

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>1 to 3.</u> <u>Shri V.P. Potbhare for R.4.</u> Adj. To <u>4/8/17.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>RAJ</i></p>	<p>Date : 14.06.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.168 of 2017</p> <p>V.L. RajaleApplicant Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri V.P. Potbhare states that he has instructed to appear for the Respondent No.4 and undertakes to file Vakalatnama within one week.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that the reply is ready and the same would be filed tomorrow.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.08.2017.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (<u>Rajiv Agarwal</u>) Vice-Chairman</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (<u>A.H. Joshi</u>) Chairman</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 10px;">sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 14.06.2017

O.A.No.96 of 2017

A.B. Dalvi & Ors.Applicants
Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered affidavit-in-reply for and on behalf of the Respondent No.1. Affidavit is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for two weeks time to consider as to whether rejoinder is necessary.

4. Ad-interim order shall continue till next date.

5. S.O. to 13.07.2017.

DATE: 14/6/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri Rajiv Agarwal (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 13/7/17.

ARK

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 14/6/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agatwal (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt.: M.D. Lonkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: Archana B.K. C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 19/6/2017.</p> <p align="right">BTE</p>	<p>Date : 14.06.2017</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.19 of 2017 in O.A.No.637 of 2016</p> <p>R.B. BhosaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents state that the office of Secretary had called certain information from the office Direction General of Police and reply from the office of Direction General of Police is received today.</p> <p>3. Matter would be processed and progress would be reported on the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.06.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agatwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p align="right">2</p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member) <u>(Vice)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>10/8/2017</u></p> <p><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 14.06.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.37 of 2017</p> <p>P.H. SarangApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents has tendered the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.2, which is affirmed by Shri Pradip G. Chavan, Under Secretary, Food, Civil Supplies & Consumer Protection Department, Mantralaya. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for time.</p> <p>4. Time granted as prayed.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 10.08.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- <u>(A.H. Joshi)</u> Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 14/6/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt.: M. D. Lonkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: D. B. Khaire Id. Spl Counsel C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 19/6/2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date: 14.06.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.462 of 2017</p> <p>B.A. PatilApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Special Counsel for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Special Counsel for the Respondents states that considering the want of facts, fresh Departmental Promotion Committee (D.P.C.) was conducted and applicant has been again urged to be ineligible.</p> <p>3. Learned Special Counsel has tendered for perusal the copy of minutes. It contains reiteration of words "ACRS for requisite period are not available".</p> <p>4. We are prima facie of the view that the quoted text is nothing but reiteration of wrong commitment during earlier D.P.C..</p> <p>5. We are amazed but It is hard to believe that three Senior I.A.S officers who sit in the D.P.C. can be so oblivious to the provisions of Rules as to the manner in which the situation where the A.C.R.'s are not available, has to be dealt with. We are conscious of the position that in Judicial Review we are examining due process and not the propriety of an executive action.</p> <p>6. Learned Special Counsel states that he would speak to the officers concerned, and find out as to whether fresh D.P.C. can be convened and the matter can be dealt with objectively.</p> <p>7. In view of the statement of learned Special Counsel, we adjourn the hearing to 19.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. JOSHI JP) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**



M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 14/6/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. R.M. Kolge Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To. 21/8/2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.L.</i></p>	<p>Date : 14.06.2017</p> <p>O.A.No.509 of 2014</p> <p>A.G. ShelarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri R.M. Kolge states as follows:-</p> <p>He has served the notice of retirement from case to the Applicants and Applicant No.1A is present. He therefore prays that his appearance may be discharged.</p> <p>3. Applicant No.1A is present and she states that she would engage another Advocate to pursue the case.</p> <p>4. Appearance of Advocate Shri R.M. Kolge is discharged.</p> <p>5. Applicant no.1A prays for two months time to engage the Advocate.</p> <p>6. We fix the hearing on 7th August, 2017.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 7.08.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, Chairman</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 14/6/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. K. Chokkumal (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : R.M. Kolge Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : A.K. Rajpurohit C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... 28/7/2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">BTE</p>	<p>Date : 14.06.2017</p> <p>C.A.No.53 of 2014 in O.A.No.44 of 2009 With C.A.No.54 of 2014 in O.A.No.173 of 2009 With C.A.No.55 of 2014 in O.A.No.54 of 2010</p> <p>R.G. Joshi (C.A:53/14 in O.A.44/09) S.B. Mahadik (C.A.54/14 in O.A.173/09) S.N. Pitke & Ors. (C.A.55/14 in O.A.54/10)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">....Applicants</p> <p>Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents Shri N.K. Rajpurohit has taken instructions from the officer who is present namely Shri Mahesh Shidling Awate, Vice Principal, I.T.I., Kolhapur i.e. Respondent No.3.</p> <p>3. After argument, it has transpired that Tribunal's order contained directions for payment of interest at the rate of 9% with compounded.</p> <p>4. However, interest paid is less than 9%, therefore it is necessary to calculate the amount and pay the difference to fully comply with order passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents states that necessary calculations and compliance will be done within four weeks.</p> <p>6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p>7. Hence, for reporting compliance adjourned to 28.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 14.06.2017

O.A.No.1129 of 2016 with O.A.No.1130 of 2016

Dr. M.D. Pawar (O.A.No.1129 of 2016)
S.S. Jadhav (O.A.No.1130 of 2016)Applicant
Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that instructions are received however, those are not adequate, therefore more instructions are necessary and prays for three weeks time for filing reply.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 04.08.2017.

DATE : 14/6/2017

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : Archana B.K.

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 4/8/2017

BTE

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 14/6/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajeshkumar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt.: A.V. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: A.J. Chougule C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 23/6/2017</p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 14.06.2017</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.36 of 2017</p> <p>P.H. SarangApplicant - Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for time to examine the propriety of the continuation of action and to advise the authority concerned to act with prudence.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.06.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p align="center">sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/6/2017</u></p> <p>CCRAM: Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri. A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon'ble <u>Shri. M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>MA is allowed</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>MF</i></p>	<p>Date : 14.06.2017.</p> <p>M.A.No.48 of 2017 in O.A.No.37 of 2017</p> <p>P.H. SarangApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents has tendered affidavit-in-reply opposing the M.A.. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Accepting that the reasons contained in the application are adequate and sufficient delay caused in filing of O.A. is condoned.</p> <p>4. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 14.06.2017

O.A.No.01 of 2016

A.B. ShinolikarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S. Gaikwad prays for time.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 10.07.2017.

DATE : 14/6/2017

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 10/7/2017.

[Handwritten signature]

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi (J.))
Chairman

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/06/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchandra Kumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>H.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>25/7/2017</u></p>	<p>Date : 14.06.2017.</p> <p>C.A.No.74 of 2016 In O.A.No.153 of 2012</p> <p>R.B. EkatpureApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents on instructions from Dr. K.L. Pargaonkar, A.O. states as follows :-</p> <p>Three week's time may be granted for furnishing the proposal for grant of revised pension after fixation thereof in accordance with the revision by virtue of Sixth Pay Commission.</p> <p>3. Time prayed is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.07.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. JOSHI) Chairman</p>

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Aggarwal (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>V.K. Bodhane holding for</u> <u>A.M. Joshi</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.S. Gohad</u> C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>20/7/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.K.</i></p>	<p>Date : 14.06.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.123 of 2016 with O.A.No.124 of 2016</p> <p>B.A. Papat (O.A.No.123 of 2016) M.W. Ghante (O.A.No.124 of 2016)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">....Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.K. Bodhan, the learned Advocate holding for Shri A.M. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri V.K. Bodhan, the learned Advocate states that he has received copy of affidavit-in-reply today and prays for time.</p> <p>3. Time granted as prayed.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>l</i> (Rajiv Aggarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>l</i> (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 14.06.2017.

O.A.No.1034 of 2016

P.B. SuryawanshiApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for time for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. Time granted as prayed.

4. S.O. to 28.07.2017.

DATE: 14/6/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B. A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 28/7/2017.

[Signature]

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 14.06.2017.

O.A.No.396 of 2017

R.S. PatilApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents adjourned to 23.06.2017.

DATE: 14/6/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 23/6/2017

[Handwritten initials]

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon'ble Sri <u>M. Ranesh Kumar (Member)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>5/7/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 14.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.349 of 2017</p> <p>K.V. PatilApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states as follows :- Instructions are received from Respondent No.2 and four week's time is required for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. In view that service is done in the month of April, 2017 itself, longer time cannot be is granted. Affidavit-in-reply must be filed within three week's from today.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/</i> (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>sd/</i> (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Ranchookumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>Dr. G. Sadavarte</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>12/7/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B/T</i></p>	<p>Date: <u>14.06.2017.</u></p> <p>O.A.No.445 of 2017</p> <p>S.P. RanaApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Dr. G. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Shri Sarthak Diwan, the learned Advocate states that he has instructions to appear for Respondent No.3 and undertakes to file vakalatnama today itself. 3. Issue fresh notice to Respondents No.1 and 2 returnable on 12.07.2017. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. S.O. to 12.07.2017. <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>sd/-</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/6/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Smt. <u>Rajiv Agawwal (MC)</u> Hon'ble Smt. M. Ramchandra (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>None for the app.</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>Admit.</u></p> <p>Adj. To. <u>To come up for final hearing in due course.</u></p> <p><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 14.06.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.872 of 2015</p> <p>S.D. Metkari & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The case was adjourned and kept on today's board for filing rejoinder. 3. None appears for the Applicants. 4. Let the case be Admitted. To come up for final hearing in due course. <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>sd/-</i> (Rajiv Agawwal) Vice-Chairman </p> <p style="text-align: right;"> <i>sd/-</i> (A.H. Joshi I.) Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/6/2017</u></p> <p>COVENANTS:</p> <p>Hon. Mr. Justice: <u>A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon. Mr. Justice: <u>Rajiv Agarwal (Vice-Chairman)</u> <u>Shrikumar (Member)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>H. G. Gohad</u> Advocate for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>2/2/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 14.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.342 of 2017</p> <p>A.J. InamdarApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for two weeks' time for placing on record copy of additional documents which would be reply to the charge-sheet and some other documents if he wants to.</p> <p>3. Time granted as prayed. Amended copy be served upon the Respondents forthwith.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>Sd/-</i> (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman </p> <p style="text-align: right;"> <i>Sd/-</i> (A.H. Joshi) Chairman </p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/06/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Dr. G. Sadavarte</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A. G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>Admit.</u> Adj. To <u>Liberty to move for early hearing.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 14.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.501 of 2017 (O.A.No.158 of 2016 Nagpur)</p> <p>Dr. A.A. Pawar & Ors.Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. G. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Liberty to move for early hearing.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd/-</i> (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>sd/-</i> (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> </div> </div>

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.441 of 2017**Shri R.C. Nirmal****... Applicant****V/s.****The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, she is allowed to implead DG, ACP as a party Respondent No.5 and the Superintendent of Police, (ACB), Pune as a party Respondent No.6 by an appropriate amendment.

Amendment be carried out during the course of the day and newly added Respondents be served.

S.O. to 30.06.2017.

DATE: 14/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL~~
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Affidavit of Service Filed.

Adj. To S.O. to 30/6/17.

(Sd/-)
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
14.06.2017

(vsm)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt.: <u>M. H. Sandhyanshiv</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>15/6/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">P-17. <u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p>Date : 14.06.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.438 of 2016</p> <p>L.P. Varule & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.N. Sandhyanshiv, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Prakash B. Surwase, Deputy Secretary, PWD has tendered apology. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.06.2017.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <u>[Signature]</u> (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <u>[Signature]</u> (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: center;">šba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Aggarwal (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>15/6/2017</u></p>	<p>Date : 14.06.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.449 of 2017</p> <p>V.S. Waigankar & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states that he has received instructions to request for adjournment for three week's on administrative grounds.</p> <p>3. This Tribunal is not satisfied with the vague ground assigned by learned P.O..</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. states that he would take specific reasons as to why time is required.</p> <p>5. Hence, adjourned to 15.06.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- <u>(Rajiv Aggarwal)</u> Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- <u>(A.H. Joshi J.V.)</u> Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>V.P. Potbhare</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.A.O./D.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adv. To. <u>15/6/2017</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 14.06.2017</p> <p>O.A.No.452 of 2017</p> <p>C.J. Gudewar & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that the office note is prepared for taking corrective measures and it will be produced tomorrow for perusal.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.06.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 14/6/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Archana B.K. C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 15/6/2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 14.06.2017</p> <p>O.A.No.907 of 2016</p> <p>A.K. GharatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. Archana B.K. prays for time till tomorrow for enabling her to make a statement after receiving specific instructions from the Secretary holding the post of Respondent No.1 as to time frame within which appeal would be decided.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/6/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice <u>A.H. Joshi</u> (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>15/6/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BFA</i></p>	<p>Date : 14.06.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.465 of 2017</p> <p>G.R. KarpeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 15.06.2017.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div data-bbox="842 1478 1045 1601"> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> </div> <div data-bbox="1173 1456 1364 1579"> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, 40) Chairman</p> </div> </div> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 14/6/2017</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. V. U. Sherkhane Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To. 15/6/2017.</p> <p>prk</p>	<p>Date : 14.06.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.1196 of 2016</p> <p>R.V. SonkambleApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.U. Sherkhane, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents adjourned to 15.06.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 14/6/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ↓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Adj. To:</u> <u>S.O. to 28/6/17</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.507 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri D.B. Kadge ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri Amarsing Arashivdas, Administrative Officer, Food & Drugs Administration, Mumbai.</p> <p>It appears that on 06.06.2017, the Applicant has made a representation. A copy whereof is at Exb.F, page 38 of the Paper-Book and also the representations dated 12.06.2017 and 13.06.2017. The cause is health related.</p> <p>I direct that the decision thereon be taken within a period of two weeks from today and its outcome be communicated to the Applicant within three working days thereafter.</p> <p>Liberty is reserved for the Applicant to renew the request of the interim relief.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">S.O. to 28.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 14 Member (J) 14.06.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(vsm)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Qoram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.516 of 2017

Shri N. D. Deore ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. is being instructed by V.G. Shinde, Section Officer, Medical Education and Drugs Dept, Mantralaya, Mumbai.

The Applicant has been transferred from Mumbai to Chandrapur, which is a mid-tenure transfer. The record would show that what is the special reasons is not produced as of today. The Respondents shall be free to do so on the next date but till the date next to the filing of the reply, the impugned order in so far as relates to the applicant is stayed and even if technically he has been relieved, he shall be re-posted and allowed to work as before.

Issue notice returnable on 05.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 05.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service. Hamdast.

DATE: 14/6/17

QORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL~~

~~(Vice Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Sri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 5/7/17

Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
14.06.2017

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.514 of 2017

Smt. A.S. Kambli ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. is being instructed by V.G. Shinde, Section Officer, Medical Education and Drugs Dept, Mantralaya, Mumbai.

The Applicant has been transferred from Mumbai to Nashik, which is a mid-tenure transfer. The record would show that what is the special reasons is not produced as of today. The Respondents shall be free to do so on the next date but till the date next to the filing of the reply, the impugned order in so far as relates to the applicant is stayed and even if technically she has been relieved, she shall be re-posted and allowed to work as before.

Issue notice returnable on 05.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 05.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service. *Handwritten signature*

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
14.06.2017

DATE: 14/6/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 5/7/17

Handcast

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

O.A. No.515 of 2017

Tribunal's orders

Shri S.S. Jain

... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

Heard Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. is being instructed by V.G. Shinde, Section Officer, Medical Education and Drugs Dept, Mantralaya, Mumbai.

The Applicant has been transferred from Mumbai to Solapur, which is a mid-tenure transfer. The record would show that what is the special reasons is not produced as of today. The Respondents shall be free to do so on the next date but till the date next to the filing of the reply, the impugned order in so far as relates to the applicant is stayed and even if technically he has been relieved, he shall be re-posted and allowed to work as before.

Issue notice returnable on 05.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 05.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service. Hamdast.

DATE: 14/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 5/7/17.

Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
14.06.2017

(vsut)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.511 of 2017

Shri D.A. Jadhav ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned CPO for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. is being instructed by V.G. Shinde, Section Officer, Medical Education and Drugs Dept, Mantralaya, Mumbai.

The Applicant has been transferred from Mumbai to Sangli, which is a mid-tenure transfer. The record would show that what is the special reasons is not produced as of today. The Respondents shall be free to do so on the next date but till the date next to the filing of the reply, the impugned order in so far as relates to the applicant is stayed and even if technically he has been relieved, he shall be re-posted and allowed to work as before.

Issue notice returnable on 21.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 21.06.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
14.06.2017

DATE: 14/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant
Shri Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar

Shri Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 21/6/17.

Hamdast.