

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.77/2024**S.R. Desai & Ors.**

... Applicants

Vs.**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R. Khot, leaned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicants submits that total of 31 posts of Junior Clerks in the office of Regional Transport Office, Kolhapur were declared surplus on account of 'Revised Staffing Pattern'.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicants states that total of 13 Junior Clerks were promoted on 20.02.2024 as 'Senior Clerks' out of which, there are 4 Applicants. Thus, these 4 Applicants came to be retained in Kolhapur Division.

4. The learned CPO produces Order dated 07.03.2024 wherein 'Junior Clerks' serving in Regional Transport Office, Kolhapur have been shifted to new establishment of Sub-Regional Transport Office, Ichalkaranji.

5. The learned Advocate for Applicants then submits that because of this order, 7 'Junior Clerks' declared surplus in Kolhapur Division i.e. Serial Nos.15 to 21 are now adjusted in Sub-Regional Transport Office, Ichalkaranji.

6. The learned Advocate for Applicant sums-up to state that $13 + 7 = 20$ 'Junior Clerks' posts have thus got adjusted due to (i) Promotion of 13 'Junior Clerks' as 'Senior Clerks', (ii) Transfer of 7 Junior Clerks to Sub-Regional Transport Office, Ichalkaranji.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. The learned Advocate for Applicants against this backdrop submits there are 12 'Junior Clerks' Serving in Kolhapur Division who have applied for transfers to 'Other Divisions' and that proposal is pending since 15.12.2023 with Respondent No.2 - Transport Commissioner. Therefore, if appropriate decision is taken in respect of these 12 'Junior Clerks', then grievance of Applicants will be automatically redressed and they can continue to serve in Kolhapur Division.

8. The learned CPO submits that Applicants are not 12 'Junior Clerks' whose proposal is pending for transfer to 'Other Divisions' and thus are not entitled to seek such decision from Respondent No.2 - Transport Commissioner.

9. Be that as it may, if this proposal of 12 'Junior Clerks' is decided early, then no employee serving on posts of 'Junior Clerks' will be declared surplus in Kolhapur Division. Thus, we expect that Respondent No.2 - Transport Commissioner will decide this proposal within 'Four Weeks'.

10. Till then, 'Status Quo' to be maintained qua Applicants.

11. S.O. to 12th April, 2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
14.03.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
14.03.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 14.03.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.391 of 2024</p> <p>S.V. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant who was earlier working in establishment of 'Superintendent of Police, Gadchiroli' has been transferred to the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri - Chinchwad' by Order dated 30.01.2024 of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' and has joined as 'Police Inspector' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri - Chinchwad' on 14.02.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that as per (i) G.A.D. G.R. dated 06.08.2002 and (ii) 'Home Department' G.R. dated 08.12.2009, the Applicant was required to be given preferential consideration for transfer to place of choice as he had served in 'L.W.E.' affected 'Gadchiroli District'. However, case of Applicant was not accordingly considered because all 3 options submitted by Applicant for transfer to establishment of either (i) Superintendent of Police, Solapur (Rural) (ii) Superintendent of Police, Satara or (iii) Superintendent of Police, Sangli were overlooked and Applicant instead came to be transferred to establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri - Chinchwad' by 'Transfer Order' dated 30.01.2024 of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. thus to seek 'Additional Information' from 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' as to why request of Applicant who had served in 'L.W.E.' affected 'Gadchorli District' was not considered for transfer to</p>

Tribunal's orders

~~establishment of either (i) Superintendent of Police, Solapur (Rural) (ii) Superintendent of Police, Satara or (iii) Superintendent of Police, Sangli as per specific guidelines in (i) G.A.D. G.R. dated 06.08.2002 and (ii) 'Home Department' G.R. dated 08.12.2009.~~

5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.04.2024.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

11. S.O. to 05.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.371 of 2024 to O.A. No.378 of 2024</p> <p>V.V. Adagale S.S. Suryawanshi S.H. Rokade M.K. Kadam B.S. Mulla D.A. Pawar M.B. Taware H.N. KakandkiApplicant</p> <p>Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.G. Kotkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, Shri A.D. Gugale, Smt. Archana B.K, Shri D.R. Patil, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states the Applicants in all these O.A. No.371 of 2024 to O.A. No.378 of 2024 are working on the post of 'P.S.I.' or 'A.P.I.' in the establishments of (i) Superintendent of Police, Pune (Rural), (ii) Superintendent of Police, Sangli & (iii) Superintendent of Police, Satara and have been transferred by Order dated 24.02.2024 of 'SPL I.G.P. Kolhapur Range'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that they should not have been transferred out because none of them have completed '3 Years' out of '4 Years' and further also referred to the 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 27.02.2024 which clarifies that the criteria tenure of '3Year' out of '4 Years' shall be strictly applicable only to all 'Police Officers' above the rank of 'Circle Officer' and thus had exempted Applicant who are serving as 'P.S.I.' and 'A.P.I.'.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. states that the Applicants in O.A. No.371 of 2024 to O.A. No.378 of 2024 were serving in 'Non Functional Departments' and in their 'Home Districts'. Therefore they come within the purview of</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

provisions of 'Para 5.3.1(ii)' of 'Election Commission of India, letter dated 21.12.2023 which clearly mentions 'Police Officers' above 'Police Sub Inspector' onwards should not be posted in their 'Home Districts'.

5. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon that there are few cases which require due consideration on 'Compassionate Grounds' and specifically mentions case of Applicant in O.A. No.371/2024 whose 'Son' is afflicted by 'Autisum' and 'Daughter' suffers from 'Abdinoplasty Hernia Disease'. Applicant in O.A. No.375/2024 every week has to undergo 'Two Dialysis Sessions'.

6. Learned P.O. is therefore informed to seek information from the office of 'Spl. I.G.P. Kolhapur Range'; if cases of the Applicants in O.A. No.371/2024 & O.A. No.375/2024 could be considered on the stated 'Compassionate Grounds' for which he may also refer to 'Orders / Circulars / Letters' of 'Election Commission of India' which may be applicable to such cases of 'Government Servants' and may if required ascertain about availability of such 'Orders / Circulars / Letters' of 'Election Commission of India' from 'District Collector' and 'D.E.O., Kolhapur'.

7. The Applicant in O.A. No.375/2024 has been already been relieved and joined at place of new posting. However, as case of Applicant in O.A. No.371/2024 is also governed by provisions of 'The Right of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016' since he is also 'Care Giver' to his 'Son' afflicted by 'Autisum' and he has not been relieved, therefore Applicant in O.A. No.371/2024 is permitted to continue to work on present post till 'Next Date'.

8. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.03.2024.

10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>12. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>14. S.O. to 21.03.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.389 of 2024</p> <p>A.A. KoliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant was transferred from post of 'Police Inspector' in the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nagpur' to post 'Police Inspector' in the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Thane' as per earlier 'Transfer Order' dated 30.01.2024 of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that subsequently by new 'Transfer Order' dated 04.03.2024 of 'D.G.P., Maharashtra State' the Applicant has been posted back as 'Police Inspector' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nagpur'.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that Applicant was actually working on post of 'Sr. Police Inspector' in 'Kapilnagar Police Station' in the jurisdiction of 'Commissioner of Police, Nagpur' and had completed tenure of more than '3 Years' out of '4 Years' in 'Nagpur District' having served in establishment of 'Superintendent of Police, Nagpur (Rural)' from 23.02.2019 till 17.07.2023 he was subsequently transferred to establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nagpur'. Therefore; the Applicant had to be transferred out of 'Nagpur District' as per directions of Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that as per earlier 'Transfer Order' dated 30.01.2024 of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' the Applicant</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>has already joined on the post of 'Police Inspector' in the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Thane' and presently working in 'Traffic Branch' which is also classified as 'Functional Department' as per directions of Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023.</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that it is not very clear as to why the Applicant was transferred back to establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nagpur' by new 'Transfer Order' dated 04.03.2024 of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'</p> <p>7. Learned Advocate for Applicant finally draws attention to string of orders issued by the 'Commissioner of Police, Nagpur' which showed that Applicant had only been given 'Additional Charge' of 'Crime Branch' and then 'Additional Temporary Charge' of post of 'Sr. Police Inspector' in 'Police Station, Kapilnagar.'</p> <p>8. Learned P.O. based on information received from office of 'D.G.P., Maharashtra State' states that before review meeting with 'Additional chief Secretary' and Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State' was held on 20.02.2024; requisite information had been called from 'Commissioner of Police, Nagpur' wherein Applicant was shown to be working in 'Crime Branch' as on 21.12.2023. Applicant was thus serving on post in 'Functional Department' and consequently transferred back to establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nagpur' by new 'Transfer Order' dated 04.03.2024 of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'.</p> <p>9. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that since information was received by 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' from 'Commissioner of Police, Nagpur' which indicated that Applicant was working in 'Crime Branch' and not as 'Senior Police Inspector' in 'Kapilnagar Police Station', therefore wants to include 'Commissioner of Police, Nagpur' as Respondent No.4. Accordingly, permission granted to include 'Commissioner of Police, Nagpur' as Respondent No.4.</p> <p>10. The learned P.O. thus to seek 'Additional Information' as to which post was actually held by Applicant on 21.12.2023 in substantive capacity as it becomes material to consider whether new 'Transfer Order' of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' on 04.03.2024 was</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

required to be issued or not in respect of Applicant. Till such time as requisite information is received from 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' and taking into consideration the fact that 'Applicant' has since joined on the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Thane' and serving in 'Traffic Branch' he is permitted to continue on that post which is classified as 'Functional Department as per directions in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023.

11. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

12. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.03.2024.

13. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

14. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

15. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

16. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

17. S.O. to 21.03.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date: 14.03.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.390 of 2024</p> <p>V.R. BhosaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant having joined on 23.11.2023 on post of 'Assistant Commissioner of Police, Thane City' was attached to 'Control Room' and continued to work there till Order dated 30.11.2023 of 'Commissioner of Police, Thane City'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that on 30.11.2023 the 'Commissioner of Police, Thane City' had given temporarily charge to Applicant of the post of 'Assistant Commissioner of Police, Kalva Division, Thane City'. Again on 30.11.2024 by way of temporarily charge Applicant has been given posting as 'Assistant Commissioner of Police, Traffic Branch Thane City'.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that consequent to order of 'Home Department' dated 07.03.2024 by which he stands posted on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' the Applicant is yet to be relieved and continues to hold post of 'Assistant Commissioner of Police, Traffic Branch Thane City'.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. seeks some time to obtain 'Additional Information' from (i) 'Home Department' and (ii) 'Commissioner of Police, Thane City' about grounds of transfer of Applicant with reference directions in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. The 'Commissioner of Police, Thane City' therefore in the context mentioned above is directed not to fill post of 'Assistant Commissioner of Police, Traffic Branch Thane City' till 'Next Date'.

7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.03.2024.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

13. S.O. to 18.03.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.03.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1026/2021 with M.A.No.114/2021 in O.A.No.982/2019 with O.A.No.753/2021</p> <p>R.K. Bhosle & Ors. Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer with Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 20.03.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.818 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. D.A. ShengalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.03.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.818 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. D.A. ShengalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.03.2024.</p> <p align="right">sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">AGR</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.03.2024

C.A.No.15/2024 in O.A.No.451/2015

S.H. Ghadge & Ors.

.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. G.D. Kurne, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that Writ Petition No.31258/2019 filed before the Hon'ble High Court challenging the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.451/2015 is stayed. Learned P.O. has further submitted that during the course of the day short affidavit-in-reply dated 13.03.2024 on behalf Respondent No.2, Ms. Sunanda Narendra Jagtap, Superintending Engineer in the office Pune Irrigation Division, Pune.

3. In view of above, C.A. is adjourned to 06.06.2024.

Sd/-

sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.03.2024

C.A.No.7/2024 in O.A.No.149/2019

S.S. Suryawanshi & Ors. .Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that the W.P.No.2577/2024 filed before the Hon'ble High Court is disposed of, however, the said order is not yet uploaded.

3. Adjourned to 21.03.2024.

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

SD/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="787 450 1039 484">Date : 14.03.2024</p> <p data-bbox="1006 510 1258 543">O.A.No.245/2017</p> <p data-bbox="787 574 1404 607">R.R. Rathod .Applicant</p> <p data-bbox="885 607 933 641">Vs.</p> <p data-bbox="787 641 1453 674">The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="787 700 1477 826">1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="787 870 1477 947">2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 12.04.2024.</p> <p data-bbox="885 1012 941 1045">SD/-</p> <p data-bbox="1266 1012 1323 1045">SD/-</p> <p data-bbox="812 1110 1023 1174">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p data-bbox="1177 1110 1469 1174">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p data-bbox="787 1179 820 1205">prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.333 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.S. Fase ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Majahan, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 14.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.172 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.V. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. The applicant to serve the office of Ld. CPO.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and hereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.337 of 2024</u></p> <p>D.C. Londhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.167 of 2024</u></p> <p>P.B. Gatkul ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. The applicant to serve the office of Ld. CPO.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.3.2024</p> <p>sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.30 of 2024</u></p> <p>D.B. Katkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder dated 13.3.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.3.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1609 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.N. More ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 27.2.2024 of respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.3.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.830 of 2023</u></p> <p>C.S. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Kale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. PO files reply dated 12.3.2024 of respondent no.3.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 5.4.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.38 of 2024 in O.A. No.66 of 2024</u></p> <p>U.R. Talekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 13.3.2024 of respondents no.1 to 3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.3.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1109 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.B. Dagale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.4.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.557 of 2023 in O.A. No.1109 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.B. Dagale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. Advocate for the applicant submits that this MA is filed for condoning the delay of 1 year and 5 months. The cause of action arose on 3.11.2018 but the order was received in February, 2019. He should have filed OA in February, 2020 but the same is filed on 25.8.2023. He states that applicant was taking care of his ailing mother. He also states that due to COVID-19 pandemic there is delay. He submits that the applicant made representations but the same were not replied.</p> <p>3. I.d. PO opposes the MA for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case the delay is condoned. MA is allowed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.3.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"> <u>MA.212/2023 in MA.112/2023 in OA.777/2021</u> <u>MA.214/2023 in MA.113/2023 in OA.792/2021</u> <u>MA.213/2023 in MA.114/2023 in OA.793/2021</u> </p> <p> Y.B. Akolkar S.R. Patil P.B. Bhangare Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. </p> <p align="right"> ..Applicants ..Respondents </p> <p> Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicants and their Advocate are absent. </p> <p>2. S.O. to 1.4.2024.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.3.2024 </p> <p>(sgl)</p>

Date: 14.03.2024

O.A. No.392 of 2024

V.V. MugalikarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.03.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 18.03.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

61/20

IN

Original Application No.

61/20

FARAD CONTINUATION

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Order,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date: 14.03.2024

O.A. No.1562 of 2023

D.B. Tamhane & Ors.,

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

3. S.O. to 02.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)

Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Decision,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date: 14.03.2024

O.A. No.1145 of 2023

V.S. Lambhate

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos. 1 & 2, Shri M.D. Lonkar, Learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

3. S.O. to 21.03.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Contents,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders.

Date: 14.03.2024

O.A. No.1618 of 2023

D.M. KokareApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
3. S.O. to 02.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.03.2024

**O.A.No.463/2023 with O.A.No.464/2023 with
O.A.No.465/2023 with O.A.No.866/2023**

G.D. Awad & Ors. .Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. V.S. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicants (through video conference) and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day reply will be filed.

3. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.

4. Adjourned to 04.04.2024.

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

SD/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.03.2024

**C.A.No.24/2024 in O.A.No.255/2022 with
C.A.No.25/2024 in O.A.No.256/2022 with
C.A.No.26/2024 in O.A.No.257/2022 with
C.A.No.27/2024 in O.A.No.258/2022**

U.D. Ghorpade & Ors. .Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.04.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Contempt Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Contempt Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 04.04.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.03.2024

C.A.No.18/2024 in O.A.No.842/2017

B.A. Dane .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.03.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Contempt Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Contempt Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 21.03.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.03.2024

C.A.No.10/2024 in O.A.No.585/2016

R.S. Bodake .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that the DPC is held pursuant to the order passed by this Tribunal, however, the Respondent has failed to inform the applicant.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day reply will be filed.

4. Adjourned to 04.04.2024.

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

SD/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.03.2024

C.A.No.7/2024 in O.A.No.149/2019

S.S. Suryawanshi & Ors. .Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that the W.P.No.2577/2024 filed before the Hon'ble High Court is disposed of, however, the said order is not yet uploaded.

3. Adjourned to 21.03.2024.

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

SD/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.03.2024

C.A.No.109/2023 in O.A.No.834/2019

S.B. Patil .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Sonali Humani holding for Ms. R. Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. C.A.No.109/2023 is filed for non compliance of order dated 30.09.2022 filed in O.A.No.834/2019

3. Learned P.O. has submitted that file is sent to the Treasury Office on 13.03.2024.

4. Concern Respondents are directed to follow up on day to day basis.

5. Adjourned to 28.03.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.03.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1414/2023 with O.A.No.1436/2023 with O.A.No.1437/2023</p> <p>A.S. Shirsat & Ors. .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.1414/2023, Mr. M.V. Thorat holding for Mr. N.V. Adkine, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.1436/2023 & O.A.No.1437/2023, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3 in O.A.No.1414/2023 and for the Respondent No.1 in O.A.No.1436/2023 & O.A.No.1437/2023.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 21.03.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.03.2024

O.A.No.413/2017

S.B. Shinde .Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Mr. V. Londhe with D. Wadekar, learned Counsel for Respondents No.2 and 3 are absent.

3. Learned Counsel has pointed out Annexure-A brochure, the word 'preferential qualification' which is mentioned in 7.1, a Tie-breakers is explained as,

"Preference will be given to the candidates having above degree with Medical and Psychiatrics or Family and Child welfare or both."

(emphasis placed)

4. Learned P.O. has submitted that today Mr. Prakash Survase, Deputy Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department is present for instructions. Learned P.O. has submitted that respondents would like to file short affidavit with analysis of the preferential qualification of all the three candidates i.e. Applicant and Respondent No.2 and 3 as they have secured equal marks.

5. Adjourned to 28.03.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.03.2024

O.A.No.245/2017

R.R. Rathod .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana
B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned
to 12.04.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.03.2024

O.A.No.993/2022

R.B. Shaikh .Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today learned Counsel produced the copy of evidence of witness i.e. Kazi who has performed marriage (Nikah) of the Applicant.

3. Adjourned to 04.04.2024. P.H.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.03.2024

O.A.No.999/2017

P.S. Mahamuni & Ors. .Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Suo moto speaking to minutes in order dated 04.03.2024.

3. In order dated 04.03.2024 in paragraph 3 the word 'G.R.' is wrongly typed. It should be read as 'Circular'.

4. Ordered accordingly.

5. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 28.03.2024 P.H.

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

SD/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.03.2024</p> <p>C.A.No.17/2024 in O.A.No.641/2023</p> <p>S.S. Rawle .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant is now 75 years old. Enquiry was initiated by chargesheet dated 28.02.2006 and till today enquiry is not concluded. He has submitted that the Enquiry Officer was appointed on 04.09.2010. He submitted report on 16.03.2016, to which the Applicant filed reply on 24.02.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. on instructions from Mr. Prakash Survase, Deputy Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department has submitted that Applicant's file is now pending with the Hon'ble Minister of Revenue and Forest Department since 05.03.2024.</p> <p>4. We direct learned P.O. to give the chronology of the events. We further direct Rajesh Kumar, Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department in view of the entire history given to us to pursue the file forthwith.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 15.03.2024. Hamdast. SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>14.03.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 84/2024 in C.A 652/2023 in O.A 52/2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>(Nagpur)</u></p> <p>R.S Deshmukh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that copy of the Misc Application is not served on the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to serve copy of the Misc Application on the Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O to 4.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>14.03.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 116/2024 in O.A ST No 1657/2023</u> <u>(Aurangabad)</u></p> <p>G.S Rathod & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that copy of the Misc Application is not served on the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants is directed to serve copy of the Misc Application on the Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O to 4.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>14.03.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 90/2024 in O.A 1691/2023 (Aurangabad)</u></p> <p>R.D Panchal & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that copy of the Misc Application is not served on the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants is directed to serve copy of the Misc Application on the Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O to 4.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ ✓ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>