M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

#### 15.03.2022

#### O.A 770/2014

The Maharashtra Rajya Shasakiya Va Jilha Parishad Vahan Chalak (Varg-3) Karmachari Sanghatana & Ors

... Applicants

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

- Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
- We have heard Mr Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants nearly for 5 to 6 hours on different dates.
- The applicants Association consists of 3000 members who are Drivers working in different Department of Government of Maharashtra in various Districts and so also in Zilla Parishad. They have one and the same grievance that they have not been given the benefits of time bound promotion at any stage from 1.1.1996 onwards. Similarly, their pay is not fixed as per 5th, 6th & 7th Pay Commission as they were not given the benefits of time bound promotion, which involves application of G.R dated 3.8.2001.
- Learned P.O is instructed by Shri Kiran Shinde & Shri Shashikant Tijare, Desk Officer, Finance Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, who are present before this Tribunal.
- The officers from the Finance Department have explained the application of the G.R dated 3.8.2001 and so also application of Annexures I & II as per the 4th, 5th,  $6^{th}$  &  $7^{th}$  Pay Commission. The assistance rendered by the Officers from the Finance Department is found very useful and we are of the view that this issue can be sorted out by sending the matter to the Finance Department as the expertise in the Finance Department is required to deal with this financial aspect.
- The Tribunal, though is competent to decide the legality of the G.Rs dated 17.5.2003 and 5.7.2010, it cannot go into the financial aspect of the said G.Rs when the arithmetic calculations are involved threadbare. We are not the expertise in Finance, it is proper and correct to refer the matter to the Finance Department, where the experience and expertise in Finance are available.



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

7. We direct the learned counsel for the applicants to furnish the list of 3000 Drivers, who are Members of this Association, along with their name and date of appointment and from which Department they have retired. The entitlement of each and every Driver is required to be fixed on the basis of his Service Book and therefore the applicants are directed to keep ready their original Service Book.

8. Learned counsel for the applicants is directed to give names and date of joining of the applicants as Drivers by 17.3.2022, in Pen Drive so as to enable us to pass further orders in the matter.

S.O to 17.3.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 15.03.2022

O.A.No.1133 of 2019

A. J. Dange

....Applicant

**Versus** 

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Basically this O.A. is filed challenging punishment of withholding of one increment without effecting future increments.
- 3. On previous date, the matter was adjourned on the request of learned Counsel for the Applicant that he intend to amend O.A. so as to challenge the order passed in July, 2019 thereby treating the period of suspension as such for all purposes. The issue of treatment of suspension period is totally different which cannot be agitated in the present O.A. since the main issue is legality of punishment.
- 4. The Applicant can avail remedy independently as regard the order passed in July 2019 about treatment to suspension period.
- 5. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing on the point of legality of punishment order.
- 6. S.O. to 29.03.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

[*P.T.O.* 

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders  Date: 15.03.2022	
	O.A. No.752 c	f 2021
	R.J. BhosaleApplic	
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Or	sRespondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advoctor for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken record.</li> </ol>	
	3. S.O. to 25.03.2022.	
		√ Sd/-
		A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

#### LATER ON

Date: 15.03.2022

O.A. No.165 of 2022

A.U. Pawar

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. The Applicant has filed this O.A. challenging order passed by Government whereby period from 11.01.2008 to 16.02.2011 has been treated as suspension period, as such. The Applicant has preferred appeal before Hon'ble Governor in 2021 but the same is not decided, and therefore after waiting six months he has filed this O.A.
- 3. Thus, admittedly appeal is pending before Hon'ble Governor for long time.
- 4. In view of above, it would be expedient to dispose of O.A. with request to Respondent No.2 to ensure decision of appeal filed by the Applicant at the earliest preferably within two months from today.
- 5. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

W

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

.....Respondents.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders Date: 15.03.2022 O.A. No.234 of 2022 .....Applicant

A.R. Umale Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

- Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- The Applicant is seeking direction for relieving 2. him in pursuance of his transfer order dated 09.08.2021. He was transferred from Awasari, Dist. Pune to Gadchiroli and as per Clause No.2 of order dated 09.08.2021 passed by Government, directions were given to the Head of the Department / Principal to relieve the employee who are transferred immediately. Despite these clear instructions the Applicant is not relieved though period of more than six months is over.
  - Learned P.O. on instructions submits that necessary arrangement will be made soon.
  - In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.2 to relieve the Applicant at earliest, latest within two weeks from today.
  - No order as to costs. 5.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 15.03.2022

O.A.No.540 of 2021

E. P. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

...Respondents. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

- Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for 1. the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- Today, learned P.O. has filed additional Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.
- On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the 3. matter is adjourned for concluding arguments.
- S.O. to 21.03.2022. 4.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

o l A . Problem No.	of 20	Di	STRICT
Original Application No.	<i>J</i> . 20		Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
	ver	sus	
The State	of Mah	arashtra and others	·
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's	orders
		O.A.877	7/2021
	Shri	J.N. Shaikh	Applicant
	The	Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.	Respondents
			dratre, learned Advocate fo Chougule, learned P.O. fo
	pern	admission without reply	ixed for hearing at the stag but learned P.O. sough vit-in-reply on behalf o
	3. reply	<del>_</del>	ce allowed to file Affidavit-in
	4. Rejo	O.A. be kept for h inder on 11 <sup>th</sup> April, 2022.	
			Sd/-
	(skw)		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 15.03.2022

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 15.03.2022
	O.A. No.80 of 2022
	S.J. NandgaonkarApplicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsResponden
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Present Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>
	2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.
	3. S.O. to 31.03.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 15.03.2022	
	O.A. No.76 of 2022	
	B.K. Narale	
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri Lengary Y.B., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presentin Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>	
	2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply of behalf of Respondent Nos.2 to 6. It is taken on record No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1.	
	3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage admission.	
	4. S.O. to 30.03.2022.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	

Member (J)

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 15.03.2022	
	O.A. No.53 of 2022	
	M.K. Sirsat	
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents	
	<ol> <li>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocat for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learne Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>	
	2. On request of learned P.O. one week time granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chan since, O.A. deserves to be disposed of expeditiously view of retirement of the Applicant on 29.02.2020.	
	3. S.O. to 21.03.2022.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar)	

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 15.03.2022
	O.A. No.49 of 2022
	P.S. RenusheApplicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>
·	<ol> <li>On request of learned P.O. two weeks time granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</li> </ol>
	3. S.O. to 30.03.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 15.03.2022
	O.A. No.16 of 2022
	Applicant
	A.S. Unavane & Ors.  Versus  The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocated for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>
	<ol> <li>On request of learned P.O. two weeks time granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</li> </ol>
	3. S.O. to 30.03.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 15.03.2022	
	O.A. No.1063 of	2021
	B.V. Chaugule	Applicant
	Versus  The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1. Heard Shri V.P. Sangvikar the Applicant and Shri A.J. Choug Officer for the Respondents.	, learned Advocate for ule, learned Presenting
	2. On request of learned P granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply	.O. two weeks time is by way of last chance.
	3. S.O. to 31.03.2022.	
		Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

#### (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	S
	Date: 15.03.2022	
	O.A. No.1022 o	of 2021
	U.K. Anvekar & Ors.	Applicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors	sRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri M.B. Ghule, I Applicant and Shri A.J. Choug Officer for the Respondents.	learned Advocate for the gule, learned Presenting
	2. Today learned P.O. has behalf of Respondent No.1 to ! No separate Reply is filed o No.6.	filed Affidavit-in-Reply on  5. It is taken on record.  n behalf of Respondent
	3. On request of learn Applicant two weeks time is gr	ned Advocate for the anted to file Rejoinder.
	4. S.O. to 31.03.2022.	
		Sd/-
		(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member (J)

### (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 15.03.2022	
	M.A. No.121 of 2022 in O.A. No.9	5 of 2021
	G.N. Dongarkar	Applicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiw Advocate for the Applicant and Shri learned Presenting Officer for the Response	i A.J. Chougule,
	2. This M.A. is filed for condona pending O.A. Since Respondents are with notices of O.A., notices of M.A. are	e already served
	3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks tim granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A.	
	4. S.O. to 30.03.2022.	
	Sd	/-

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 15.03.2022	
	M.A. No.566 of 2021 in O.A. N	No.994 of 2021
	V.G. KolekarApplicant	
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advo holding for Smt. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Preser Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>	ned Advocate for the
	2. On request of learned P.O granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by	
	3. S.O. to 31.03.2022.	
		Sd/-
	· 1	Kurhekar) ember (J)
	NMN	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 15.03.2022	
	R.A. No.01 of 2022 in O.A. No	o.328 of 2021
	P.A. BodekarApplican	
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Preser Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>	
	2. On request of learned P.O granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by	
	3. S.O. to 31.03.2022.	
		Sd/-
	(A.P.	Kurhekar)

NMN

Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 15.03.2022		
	O.A. No.165 of 2022		
	A.U. Pawar  Versus  The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.		
	<ol> <li>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocated for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>		
	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to join Secretary of the Hon'ble Governor, State of Maharashtra as party Respondent since, appeal is filed before the said authority but not yet decided.		
	3. Allowed to join Secretary of the Hon'ble Governor as Respondent.		
	Sd/-		
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)		

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders  Date: 15.03.2022		
	A.D. GaikwadApplicant		
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents		
	<ol> <li>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocated for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>		
	<ol> <li>On request of learned P.O. two weeks time granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</li> </ol>		
	3. S.O. to 01.04.2022.		
	Sd/-		

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 15.03.2022 O.A. No.136 of 2022	
	D.M. Khutale  Versus  The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents	
	1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	<ol> <li>On request of learned P.O. two weeks time granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</li> </ol>	
	3. S.O. to 01.04.2022.	
	Sd/-	

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

### (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 15.03.2022		
	O.A. No.152 of 2	022	
	V.N. Pawar	Applicant	
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.	
	Heard Shri Gaurav Ba     Advocate for the Applicant an     learned Presenting Officer for the	d Smt Archana B.K.,	
	<ol> <li>On request of learned P. granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</li> </ol>	O. two weeks time is	
	3. S.O. to 31.03.2022.		

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 15.03.2022

O.A. No.232 of 2022

D.D. Harale

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. The Applicant stands retired on 30.04.2020 as Agricultural Assistant. Before retirement one joint D.E. was initiated in 2014 and it was continued after retirement. Enquiry Officer has submitted report on 19.09.2019 but till date no final order is passed in D.E. As a result for which gratuity has been withheld. Indeed, enough period is availed for passing final order in D.E. The Applicant being retired long back D.E. ought to have been concluded expeditiously.
- 3. Issue notice before admission to Respondent No.1 to explain why no final order is passed in D.E., returnable on 22.03.2022.
- 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

Offi	ice Notes, Offi	ce Memorand	a of Coram,
	Appearance,	Tribunal's or	ders or
	directions ar	nd Registrar's	orders

#### Tribunal's orders

- 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 9. Learned P.O. is also directed to appraise the Tribunal about the status of D.E. by next date.
- 10 S.O. to 22.03.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

 $\mathsf{NMN}$ 

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders

Date: 15.03.2022

O.A. No.233 of 2022

N.J. Vedpathak
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. The Applicant stands retied on 31.05.2014 on the post of Tracer. After retirement joint enquiry was initiated by charge-sheet dated 28.08.2014. Enquiry Officer submitted report on 19.09.2019 but till date no final decision is taken in D.E. As a result for which gratuity is withheld.
- 3. Issue notice before admission to Respondent No.1 to explain why no final order is passed in D.E., returnable on 22.03.2022.
- 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

- 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 9. Learned P.O. is also directed to appraise the Tribunal about the status of D.E. by next date.
- 10 S.O. to 22.03.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders  O.A. No.231 of 2022			
	Y.U.	Bawdekar Vs.		Applicant
	The S	State of Maharashtra	& Ors.	Respondents
	Appl Offic	Heard Shri V.P. Picant and Smt. K. eer for the Responden	S. Gaikwad, le	
	2.	S.O. to 17.3.2022.		
		Sd/-	Sd/-	_>
		(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(Mridula Bha	rson
	(sgj)	15.3.2022	15.3.20	022

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	O.A. No.224 of 2022 with	n M.A. No.136 of 2022	
	S.H. Nikat Vs.	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors		
	Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi holding for M Prachi H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant ar Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.  2. Ld. CPO submits that copy of OA and MA is no served in the office of CPO.		
	3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is directed to serve to office of CPO.		
	4. S.O. to 31.3.2022.		
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Member (A) 15.3.2022	Sd/- ridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 15.3.2022	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	O.A. No.213 of 2022		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents		
	Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to a Finance Department as party respondent no.3.		
	3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out forthwand amended copy be served on all concerned.		
	4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant in this OA prays f directions to the respondents to decide the representations the applicant dated 24.9.2019, 25.10.2021 and 1.2.202 submitted to the respondents, within a stipulated period.		
	5. A responsible officer from the office of respondeno.1 to remain present in the Court tomorrow.		
	6. S.O. to 16.3.2022.		
	Sd/- Sd/-		
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Member (A) Chairperson  15.3.2022 15.3.2022  (sgj)		

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	O.A. No.477 of 2021			
	S.R. Jadh			Applicant
		of Maharashtra	& Ors.	Respondents
	Joshi, lea	rned Advocate f		ding for Shri V.V. and Smt. Archana espondents.
	2. In	terim relief to co	ontinue till next d	ate.
	3. S.	O. to 25.3.2022.		
	`	Sd/-  Iedha Gadgil)  Member (A)  15.3.2022	Sd/- (Mridula Bha Chairpe 15.3.20	erson