

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.977 OF 2024 (S.B.)**

R.J. Waghela & Ors.

...**Applicants**

**Versus**

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...**Respondent**

Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants.  
Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

**CORAM** : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**

**DATE** : **14.08.2024**

**O R D E R**

1. The Applicants have challenged the transfer order dated 31.07.2024 issued by Respondent, the Commissioner, Medical Education, Research and Ayush, Government Dental College, Mumbai to the extent of posting the Applicants as Senior Clerk after promoting them as Clerk-Typist and accordingly they may be issued the posting order by the Respondent at the places as mentioned against their names in the Chart with all consequential benefits. Further Applicants pray to revise the impugned order dated 31.07.2024 to the extent of place of posting in the light of provisions of Clause 11(a) and (3) of page No.22 of the General Administration Department, Government Resolution dated 01.08.2019 r/w. Clause 3.7 of the G.A.D., G.R. dated 31.01.2014 and Clause 12 of the Government Circular dated 11.02.2015.

2. Learned Counsel for the Applicants has submitted that the juniors of the Applicants are retained and the Applicants are transferred. Learned Counsel for the Applicants has relied on the three Government Resolutions dated 31.01.2014, 11.02.2015 and 01.08.2019. Learned Counsel has submitted that the G.R. dated

31.01.2014 is about constitution of Civil Services Board Committee for transfer of the Government Employees. Learned Counsel has pointed out to Clause 12 of the G.R. dated 11.02.2015. He has further submitted that by G.R. dated 01.08.2019, the Government has introduced the guidelines which are to be followed at the time of giving promotions. He has pointed out to Clause (ए) (३) of the G.R. dated 01.08.2019 which states that at the time of promotion recommendations given by the Civil Services Board (C.S.B.) are to be considered by the appointing authority. Learned Counsel, therefore, prays for interim relief.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondent has submitted that C.S.B. meeting was held on 31.07.2024, wherein 420 Junior Clerks were promoted by order dated 31.07.2024. Approximately 105 Junior Clerks in Mumbai who were working in five Government Hospitals and head office are promoted by this order and out of them 51 Junior Clerks who are promoted to the post of Senior Clerks are transferred outside Mumbai and 54 Junior Clerks are retained considering their knowledge regarding E-office system. Learned P.O. has submitted that the Clause 3.7 of the G.R. dated 31.01.2014 is complied with. With regard to Clause 12 of G.R. dated 11.02.2015 she has submitted that the said G.R. pertains to the procedure which is to be followed at the time of transfer and this is not applicable to the transfer taking place on account of promotion. Learned P.O. has further pointed out that in paragraph (ए) (३) of the G.R. dated 01.08.2019 there is no mention that choice posting can be given. Also as per G.R. of 09.04.2018, 10 options cannot be called from the persons because these are not general transfers, but posting on promotion.

4. Learned P.O. has submitted that no Senior Clerk is given posting as per the recommendations of any elected People's representative. Learned P.O. has submitted that for 420 posts, 646 persons were found eligible and were in the zone of consideration. Out of them, some of

them at the relevant time have made request for giving them posting at a particular place and also given reasons for the same. The Government has not asked options as the G.R. dated 09.04.2018 is not applicable at the time of promotion. Learned P.O. has submitted that out of 10 applicants, three applicants have requested for a particular place of posting. Applicant No.2, Hemant A. Solanki, requested for posting at Mumbai but posted at Palghar, Applicant No.4, Saurabh S. Shitole, requested for posting at Mumbai but posted at Alibag and Applicant No.6, Siddhesh S. Phansekar, requested for posting at Mumbai but posted at Alibag. Learned P.O. has submitted that it is not possible to retain each and every employee at Mumbai.

5. By counter submissions learned Counsel has argued that in the C.S.B. meeting the cases of the applicants for transfer were not considered and favourable considerations were given to female candidates and hence the same is arbitrary. He has further submitted that though asking for options is mentioned in the minutes of C.S.B. meeting, it is not given to the Applicants. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Respondent has made the pick and choose policy while issuing the order which is arbitrary.

6. Considered the submissions made by learned Counsel and learned Presenting Officer. The relevant Clauses which are relied by both the parties are quoted below :

Clause 3.7 of the G.R. dated 31.01.2014

३.७ पदस्थापनेसाठीच्या प्रस्तावावर नागरी सेवा मंडळाकडून शिफारस झाल्यावर, शिफारशीनुसार प्रस्ताव प्रशासकीय विभागाने सक्षम प्राधिकाऱ्यास आणि प्रादेशिक विभागप्रमुखांनी विभागप्रमुख यांना मान्यतेसाठी सादर करावा. त्यावर अंतिम निर्णय सक्षम प्राधिकाऱ्याकडून घेण्यात येईल.

Clause 12 of G.R. dated 11.02.2015

१२. शासन सेवेतील गट अ, गट ब व गट क मधील अधिकारी/कर्मचा-यांच्या पदस्थापना, बदली याबाबत सक्षम प्राधिका-यास शिफारशी करण्यासाठी नागरी सेवा मंडळ स्थापन करण्याबाबतचे आदेश दि. ३१.०१.२०१४ च्या शासन निर्णयान्वये दिले होते. तदंतर सदर शासन निर्णयास पुढील आदेश होईपर्यंत दिनांक २०.०५.२०१४ च्या शासन निर्णयान्वये स्थगिती दिली होती. सदर स्थगिती आता दि. १९.०१.२०१५ च्या शासन निर्णयान्वये उठविण्यात आली असून सर्व प्रशासकीय विभागांनी त्यांच्या अधिपत्याखालील अधिकारी/कर्मचा-यांच्या पदस्थापना व बदल्या याबाबत सक्षम प्राधिका-यास शिफारशी करण्यासाठी नागरी सेवा मंडळ स्थापन करणेबाबत निदेश दिलेले आहेत. सदर निदेशानुसार अधिकारी/कर्मचा-यांच्या

पदस्थापना करण्यापूर्वी नागरी सेवा मंडळाच्या शिफारशी प्राप्त करून घेण्याबाबत बदली करण्यास सक्षम असलेल्या प्राधिका-याने दक्षता घ्यावी.

Clause (ए) (३) of the G.R. dated 01.08.2019

३) गट-ब (अराजपत्रित), गट-क आणि गट-ड मधील पदांवर पदोन्नती देण्याकरीता तयार केलेल्या नियमित / तदर्थ निवडसूच्यांच्याबाबतीत संबंधित नियुक्ती प्राधिकाऱ्यांनी, नागरी सेवा मंडळाच्या शिफारशी विचारात घेऊन आदेश निर्गमित करावेत. गट-ब (अराजपत्रित) पदांवर पदोन्नती देण्याकरीता तयार केलेल्या नियमित निवडसूच्या/ तदर्थ पदोन्नतिसूच्यांच्याबाबतीत विभागीय महसूली वाटप नियमावलीतील तरतुदी विचारात घ्याव्यात.

After perusal of the relevant Clauses mentioned above and the reasons given in C.S.B. meeting, I am of the view that these transfers and posting cannot be considered arbitrary. The submissions made by learned Counsel that there is pick and choose policy is absolutely baseless as nothing malafide or against law is shown. The Clauses mentioned in the G.Rs are followed by the Respondent and we also rely on the submissions of learned P.O. that the G.R. dated 09.04.2018 regarding asking for options is not applicable for posting on promotion. The Government has given promotions to total 420 Junior Clerks. When the promotions are given to such a large number of people, exigencies and requirement of service of the Senior Clerks are bound to be considered by the Respondent-Department. The recommendations of the CSB meeting are also properly followed. The arguments that the seniors in the cadre of Junior Clerks who are promoted to the post of Senior Clerks are to be retained at Mumbai and only juniors are to be transferred first cannot be said to be arbitrary or illegal. If at all there is any rule in the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation and Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'the ROTA 2005' for brevity) stating that if there is transfer of junior and senior, in the event promotion is given to them on the same post, then the senior is to be retained on the same post and junior is to be transferred is not placed before me. Thus, prima facie, it is difficult to call these transfers as arbitrary and illegal. It is necessary to take in to account that it is not a general transfer, but



transfer on promotion. It is to be noted that all the ten applicants wants to be retained at Mumbai. This cannot happen as choice of posting cannot be entertained at all.

7. On query learned P.O. has informed that all these 10 applicants have been working and posted in Mumbai as Junior Clerks, since, 2016. To this counter arguments of learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar were that the juniors of the applicants have spent more than eight years on the post of Junior Clerks and therefore they are to be transferred first, is complete baseless and it is to be noted that transfer is an incident of service. Applicants are expected to join their respective posts unless they have sanctioned leave. No case is made out for interim relief.

8. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.09.2024.

10. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondent is put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stands dismissed.

14. Adjourned to 25.09.2024.

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.509 of 2024</p> <p>S.D. Gawade .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer present on behalf of Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent dated 13.08.2024. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.340 of 2024</p> <p>T.M. Adhaoo .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.S. Thombre learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer present on behalf of the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.517 of 2024</p> <p>P.D. Katkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant through (Video-Conference) and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri Aditya Raktade, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>14.08.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1555/2023</u></b> <b><u>(Through Video Conference)</u></b></p> <p>S.L Ingawale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that the name of Shri A.A Gharte has been shown wrongly in place of her appearance.</p> <p>3. The Registry is directed to carry out the correction in the appearance of the learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel prays that the matter be preponed and heard at an early date.</p> <p>5. Matter is preponed and kept for hearing on 3.9.2024. Interim relief, if any to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>14.08.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1500/2023</u></b> <b><u>(Through Video Conference)</u></b></p> <p>S.G Deokar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No. 1 to 3, Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 4 and Shri Chagan Thakare i/b Talekar and Associates, learned counsel for Respondent No. 5.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for Respondent No. 5 submits that Shri Talekar is not available and seeks time.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel Shri Bandiwadekar, files affidavit in reply and copy is served on the other side.</p> <p>4. S.O to 23.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>14.08.2024</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 472/2024 in O.A 1232/2023 with O.A 1232/2023</u></b></p> <p>R.M Jadhav &amp; Ors ... Applicants-Intervenors</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p align="center">And</p> <p>L.M Koli &amp; Ors ...Ori Applicants</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sushant Valimbe a/w Onkar Wable, and Shri Hrutik Chavan, learned counsel for the applicants-Intervenors, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondent No. 1, Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for the original applicants. None present for Respondent No 2 &amp; 4.</p> <p>2. In the Original Application, the applicants, Police Constables aspires for promotion to the post of P.S.I and as per G.R dated 3.3.2023 they are challenging clause in respect of the age limit and it is to be modified and corrigendum to that effect is to be issued. Being in-service candidates, the upper age limit is to be relaxed by two years up to 31.12.2023 for the Limited Departmental Competitive Examination, which was held on 2.12.2023.</p> <p>3. The applicants-intervenors are also working as Police Constables who have appeared for the Limited Departmental Competitive Examination and they are in-service candidates within the age prescribed as per G.R dated 3.3.2023. Learned counsel for the applicants-intervenors submit that if the Original Application is allowed the chances of the applicants-intervenors being considered in merit list will be depleted and thus the legal right of the applicants-intervenors is violated.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicants-intervenors seek time to argue the matter.</p> <p>5. S.O to 16.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.975 of 2024</u></p> <p>D.Y. Bansode ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Vishal Golambade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this OA the applicant prays for directions to the respondent no.3-Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai to decide the applicant's Revision Application within two weeks.</p> <p>3. Considering the grievance of the applicant the respondent no.3 is hereby directed to decide the Revision Application of the applicant within four weeks from today. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 14.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.8.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.980 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.A. Jamdade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven days</b> or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three days</b> before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "<b>For Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 14.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.7 of 2024 in O.A. No.149 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.S. Suryawanshi &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent the matter is adjourned by two weeks as the compliance is in the pipeline.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 14.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.50 of 2024 in O.A. No.162 of 2024</u></p> <p>M.S. Radye ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.S. Jadhav h/f Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply and on instructions states that the next training for direct recruit will commence on 23.12.2024 for a period of one year.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 14.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.8.2024</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.271 of 2024 in O.A. No.576 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.S. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 4.9.2024 for filing reply.</p> <table data-bbox="702 1288 1356 1471"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 14.8.2024 (sgj)</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.8.2024</td></tr></table>	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 14.8.2024 (sgj)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.8.2024
Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 14.8.2024 (sgj)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.8.2024		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.848 &amp; 997 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.B. Saravade A.T. Joseph ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K. and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 11.9.2024 for filing reply.</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; width: 50%;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 14.8.2024 (sg)</td> <td style="text-align: center; width: 50%;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.8.2024</td> </tr> </table>	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 14.8.2024 (sg)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.8.2024
Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 14.8.2024 (sg)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.8.2024		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1195 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.S. Tayde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.M. Choudhari, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.9.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 14.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.475 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.J. Kale &amp; Ors. ..Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that in this matter the decision is to be taken by the department.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.8.2024 for filing reply. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Member (A) Chairperson  14.8.2024 14.8.2024  (sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.494 of 2024</u></p> <p>K.S. Lanjekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.8.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 14.8.2024 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.8.2024</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.540 of 2024</u></p> <p>Dr. H.S. Bhopale &amp; Ors. ..Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.9.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty)  Member (A)  14.8.2024  (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  14.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.596 of 2024</u></p> <p>M.N. Nerlekar ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.8.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder. HOB.</p> <p style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <span>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 14.8.2024 (sgj)</span> <span>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.8.2024</span> </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.629, 635, 645, 646 &amp; 731 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.R. Shinde &amp; Ors. ..Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji h/f Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for applicants in OAs No.645, 646 &amp; 731/2024 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that Sports Department wants two weeks' time for compliance as certain information is required.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.8.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 14.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.8.2024</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.653 of 2024</u></p> <p>G.S. Wade ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 28.8.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty)  Member (A)  14.8.2024  (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  14.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.700 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.V. Barapatre ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Paresh Thakur, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.8.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty)  Member (A)  14.8.2024  (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson  14.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.773 of 2024</u></p> <p>J.B. Suryawanshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 14.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.853 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.G. Raut ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 14.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.854 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.A. Kale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 14.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.892 of 2024</u></p> <p>T.K. Ghanwat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.N. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.8.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 14.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.959 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.V. Abhang ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.8.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 14.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.8.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

O.A. No.956 of 2024

Sunil S. Shinde  
Vs.

..Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant who is working as Divisional Forest Officer prays for directions to the respondents to modify the zone allotment cum promotion order of the applicant on the post of Divisional Forest Officer, Group-A Senior scale dated 25.6.2024.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. To be shown under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the Original/Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Misc. Applications shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
14.8.2024

(sgj)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 14.08.2024**

**O.A.No.824/2024**

R.B. Tadvi

Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 21.08.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.08.2024

O.A.No.808/2024

S.S. Jadhav

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Mr. A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. A. Panikar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.5 (through video conference)

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day he will file affidavit-in-reply.

3. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.

4. Learned Counsel Mr. Panikar has submitted that he has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent no.5. However, learned P.O. states that the copy of reply of Respondent No.5 is not served upon the office of C.P.O. Learned Counsel Mr. Panikar is directed to serve the copy of reply to all the concern.

5. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.

6. Adjourned to 28.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.08.2024

O.A.No.992/2024

K.V. Barve .Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.08.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
8. The Joint Secretary, Home Department to remain present to consider the case of the Applicant.
9. Learned Counsel seeks permission to amend paragraph 11 of O.A.
10. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith and amended copy be served upon the concern.
11. Adjourned to 16.08.2024 at 10.25 a.m. under the caption 'For Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 14.08.2024**

**M.A.No.512/2024 O.A.No.957/2024  
(Caveat No.30/2024)**

P. Suryawanshi .Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. A.V. Sakolkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.957/2024, Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Caveator in Caveat No.30/2024 and Respondent no.3 in O.A.No.957/2024 (through video conference) and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Not on board. Suo moto taken on board.

3. Learned Counsel Mr. Sakolkar has filed M.A. in view of that Respondent-Department has issued order in spite of status quo granted by this Tribunal by order dated 06.08.2024 passed in O.A.No.957 /2024.

4. Adjourned to 16.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.709 & 719/2024**

**A.S. Nikam**  
**V.K. Lad** ... Applicants  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned PO submits as per instructions, the proposal for provisional pension is forwarded to concerned Department and therefore seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply and to take instructions. Time Granted.
3. S.O. to 22<sup>nd</sup> August, 2024.

^  
Sd/-

**(A.N. Karmarkar)**  
**Member-J**  
**14.08.2024**

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.192/2024**

**D.V. Sawant** ... **Applicant**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... **Respondents**

1. Heard Ms. Samiksha Gawde, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Dhannawat, learned Advocate for Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents and Shri P Singh, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.

2. S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> September, 2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member-J  
14.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.516/2024**

**L.S. Donde** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Applicant and his Advocate absent. Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.
2. S.O. to 16<sup>th</sup> October, 2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member-J  
14.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.715/2024**

**C.M. Shikhare** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Applicant and his Advocate absent. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.
2. It is expected that learned Advocate for Applicant shall advance his arguments positively on next date.
3. S.O. to 29<sup>th</sup> August, 2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member-J  
14.08.2024

(skw)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.860/2024**

**S.V. Joshi** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned PO submits that he has not yet received Parawise Comments and therefore seeks time. Time Granted.
3. S.O. to 2<sup>nd</sup> September, 2024.

Sd/-  
(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member-J  
14.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.503/2024**

**R.A. Joshi** ... **Applicant**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... **Respondents**

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.

3. S.O. to 4<sup>th</sup> September, 2024.

Sd/-  
10  
(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member-J  
14.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.531/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>R.B. Chavan</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO submits that he will file Affidavit-in-Reply during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22<sup>nd</sup> August, 2024 for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.N. Karmarkar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>14.08.2024</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.643/2024**

**H.T. Pansare & Ors. ... Applicants**  
**Vs. ... Respondents**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned PO submits that he has not yet received Parawise Comments and therefore seeks time. Time Granted.
3. S.O. to 28<sup>th</sup> August, 2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member-J  
14.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.758/2024**

**S.D. Mali** ... **Applicant**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... **Respondents**

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.

3. S.O. to 28<sup>th</sup> August, 2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member-J  
14.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.835/2024**

**P.S. Salvi & Ors. ... Applicants**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri J.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate for Applicant, Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents and Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri P. Patil, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.

2. The learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.

3. S.O. to 28<sup>th</sup> August, 2024.

Sd/-

**(A.N. Karmarkar)**  
**Member-J**  
**14.08.2024**

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.660/2024**

**T.M. Gawade** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kokitkar, learned Advocate for Applicant, Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents and Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.

2. The learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 submits that he will file Affidavit-in-Reply during the course of the day.

3. The learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder. Time Granted.

4. S.O. to 28<sup>th</sup> August, 2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member-J  
14.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.498/2024**

**I.B. Pawar** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned PO files Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.
3. Admit.
4. S.O. to 11<sup>th</sup> September, 2024 for filing Rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-

**(A.N. Karmarkar)**  
**Member-J**  
**14.08.2024**

(skw)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.491/2024**

**S.B. Jadhav** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned PO for Respondents and Shri Manoj B. Bagal, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.
2. The learned PO files Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.
3. The learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.
4. S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> August, 2024.

Sd/-  
(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member-J  
14.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.407/2024**

**N.T. More** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Samiksha Gawde, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Dhanawat, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. Time Granted.

3. The learned Advocate for Applicant files Rejoinder. It is taken on record.

4. S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> September, 2024.

Sd/-

**(A.N. Karmarkar)**  
**Member-J**  
**14.08.2024**

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.398/2024**

**P.G. Nilje** ... **Applicant**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... **Respondents**

1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> September, 2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member-J  
14.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.296/2024**

**G.M. Kokate** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned Advocate for Applicant files Rejoinder. It is taken on record.
3. S.O. to 21<sup>st</sup> August, 2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member-J  
14.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.238/2024**

**P.S. Joshi** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.

3. S.O. to 28<sup>th</sup> August, 2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member-J  
14.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.197/2024**

**S.H. Parte & Ors. ... Applicants**  
**Vs. ... Respondents**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted as final chance.
3. S.O. to 4<sup>th</sup> September, 2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member-J  
14.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.92/2024**

**A.G. Vankade** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.U. Rajput, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned PO for Respondents.
2. Affidavit-in-Reply is filed.
3. Admit with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.
4. S.O. to 11<sup>th</sup> September, 2024.

Sd/-

**(A.N. Karmarkar)**  
**Member-J**  
**14.08.2024**

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.84/2024**

**Y.P. Khonore** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Samadhan Kashid, learned Advocate holding for Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned PO for Respondents.
2. Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 is filed.
3. The learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder. Time Granted.
4. S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> August, 2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member-J  
14.08.2024

(skw)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.51/2024**

**V.S. Borale** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned PO submits that he has received instructions and therefore seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.
3. S.O. to 21<sup>st</sup> August, 2024.

Sd/-

**(A.N. Karmarkar)**  
**Member-J**  
**14.08.2024**

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.31/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>S.R. Tamboli</b> ... <b>Applicant</b> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... <b>Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28<sup>th</sup> August, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- v <b>(A.N. Karmarkar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>14.08.2024</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A.499/2023 in O.A.969/2023</u></b></p> <p><b>S.D. Kamble</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant &amp; his Advocate absent. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.</li><li>2. The learned PO seeks time. Time Granted.</li><li>3. S.O. to 21<sup>st</sup> August, 2024.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.N. Karmarkar) Member-J 14.08.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.1005/2023**

**A.B. Joshi** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Applicant & his Advocate absent. Smt. Archana B.K., learned PO for Respondents.
2. Respondent Nos.2 & 3 have filed their Affidavit-in-Replies. Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 4 is yet to be filed.
3. Admit with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.
4. S.O. to 26<sup>th</sup> August, 2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member-J  
14.08.2024

(skw)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.987/2024**

**N.P. Kelkar & Anr.**

**... Applicants**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned PO for Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 11.09.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 11<sup>th</sup> September, 2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Katmarkar)  
Member-J  
14.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**M.A.497/2024 in O.A.987/2024**

**N.P. Kelkar & Anr. ... Applicants**  
**Vs. ... Respondents**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned PO for Respondents.

2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

Sd/-

**(A.N. Karmarkar)**  
**Member-J**  
**14.08.2024**

(skw)