

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.06.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.276 of 2024</p> <p>V.G. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri. D.B. Thoke, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5 & Respondent No.6.</p> <p>2. Learned PO produces 'File Notings' alongwith 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'PEB-1' held on 26.02.2024 which was in respect of Four Officers of rank of 'SP/DCP' at Sr. No.1 to Sr. No.4 of Government Order dated 28.02.2024 of Home Department.</p> <p>3. Learned PO on query about whether 'PEB-1' in its meeting held on 26.02.2024 had also considered name of officer at 'Sr. No. 5' of Government Order dated 28.02.2024 of Home Department who was posted in 'Maharashtra Police Academy, Nashik' and recommended his transfer to post of 'DCP, Thane' mentions that she was not aware if 'PEB-1' in its meeting held on 26.02.2024 would have also recommended the name of officer at 'Sr. No. 5' for transfer to post of 'DCP, Thane'; as such copy of 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'PEB-1' has not yet been made available by Home Department. However, learned PO thereupon states that name of officer at 'Sr. No. 5' of</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Government Order dated 28.02.2024 of Home Department is nonetheless mentioned in 'File Notings' of 'Home Department' dated 26.02.2024 which had been routed through 'Principal Secretary & Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State' on 27.02.2024.

4. Learned PO clarified based on 'File Notings' of Home Department dated 26.02.2024 that 'Principal Secretary, and Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State' did observe that officer at 'Sr. No. 5' of Government Order dated 28.02.2024 of Home Department who was serving on post of 'SP' in 'Maharashtra State Police Academy' was not eligible to be transferred out of Nashik District.

5. The transfer of officer at 'Sr. No.5' of Government Order dated 28.02.2024 of Home Department who was serving on post of 'SP' in 'Maharashtra Police Academy Nashik' thus appears to be linked of transfer of Applicant from post of 'Deputy Commissioner (Traffic), Pimpri Chinchwad' which is considered to be 'Function Department'. Thus, it is now necessary to examine as to why transfer of officer at 'Sr. No.5' of Government Order dated 28.02.2024 of Home Department who was serving as 'SP' in 'Maharashtra state Police Academy Nashik' was insisted upon and in which 'PEB-1' meeting recommendations were made to transfer him to post of 'DCP, Thane' especially when 'Principal Secretary and Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State' had remarked that there was no necessity of doing so. Hence; it is imperative to 'Lift the Veil' about those circumstances under which name of officer at 'Sr. No. 5' came to be included alongwith 'Four Officers' at Sr. No.1 to Sr. No. 4 in 'Government Order' dated 28.02.2024 of Home Department.

6. The Home Department is directed to produce 'Minutes of Meetings of the 'PEB-1' meetings held on 26.02.2024 and then upto 28.02.2024 alongwith clarification in which of these transfer of officer at Sr.No.5 who was serving as 'SP' in Maharashtra Police Academy Nashik' was recommended for consideration of 'Competent Authority'; since there is no other way to

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

explain as to why his name was included in 'File Notings' of Home Department which was submitted on 26.02.2024 through 'Principal Secretary and Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State' for approval of 'Competent Authority' under 'Section 22 N(1)' of 'Maharashtra Police Act 1951'.

7. The Home Department to also produce copy of 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'PEB' which recommended 'Modification/Change' to Government Order dated 20.11.2023 of Home Department in respect of 'Respondent No. 6' alongwith copy of 'File Notings' which had been approved by 'Competent Authority' before Government Order dated 28.02.2024 of Home Department came to be issued in respect of 'Respondent No.6' to transfer her from post of 'Additional SP Railways, Pune' to post of 'Deputy Commissioner, Pimpri Chinchwad'.

8. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

9. S.O. to 24.06.2024

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>14.06.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 51/2024 in O.A 249/2021</u></p> <p>M.S Wagh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms Ankita Naik, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chogule, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned counsel submits that the order of this Tribunal dated 6.10.2022 is not complied with. 3. Learned P.O submits that copy of the Contempt Application is not served on the office of the Respondents. 4. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to serve copy of the Contempt Application on the Respondents. 5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.6.2024. 6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 8. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 9. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced alongwith affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 10. S.O to 28.6.2024.
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.06.2024

O.A.No.694 of 2024

H. C. Gaikwad

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.07.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 12.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 14.06.2024

O.A. No.113 of 2024

R.H. ShindeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jadge, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant had submitted representation on 26.03.2024 to 'Commissioner State Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' requesting regularization of the period of 'Compulsory Waiting' from 31.01.2024 to 05.03.2024.
3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant has since retired on 31.05.2024 and therefore seeks early decision from 'Commissioner of State Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' about regularization of the period of 'Compulsory Waiting' from 31.01.2024 to 05.03.2024.
4. The 'Commissioner of State Excise, Maharashtra State' is accordingly directly to expeditiously decide about request of Applicant for regularization of 'Compulsory Waiting' period of Applicant from 31.01.2024 to 05.03.2024 preferably within 'Four Weeks'; considering that Applicant has retired on 31.05.2024.
5. The Applicant has retired on 31.05.2024. Further, as principal grievance of Applicant stands redressed by 'Commissioner of State Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' upon implementation of 'Judgment' dated 31.01.2024 in O.A. No. 540/2023; nothing survives for consideration in this O.A. No. 113/2023.
6. The O.A. No. 113/2024 is thus disposed off. No Order as to Cost.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders


Tribunal's orders


Date : 14.06.2024

O.A.No.707 of 2024

T. N. Kulkarni @ T. A. Kale & Anr.Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. B. Chalak, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Mancheekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.07.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 02.07.2024.


(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)


(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.06.2024</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.331 of 2024 In O.A.No.707 of 2024</p> <p>T. N. Kulkarni @ T. A. Kale & Anr.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. B. Chalak, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly. 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately. 4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. 5. M. A. No.331/2024 is allowed. No order as to costs. <p align="center"> <i>Sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p align="center"> <i>Sdr/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.06.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.717 of 2024</p> <p>S. S. MetkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms Tanvi Hande holding for Shri S. K. Hande , learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.07.2024. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 12.07.2024. <p style="text-align: center;"> sd/- sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Member (A) Chairperson </p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-left: 10px;">vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.06.2024

O.A.No.716 of 2024

S. A. Rahere

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Tanvi Phatak holding for Shri S. K. Hande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.07.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 12.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : ^{14.06.2024} .2024

O.A.No.700 of 2024

A. V. BarapatreApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri P. Thakur, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 26.02.2024 and also prayed that said order by way of interim relief be stayed.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.07.2024.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 05.07.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.06.2024.</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.320 of 2024 in O. A. No.819 of 2017</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants(Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>V.V. Valsamrespondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. The Applicant in person is present before the court.</p> <p>3. This M.A. is for clarification of Order dated 01.08.2023 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No.819/2017.</p> <p>4. The O.A. No.819/2017 filed by Applicant in this M.A.No.320/2024 was decided by the Division Bench of this Tribunal by Order dated 01.08.2023. While allowing the Original Application, the Tribunal had quashed and set aside the Government Order dated 11.08.2017 and reinstated the Applicant in service as Assistant Engineer, Class I. The order passed by the Division Bench of this Tribunal on 01.08.2023 was to be implemented within 'Six Weeks'. The Tribunal also held that Applicant will not be entitled to back-wages and</p>

Tribunal's orders

~~intervening period should be considered only for~~
pension purpose and no other monetary benefit. The
'Operative Part' of Order dated 01.08.2023 is
reproduced below :-

"ORDER

- (A) The Original Application is allowed.
- (B) Impugned order of State Government dated 11.08.2017 is quashed and set aside.
- (C) The Respondents are directed to reinstate the Applicant in service as Assistant Engineer, Class I within Six Weeks from today.
- (D) The Applicant will not be entitled to back-wages and intervening period should be considered only for pension purpose and for no other monetary benefits.
- (E) No order as to costs."

5. The State Government had challenged the Order dated 01.08.2017 in OA No.819/2027 before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in W.P. No.13883 of 2023 which was decided by Judgment dated 13.12.2023 wherein the Hon'ble Bombay High Court confirmed the order of the Tribunal dated 01.08.2023. However, the Hon'ble Bombay High Court has granted liberty to State Government to seek clarification from the Tribunal. The Hon'ble Bombay High Court has specifically observed:
(a) The Tribunal has not passed any orders regarding training required to be undergone by the Applicant and
(b) Applicant's placement in seniority.

6. The Hon'ble Bombay High Court in W. P. No.13883 of 2023 had granted liberty to State Government to seek clarification from the Tribunal on above referred issues at (a) The Tribunal has not passed any orders regarding training required to be undergone by the Applicant and (b) Applicant's placement in seniority by making appropriate application. Accordingly, this Misc Application No.320 of 2024 has been filed by State Government for Clarification and by this Misc. Application, the State Government has sought clarification in Order dated 01.08.2023 passed by Division Bench of this Tribunal.

7. The learned CPO on instructions from Smt. Sanchita Jadhav, Desk Officer, Water Resources Department submits that First Batch of training of

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Assistant Engineer, Class-I has already sent on 01.01.2024 and the Second Batch was sent on 01.03.2024 and now there are no chances of sending next Batch for training in near future of Assistant Engineer, Class-I.</p> <p>8. We are of the view that Applicant is to be allowed to join and continue training from 24.06.2024 with Second Batch which is started on 01.03.2024. Accordingly, we direct the Respondents that Applicant should be accepted for training of Assistant Engineer, Class- I, Maharashtra Engineering Training Academy (META), Nashik immediately. The Respondents can try to cover up the portion which is earlier taught to other Assistant Engineers, Class-I.</p> <p>9. We refer to 'Part D' of 'Operative Order' dated 01.08.2023 in which the Tribunal has mentioned that though Applicant is not entitled to back-wages and intervening period when she worked and joined is to be considered only for pensionary purpose. Thus, the Tribunal has accepted her 'Date of Joining' as 01.02.2016 which is also confirmed by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court by judgment dated 13.12.2023 in W.P. No.13883 of 2023. Therefore, we hold and clarify that for purpose of fixing 'Seniority in Service' her 'Date of Joining' be considered i.e. 01.02.2016.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

10. In view of above, Misc. Application is disposed of with following directions: -

ORDER

- (A) The Applicant shall join training at Maharashtra Engineering Training Academy, Nashik on 24.06.2024 and should join Second Batch for training of Assistant Engineer, Class-I which is started on 01.03.2024.
- (B) The 'Seniority in Service' of the Applicant be fixed from the 'Date of Joining' from 01.02.2016.
- (C) No Order as to Costs.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1344 of 2023</u></p> <p>B.V. Thorat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.7.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.499 of 2024</u></p> <p>K.K. Makwana ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Akshay Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant is directed to file MA for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.6.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.639 of 2024</u></p> <p>K.B. Upase ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A.Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.304 of 2024 in O.A. No.639 of 2024</u></p> <p>K.B. Upase ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A.Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for condoning the delay of two and half months. He states that cause of action arose on 16.12.2022 and he received the impugned order on 1.2.2023. He states that he has secured certain documents under RTI and therefore there is delay which may kindly be condoned.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO opposes the MA.</p> <p>4. In the above facts and circumstances of the case the delay is condoned. MA is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medhã Gadgil) Member (A) 14.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.644 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.A. Chougule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. To be placed under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.709 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.S. Nikam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.719 of 2024

V.K. Lad ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
14.6.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.819 of 2023 in O.A. No.1620 of 2023</u></p> <p>N.S. Lokhande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Gaikwad holding for Ms. P.H. Hendre, and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate to serve the Ld. CPO and the respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.7.2024.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1014 of 2023</u></p> <p>N.B. Mhatre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is not filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.6.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file reply.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1165 of 2023</u></p> <p>K.N. Kulkarni ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.G. Kabadi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.7.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 14.06.2024																				
	<p>O.A. No.1037 of 2019 & 9 Ors.</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="width: 70%;">1. Dr. G.B. Taware</td><td style="width: 30%;">(O.A.1037/2019)</td></tr> <tr><td>2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath</td><td>(O.A.1039/2019)</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar</td><td>(O.A.1040/2019)</td></tr> <tr><td>4. Dr. N.B. Masaram</td><td>(O.A.1041/2019)</td></tr> <tr><td>5. Dr. V.P. Doijad</td><td>(O.A.1042/2019)</td></tr> <tr><td>6. C.G. Patil</td><td>(O.A.1237/2019)</td></tr> <tr><td>7. Dr. M.M. Pawar</td><td>(O.A.1238/2019)</td></tr> <tr><td>8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal</td><td>(O.A.1239/2019)</td></tr> <tr><td>9. Dr. P.N. Rathi</td><td>(O.A.1240/2019)</td></tr> <tr><td>10. Dr. A.B. Pandav</td><td>(O.A.1241/2019)</td></tr> </table> <p style="text-align: right;">.....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant in Sr. No. 1 to 5, Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for Applicant has sent 'Leave Note'. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A. No.1037/2019, O.A. No.1039/2019, O.A. No.1040/2019, O.A. No.1041/2019 & O.A. No.1042/2019.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.062024.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> </div>	1. Dr. G.B. Taware	(O.A.1037/2019)	2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath	(O.A.1039/2019)	3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar	(O.A.1040/2019)	4. Dr. N.B. Masaram	(O.A.1041/2019)	5. Dr. V.P. Doijad	(O.A.1042/2019)	6. C.G. Patil	(O.A.1237/2019)	7. Dr. M.M. Pawar	(O.A.1238/2019)	8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal	(O.A.1239/2019)	9. Dr. P.N. Rathi	(O.A.1240/2019)	10. Dr. A.B. Pandav	(O.A.1241/2019)
1. Dr. G.B. Taware	(O.A.1037/2019)																				
2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath	(O.A.1039/2019)																				
3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar	(O.A.1040/2019)																				
4. Dr. N.B. Masaram	(O.A.1041/2019)																				
5. Dr. V.P. Doijad	(O.A.1042/2019)																				
6. C.G. Patil	(O.A.1237/2019)																				
7. Dr. M.M. Pawar	(O.A.1238/2019)																				
8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal	(O.A.1239/2019)																				
9. Dr. P.N. Rathi	(O.A.1240/2019)																				
10. Dr. A.B. Pandav	(O.A.1241/2019)																				
	AGR																				

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.06.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1217 of 2023</p> <p>S.V. LonkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date: 14.06.2024</p>
	<p>O.A. No.1096 of 2023 with O.A. No. 1097 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. KhotApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant and his Advocate Shri A.S. Raktade, both are absent.</p> <p>2. Shri R. Shinde, learned Advocate for the 'Respondent No.4' is absent.</p> <p>3. Smt. K.S. Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A. No. 1097/2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 14.06.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.497 of 2024 with M.A. No.270 of 2024</p> <p>M.R. NaladkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for 'Respondent No.3' seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for 'Respondent No.3'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. with reference to observations made in 'Para 4' of 12.06.2024 about status of file mentions that as per information received; the 'Minister-In-Charge' of 'Housing Department' will be trying to take appropriate decision within next 'Two Weeks' about representation of Applicant dated 28.02.2024.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.06.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Date: 14.06.2024

O.A. No.1534 of 2023

R.B. BhaleraoApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Swaraj Patil, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. states that no information is as yet received from office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State, Mumbai' about consideration of fresh representation submitted Applicant on 08.05.2024 for transfer to 'Specialized Agency' and posting in 'Nashik District'.

3. The 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' Mumbai was expected to take appropriate decision during the 'General Transfers : 2024' about request of Applicant as per fresh representation submitted on 08.05.2024 upon due consideration of grounds that 'Husband & Wife' be posted together and on account of 'Old Age' of 'Mother'.

4. The 'Legal Officer' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State, Mumbai' has already been informed to remain present on 19.06.2024 with reference to 'Group O.A's' relating to transfers of 'Police Inspector'; 'A.P.I.' & 'P.S.I.'. Hence, 'Legal Officer' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State, Mumbai' is hereby informed to bring along information in respect of Applicant in O.A. No.1534/2023.

5. S.O. to 19.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Date: 14.06.2024

O.A. No.1535 of 2023

P.V. GavteApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Swaraj Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. states that updated status of promotion of 'A.P.I.'s' to 'Vacant Posts' of 'Police Inspectors' for implementation of Order in O.A. No.1268/2022 dated 16.01.2024 and directions for its compliance given on 18.04.2024 in C.A. No.33/2024 in O.A. No.1268/2022 will be forthwith ascertained from office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.

3. The 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State, Mumbai' was expected to consider the request of Applicant to be posted in establishment of 'SP Nanded' or atleast 'Special I.G.P. Nanded Range, Nanded'; as 'Wife' of Applicant is serving on post of 'Police Head Constable' in establishment of 'SP Nanded'. However no updated information has been provided as yet by office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.

4. The 'Legal Officer' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State, Mumbai' has already been informed to remain present on 19.06.2024 with reference to 'Group O.A.'s' relating to transfers of 'Police Inspector'; 'A.P.I.' & 'P.S.I.'. Hence, 'Legal Officer' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State, Mumbai' is hereby informed to bring along information in respect of Applicant in O.A. No.1535/2023.

5. S.O. to 19.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 14.06.2024

O.A. No.260 of 2024 with O.A. No.264 of 2024 with
O.A. No.265 of 2024 with O.A. No.266 of 2024 with
O.A. No.269 of 2024 with O.A. No.270 of 2024 with
O.A. No.283 of 2024 with O.A. No.284 of 2024 with
O.A. No.285 of 2024 with O.A. No.287 of 2024 with
O.A. No.288 of 2024 to O.A. No.292 of 2024 with
O.A. No.310 of 2024 with O.A. No.311 of 2024 with
O.A. No.313 of 2024 with O.A. No.314 of 2024 with
O.A. No.323 of 2024 with O.A. No.324 of 2024 with
O.A. No.329 of 2024 with O.A. No.354 of 2024 with
O.A. No.385 of 2024 with O.A. No.545 of 2024 with
O.A. No.555 of 2024 to O.A. No.557 of 2024 with
O.A. No.331 of 2024 with O.A. No.325 of 2024.

A.K. Padekar & Ors.

.....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar & Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocates for Applicants, Shri P.M. Nagaroje, learned Advocate for Applicant No.1 in O.A. 283/2024 and Applicant No.5 in O.A. No. 287/2024 and Shri A.J. Chougule, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Smt. Archana B.K., Shri A.D. Gugale and Shri D.R. Patil learned Presenting Officers for Respondents.

2. The 'Group of O.A's' mentioned above were taken up today but as (a) 'Joint Secretary' / 'Deputy Secretary' of 'Home Department' dealing with subject of 'P.E.B.-I' and (b) 'Law Officer' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State, Mumbai' are preoccupied with certain urgent issues; they could not remain present today and have also requested for permission to remain present on 19.06.2024. Permission granted to them to remain present on 19.06.2024.

3. 'Interim Relief', if granted earlier in any 'Group of O.A's' mentioned above to be continued till 'Next Date i.e. 19.06.2024'.

4. S.O. to 19.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 12.06.2024

O.A. No.371 of 2024 to O.A. No.378 of 2024 with
O.A. No.446 of 2024

V.V. Adagale
S.S. Suryawanshi
S.H. Rokade
M.K. Kadam
B.S. Mulla
D.A. Pawar
M.B. Taware
H.N. Kakandki
A.S. MordeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. P.G. Kotkar, learned Advocate along with Shri Rahul Raut, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, Shri A.D. Gugale, Smt Archana B.K. Shri D.R. Patil and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The 'Group of O.A's' mentioned above were taken up today but as (a) 'Joint Secretary' / 'Deputy Secretary' of 'Home Department' dealing with subject of 'P.E.B.-I' and (b) 'Law Officer' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State, Mumbai' are preoccupied with certain urgent issues; they could not remain present today and have also requested for permission to remain present on 19.06.2024. Permission granted to them to remain present on 19.06.2024.

3. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent Nos.3 & 4 in O.A. No.372/2024. It is taken on record. Learned P.O. has also filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent Nos.3 in O.A. No.375/2024 & O.A. No.378/2024. It is also taken on record.

4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date' only in O.A. No.371/2024.

5. S.O. to 19.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.06.2024</p> <p align="center">'GROUP – I' (C.P., Pimpri - Chinchwad)</p> <p>O.A. No.124 of 2024 with O.A. No.125 of 2024 with O.A. No.150 of 2024 to O.A. No.153 of 2024 with O.A. No.318 of 2024 with O.A. No.319 of 2024</p> <p>K.D. Patil R.P. Bobade R.N. Ghadge S.B. Deshmukh P.V. Jadhav S.N. Tambe J.P. Gaikwad P.A. Kshirsagar</p> <p align="right">.....Applicants</p> <p align="center">'GROUP – II' (C.P., Pune & Thane)</p> <p>O.A. No.298 of 2024 to O.A. No.301 of 2024</p> <p>S.B. Mane M.N. Jagtap S.D. Jadhav D.B. Chudappa</p> <p align="right">.....Applicants</p> <p align="center">'GROUP - III' (C.P., Nashik & S.P., Solapur, & Satara)</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.127 of 2024</p> <p>S.B. Godase</p> <p align="right">.....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p align="right">.....Respondents.</p> <p align="center">'GROUP – IV'</p> <p>O.A. No.279 of 2024 with O.A. No.603 of 2024 with O.A. No.277 of 2024 with O.A. No.278 of 2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan and Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer and Presenting Officer for the Respondents & Shri A.D. Gugale, Smt Archana B.K. & Smt. K.S. Gaikwad in 'Group - I'; 'Group - II', 'Group - III' & 'Group IV'.
2. The 'Group of O.A's' mentioned above were taken up today but as (a) 'Joint Secretary' / 'Deputy Secretary' of 'Home Department' dealing with subject of 'P.E.B.-I' and (b) 'Law Officer' in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State, Mumbai' are preoccupied with certain urgent issues; they could not remain present today and have also requested for permission to remain present on 19.06.2024. Permission granted to them to remain present on 19.06.2024.
3. 'Interim Relief', if granted earlier in any 'Group of O.A's' mentioned above to be continued till 'Next Date i.e. 19.06.2024'.
4. S.O. to 19.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.06.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1618 of 2023</p> <p>D.M. KokareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.07.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.06.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.881 of 2023 with O.A. No.867 of 2023 with O.A. No.931 of 2023 with O.A. No. 941 of 2023 with O.A. No.885 of 2023 with O.A. No.1017 of 2023 with O.A. No.1113 of 2023 with O.A. No.1245 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S.M. Borate Dr. B.S. Nagargoje Dr. N.V. Suryawanshi S.D. Turbadkar Dr. V.K. Ambore Dr. D.R. Katke Dr. S.A. Rokade Dr. V.B. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, Shri K.R. Jagdale, Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocates for the Applicants and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate also holding for Smt Shrutika Tilak, learned Advocates for the Applicant. Shri P.S. Nagargoje, learned Advocate is not present on behalf of his Applicant. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer on behalf of Respondents and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer on behalf of Respondent in O.A. No.1245/2023.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to be continued till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.06.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.06.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.236 of 2024</p> <p>V.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of Applicant. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.06.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>


IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date: 14.06.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.242 of 2024</p> <p>A.D. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms Aruna Ghadge, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5. 2. Learned P.O. is informed that as it is by 'Revenue & Forest Department Order dated 15.02.2024 that Applicant was transferred from post of 'Assistant Commissioner Land Estate, Pimpri Chinchwad Municipal Corporation' to post of 'Deputy Collector (General), Latur'; therefore it would be necessary to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of both (a) 'Additional Chief Secretary (Revenue), Revenue and Forest Department – Respondent No.1' & (b) 'Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department - Respondent No. 4'. 3. Learned P.O. thereupon seeks time of atleast 'Three Weeks' to file these 'Affidavits-in-Reply'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O. 4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'. 5. S.O. to 04.07.2024. <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 14.06.2024

O.A. No.340 of 2024

T.M. AdhaooApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
3. S.O. to 05.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.06.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.392 of 2024</p> <p>V.V. MugalikarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.06.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.06.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.72/2023</p> <p>M.D. Shende & Ors. .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. L.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Principal Secretary, Tribal Department is directed to remain present as there is confusion regarding appointment and filling up of the posts of Teacher in District Thane. He is directed to remain present along with the person knowledgeable in the said matter and also to assist the Tribunal in view of the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court and implementation of Notification dated 29.08.2019 and 09.06.2014.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 18.06.2024. FOB.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>14.06.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1500/2023</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>S.G Deokar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 to 3, Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 4.</p> <p>2. S.O to 5.7.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>14.06.2024</u>
	<u>M.A 772/2023 in O.A 1553/2023</u>
	V.S Kalekar ... Applicant
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned C.P.O request for time.
	3. S.O to 21.6.2024.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)
	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>14.06.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 730/2023 in O.A 1439/2023 with M.A 731/2023 in O.A 1440/2023</u></p> <p>R.K Deorukhar M.M. More ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter adjourned to 21.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>14.06.2024</p> <p><u>C.A 30/2024 in O.A 111/2022</u></p> <p>D.D Satpute ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 5.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>14.06.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 17/2024 in O.A 641/2023</u></p> <p>S.S Rawle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that the order of this Tribunal dated 3.7.2023 is not complied with.</p> <p>3. Issue notice as to why action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 should not be initiated against the Contemnors.</p> <p>4. S.O to 28.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>14.06.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 83/2023 in O.A 166/2018</u></p> <p>S.P Jedhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan i/b Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the order of this Tribunal dated 14.11.2022 is not complied with. Learned counsel further submits that the amount of leave encashment is paid to the applicant. However, she wants the break-up of leave and calculation of amount of leave encashment.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that the file is pending with the Hon'ble Minister. Learned P.O is directed to furnish to the applicant the break-up of the leave and calculation of the leave encashment paid by the Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O to 21.6.2.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Asn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>14.06.2024</u>
	<u>O.A 230/2023</u>
	M.K Mohite Vs. ... Applicant The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. For want of paucity of time, matter is adjourned.
	3. S.O to 28.6.2024.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)
	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.595 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.S. Bankar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants points out para 8 of the order dated 7.5.2024 passed in the above matter and submits that this matter is wrongly tagged with OA No.280/2024. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that it is to be detagged and placed before the concerned SB as per assignment.</p> <p>4. This matter is detagged and it be placed before the concerned SB as per assignment. Registry to take note.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.6.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.6.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.06.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.902/2022 with O.A.No.1002/2022</p> <p>R.R. Godse .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 19.07.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.06.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.50/2017</p> <p>H.S. Koli .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day affidavit-in-reply will be filed. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 25.06.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 14.06.2024

O.A.No.180/2017

R.A. Konapure .Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 24.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>14.06.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 675/2019 [Nagpur Bench]</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>J.J Sukhdeve ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.V Shiralkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that the Original Application is pending before the Nagpur Bench since last 5 years and today it could not be heard at Nagpur for want of Division Bench. Learned counsel submits that since there is a facility of Video Conference, the Bench consisting of Hon'ble Vice-Chairman Justice M.G Giratkar, and one Administrative Member from M.A.T, Mumbai Bench or Aurangabad Bench can be constituted to hear this matter.</p> <p>3. The file with regard to the appointment of Member (Administrative) at M.A.T, Nagpur Bench is pending with DoPT, New Delhi. However, the Division Bench consisting of Justice M.G. Giratkar, Hon'ble Vice-Chairman, M.A.T, Nagpur and Smt Medha Gadgil, Hon'ble Member (A), M.A.T, Mumbai is constituted to hear the matter through Video Conference on 24.6.2024.</p> <p>4. S.O to 24.6.2024 through Video Conference.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>14.06.2023</u></p> <p><u>C.A 46/2023 in O.A 132/2022 [Nagpur Bench]</u></p> <p>B.S Sirsat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.S Sirsat, applicant in person and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant submits that the Contempt Application is filed on 6.4.2023 and pending before the Nagpur Bench more than one year and today it could not be heard at Nagpur for want of Division Bench. Applicant submits that since there is a facility of Video Conference, the Bench consisting of Hon'ble Member Judicial, M.A.T, Nagpur and one Administrative Member from M.A.T, Mumbai Bench or Aurangabad Bench can be constituted to hear this matter.</p> <p>3. The file with regard to the appointment of Member (Administrative) at M.A.T, Nagpur Bench is pending with DoPT, New Delhi. However, the Division Bench consisting of Justice M.G. Giratkar, Hon'ble Vice-Chairman, M.A.T, Nagpur and Smt Medha Gadgil, Hon'ble Member (A), M.A.T, Mumbai is constituted to hear the matter through Video Conference on 24.6.2024.</p> <p>4. S.O to 24.6.2024 through Video Conference.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>14.06.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 268/2024 in 207/2023 along with O.A 203/2024 with O.A 570/2024.</u></p> <p>Sandeep A. Patil ... Applicant And Dinesh J. Khonde ... Applicant in O.A 207/2023 With Mrs Samisha Survase ...Applicant in O.A 203/2024 Vs The State of Maharashtra & Ors ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ravi Shetty, learned advocate for the applicant in M.A 268/2024, Mr Sandesh Shukla a/w Mr Vivek Patil, for the applicants in O.A 207/2023 and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks permission to amend the application to add Mrs Samisha Survase & Ors in the array of Party-Respondents. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith and copy to be served on the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant relied on the decision of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court dated 21.6.2007 in G.S Rathore Vs. Union of India & Ors, 2007 (6) Mh. LJ 313</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.6.2024 at 10.15 am.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.368 of 2017</u></p> <p>R.H. Aangre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that alleged incident is of 2005-2007. Applicant was acquitted in criminal case on 9.3.2011 and charge sheet was issued to him on 22.10.2012 i.e. after his acquittal. Enquiry Officer submitted his report on 29.8.2013 holding that the charges of misconduct leveled against the applicant in DE are proved. The respondent no.1 after concurring with the findings of the EO issued impugned order dated 2.5.2014 dismissing the applicant from service. The applicant preferred appeal before respondent no.2 and the same was dismissed by order dated 11.5.2016 confirming the order of punishment.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that Enquiry Officer has acted in dual capacity of Enquiry Officer as well as Presenting Officer, which is a procedural lapse. He states that applicant was not given opportunity to give reply as it is not mentioned in Police Manual. On this point he relies on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in 2018(7) SCC 670 Union of India Vs. Ramlakhan Sharma. He submits that no finding is given about retention in service is undesirable.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to produce legible copy of judgment in criminal case.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.6.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.6.2024</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>