

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 14.07.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.498 of 2015 with O.A.No.505 of 2015 with O.A.No.423 of 2019 with M.A.No.256 of 2020</b></p> <p>V.V. Dharmadhikari (O.A.498/2015) V.R. Salodkar (O.A.505/2015) V.B. Patil &amp; Ors (O.A.423/19 w M.A.256/20) .....Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.498/2015 with O.A.No.505/2015, Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.423/2019 with M.A.No.256/2020 and Ms. Archana B.K., learned, Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. During the course of hearing of these three Original Applications it was found that the Applicants had taken part in Contributory Pension Scheme of the Central Government prior to their absorption in the year 2007. The said amount is lying in the separate account with the office of District Rehabilitation Center.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to ask the concerned officer to remain present tomorrow in the Court along with the details. We also direct the responsible officer of the Department of Social Justice to remain present tomorrow.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is hereby directed to have word with the Principal Secretary, Social Justice pursuant to letter dated 26.04.2022 written by the Director, Mr. Om Prakash Deshmukh as the Tribunal is interested to know the stand taken by the State.</p> <p>5. Matter is to be treated as urgent as it is of 2015.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 15.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">/</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member(A)</b></p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.789/2021</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri S.R. Naikwadi</b>                                 ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b>                                 ... Respondents</p> <p>1.        Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2.        Since enough time is granted to file reply, no further time can be granted.</p> <p>3.        S.O. to 18.07.2022 for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>14.07.2022</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.908/2021**

**Shri S.R. Hembade** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. files Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.
3. No separate reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1.
4. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, two weeks' time is granted to file Rejoinder.
5. S.O. to 25.07.2022.

Sd/-  
**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
Member-J  
14.07.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.153/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri R.G. Saindane</b>                                 <b>... Applicant</b>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b>                                 <b>... Respondents</b></p> <p>1.       Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2.       On the request of learned P.O, one week time is granted to file reply of Respondent Nos.2 &amp; 3 by way of last chance and no further time <sup>will be</sup> <del>was</del> granted.</p> <p>5.       S.O. to 21.07.2022.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>14.07.2022</b></p> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>14.07.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 678/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri Avadhut A. Sisal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant seeks directions that the Respondent no. 1, M.P.S.C be directed to allow the applicant to fill up the form for the post of Manager (Large Government Press).</p> <p>3. The M.P.S.C has issued advertisement dated 23.6.2022 for the post of Manager (Large Government Press) and as per clause 11.4 the last date of filling up the form is 15.7.2022.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that as per clause 8.1 the candidate is required to possess:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“a) Degree in Printing Technology in offset printing or letter press printing; OR</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">b) A diploma of recognized institution in Printing Technology in offset printing or letter press printing.”</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the course if offset printing or letter press printing are discontinued since 1992 and today such course are not available in any recognized Institute. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has also submitted application dated 8.7.2022 addressed to the Secretary, M.P.S.C, raising this point that this courses are discontinued since last 25 years. However, he has not received any reply.</p> <p>6. The Secretary, M.P.S.C is directed to give reply to the application dated 8.7.2022 submitted by the applicant. M.P.S.C is also directed to produce the Certificates of the candidates whose applications are accepted and they are having the Degree or Diploma in offset printing or letter press printing.</p> <p>7. S.O to 15.7.2022 at 10.30.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 14.07.2022

O.A. No.812 of 2018

M.M. Patankar & Ors., .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Shri Khemka Asso., learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. submits that their office has not been served the copy of O.A. In the order dated 28.02.2019 this grievance of the office of learned C.P.O. is noted down in Para 2. It appears that thereafter neither the Applicant nor his Counsel has taken any steps and the matter was not taken on board since 28.02.2019. It seems that the Applicant and his Counsel both are not interested in the matter. Hence, we keep this matter for disposal.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

NMN

LATER ON

3 Learned Advocate for the Applicant Ms. Twinkle Khema holding for Khemka Associates is now present and submits that her Office will serve the copy of the O.A. to the Department and the Office of learned C.P.O. by tomorrow.

4. Learned C.P.O. seeks three weeks time to file Reply.

5. S.O. to 04.08.2022.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.355 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. Kore .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks three weeks time to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>14.07.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 54/2021 in O.A 313/2020</u></b></p> <p>N.L Thade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant, Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents no 1 &amp; 2 and Shri Jenish Jain i/b Dr Uday Warunjikar, learned counsel for Respondent no. 3, Z.P.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that W.P St. No. 2853/2022 is pending before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court and the next date shown is 31.8.2022.</p> <p>3. Hence the matter is adjourned to 15.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>14.07.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 574/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri B.P Nagamwar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks directions to Respondents no 1 &amp; 2 to place the applicant in the list of candidates pursuant to the G.R dated 22.4.2019.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the said G.R dated 22.4.2019 is set aside by the order of this Tribunal, Aurangabad Bench, dated 4.3.2022 in O.A 722/2019. The said order of the Tribunal is challenged before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Aurangabad Bench in Writ Petition No. 6006/2022. However, no stay is granted.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant further submits that pursuant to the order dated 4.3.2022 in O.A 722/2019, passed by the M.A.T, Aurangabad Bench, by order dated 25.3.2022, O.A 733/2019 was disposed of by the M.A.T, Mumbai Bench. Against the said order passed in O.A 733/2019, W.P No. 10136/2020 is filed before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court. However, no stay is granted.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, learned counsel for the applicant submits that as the G.R dated 22.4.2019 is not in existence and the order of the Tribunal hold the filed, this Original Application can be disposed of with liberty to the applicant to file a fresh Original Application, for the same cause, if the Writ Petition filed before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court and Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Aurangabad Bench are allowed.</p> <p>6. In view of the above Original Application is disposed of with liberty to the applicant to file a fresh Original Application, for the same cause, if the Writ Petition No. 10136/2020 filed before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court and W.P 6006/2022 filed before Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Aurangabad Bench are allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</span></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**14.07.2022**

**O.A 634/2022 with M.A 387/2022**

R.J Lavte ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Mrs Punam Mahajan, has filed leave note. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. The applicant prays that the Respondents be directed to appoint the applicant on the vacant post of Warden Female in open category in Government Hostel in Thane, pursuant to the advertisement issued by the office of Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development, Thane Division.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.7.2022.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit in not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '**for Dismissal**' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."
9. S.O to 28.7.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>14.07.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 667/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.H Patil &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.8.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 11.8.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>14.07.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 406/2022 in O.A 667/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.H Patil &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application seeking to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 14.07.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.21 of 2021</b></p> <p>S.S. Mali &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Kiran P. Rajput, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.7, 8, 10, 12, 16, 17 and 18.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Rajput has submitted written arguments and states that he relies on written arguments and does not want to argue.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Mr. Desai and learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar also wants to make submissions.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 21.07.2022 at 11.30 a.m. Part Heard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....


*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>14.07.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 219/2022</u></b></p> <p>S.R Waghmare &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Krishna Agarwal i/b Talekar &amp; Associates, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder and also to file some documents, which he will obtain under R.T.I. Time granted.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant states that affidavit in reply has not been filed on behalf of Respondents no 1 &amp; 2. Therefore, the matter will proceed without the reply on behalf of Respondents no 1 &amp; 2.</p> <p>4. S.O to 29.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>14.07.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 402/2022 in O.A 662/2022</u></b></p> <p>T.B Gharbudve ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.8.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 4.8.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>14.07.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 404/2015</u></b></p> <p>S.V Patil &amp; Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p><b><u>SUO MOTO CORRECTION OF ORDER DT. 13.7.2022.</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The caption and para 3 is corrected and the instead of earlier order dated 13.7.2022, this order is substituted.</p> <p>3. M.P.S.C to answer the query as to why the applicants were not considered. The cut off marks for open general category is 115 marks. M.P.S.C to find out whether pursuant to advertisement No. 35/2014, any vacant posts in the said category is available.</p> <p>4. S.O to 18.7.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil) /</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><small>Akn</small></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> </div> </div>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 14.07.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.543 of 2019</b></p> <p>D.D. Barad .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. files Additional affidavit-in-reply dated 13.07.2022 on behalf of Respondent No.3 in compliance with the direction dated 11.07.2022, through Ms. Aparna M. Bagul, Desk Officer and holding Additional Charge of Deputy Assistant to Director General of Police. Office of Director General of Police. Taken on record. Copy served upon learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 18.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.291 of 2019</p> <p>S.V. Shinde .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant prays for extension of age on superannuation by two years and allow him to work on the post of Medical Officer (Group 'B') till 31.05.2021. The same prayer was made at interim stage. By order dated 25.04.2019 the Tribunal had admitted this matter and granted interim relief as follows:- "11. Pending the hearing and the final disposal of this Application the Respondent be directed to allow the Applicant to continue after 31.5.2019 till his age of 60 years (31.5.2021), forthwith."</p> <p>3. In view of the final prayer made in O.A. and interim relief are the same and since the Applicant has already retired on 31.05.2021, on superannuation, hence, the O.A. has become infructuous and is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.382 of 2018</p> <p>B.A. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri C.R. Nagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter by order dated 13.06.2018 the Applicant was allowed to participate in the process of verification of documents for the selection to the post of Assistant Motor Vehicle from open female category and Tribunal had directed to the Respondents to keep one post vacant to accommodate the Applicant in the event she succeeds. It was interviewed in 2018 for the advertisement bearing No.48/2017.</p> <p>3. Respondents both M.P.S.C. and Government are directed to inform on the next date what steps are taken by them and whether the Applicant is succeeded.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.267 of 2022</p> <p>M.V. Rajgure &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.R. Asawa, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that she has received instructions from Respondent No.1 - Tribal Development Department and she will file Reply on their behalf.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.371 of 2021</p> <p>R.G. Dhavalikar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks three weeks time for filing Reply.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Reply, if no Reply is filed within three weeks matter will proceed without Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.222 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Padave .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar submits that Reply is filed only by Respondent No.3 and order under challenge is issued by Respondent No.1. Therefore, it is necessary to know whether Respondent No.1 is going to file Reply or not.</p> <p>3. Four weeks granted if any other Respondents want to file Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.210 of 2022</p> <p>D.T. Devkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri H.D. Mulla, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has also filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Admit.</p> <p>5. Matter is placed for Final Hearing on 28.07.2022, H.O.B.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.60 of 2022</p> <p>D.R. Gujar &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri H.D. Mulla, learned Advocate holding for Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit, with liberty to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Matter be placed for Final Hearing on 15.09.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.399/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri T.D. Kumbhar</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.07.2022. <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>14.07.2022</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>O.A.333/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri S.N. Gaikwad</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant stands retired from the post of Superintendent of Prison on 30.04.2021, but his retiral have not paid, and therefore, filed the present O.A.</p> <p>3. In O.A, he has challenged the communication dated 18.01.2022 whereby No Dues Certificate was issued subject to contemplated DE.</p> <p>4. Thus, it appears that because of contemplated DE, the retiral benefits of the Applicant were withheld.</p> <p>5. Today, learned P.O. has tendered the order dated 25.05.2022 issued by Respondent No.2 - Additional Director General of Police and Inspector General of Prisons, which shows that the name of the Applicant is already dropped from the enquiry. The letter is taken on record and marked by letter 'X' for identification.</p> <p>6. Thus, now there is no legal hurdle to release the retiral benefits of the Applicant.</p> <p>7. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondents to release retiral benefits of the Applicant as per his entitlement within a month from today.</p> <p>8. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member-J 14.07.2022</p>
	(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.325/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri R.N. Chaudhari</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.S. Jadhav holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, one week time is granted to file reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>14.07.2022</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.272/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri R.G. Sanadi</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.07.2022. <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 14.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>O.A.182/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Smt. A.E. Patil</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Applicant</b></span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Respondents</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam holding for Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, one week time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 14.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.166/2022</u></p> <p><b>Mr. M.P. Chindarkar</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant and Advocate absent. Applicant's Advocate sent Leave Note. Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. is present.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder, but the same is not filed. Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for final hearing on 08.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  V. (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 14.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.994/2021</u></b></p> <p><b>Mr. V.G. Kolekar</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>Applicant and Advocate absent. Applicant's Advocate sent Leave Note. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. is present.</li><li>On the request of learned P.O, one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply by way of last chance.</li><li>S.O. to 21<sup>st</sup> July, 2022.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- /v/v/ <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>14.07.2022</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.510 of 2021</p> <p>S. J. Pendharkar <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Counsel are not attending the matter regularly. On previous date i.e. 23.06.2022 also they were absent.</p> <p>3. Thus, it appears that they are not interested in the matter.</p> <p>4. Hence, Original Application is dismissed in default.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.49 of 2022</p> <p>P. S. Renushe <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. S. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.657 of 2020</p> <p>S. A. Patil <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant himself is an Advocate and he wants to conduct the matter in person but due to heavy rain unable to remain present today. He, therefore, requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">           Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member(J)         </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.402/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri B.R. Ghadge</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, Applicant in person filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the state of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member-J 14.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>M.A.408/2022 in O.A.486/2020</u></b></p> <p><b>Mr. S.D. Rajane</b> <span style="float:right"><b>... Applicant</b></span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float:right"><b>... Respondents</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Though this M.A. seems to be filed for amendment, strangely, prayer is for condonation of delay. Thus, M.A. seems not properly drafted.</p> <p>3. This M.A. is, therefore, disposed of with liberty to learned Advocate for the Applicant to file fresh M.A, if advised.</p> <p>4. One week time is granted to take necessary steps.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>14.07.2022</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A.209/2022 in O.A.326/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Mr. N.N. Kulkarni</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Applicant</b></span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Respondents</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. Reply of Respondent No.4 is already on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member-J 14.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.781/2021</u></b></p> <p><b>Mr. S.A. Dmello</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant and Advocate absent. Applicant's Advocate sent Leave Note. Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. is present.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for Rejoinder, but the same is not filed. Hence, O.A. be kept for heating at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-    (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member-J  14.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....


*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.829/2021</u></b></p> <p><b>Mr. S.S. Kuwar</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.T. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 and 3. It is taken on record. No separate reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission on 08.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>14.07.2022</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No. 522 of 2021</p> <p>V.V. Tamhankar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, at the very outset, learned Counsel for the Applicant has tendered communication dated 13.05.2022 issued by the Police Commissioner, Mumbai wherein it is stated that the order dated 09.07.2021 imposing punishment of withholding one increment without cumulative effect has been withdrawn/cancelled. Communication is taken on record and marked by letter 'X' for identification.</p> <p>3. In the present O.A., the challenge is to the order dated 09.07.2021 whereby punishment of withholding of one increment without cumulative effect was imposed. Thus, perusal of communication dated 13.05.2022 reveals that against the order of punishment, the Applicant has preferred Review Application which was considered by the Commissioner of Police and has cancelled the order of punishment.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. has also fairly conceded that the impugned order of punishment is withdrawn by the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai as stated in communication dated 13.05.2022.</p> <p>5. In view of above, Original Application has become infructuous and disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>6. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 867 of 2017</p> <p>P. V. Valvi <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. S. Suryawanshi , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. During the course of hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicant sought to contend that the Applicant had made application for compassionate appointment firstly on 10.01.2014 and thereafter made various representations whereas in impugned order it is stated that the Applicant made an application on 18.12.2015 which was barred by limitation, and therefore, it came to be rejected. In such situation, the Applicant is required to produce on record the copy of application dated 10.01.2014 which he allegedly made first in time.</p> <p>3. One week time is granted to produce the relevant document.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A.209/2022 in O.A.326/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Mr. N.N. Kulkarni</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. Reply of Respondent No.4 is already on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member-J 14.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>M.A.296/2022 in O.A.470/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Mr. C.D. Anbhule</b>   ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b>   ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant and Advocate absent. Applicant's Advocate sent Leave Note. Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1<sup>st</sup> August, 2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>14.07.2022</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A.444/2018 in O.A.795/2018</u></b></p> <p><b>Mr. V.N. Ghatge</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, matter is taken out in view of praecipe filed by learned Advocate for the Applicant for hearing of the matter in view of order passed by Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.208/2022.</p> <p>3. This M.A. and O.A. was dismissed by this Tribunal by order dated 12.04.2019 against which Applicant has preferred Writ Petition. Hon'ble High Court by order dated 08.06.2022 set aside the order passed by the Tribunal and remanded the matter to the Tribunal for decision afresh. In terms of order of Hon'ble High Court, M.A. for condonation of delay deserves to be taken <sup>VP</sup><del>out</del> first for hearing.</p> <p>4. M.A.No.444/2018 be kept for hearing on 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>14.07.2022</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>O.A.655/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Mr. R.D. Sarwade ... Applicant</b>  <b>Vs. ... Respondents</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam holding for Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned Advocate Shri M.B. Kadam, matter be kept in next week for hearing and disposal at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to take instruction from the Respondents by next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21<sup>st</sup> July, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member-J 14.07.2022</p>
	(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**M.A.363/2022 in O.A.555/2022**

**Mr. D.D. Gaikwad**

**... Applicant**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. This M.A. is for condonation of delay of 3 years and 5 months caused in filing O.A. for deemed date of promotion.

3. Issue notice to Respondents made returnable on 11.08.2022.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the M.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the M.A. shall stand dismissed.

8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 11<sup>th</sup> August, 2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
14.07.2022

(skw)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.291 of 2019</p> <p>S.V. Shinde .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant prays for extension of age on superannuation by two years and allow him to work on the post of Medical Officer (Group 'B') till 31.05.2021. The same prayer was made at interim stage. By order dated 25.04.2019 the Tribunal had admitted this matter and granted interim relief as follows:- "11. Pending the hearing and the final disposal of this Application the Respondent be directed to allow the Applicant to continue after 31.5.2019 till his age of 60 years (31.5.2021), forthwith."</p> <p>3. In view of the final prayer made in O.A. and interim relief are the same and since the Applicant has already retired on 31.05.2021, on superannuation, hence, the O.A. has become infructuous and is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

R.A.12/2022 in M.A.219/2022 in O.A.47/2020

**Mr. S.S. Panindre**

**... Applicant**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. This R.A. is filed to recall the order dated 19.04.2022 passed in M.A.No.219/2022 in O.A.No.47/2020.

3. The Tribunal by order dated 25.01.2022 passed in O.A.47/2020 directed the Respondents to pay interest on delayed payment within two months from the date of order. Since compliance was not done within stipulated period, the Government had filed M.A.No.219/2022 for extension of time. When said M.A.219/2022 was taken up for hearing, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that his client had already received interest on delayed payment and M.A. has become infructuous. In view of his statement, M.A. filed by the Government was disposed of.

4. Now, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that there were two matters and under wrong impression, he made a statement that Applicant has received interest on belated payment, though in fact he has not received the interest.

5. Learned P.O. also fairly concedes that interest is not still paid and the matter is in process with the Department.

6. As such, the incorrect statement made by learned Advocate for the Applicant referred to above needs to be recalled and accordingly recalled and M.A.219/2022 is restored.

7. Insofar as M.A.219/2022 which is now restored is concerned, learned P.O. submits that matter is in process and within two weeks, interest will be paid. In view of his statement, this M.A.No.219/2022 stands disposed of.

8. In view of above, R.A. is also disposed of.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
**Member-J**  
**14.07.2022**

(skw)



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.424/2021</u></p> <p><b>Shri P.P. Kashid</b>                                     <b>... Applicant</b> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b>                             <b>... Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Applicant and Advocate absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate submits that he has instructions to file Vakalatnama on behalf of Applicant with No Objection of earlier Advocate. One week time is granted to take necessary steps and matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission on 21.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 14.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>	

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.179/2021</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri R.A. Marathe</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO holding for Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant seems to have been suspended by order dated 23.01.2021 in contemplation of DE. Later, he was reinstated in service by order dated 24.12.2021. However, what happened to DE and its status is not clear.</p> <p>3. Learned CPO is directed to take instructions and appraise the Tribunal the status of DE, so that O.A. could be disposed of on its own merit, if necessary.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- VV' <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>14.07.2022</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....) )

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
(skw)	<p style="text-align: center;"><u><b>O.A.95/2021</b></u></p> <p><b>Shri G.N. Dongarkar</b>                                      ... Applicant  <b>      Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b>                                      ... Respondents</p> <p>1.     Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2.     The perusal of record reveals that when Tribunal raised the issue of limitation by order dated 28.10.2021, later Applicant filed M.A. 121/2022 for condonation of delay, which was allowed by the Tribunal on 28.04.2022. Therefore, this O.A. has to be decided on its own merit.</p> <p>3.     On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned for final hearing to 25.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-    (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member-J  14.07.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.63/2021</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri R.G. Lande</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, CPO holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file reply to the amendment made in the O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.07.2022. Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>14.07.2022</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>O.A.593/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri R.V. Salunke</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Applicant</b></span> <b>Vs.</b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Respondents</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant has raised grievance for non-payment of subsistence allowance as well as increase in subsistence allowance. The Applicant has already made representation to the Commissioner on 13.07.2022.</p> <p>4. Respondents shall take appropriate action on the representation of the Applicant in respect of subsistence allowance and learned P.O. should appraise about the same by next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 28<sup>th</sup> July, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>14.07.2022</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>



