

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>14.07.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 195/2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>Speaking to the Minutes</u></p> <p>Shri Dada Bharat Koulage ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned counsel Smt Vaishali Jagdale has moved application for speaking to the minutes. 3. In para 1, it is wrongly mentioned as 'impugned communication dated 25.10.201, instead of 'impugned communication dated 25.10.2021. 4. In para 2, in second last line it is inadvertently mentioned as G.R dated 19.12.2013, instead of Government Circular dated 19.9.2013. 5. In para 2, page 3 it is inadvertently mentioned as G.R dated 19.9.2013, instead of G.A.D Circular dated 19.9.2013. 6. In para 4, last line it is mentioned as Government Resolution dated 19.9.2013 instead of Government Circular dated 19.9.2013. 7. In the date of judgment it is inadvertently mentioned as 113.7.2023, instead of 13.7.2023. 8. Learned C.P.O submits to the order of the Court. 9. It is found that the corrections are typographical errors and needs to be corrected. Hence order corrected accordingly. <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>14.07.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 481/2023</u></p> <p>Shri Ramesh B. Mote & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Talekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that this matter was earlier adjourned to 26.7.2023. However, by circulation the matter is fixed today, i.e., 14.7.2023. Now this matter is assigned to me and she submits that she his not aware that the matter is today on Board.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants refers to the order of this Tribunal dated 2.5.2023 and submits that the applicants who are working as Craft Instructors for the last 4 to 9 years are not given their salary from April, 2023. Learned counsel for the applicants submitted that the applicants are getting salary of Rs. 15000/- p.m.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O to take instructions in the matter and file reply.</p> <p>5. S.O to 18.7.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>14.07.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 195/2021</u></p> <p><u>Speaking to the Minutes</u></p> <p>Shri Dada Bharat Koulage ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Smt Vaishali Jagdale has moved application for speaking to the minutes.</p> <p>3. In para 1, it is wrongly mentioned as 'impugned communication dated 25.10.201, instead of 'impugned communication dated 15.10.2021.</p> <p>4. In para 2, in second last line it is inadvertently mentioned as G.R dated 19.12.2013, instead of Government Circular dated 19.9.2013.</p> <p>5. In para 2, page 3 it is inadvertently mentioned as G.R dated 19.9.2013, instead of G.A.D Circular dated 19.9.2013.</p> <p>6. In para 4, last line it is mentioned as Government Resolution dated 19.9.2013 instead of Government Circular dated 19.9.2013.</p> <p>7. In the date of judgment it is inadvertently mentioned as 113.7.2023, instead of 13.7.2023.</p> <p>8. Learned C.P.O submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>9. It is found that the corrections are typographical errors and needs to be corrected. Hence order corrected accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.918/2016</p> <p>A.S. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Learned Advocate Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar is absent and has filed a leave note.</p> <p>2. In view that learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar is absent, matter Adjourned to 21.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.94/2022 with O.A.No.249/2022</p> <p>S.S. Zade & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2, Mr. Yogesh K. Sonkamble in O.A.No.94/2022 and Mr. Samadhan T. Kamble in O.A.No.249/2022.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Ms. Suryawanshi has filed reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 in O.A.No.94/202. Taken on record. Copy is served upon the concerned. She seeks time to file reply in O.A.No.249/2022.</p> <p>3. Time granted by way of last chance to learned Advocate Ms. Suryawanshi to file reply in O.A.No.249/2022.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 24.07.2023 for filing reply and thereafter adjourned to 26.07.2023 for Final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.734 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.M. Bhoir & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kailash Jadhav holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.7.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.7.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.7.2023</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>14.07.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 726/2023</u></p> <p>Shri Bhatkraj J. Ghortale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.V Shinde, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the Verification Report dated 2.5.2023 issued by Respondent no. 5, District Malaria Officer about the experience.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits that the applicant is not paid his salary. The Respondents are directed to release the salary of the applicant if withheld immediately.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>5. S.O to 28.7.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <small>Akn</small></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>14.07.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 460/2023</u></p> <p>Shri B.B Pawar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Gaikwad, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants applied for the post of Clerk-cum-Typist /Sales Tax Assistant as per advertisement dated 21.12.2021 for various posts in Mantralaya and the result of which is declared on 12.7.2023. Learned counsel for the applicants has submitted that the applicants are affected because of the faulty procedure followed by M.P.S.C in conducting the examination.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O is directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 18.7.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.709/2023</p> <p>V.D. HunchikattiApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to the order dated 23.06.2023 passed by this Tribunal the Department has called information in respect of the work profile and the performance of the Applicant and the Commissioner, Social Welfare, Respondent No.1 to take decision. She has submitted that Respondent No.1 has sought four weeks. However, we are inclined to give two weeks time. Learned Advocate has submitted that applicant's proposal was earlier sent on 14.11.2022, second time on 25.01.2023, so now it will be third time the proposal will be send.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.07.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>10. Adjourned to 28.07.2023. To be placed under the caption 'For Urgent Admission'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.07.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.443/2023 in O.A.No.820/2023</p> <p>S.K. Doifode & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S. Katkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.07.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.315/2023 in O.A.No.501/2023 with M.A.No.316/2023 in O.A.No.502/2023 with M.A.No.317/2023 in O.A.No.503/2023</p> <p>P.S. Pitale & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks two weeks to file reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 28.07.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.07.2023</p> <p>R.A.No.6/2023 in O.A.No.187/2021</p> <p>D.N. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is not filed.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 28.07.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1114/2016</p> <p>B.M. Sonwane & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Learned Advocate Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar is absent and has filed a leave note.</p> <p>2. In view that learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar is absent, matter Adjourned to 18.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.723/2016 with O.A.No.724/2016 with O.A.No.726/2016 with O.A.No.782/2016</p> <p>S.V. Thakurdesai & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Learned Advocate Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar is absent and has filed a leave note. Applicant in O.A.No.724/2016, Mr. E.J. Barshinge is present in person.</p> <p>2. In view that learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar is absent, matter Adjourned to 17.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.817 of 2023</u></p> <p>Somanath B. Gore ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.B. Khot, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 & 2 and Shri Nikhil Vijay Adkine, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to facts mentioned in para 3 of order dated 11.7.2023, Ld. CPO submits that it is true that in the advertisement dated 21.10.2022 minimum age for candidate is shown as 25 years. Ld. CPO produces notification dated 3.7.2022 regarding the Assistant (Legal), in the Legal Side of the Law and Judiciary Department (Recruitment) Rules, 2002. It is mentioned in Rule 3 that the candidate should not be more than 30 years of age. Thus, there is no minimum age limit prescribed in the Recruitment Rules. Ld. CPO pointed out that these Rules were amended on 2.7.2008 and the maximum age limit is increased to 33 years. However, in the amended rules there is no minimum age limit. Ld. CPO submits that though the age limit of 25 is mentioned in the advertisement, the MPSC at the time of scrutiny adhered to the Rules and candidates who are Law Graduates and their applications were otherwise found correct, they were allowed irrespective of their age and therefore the candidates who were falling in between 18-25 were allowed to appear in the interview.</p> <p>3. In view of the submissions made by the Ld. CPO, we direct the Ld. CPO to file affidavit of a responsible officer from the MPSC.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.7.2023 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission' at 10.30 a.m.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 14.7.2023 14.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.07.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.406/2023 (for intervener) in O.A.No.133/2023 with Caveat No.129/2022</p> <p>XYZApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person in M.A.No.406/2023, Mr. Sumant Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.133/2023 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Original Complainant XYZ is present. She has submitted that copies are served to all the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Mr. Deshpande has submitted that he wants time to file reply.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply in O.A.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 28.07.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 14.07.2023
	O.A.No.52/2019
	P.V. Shelke-Patil & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.
	1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Learned Advocate Mr. Y.P. Narvankar appearing for Respondent No.6 and Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Advocate appearing for Respondent No. 4 are absent.
	2. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere has submitted that Applicant No.1, Pallavi Vijaykumar Shelke Patil was not interviewed. She has been working on adhoc basis.
	3. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere has relied on the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of <i>K. Manushree Versus State of Andhra Pradesh and Anr. reported in (2008) 3 SCC 512.</i> He pointed out paragraph 27 of the said judgment which is regarding equivalence wherein it is held that, "This appeal is by K. Manjusri whose name was found in the first list. She contended that the minimum marks for interview not having been prescribed either under the rules or by the resolution dated 30.11.2004 by the Administrative committee, the action of the Full Court altering the norms for selection by introducing minimum marks for interview, after completion of the selection process, would amount to changing the rules of the game, not only after the game was started but after the game was played."

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

4. Learned Advocate has submitted that the advertisement dated 30.10.2023 was issued for the post of Dress Designing and Garment Manufacturing by the office of Higher Technical Education Department and G.R. was issued on 06.05.2013, hence the G.R. was in force when the Advertisement was issued.

5. Learned C.P.O. has relied on the judgment dated 18.03.2015 passed by the M.A.T. Bench Nagpur in O.A.No.25/2015. She also pointed out interim relief granted in the said O.A. vide order dated 23.01.2015.

6. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 26.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.820/2023</p> <p>S.K. Doifode & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S. Katkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Applicants who are daily wages forest labourer wants to apply in the recruitment process pursuant to advertisement dated 08.06.2023 for the post of Forest Guard. Learned Advocate has submitted that there is 10% reservation in the recruitment for the persons who have already worked as Forest Guard for the period of 240 days per year of continuous five years.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted though that all the Applicants are eligible as they have put in 240 days per year of continuous five years, Respondents have not issued certificate. He has submitted that Respondents be directed to issue certificate, if they are eligible. Learned Advocate pressed for interim relief that the Applicants be allowed to appear for the examination.</p> <p>4. We direct the Respondents to verify the work experience and the eligibility of the Applicant and accordingly issue certificate before the examination is conducted and if found eligible, Applicants be considered.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.07.2023.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

11. Adjourned to 28.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MA.377/2023 in MA.136/2020 & OA.201/2020</u></p> <p>Indubai R. Shahapurkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.A. Naik, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. MA No.136/2020 & OA No.201/2020 were dismissed for default by order dated 10.4.2023. MA No.377/2023 is filed on 4.5.2023 for restoration of above MA and OA. Ld. Advocate submits that he was not well. The applicant is a senior citizen. She is illiterate and has no knowledge of legal proceedings. Ld. Advocate therefore prays that order dated 10.4.2023 may be recalled and OA and MA be restored to file.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO has no objection.</p> <p>4. For the aforesaid submissions and the reasons given the MA, the order dated 10.4.2023 is recalled and MA No.136/2020 and OA No.201/2020 are restored to file. MA No.377/2023 is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.400 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.D. Kurangal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO to produce copy of minutes of the meeting of DPC held on 7.7.2020.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.7.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA.136/2020 & OA.201/2020</u></p> <p>Indubai R. Shahapurkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.A. Naik, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.7.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.7.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.491 of 2022</p> <p>R.K. Hiramani & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. sought short time to file Affidavit along with G.R./ Recruitment Rules existing for all the post at the time of appointment of the Applicants.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 14.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.988 of 2019</p> <p>V.Y. MokashiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. requested for adjournment on the ground that his brief is not traceable.</p> <p>3. Matter be treated as D-Part Heard and be kept for hearing afresh before appropriate Bench.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 14.07.2023

O.A. No.781 of 2016

M.R. GhateApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate sought adjournment on the ground that his Senior is not available today due to personal difficulties.
3. S.O. to 21.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 14.07.2023

O.A. No.673 of 2023

S.M. SaidApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.
3. Adjourned for hearing.
4. S.O. to 04.08.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 14.07.2023

O.A. No.583 of 2023

A.V. DalviApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
3. The perusal of record reveals that Affidavit-in-Reply is already filed and matter is ripe for hearing.
4. S.O. to 04.08.2023 for hearing.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 14.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.374 of 2023</p> <p>K.M. SuravaseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that hearing of this O.A. was adjourned in view of filing of Writ Petition No.5983/2023 wherein stay has been granted to Clause 'C' of order dated 28.03.2023 passed by the Tribunal in O.A. No.63/23. Writ Petition is fixed for hearing before Hon'ble High Court on 31.07.2023. Hearing of this O.A. is required to be adjourned.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.07.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.705 of 2019 with O.A. No.706 of 2019</p> <p>V.D. Kamane & Ors. P.S. Parab & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate sought adjournment on the ground that his Senior is not available today due to personal difficulties.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.07.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1283 of 2022 (Since Division Bench is not available, the matter is placed before the Single Bench)</p> <p>Dr. D.V. MenkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that Affidavit-in-Reply is already filed and matter is ripe of Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. Admit, adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. 19.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.174 of 2022 (Since Division Bench is not available, the matter is placed before the Single Bench)</p> <p>V.C. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant in Person is present but his Advocate is absent. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 4. S.O. to 27.07.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1095 of 2022 (Since Division Bench is not available, the matter is placed before the Single Bench)</p> <p>M.S. ThoraveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 14.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.715 of 2023</p> <p>C.M. ShikhareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Priyanka Singh, learned Advocate holding for Shri N. Morey, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.07.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.385 of 2022 (Since Division Bench is not available, the matter is placed before the Single Bench)</p> <p>S.K. Hankare & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for Final Hearing on 28.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.40 of 2020</p> <p>D.K. WaghmodeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned P.O. sought time to file Additional Affidavit along with some Annexure which are essential according to her.</p> <p>3. According to learned P.O. the Applicant initially approached Lok-Adalat for redressing the same grievance and hearing was given to him and thereafter he withdrew the compliant. She wants to produce all these documents along with Additional Affidavit.</p> <p>4. In view of above, matter be treated as D-Part Heard and be placed before concerned Bench for hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 02.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 14.07.2023

O.A.No.1045 of 2022

A. S. ChavanApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

(Since Division Bench is not available, the matter is placed before the Single Bench)

1. Heard Smt. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri Amol Jagtap, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record. Copy be served on Respondents.

3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.

4. S.O. to 10.08.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 14.07.2023

O.A.No.479 of 2020

K. P. SalunkheApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, learned P.O. has tendered order dated 04.07.2023 whereby one committee is formed to assess ^{to correctness of} penal charges of Rs.8,14,367/- sought to be recovered from the Applicant. Learned P.O., therefore, requested for time awaiting report of the committee.

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has no objection to wait for some time for report of the committee.

4. S.O. to 28.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Date : 14.07.2023

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.809 of 2023</p> <p>P.W. ShridhankarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 30.06.2022 being aggrieved by the date from which the benefit of 2nd Time Bound Promotion has been granted to him. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he is entitled for First Time Bound Promotion w.e.f. 15.06.1998 and for Second Time Bound Promotion w.e.f. 15.06.2010 but Second Time Bound Promotion has been granted w.e.f. 15.06.2016</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.08.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 11.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>