### (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTI	ліст Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
	ver	sus	
	The State of Maha	arashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)	
Office Notes, Office Memorand Appearance, Tribunal's or directions and Registrar's	ders or	Tribunal's or	ders
		<u>O.A.1</u>	102/2022
		Shri J.A. Pagar Vs.	Applicant
		The State of Mah. & Or	s Respondents
		1. Heard Shri M.D. L the Applicant and Sn Presenting Officer is pres	onkar, learned Advocate for nt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned sent.
		on the ground of per- establishment of Com	sought inter-district transfer manent disability from the nissioner of Police to the intendent of Police, Nashik m relief.
		physical disability of accommodated by give Police Station, which is	O. submits that considering f the Applicant, he is ng posting at Kanjurmarg nearer to his residence. But orally when he was called in
		residence and it should	s given posting nearer to his I have been accepted by the dice to the contention raised
		he will take instructions	or the Applicant submits that s from the Applicant and wil yout the same by next date.
		6. Two weeks' time i reply.	s granted to file Affidavit-in
		7. This O.A. be tagged	with connected O.As.
		8. S.O. to 28.11.2022	
			Sd/-
			(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 14.11.2022
		(skw)	

•

### (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	) DISTRICT Applicant/s
Advocate		)
		versus
	The State of M	Maharashtra and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)
Office Notes, Office Memora Appearance, Tribunal's directions and Registra	anda of Coram, s orders or	Tribunal's orders
		O.A.325/2022
		Shri R.N. Chaudhari Applicant
		Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors Respondents
		1. Heard Shri Anil Jaiswal holding for Shri R.G Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shr A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer is present.
		2. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned for hearing to 28 <sup>th</sup> November 2022.
		Sd/-
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 14.11.2022
	. 1	(skw)

### (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

.

Original Application No. of 2	20 Distri	ст Applicant/s
(Advocate	)	
	versus	· ·
The State of	f Maharashtra and others	
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's ord	ers
	<u>0.A.65</u>	54/2022
	Smt. V.G. Gurav	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.	Respondents
	1. The Applicant and h Shri A.J. Chougule, learne	is Advocate absent. Heard ed Presenting Officer.
	but learned P.O. request	s for hearing without reply, ed to take Affidavit-in-reply t No.2. In the interest of on record. It is taken on
	3. Adjourned for hearin to 29.11.2022.	ng at the state of admission
		Sd/-
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 14.11.2022
	(skw)	14.11.2022

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	f 20	DIST	
			Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
	versus		
The State	of Maharashtra and	others	Pegnondont/g
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	)		······
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's or	ders
		<u>0.A.103/</u>	2022
	Shri A.N. Sayya	ad	Applicant
	Vs. The State of M	ah. & Ors.	Respondents
		e Applicant a	Bandiwadekar, learned and Shri A.J. Chougule, present.
	learned P.O. s vacancies at Mumbai City, Department,	ubmits that Nagpur, Am Thane (Rura Pune and	ken up for hearing, the now there are certain ravati, Nashik, Dhule al) and Body Building if Applicant make considered by PEE
	instructions fro the Tribunal st	m the Applica ates that his tation in viev	for the Applicant or int who is present before client is also willing to v of aforesaid vacancies resentation.
	with liberty t representation Inspector Gene	to the Appli to Responden ral of Police propriately wit presentation.	
	5. S.O. to 05	<sup>th</sup> December, 2	2022.
			Sd/-
		<b>A</b> )	A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 14.11.2022
	(skw)		
			[ <i>PT.O.</i>
			[0.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

	MUMBAI	
Driginal Application No.	of 20 DISTRICT	·
		Applicant/s
Advocate	)	
	versus	
The Sta	te of Maharashtra and others	
		Respondent/s
Presenting Officer	)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corar		
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>M.A.261/2022 in O.A.404/2</u>	2022
		oplicants.
	Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors Re	espondents.
	1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learne the Applicant and Smt. A.B. K Presenting Officer is present.	
	2. On hearing learned Advocate for it transpired that the record of M.A.No misplaced by the Office, and therefore to file Xerox copy of M.A. According Xerox copy of M.A. as well as Respondents to M.A.	0.261/2022 was e, he was asked gly, he had filed
	3. However, there is no order of re M.A. and it appears that without for procedure for reconstruction and responsibility, the Office simply Advocate for the Applicant to file Xer. There should be order of reconstru- competent authority which appears present case.	ollowing proper ad fixing the asked learned ox copy of M.A. ruction by the
	4. The Registrar is, therefore, dire the anomalies and submit report with	
	5. S.O. to 21 <sup>st</sup> November, 2022.	
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurh Member 14.11.20 (skw)	iekar) r-J

[*P.T.O.* 

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

<u>0.A.221/2022</u>

#### Smt. A.V. Gandale Vs.

... Applicant

The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.B. Tajane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer is present.

2. The Applicant has challenged the communication dated 09.12.2021 issued by Superintending Engineer and President, Maharashtra Jeevan Pradhikaran, Division Pune whereby the request of the Applicant for substitution of name of her son Rahul in her place has been rejected.

Applicant's husband Vishwanath Gandale was 3. appointed with Maharashtra Jeevan Pradhikaran and died in harness on 04.09.2012. After the death of husband, the Applicant applied for her compassionate appointment and accordingly, her name was taken in the waiting list. However, on attaining 45 years' of age, her name came to be deleted from waiting list. Later, Applicant made an application to substitute name of her son Rahul in the waiting list, but the said request has been rejected.

4. At the very outset, the learned P.O. raised objection on the point of jurisdiction *inter-alia* contending that employees of Maharashtra Jeevan Pradhikaran being Corporation, it is not amenable to the jurisdiction of this Tribunal in absence of Notification required to be issued by the Government under Section 15(2) of Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985.

5. Indeed, Respondents have not filed Affidavit-inreply, but now legal plea is raised by the learned P.O.

6. The issue of jurisdiction is legal one and it needs to be determined at the very threshold.

7. In view of above, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is directed to satisfy the Tribunal has jurisdiction to entertain the O.A.

8. S.O. to 15.11.2022 in 2<sup>nd</sup> Session i.e. at 2.30 p.m.

(skw)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 14.11.2022

2

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1121 OF 2022**

#### DISTRICT : SATARA

Arya Vijay Pujari

...Applicant

#### Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

Mr. Kranti L.C., along with Mr. Kaustubh Gidh, learned Advocate for the Applicant

Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM	•	Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson) Ms. Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)
DATE	:	14.11.2022
PER	:	Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)

#### ORDER

1. We direct the learned Advocate to add Director General of Training and Special Units as Respondent No.4 in the array of Respondents.

2. Applicant, Transgender aspires to be a Police Constable. Respondent No.1, through Respondent No.3, Police Superintendent Satara has issued advertisement dated 06.11.2022 for the recruitment of Police Constables. Pursuant to the said advertisement the applicant tried to apply online. However, in the said application only two genders i.e. Male and Female are shown and the 3<sup>rd</sup> gender is not available.

Therefore, the applicant being transgender could not fill up the said column and resultantly her application is not being accepted. Hence, she has approached this Tribunal.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has based the submissions mainly on the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of **National Legal Services Authority Versus Union of India and Others** *reported in (2014) 5 SCC 438*, where the directions are given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court extending reservation to the transgender persons in the Educational Institutions and the Public Employment. Learned Advocate has also relied on the Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019.

Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents with the assistance of Mr. 4. Sumant Bhange, Secretary, Social Justice and Special Assistance Department has submitted that the Government is still in the process of drafting the policy for the employment given to the transgender in the Public Sector. She submits that the Maharashtra Public Service Commission (M.P.S.C.) has provided option of 3rd gender in their recruitment process and so there online applications are accepted. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that, however, there is not specific decision or policy in respect of recruitment of transgender in the Police Force coming under the Home Department. Basically, there is issue of fixing the identity of transgenders and providing them criteria for physical test and physical standards. She intends to file affidavit-in-reply in detail, especially, on the point of providing reservations to the transgenders when in the State of Maharashtra the vertical reservation as on today is

2

62% and Horizontal Reservation is provided to the extent of 72% which has already crossed the limit of 50% as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Indra Sawhney Versus Union Of India and Ors. reported in (1992) Supp (3) SCC 217.* The Respondents seek time to file affidavit-in-reply. Time granted by four weeks.

5. Applicant has made three major prayers :-

le

- "(i) Allow Transgender Candidates to assert their gender identity as a Transgender Candidate and apply for the Post of Police Constable as a Transgender Candidate as
- (ii) Reserve posts for Transgender Persons in the recruitment to the 14956 Posts of Police Constable.
- (iii) Notify Physical Standards for Transgender Candidates."

6. It is the settled position of law and also protection given under Articles 14 and 15 of the Constitution of India that all the citizens are equal before the law and there should not be any discrimination by the State on the basis of sex.

7. We have perused the online application form issued by the Respondent-State through the Director General of Training and Special Units. This form gives only two options i.e. Male and Female, but 3<sup>rd</sup> option of transgender should be made available to the candidates falling in that category. Applicant who is transgender should not be deprived of the opportunity to apply in this recruitment process and therefore by way of interim relief we direct the Respondents to accept the application form of the Applicant in the category of 3<sup>rd</sup> option i.e. Transgender. The second point is agitated and the difficulty expressed is what should be the criterion of the physical test and physical standard of such candidates.

8. At this stage, neither the Central nor the State of Maharashtra has taken any policy decision to resolve this issue and therefore we are of the view that as per the guidelines given in Clause 135.2 of the judgment of

National Legal Services Authority (cited supra) which reads as below :

"135.2. Transgender persons' right to decide their self identified gender is also upheld and the Centre and State Governments are directed to grant legal recognition of their gender identity such as male, female or as third gender."

Thus, the physical standards and the criteria of the physical test is to be decided on the basis of self-identified gender disclosed by the applicant. Accordingly, the Respondents should allow the applicant to apply for the said post.

9. We further direct the State of Maharashtra to create the 3<sup>rd</sup> option for transgender after the two options of Male and Female in the application form in all the recruitments in Home Department and so also to fix the criteria for physical standards and tests, so that online application can be accepted.

10. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

11. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.12.2022.

12. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

13. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

14. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

15. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

16. Adjourned to 19.12.2022.

 $< \lambda$   $l_{-}$ 

Sd/-(Medha Gadgil) Member(A) Sd/-/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

D:\PRK\2022\11\_Nov\O.4.1121\_22.doc

prk

5

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 14.11.2022	
	O.A.	No.710 of 2016
	A.C. Sonawane Vs. The State of Mahara	Applicant shtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. None for the Chougule, learned Respondents.	e Applicant. Heard Mr. A.
	the office of Mahara challenges the decision not giving exemption Part I and not grant to the post of Head ( to the examination that she be given exe She further prays that to recheck Paper 4, P	rking as Senior Grade Clerk i ashtra Police Academy, Nashi ion of Respondents No.2 and in to the applicant in Paper 4 ing promotion to the applican Clerk as arbitrary and contrar Rules 15.04.1971. She pray emption by way of amendment at Respondent No.3 be directed art I from the expert in Financ ne be considered for Promotion lerk.
	was conducted from 2 appeared for the ex- declared on 26.11.20 passed. She was p Clerk on 02.02.2022. marked as Exhibit-A	informs that the examination 27.09.2021 to 29.09.2021. She camination. The result was 021 and she was declared as romoted to the post of Heac Copy is taken on record and . Learned P.O. submits that ances the matter has become
	applicant, so also Applicant is promoted February 2022 and th	dered the relief prayed by the consequent development. to the post of Head Clerk in ereafter she has not made any and thus matter has become
		the O.A. with liberty to the al recourse, if she wants to posed of.
		Sd/-
	Sd/- (Medha <sup>j</sup> Gadgil)	/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Original Application No.

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

DISTRICT

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

		Applicant/s
(Advocate	)	
·	versus	
The State	of Maharashtra and others	
The State C	n manarashtra and others	
	,	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	)	·
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal	's orders
	<u>14.11.2022</u>	
	<u>M.A 430/2022</u>	in O.A 704/2022
	Shri N.M Pore	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra ۵	& Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri U.V Bh applicant and Ms Swati M the Respondents.	osle, learned advocate for the Ianchekar, learned C.P.O for
	there is no delay in filing t	for the applicant states that the Original Application as the time bound promotion is a h.
	3. The applicant retir filed representation on 31.	red on 30.6.2016 and he has 3.2018.
	4. Learned C.P.O or seeking to condone the del	pposes the Misc Application ay.
	5. In view of the ab disposed of.	ove, Misc Application stands
	Application is covered by Bombay High Court,	e relief claimed in the Original the decision of the Hon'ble Aurangabad Bench, dated Mukund S. Daima Vs. State of
	7. Learned P.O is dire	ected to file affidavit in reply.
	8. O.A 704/2022 to 21.11.2022.	o be placed on Board on
	۲ م	Sd/-
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

· ..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	14.11.2022	
	<u>0.A 697/2021</u>	
	B.P Satpute Applicant	
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors       Respondents	
	1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.	
	2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.	
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.12.2022.	
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.	
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.	
	6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.	
	7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption <b>'for Dismissal</b> and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."	
	8. S.O to 19.12.2022. // // // //	
	Sd/- / /	
	(Medha Gadgil)// (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson	
	Akn [PT:O.	

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	14.11.2022		
	M.A 353/2021 in O.A 697/2021		
	B.P Satpute Vs.	Applicant	
	The State of Maharasht	ra & Ors Respondents	
	1. Heard Shri R.M applicant and Ms Arch Respondents.	Kolge, learned advocate for the hana B.K, learned P.O for the	
	present Misc Applicati delay of one year and action arose on 29.1. grant of time bound applicant on 29.1.201 rejected. Learned cour	el for the applicant states the on is filed seeking to condone l seven months. The cause o 2019. The proposal regarding promotion was returned to the 9 with a remark that it was nsel for the applicant states tha Pandemic there is a delay of only	
		ates that applicant cannot take Pandemic as the cause of action	
	4. In view of the al Misc Application stands		
	Sd/-	۸ ۲۶ M Sd/-	
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	<sup>/</sup> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	
	Akn		
	<b>x</b>		

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>14.11.2022</u>		
	<u>C.A 70/2022 in O.A 646/2022</u>		
	Shri Avinash C. Kadam Vs. The State of Maharasht:	Applicant ra & Ors Respondents	
	1. Heard Shri B.A	Bandiwadekar, learned advocat hri A.J Chougule, learned P.0	
	order dated 7.7.2022,		
	3. We, therefore, communicate the deci week.	direct the Home Department sion to the applicant within	
	4. S.O to 21.11.20	22.	
		м . О. И	
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson	
	Akn		
	,		
		[P.T.O.	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 14.11.2022	
	O.A.No.1108 of 2022	
	S.A. JadhavApplicant	
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.	
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.11.2022.	
-	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.	
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.	
	6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.	
	7. In case notice is not collected within <u>sever</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Origina Application shall stand dismissed.	
	8. Adjourned to 28.11.2022.	
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson	
	prk	

,

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,		
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or		
directions	and Registrar's	orders

#### Date : 14.11.2022

#### O.A.No.432 of 2016

P.P. Lokhande Vs

....Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant prays for quashing and setting aside order of removal from the service dated 15.04.2015 passed by the Respondent No.2. He further challenges the order of setting aside chargesheet dated 08.04.2011 issued by the Respondent No.4 and so also he seeks directions from the Tribunal that appeal dated 06.05.2015 be decided. During the course of time his appeal was decided and further revision against the same was also decided by the Ministry of State (Home), Rural by order dated 03.02.2022.

3. By the said order the State has cancelled his punishment of removal from service and it was reduced to deduction of Rs.2,000/- per month for the period of two years from his pension.

4. Learned Advocate submits that by way of amendment he has challenged the order before the Appellate Authority dated 12.07.2016 and he has produced the revised order which was decided by the Ministry of State on 04.02.2022. This order is marked as Exhibit-A.

5. In view of the prayer made by the Applicant nothing remains in this O.A. Hence, O.A. stands disposed of.

!\

Sd/-(Medha<sup>//</sup>Gadgil) Member(A) <sup>prk</sup>

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Sd/-

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

	momi	<i><sup><i>n</i></sup> <b>L</b></i>	
riginal Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT
			Applicant/
dvocate	)		
	versu	ls .	
The Stat	e of Mahara	ashtra and others	
		ishira and omers	
Presenting Officer		,	Respondent/s
			·
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribuna	l's orders
	Da	te : 14.11.2022	
		C.A.No.45 of 202	22 in O.A.No.65 of 2020
	S.I	P. Kapdse Vs.	Applicant
	Th		ntra & OrsRespondents.
	1.	None for the	Applicant. Heard Mr. A.J
	Ch	iougule, learned	Presenting Officer for the
	Re	espondents.	
	2.	Learned P.O.	produces copy of noting o
			Taken on record and marked
			mits that now the file is sent to Department for opinion by the
		nance Department.	
	3.	Adjourned to 2	8 11 2022
	. 3.	Aujourneu to z	<b>9</b>
		Sd/-	Sd/- 2
	(N	Su/- Medha Gadgil)	/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
	,	Member(A)	Chairperson
	prk		
		,	

Original Application No.

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

..... Applicant/s

DISTRICT

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

Advocate)		
	versus	
The State of Maharashtra and others		
		Respondent/s
Presenting Officer	)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribu	nal's orders
	14.11.2022	
	<u>O.A</u>	251/2020
	Shri R.R Pol Vs.	Applicant
		Bandiwaekar, learned advocate s Swati Manchekar, learned
	2. The Respondents reply by way of last char	s are directed to file affidavit in nce.
	3. S.O to 12.12.202	22.
	Sd/	) Sd/-
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	,	
		[ <i>P.T.O.</i>

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		s orders
	<u>14.11.2022</u>	
	<u>O.A 94</u>	5/2022
	Yogita S. Jagtap Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra &	Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri A.D Kan applicant and Ms Swati Ma the Respondents.	go, learned advocate for the unchekar, learned C.P.O for
	2. The office objections and court fees to be paid, if	s, if any, are to be removed not already paid.
	3. Issue notice before 19.12.2022.	e admission returnable on
	Respondent intimation/not authenticated by Registry, book of O.A. Private servi	zed and directed to serve on ice of date of hearing duly along with complete paper ce is allowed. Respondents ase may be taken up for final hission hearing.
	of the Maharashtra Admini	ice is ordered under Rule 11 strative Tribunal (Procedure) tions such as limitation and pen.
	be served and acknowle produced along with affi	speed post, courier notice to edgement be obtained and davit of compliance in the Applicant is directed to file notice.
	or service report on affid- before returnable date, Application shall be pla concerned Benches under and thereafter on th	t collected within <b>seven</b> days avit in not filed <b>three</b> days the Original/Miscellaneous aced on board before the the caption <b>'for Dismissal'</b> ae subsequent date the Applications shall stand
	8. S.O to 19.12.2022.	- Sd/-
	0-1/	
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil)/	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Member (A)	[P.T.O.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	1	District A	pplicant/s
(Advocate	)			
	narouo			
The Stat	versus	tra and others		
		ua anu otners	Pos	pondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)	· 11es	pondentas
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's	orders	
	<u>14.11.202</u>	22		
		<u>O.A 852</u>	/2022	
	Pallavi L.	Barate . Vs.	Applica	nt
	The State	of Maharashtra & (	Ors Respon	dents
	Dere, lear	ard Ms Pooja Man med advocate for t ar, learned C.P.O fo	he applicant and	Ms Swati
	2. Ad	mit.		
	3. Pla	ace for final hearing	g on 12.12.2022.	
			ज्ञ .⊀ Ωd/	
	Sd/ (Medha G Member <sup>Akn</sup>	adgil	Sd/- / ' (Mridula Bhat Chairper:	

Original Application No.

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

DISTRICT

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

·	Applicant/s	
vocate	)	
	versus	
The State	of Maharashtra and others	
	Respondent/s	
esenting Officer	)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>14.11.2022</u>	
	<u>O.A 452/2022</u>	
	Shri D.A Jadhav Applicant	
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents	
	1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.	
	2. Admit. Interim relief to continue till next date.	
	3. Place for final hearing on 5.12.2022.	
	Sd/- / Sd/-	
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson	
	[ <i>P.T.O.</i>	

Original Application No.

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

..... Applicant/s

DISTRICT

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

Advocate)		
	versus	
The State	of Maharashtra and others	
		Respondent/s
Presenting Officer	)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's o	rders
	14.11.2022	
	<u>0.A 988/2</u>	2021
	Shri C.S Gaikwad Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Or	s Respondents
	1. Heard Shri C.T Chandr the applicant and Ms Archana Respondents.	atre, learned advocate for B.K, learned P.O for the
	2. Admit. Place for final he	earing on 9.12.2022.
	Sd/-	Sd/
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn	

#### (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000--4-2019)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

#### I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	MA.646/2022 in OAs.St. No.1804, 1805 & 1806/2022 With
	MA.647/2022 in OAs.St. No.1781, 1782 & 1783/2022
	Manik J. Bhamare & Ors. Dadabhau D. Belote & OrsApplicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh holding for Ms. Suchita Dhongade, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that grievance of the applicants in these two MAs is same. He further submits that on the similar issue, OAs. St. No.1402/2022, 1405/2022, 1408/2022 & 1411/2022 are filed before the Aurangabad Bench of this Tribunal.
	3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that all the above 6 OAs. are already filed before the Aurangabad Bench. However, some of the applicants are from Pune and Nashik where the territorial jurisdiction of Principal Bench arises. However, issues involved are one and the same and therefore, he has moved these two MAs under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 to club these matters and be heard at Aurangabad Bench.
	4. In view of the above, the above OAs which are filed at Aurangabad Bench are to be entertained at Aurangabad and no objection to be taken of territorial jurisdiction considering the convenience of the litigants and so also the administrative reasons. Both the MAs are allowed and disposed off accordingly.
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.11.2022
	(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 14.11.2022
	O.A. No.1099 of 2022
	V.R. InamdarApplicant Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondent
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocat for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learne Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>
	2. The Applicant has filed O.A. to simplicite direction to decide the representation dated 23.03.202 for transfer since he has already completed norm tenure and requested for transfer at Yerwada, Satara Ratnagiri. He wife is serving in Labor Court, Ratnagiri.
	3. Learned P.O. on instruction submitted th representation made by the Applicant is und consideration and necessary order would be passe within three days.
	4. In view of above, O.A. be kept on Friday.
	5. S.O. to 18.11.2022.
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000---4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 14.11.2022	
	O.A. No.967 of 20	)22
	M.G. Sathe Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadek for the Applicant and Smt. K.S Presenting Officer for the Responde	. Gaikwad, learned
	2. On request of learned P.O granted to file short Affidavit since of Gratuity and Regular Pensio process.	the process for grant
	3. S.O. 28.11.2022.	
		Sd/-
	(A.P.	Kurhekar) ember (J)
	NMN	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Date: 14.11.2022

### M.A. No.461 of 2022 in O.A. No.554 of 2022

Dr. P.P. Gaikwad	Applicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.

1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This M.A. to condone delay of 168 days caused in filing O.A. which is filed for benefits of leave and increment on the basis of G.R. dated 11.01.2019 issued by Government in Medical Education and Drugs Department. The Applicant claims the same benefits on the ground on parity.

3. In M.A. notices were issued by order 24.08.2012 but no Reply has been filed within the time granted by the Tribunal. Ultimately, on previous dated i.e. 18.10.2022 request made by learned P.O. for time to file Affidavit-in-Reply was rejected and M.A. was adjourned for hearing today.

4. However, today again learned P.O. sought time to file Affidavit-in-Reply which is already rejected on previous date and matter is for hearing of M.A. without Reply.

5. Since, there is no Affidavit-in-Reply from the Respondents, I am inclined to condone delay so as to decide O.A. on merit.

6. In view of above, M.A. No.461/2022 is allowed with no order as costs.

NMN

Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 14.11.2022
	O.A. No.554 of 2022
	Dr. P.P. GaikwadApplicant Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presentin Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>
	2. This O.A. has been filed along with M./ No.461/2022 for condonation of delay which is allowe today. O.A. therefore requires to be decided on merit.
	3. The office objections, if any, are to be remove and court fees to be paid, if not already pai
	4. Issue notice of O.A. to the Respondents befor admission returnable on 06.12.2022.
	5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve a Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing du authenticated by Registry, along with complete pap book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Responder are put to notice that the case may be taken up for fir disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedu Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation a alternate remedy are kept open.
	7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice be served and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in t Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to Affidavit of compliance and notice.
	8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> day or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> day before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaned Applications shall be placed on board before concerned Benches under the caption " <u>for Dismiss</u> and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
	9. S.O. to 06.12.2022.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

NMN

#### (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 14.11.2022 O.A.No.479 of 2020
	K. P. SalunkheApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
	2. In view of the leave note of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 21.12.2022.
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm

#### (G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<ul> <li>Date : 14.11.2022 <ul> <li>O.A.No.424 OF 2020 with O.A. No.398 of 2021 with</li> <li>O.A. No.172 of 2022 with O.A.80/2021 with O.A.81/2021 with O.A.632 of 2021</li> </ul> </li> <li>Dr. K. B. Batte &amp; OrsApplicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.424/2020. Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.424/2020. Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.398/2021, Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.172/2022 and Shri J. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicants in O.A.80, 81 and 632/2021 and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. submits that W.P. No.2303/2019 (State of Maharashtra V/s Rajesh Gaikwad) is not yet decided and request to await for the decision since similar issue is subjudice before the Hon'ble High Court which is arising from</li></ul>
	the decision rendered by the Tribunal. 3 S.O. to 06.01.2023 with O.A. 1037/2019. N Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

IN

Original Application No.

Office Nation Office Merroreade of Comm		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: /4.//.2022	
	O.A. No.305 of 2022 with O.A. No.307 of 2022 with O.A. No.308 of 2022 with O.A. No.412 of 2022	
	M.P. Patil & Ors.,	
	G.D. Gaikwad & Ors.,	
	G.D. Chaudhari	
	D.K. NarodeApplicant Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondent	
	1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere learned Advocate for th	
	Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presentin Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. All these O.As are kept along with other Inter	
	District Transfer connected O.As.	
	3. S.O. to 28.11.2022.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	
	NMN	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

I N

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 14.11.2022
	O.A. No.356 of 2022
	R.S. Kore through legal heirs Smt. S.R. Kore & Shri A.R. KoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. As per order passed by the Tribunal on 18.10.2022, the Commissioner, State Excise, Mumbai was directed to file afresh Affidavit-in-Reply about the steps taken by him today.
	3. In the morning session when the matter was called, learned P.O. made the statement that officers are on the way and requested to keep the matter in afternoon session.
	4. In afternoon session when the matter is taken up again learned P.O. requested that the Officers have not come and sought time. She submits that she had talked to the concerned twice but they are not responding quickly. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.
	5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant today filed Additional Affidavit-in-Rejoinder along with some Annexure. It is taken on record.
	6. Learned P.O. sought short time to go through it and argue the matter.
	7. S.O. to 16.11.2022.
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000--3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E,

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A.758/2021</u>	
• .		
	Shri A.B. Suryawanshi Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Mah. & Ors.	Respondents
	1. Heard Ms. Sonali Chava Warunjkar, learned Advocat Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned present.	e for the Applicant and
	2. Today, matter is for filin reply to the amendment made not filed.	g Additional Affidavit-in- e in O.A, but the same is
	3. O.A. be kept for head admission $\frac{1}{60}$ 9 <sup>th</sup> December, 2 <sup>th</sup>	aring at the stage of 022.
		Sd/-
		.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 14.11.2022
	(skw)	

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

.

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>0.A.112/2022</u>	
	Shri D.W. Patil Applicant Vs.	
	The State of Mah. & Ors Respondents	
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer is present.	
	2. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant filed Vakalatnama and requested for short time to argue the matter.	
	3. S.O. to 1 <sup>st</sup> December, 2022.	
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 14.11.2022 (skw)	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 14.11.2022
	O.A.No.1120 of 2022
	M.B. BhaleraoApplicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadeka learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for th Respondents.</li> </ol>
	2. The office objections, if any, are to b removed and court fées to be paid, if not alread paid.
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable o 19.12.2022.
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serv on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearin duly authenticated by Registry, along with complet paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribuna (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
	7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
	8. Adjourned to 19.12.2022.
	Sd/- Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson

Original Application No.

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

..... Applicant/s

DISTRICT

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

	versus	
The State of	of Maharashtra and others	
	Respondent/s	
resenting Officer	)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>14.11.2022</u>	
	C.A 58/2022 in O.A 863/2017	
	Smt Chhaya V. Bhosale & Ors Applicants Vs.	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents	
	1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.	
	2. Learned P.O is granted on weeks' time to find ou the position of the matter and file affidavit in reply.	
	3. S.O to 21.11.2022.	
	Sd/- ////**	
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson Akn	
	• •	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTR	іст Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
	vers	us	
The Sta		ashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	,	Tribunal's orde	ërs
	<u>14.1</u>	1.2022	
		C.A 55/2022 in O.A	434/2022
	Shri	R.T Kale	Applicant
	The	Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors	Respondents
	1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for Respondents.		
	appl issu appl 30.9	plied with on 29.8.2022. licant stated that the ordered on 12.8.2022, however	er of reinstatement was ; it was served on the ne applicant joined on
	3. peri	In view of the above, we od 12.8.2022 to 29.8.2022 t	direct that salary for the be paid to the applicant.
	4. of.	With the above directio	ns, C.A stands disposed
		َا Sd/-	Sd/-
		dha Gadgil) mber (A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
		,	
			(1)70

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

#### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 14.11.2022	
	O.A.No.1107 of 2022	
	Y.U. ShaikhApplicant Vs.	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Mr. A.A. Siddique & Asso., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Applicant seeks that his appeal filed before the Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department is against his dismissal. As per earlier order the said Appeal should have been decided by 30.10.2022.	
	3. In view of the above, Home Department has sought extension of time for deciding the said appeal.	
	4. In view of this, we direct the Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department to take the decision in this matter within the period of two months from today. Matter should be decided by 14.01.2023. In the meanwhile, no action should be taken regarding eviction of the applicant from his official quarter till 24.01.2023.	
	5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.	
	6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.01.2023.	
	7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents' are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.	
	[ <i>PT.O</i> .	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Trib	unal's orders
	8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribuna (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.	
	9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.	
- rev	10. In case notice is not collected within days or service report on affidavit is not filed days before returnable date, the Original Applic shall be placed on board before the cone Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" thereafter on the subsequent date the Or Application shall stand dismissed.	
	11. Adjourned to 0 <sup>4</sup>	9.01.2023.
	· .	Sd/-
	Sd/-	, v~ ~
	(Medha Gadgii) Member(A)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date : 14.11.2022		
	O.A.No.1106 of 2022		
	P.S. KhatpeApplicant Vs.		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
	1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate fo the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
	2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.		
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable o 12.12.2022.		
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serv on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complet paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed Respondents are put to notice that the case may b taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.		
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rul 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribuna (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such a limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.		
	6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notic to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in th Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.		
	7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.		
	8. Adjourned to $12.12.2022.$		
	Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)		
	Member(A) Chairperson		

مهادهم

Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 14.11.2022	
	O.A.No.1105 of 2022	
	A.V. GadgeApplicant	
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.	
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.12.2022.	
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.	
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.	
	6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.	
	7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.	
	8. Adjourned to 12.12.2022.	
	Sd/ Sd/	

.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	O.A. No.1073 of 202	22
	Suraj B. Gungewale Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learn Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. The applicant has challenge 3.10.2022 passed by respondent no.2 If disqualified for appointment to the post The respondent no.2 issued advertisem for the post of Police Constable for wh applied under OBC category for Hom 127 marks and was selected from OBC offence was registered against him if dated 30.8.2015 under Section 143, 147 337, 109 r/w 135 of IPC. Due to applicant was held disqualified.	nolding the applica of Police Constable nent in August, 202 ich the applicant h e Guard. He score category. Howeve n FIR No.319/202 7, 149, 353, 332, 33
	3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that app was 23 years old when the offence was registered a him in August, 2015. He did not suppress this fact filling the application form for Police Constable. He su that there are no specific charges against the applicant shows the offence was registered against total 62 p and the applicant was one of them. He, therefore, pray case of the applicant be considered under GR 19.7.2017.	
	4. Ld. PO while assailing the Advocate, relied on report dated 1.9.202 Committee. She submits that applicant unlawful assembly which was formed pelting on the police and fight took political enmity between the groups. rightly applicant is not found eligible Constable as it is a matter of law and o duty.	22 of the High Pow t was member of the and there was stor- place on account She submits the to work as Poli

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

5. All the facts regarding prosecution against the applicant and so also communication of disgualification are not disputed. The case of the applicant stand on the footing of the guidelines mentioned in clause 3 of GR dated 19.7.2017. The said GR is issued explaining the policy of the State pertaining to assessment of the character of candidate who has applied and to be appointed to the post of Police Constable in Home Department. We rely on clause 3.1 where the State has given directions that if there is prosecution against any candidate then FIR & charge-sheet are to be verified by committee so also it is necessary to consider whether the candidate has a direct or indirect and active and passive involvement in the offence. We rely on clause 3.3(31) where the State has laid down guidelines that if any minor offence is registered and such offence is committed due to young age then that issue can be ignored. Clause 3.3(\$) states that the antecedents of the candidates are also to be considered if at all there is criminal prosecution against him.

We, after going through the report of the committee, 6. are of the opinion that the committee has not properly looked into and verified the details of the offence which is allegedly committed by the applicant. The offence is of the nature of unlawful assembly, minor hurt and disturbing law and order. However, the offence is committed by mob and applicant was one of the members of the mob. 62 persons were arrested pursuant to FIR. No specific role is attributed. Under such circumstances, we are of the view that in view of the guidelines given in the GR so also the role and nature of participation of the applicant, who was 23 years old in 2015 ought to have been taken into account by the High Power Committee. We are of the view that these guidelines are not adhered to by the committee and hence, we passed the following order.

7. The Original Application is allowed and impugned communication/order dated 3.10.2022 is quashed and set aside. One post of Police Constable is kept vacant by interim order dated 21.10.2022 passed by this Tribunal and, therefore, applicant be considered and appointed to that post within fifteen days. No order as to costs.

Sd/-(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.11.2022

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.11.2022

Sd/-

L

.1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders Date: 14.11.2022 O.A. No.1089 of 2022 .....Applicant N.V. Pawar Versus .....Respondents. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the 1. Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. The office objections, if any, are to be removed 2. and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. Issue notice before admission returnable on 3. 28.11.2022. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on 4. Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 5. of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to 6. be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. In case notice is not collected within seven days 7. or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. S.O. to 28.11.2022. 8. 2 15 Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	m, Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 14.11.2022	
	O.A. No.1088 of 2022 with O.A. No.1090 of 2022 with O.A. No.1096 of 2022 with O.A. No.1125 of 2022	
•	S.C. KolekarApplicantR.C. SonvalkarApplicantK.V. KashidApplicantD.D. Gandhale & OrsApplicantVersusApplicantThe State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.1.Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for thApplicant in O.A. No.1088/22, 1090/22 & 1096/22, Shri	
	<ul> <li>Applicant in O.A. No.1088/22, 1090/22 &amp; 1090/22 &amp; 1090/22, 34</li> <li>M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O. No.1125/22 and Shri A.J. Chougule, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad a Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for t Respondents.</li> <li>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removand court fees to be paid, if not already pa</li> <li>3. In all these four O.As. filed today notices be issubefore admission returnable on 28.11.2022.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing d authenticated by Registry, along with complete papbook of O.A. Private service is allowed. Responder in disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> </ul>	
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedu Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation a alternate remedy are kept open.	
	6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice be served and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in t Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to f Affidavit of compliance and notice.	
	7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption " <u>for Dismissal</u> " and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.	
	8. S.O. to 28.11.2022.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	
	NMN	

NMN

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

#### I N

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 14.11.2022	
	M.A. No.645 of 2022 in O.A. No.1125 of 2022	
	D.D. Gandhale & OrsApplicant Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</li> </ol>	
	Ŝd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	
	NMN	

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 14.11.2022	
	O.A. No.26 of 2022 with con	nected O.As.
	A.K. Pawar & Ors. Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar &amp; Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocates for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad along with Smt. Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>Today O.As from Sr. No.1 to 23 are for hearing.</li> <li>Today again fresh 4 O.As are filed (O.A. No.1088 1090, 1096, 1125/2022) for Inter District Transfer from the establishment of the Commissioner of Police Mumbai to other district.</li> </ol>	
	4. All O.As pertain to Inter Distr	rict Transfer.
	5. Learned P.O. submits that s composite Affidavit-in-Reply afres Though indeed initially Affidavit-in-F matters.	sh for all matters.
	6. Learned Advocate for the Applicants have pointed out the further development is that recently recruitment process for Police Constable is under taken throughout Maharashtra and therefore the ground raised about lack of man power is untenable.	
	7. Learned P.O. submits that a will take at least one year but in an file composite Affidavit-in-Reply so could be heard and decided togeth granted to file composite Affidavit-in the Commissioner of Police, Mumba	y case she wants to that all these O.As her. Short time is n-Reply on behalf of
		[ <i>P.T.O.</i>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	8. Insofar as O.As. filed today are concerned, i O.A. No.1088 & 1090/2022 the Applicants are seekin Transfer from the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai t the Superintendent of Police, Pune (Rural). Whereas, i O.A. No.1096/2022 the Applicant is seeking Transfe from the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai to th Superintendent of Police, Satara.	
	9. In O.A. No.1088/2022 and O.A. No.1090/202 the Superintendent of Police, Pune (Rural) b communication dated 29.06.2022 & 30.05.2022 issue NOC for absorption subject to reporting on h establishment on or before next recruitment process.	
	10. Similarly in O.A. No.1096/2022, th Superintendent of Police, Satara by communicatic dated 29.06.2022 has given his consent for absorptic on his establishment.	
	11. Whereas in O.A. No.1125/2022 also the Superintendent of Police, Pune (Rural) to communication dated 29.06.2022 has given conser- subject to rider that the consent constable shou report on duty before initiation of fresh recruitment process.	
	12. In view of above, learned Advocate for the Applicant apprehend that validity of NOC would expirely the time of decision of O.As and therefore to back interest of the Applicant they sought interim relief the keep their NOC validated.	
	13. The apprehension of learned Advocate for the Applicant appears reasonable, therefore by way interim relief Respondents are directed not to withdra NOC given by them and the post on which they were be absorbed to be kept vacant till the decision of O.As. $V_{c}$	
	14. Today in O.A. No.696/2022 learned Advoca for the Applicant has filed Affidavit of the Applicant. is taken on record.	
	15. S.O. to 28.11.2022. Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)	
	NMN	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 14.11.2022	
	O.A. No.1013 of 2022T.H. DhekaleApplicantVersusThe State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents1.Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate fothe Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned PresentingOfficer for the Respondents.2.Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply willbe filed during the course of order.Statement isaccepted. It be taken on record.	
	3. O.A. be kept for hearin admission with liberty to file Reply	
	4. S.O. to 30.11.2022.	
		<b>、</b>
		Sd/-
		Kurhekar) ember (J)
	NMN	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
	Dat	e: 14.11.2022	
		M.A. No.623 of 2022 in O.A.	No.30 of 2022
	D.V Vers	. Wadhel	Applicant
	-	State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
		Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learn licant and Shri A.J. Chougule, cer for the Respondents.	
	was trans 07.0 soug 30.0	This M.A. is filed for an lenge order dated 10.01.2022 & filed on 13.01.2022 seeking sfer of the Quarter and challe 1.2022. Now by way of amend that to challenge order date 5.2022 which according to the ed upon him and it was not chall	& 30.05.2022. O.A. direction for the enging order dated ment the Applicant ed 10.01.2022 & Applicant was not
	3. adjuo	Proposed amendment appe dication of the issue involved in t	
	4.	In view of above, amendment	is allowed.
	5.	Amendment be carried out wi	thin three days.
	6. Reply	Three weeks time is grated to the amendment to be made	
	7.	S.O. to 05.12.2022.	
		~	
			id/- Irhekar) ber (J)
	NMN		
			[ <i>P.T.O.</i>

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 14.11.2022		
	M.A. No.228 of 2022 in O.A. No.310 of 2022		
	S.S. ThakurApplicant Versus		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
	<ol> <li>Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>		
	2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for hearing of M.A.		
	3. S.O. to 22.11.2022.		
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)		
	NMN		

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

#### I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 14.11.2022	
	R.A. No.19 of 2022 in O.A. N	lo.956 of 2021
	V.A. Chavan Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadel for the Applicant and Smt. Ar Presenting Officer for the Respond</li> </ol>	chana B.K., learned
	2. On request of learned P.C granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply in chance.	
	3. S.O. to 28.11.2022.	
		Sd/-
		Kurhekar) ember (J)
	NMN	

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

#### I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 14.11.2022 M.A. No.621 of 2022 in O.A. No.179 of 2021
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Org. Resps.)Appl. Versus R.A. Marathe (Org. Appl.)Resps.
	1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).
	2. This is 2 <sup>nd</sup> M.A. for extension of time to comply the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.179/2021 dated 29.08.2022
	3. In M.A. No.179/2021 by order dated 29.08.2022 Tribunal allowed partly and following order has been passed:-
	<ul> <li><u>"ORDER</u></li> <li>(A) The Original Application is allowed partly.</li> <li>(B) The legality of suspension order dated 23.01.2021 is upheld.</li> <li>(C) The Applicant is held entitled to full pay and allowances on the expiration of 90 days' period of suspension and monetary benefits be accordingly paid to him within a month from today.</li> <li>(D) Respondent is further directed to ensure completion of DE including passing of final order therein within three months from today.</li> <li>(E) The decision, as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant.</li> <li>(F) No order as to costs."</li> </ul>
	4. The present extension is sought for compliance of Clause 'C' of the order about the payment of pay and allowance on the expiration of 90 days period of suspension, this is 2 <sup>nd</sup> extension Application.
	[P.T.O.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	5. Earlier Respondent have filed M.A. No. 572/2022 for extension of time which was allowed by the Tribunal by order dated 04.10.2022 and four weeks was granted for the compliance of the order. Now again this 2 <sup>nd</sup> M.A. is filed for extension of time.
	6. Thus initially one month time was granted and thereafter again one month time was granted. Despite this position, there is no compliance for the order passed by the Tribunal. Suffice to say, enough time is granted but the order is not complied.
	7. In view of above, I am not inclined to grant further time. M.A. is dismissed with no order as to costs.
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN