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar holding for Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that the present Original Application be detagged from the group of matters.

3. O.A No. 1259/2022 be detagged from the group of matters, i.e., O.A 568, 344 and 327/2022.

4. S.O to 16.3.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<b>15.03.2023</b>
	<b><u>O.A 1072/2022</u></b>
	<p>Shri S.G Ramugade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to serve copy of the Original Application on the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Place the matter under the 'Caption of Dismissal' for want of affidavit of service.</p> <p>4. S.O to 29.3.2023.</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b>15.03.2023</b>
---	--

**M.A 157/2023 in O.A 270/2023**

Shri R.N Bhise & Ors ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Praveen Kamble, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b>15.03.2023</b>
---	--

**O.A 719/2018**

Dr M.A Jadhav ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Darshana Kadekot holding for Shri V.B Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 5.4.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil/  
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.16 of 2022 in O.A. No.223 of 2020</u></p> <p>D.N. Kamble &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By order dated 18.10.2021 the Tribunal has quashed the recovery order passed against the applicants and present pay was protected. We are of the view that order of the Tribunal is complied with.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, CA is disposed off.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____  (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Member (A) Chairperson  15.3.2023 15.3.2023  (sgj) </p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

15.03.2023

O.A 217/2023

Mr B.M Belbandare ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Rahul B. Vijaymane, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. The applicant challenges the order dated 17.5.2022 passed by Respondent no. 4, thereby recovering 10% from the pension of the applicant for a period of four years and recovery of amt of Rs. 1,22,000/-
3. The Respondents to verify whether the applicant is granted remaining 90% of the pension.
4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.04.2023.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit in not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '**for Dismissal**' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."
10. S.O to 12.4.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.946 &amp; 947 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. S.A. Khadse Dr. R.S. Kulkarni ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.D. Rananaware, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the above matters we direct the MPSC to declare the result of the applicant on or before 17.3.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.3.2023 along with OA No.837/2022 and other group matters.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 15.3.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 15.3.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.135 of 2020</u></p> <p>Jyoti R. Choudhari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant in person states that she is pursuing the matter before the Director, Town Planning and requests for two months time.</p> <p>3. As two months time i.e. 15.5.2023 comes in Summer Vacation, the matter is adjourned to 15.6.2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.6.2023.</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(Medha Gadgil)</td> <td align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Member (A)</td> <td align="center">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">15.3.2023</td> <td align="center">15.3.2023</td> </tr> </table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)	Member (A)	Chairperson	15.3.2023	15.3.2023
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)								
Member (A)	Chairperson								
15.3.2023	15.3.2023								

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 15.03.2023**

**O.A.No.83/2022**

Dr. P.L. Patil .....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard. Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Admit.

3. Place for Final hearing.

4. Adjourned to 05.04.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b>15.03.2023</b>
---	--

**C.A 80/2022 in O.A 879/2015**

Shri G.P Wadekar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O submits that the file is pending in the office of the Hon'ble Chief Minister and hence request for time.

3. S.O to 29.3.2023.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**

Akn

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 15.03.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.998/2022 with O.A.No.999/2022 with O.A.No.1000/2022</b></p> <p>P.R. Arage &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. Place for Final hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 26.04.2023, with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<b>15.03.2023</b>	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------	-------------------

**O.A 288/2023**

Shri R.V. Panare. ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Shirang Katneshwarkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the Respondents issued Circular dated 14.3.2023 wherein the application for the post of Plumber has not been included in the Application Form.

3. As the grievance of the applicant is redressed, nothing remains in the Original Application and the same stands disposed of.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(**Medha Gadgil**)  
Member (A)

(**Mridula Bhatkar, J.**)  
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 15.03.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.871/2021</b></p> <p>V.R. Sawant &amp; Ors.. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Admit.</li><li>3. Place for Final hearing.</li><li>4. Adjourned to 26.04.2023.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<b>15.03.2023</b>

**M.A 607/2022 in O.A 465/2020**

Shri G.Y Deshpande ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant has seeking promotion to the post of Joint Commissioner, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fisheries Dept.

3. Learned C.P.O states that she has informed G.A.D about filing of the reply to the Misc Application.

4. The matter will proceed without reply of G.A.D.

5. S.O to 5.4.2023 for final hearing.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**15.03.2023**

**M.A 49/2022 in O.A 992/2019**

Dr P.N Chaudhari ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, matter adjourned to 29.3.2023.

Sd/-	Sd/-
<b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)	<b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson

Akn



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**15.03.2023**

**M.A 65/2023 in O.A 24/2023**

Shri C.B Khatke ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Priyanka Shaw holding for Shri R.K Mendadkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The Respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance.

3. S.O to 12.4.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<b>15.03.2023</b> Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>M.A 71/2022 in O.A 1095/2016</u></b></p> <p>Shri B.S Killedar &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the order of this Tribunal is complied with and she has filed affidavit in reply to that effect. Copy of the same is served on the learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>3. At the request of the learned counsel for the applicant, matter adjourned to 24.3.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.229 of 2023</p> <p>S. S. Chaudhari <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span>  Versus  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri O.A. Wable, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, it is noticed that Applicant has not challenged the communication dated 15.12.2022 whereby Applicant's claim for substitution of name in the waiting list is rejected.</li> <li>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to amend the prayer clause of O.A. seeking relief to quash and set aside the communication dated 15.12.2022.</li> <li>4. Permission granted. The amendment be done forthwith.</li> <li>5. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.04.2023.</li> <li>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ <u>Miscellaneous</u> Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / <u>Miscellaneous</u> Application shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 12.04.2023. <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.03.2023

O.A.No.283 of 2023

K. V. Bachhav

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 18.01.2023 to the extent of posting in Tahsil office, Nandgaon on reinstatement after revoking suspension. She was suspended by order dated 12.09.2022 while she was serving in the Collector office, Nashik. Later, she was reinstated in service by order dated 18.01.2023 but posted at Nandgaon and being aggrieved by order of posting at Nandgaon, she has filed this O.A.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.04.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 13.04.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N


Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 15.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.235 of 2022</p> <p>R.P. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Amar Bodke, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Affidavit on behalf of MPSC. However, learned P.O. sought short time on the ground that the staff of MPSC is on strike. This matter is Part Heard and thereafter MPSC was joined as Respondents. In the interest of justice time is granted till 20.03.2023 to file Affidavit-in-Reply of MPSC with specific direction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.03.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.280 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>S. B. Kalekar</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged the communication dated 14.12.2022 whereby his request for change in date of birth in service record has been rejected. In service record, his date of birth is recorded as 21.05.1965 whereas according to him, his real date of birth is 23.11.1966 but his claim is rejected.</li> <li>3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.04.2023.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ <del>Miscellaneous</del> Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / <del>Miscellaneous</del> Application shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 13.04.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.276 of 2023</p> <p>S. D. Abnave .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant stands retired on 30.11.2019 but he is not paid all his retirement dues. Some dues are paid. He made representation and ultimately filed this O.A.</li> <li>3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.04.2023.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ <u>Miscellaneous</u> Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / <u>Miscellaneous</u> Application shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 12.04.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">   <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.213 of 2023</p> <p>P. S. Gharat &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. D Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicants have challenged the communication dated 16.09.2022 whereby the benefit of 2<sup>nd</sup> ACPS has been rejected.</li> <li>3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.04.2023.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 12.04.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- W*</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.14 of 2023</p> <p>S. S. Wagh since deceased legal Heirs L.S. Wagh &amp; Anrs. <span style="float: right;">....Applicants</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.41 of 2023</p> <p>N. S. Bhise &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Rejoinder is under preparation and same will be filed during the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.174 of 2023</p> <p>R. A. Jadhav ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance with specific direction that no further time will be granted and matter will be heard on the same day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.179 of 2023</p> <p>S. S. Murudkar <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2 are present.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 submits that the reply is under preparation and same will be filed during the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply on behalf of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.03.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.15 of 2023 with O.A. No.129 of 2023 in O.A. No.145 of 2023</p> <p>S. K. Kuklare S.A. Shende M. B. Barmate <span style="float: right;">....Applicants</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule with Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply in O.A.No.15/2023 on behalf of Respondent No.1 only. It is taken on record. No reply is filed in remaining two O.A.s or any other Respondents.</p> <p>3. Ten days' time is granted to file reply on behalf of remaining Respondents as well as in O.A.Nos.129/2023 and O.A.145/2023.</p> <p>4. These Original Applications be kept on 27.03.2023.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;">   Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2023</p> <p>M. A. No.40 of 2023 in O.A. No.83 of 2023</p> <p>S. B. Sapkal .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit in Reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2023</p> <p>M. A. No.18 of 2023 in O.A.No. 39 of 2023</p> <p>R. B. Misal .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit in Reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2023</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.126 of 2023 in O.A.No.213 of 2023</p> <p>P. S. Gharat &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. D Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</li> <li>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</li> <li>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</li> <li>5. M. A. No.126 /2023 is allowed. No order as to costs.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2023</p> <p>M.A. No.148 of 2023 in O.A.No.260 of 2023</p> <p>A. A. Wankhede .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. K. Bhamre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.04.2023.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the <del>Original</del>/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the <del>Original</del>/ Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 12.04.2023.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>