

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.663/2020</p> <p>R.S. YadavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant prays to quash and set aside the impugned communication dated 20.01.2020 issued by the Respondent no.2 and allow the applicant to continue to work on the post of Peon, Group-D.</p> <p>3. The O.A. pertains to issue of Caste Validity Certificate on supernumerary post. Learned Advocate has submitted that the Applicant applied for the Caste Validity certificate as being from ST category on 20.02.2010. The Applicant challenges the impugned order dated 20.01.2020 wherein she was posted on supernumerary post.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate relies on the judgment dated 30.07.2020 of Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Aurangabad in Writ Petition (Stamp) No.12086/2020 & Ors., Gahininath D. Boyane Versus State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>5. In the judgment of Gahininath D. Boyane (supra) at paragraphs 3 & 4 it is held that,</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>"3. As the impugned action is without notices to the petitioners, we quashed and set aside the impugned action.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>4. In case, respondents want to take action against the petitioners of placing petitioners on supernumerary posts or otherwise, then notices shall be issued to the petitioners giving opportunity to show cause. In that event, petitioners can file reply and bring all</i></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

the relevant facts to the notice of the respondent-committee."

6. In the said case also no notice has been issued to the Petitioner. We adopt the decision taken in Gahininath D. Boyane (supra) and direct the Respondents to issue show cause notice to the Applicant and take appropriate steps.

7. Respondents are directed to issue show cause notice to the Applicant at the earliest. Applicant should be reinstated on her original post and the Applicant should be given hearing within a period of one month from today.

8. In view of the above impugned order dated 20.01.2020 is hereby quashed and set aside.

9. O.A. stands disposed of, with above directions.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.274 of 2023

R.B. Dongre)...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.) ...Respondents.

Shri M. D. Lonkar, Counsel for the Applicant

Smt. Kranti Gaikwad., Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : SHRI A. P. KURHEKAR , MEMBER (J)

DATE : 14.03.2023

ORDER

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant who is serving as Assistant Commissioner of Police at Commissionerate, Thane has challenged the transfer order dated 10.03.2023 whereby he transferred from the Crime Branch Detection-1 to Human Resources Department, Thane inter-alia contending that he is transferred mid-term and mid-tenure in contravention of provisions of Maharashtra Police Act.
3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that the Applicant was posted in Crime Branch Detection-1 by order dated 14.09.2022 and was entitled for two years tenure but abruptly transferred invoking Section 22N(2) of Maharashtra Police Act without there being any such administrative exigency. He further raised the issue of competency of PEB at Commissionerate level for transfer of the Applicant contending that the Home Minister is the only competent authority. He prayed for interim relief.

in D. M. 21

4. Per contra, learned P.O. submits that the PEB at Commissionerate level is the competent authority to transfer within the Commissionerate and there is no need of approval of Home Minister. In this behalf, she placed reliance on the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in ***W.P. No.9984/2019 (State of Maharashtra & Anr V/s Anuradha S. Dhumal)***, decided on 01.09.2021 interpreting expression 'place of posting' in the context of transfer of Police Constables in Police Commissionerate, Pune. She has further tendered the Minutes of PEB for perusal of Tribunal.
5. Insofar as the issue of competency is concerned, notably while the Applicant was serving in the cadre of Police Inspector, the Government by order dated 02.12.2021 promoted him as Assistant Commissioner of Police and posted at Commissionerate, Thane. On the basis of said order, the PEB at Commissionerate level then gave posting to the Applicant at Human Resource Department by order dated 20.12.2021 and later he was transferred to Crime Branch Detection-1 by order dated 14.09.2022. As such, the posting of the Applicant was in Thane Commissionerate and not at particular posting in Thane Commissionerate. It is for this reason, the PEB at Commissionerate level issued posting order within Commissionerate.
6. The Hon'ble High Court in ***Anuradha Dhumal's*** case (cited supra) while dealing with the transfer of Police Constables interpreted expression 'place of posting' and concluded that so long as the Police Constable is not shifted out of Pune, he cannot contend that he is posted on a particular place for sit of duty, he cannot be transferred. The Hon'ble High Court further observed that the powers of Police Commissionerate extends to whole Commissionerate which would be the place of posting as referred in Section 22N of Maharashtra Police Act. Thus, *prima-facie* for transfers within the Commissionerate, the PEB at Commissionerate level is competent authority.
7. The perusal of Minutes of PEB reveals that Shri Shivraj Patil, Deputy Commissioner of Police, Crime Branch, Thane submitted default report on 10.03.2023 which was considered by the PEB and recommended for transfer of the Applicant. In default report, inefficiency and failure to take necessary steps for detection of crime is attributed. Apart, there seems to be input of some

confidential information against the Applicant. it is on that basis the PEB seems to have recorded subjective satisfaction.

8. In view of above, prima-facie, the transfer of the Applicant is in observance of Section 22N(2) of Maharashtra Police Act. I am, therefore, not inclined to grant interim relief.
9. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.04.2023.
11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
13. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
14. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
15. S.O. to 11.04.2023.

Sd/-

(A. P. KURHEKAR)
MEMBER (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1291 of 2022</p> <p>K.A.H. MehviApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. However, in the interest of justice one week time is granted as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to ²¹25.03.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.417 of 2022</u></p> <p>Smt. R.P. Gage ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Smt. Vaidehi Deshmukh holding for Shri V. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 2. Today, learned Presenting Officer sought permission to file Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. Though matter is already proceeded without reply, in the interest of justice allowed to file the same. It is taken on record. 3. O.A. be kept for hearing on 10th April, 2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 14.03.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2023</p> <p>M.A. No.100 of 2023 in O.A. No.102 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. P.R. DharmadhirariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed to condone delay of 10 months and 14 days caused in filing O.A. challenging transfer order dated 10.08.2020. Notices were issued on 14.02.2023 and today M.A. is for filing Reply but no Reply is filed. 3. Learned P.O. sought extension of time to file Reply. 4. The limitation to file O.A. for challenging order dated 10.08.2020 expires on 10.08.2021 in COVID-19. Hon'ble Supreme Court by order dated 10.01.2022 passed in Suo Moto Writ Petition (Civil) No.03/2020 directed that where limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022, notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all person shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022. As such, considering COVID-19 Pandemic situation period of limitation has been extended. 5. In present case, limitation being expired on 10.08.2021 O.A. ought to have being filed within further 90 days period of extension i.e. on or before 01.06.2022. However, it is filed on 24.01.2023 thus delay is about seven months. 6. In view of above, taking liberal approach of the matter I am inclined to condone delay caused in filing O.A. so as to decide O.A. on merit. Delay is accordingly condoned. 7. M.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.102 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. P.R. DharmadhirariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. has been filed along with M.A. for condonation of delay which is allowed today, therefore O.A. deserves to be decided on its in merit.</p> <p>3. Since Respondents are already served in M.A. notice of O.A. is dispensed with.</p> <p>4. Four weeks time is granted to learned P.O. to file Affidavit-in-Reply to O.A.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 11.04.2023.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1184 of 2019</p> <p>D. J. SonawaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. A. Parab holding for Shri P.S. Pathak, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. During the course of hearing, it is noticed that the Applicant has not challenged the order of Appellate Authority dated 27.05.2019. What he challenged is the office order dated 23.08.2019 which is based on the order of Appellate Authority.</p> <p>4. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to amend the prayer clause of O.A. so as to challenge the order of Appellate Authority dated 27.05.2019 (Page No.325 to 332 of PB).</p> <p>5. Allowed to amend the prayer clause. It be corrected forthwith.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.03.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.853 of 2022</p> <p>P. S. UjgareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, the O.A. be kept for final hearing with liberty to file Sur-Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.116 of 2023</p> <p>T. R. Kamble & OthersApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted either to file reply by way of last chance or to take remedial measure in view of the decision rendered by the Tribunal in O.A.No.155/2020 decided with connected O.A. on 18.03.2021. No further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.03.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.238 of 2023</p> <p>S.V. Rajwade,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant stands retired on 31.01.2021 but not paid Gratuity and regular Pension.</p> <p>3. The perusal of record reveals that at the time of retirement D.E. was pending and it is also considered ^{concluded} by order dated 15.11.2022 thereby imposing punishment of reduction of 10% Pension for one year which is accepted by the Applicant. This being the position there must be no further hurdle for releasing Gratuity and regular Pension. FIR against the Applicant and ors. for the offence u/s. 467, 406 etc. of IPC have been registered on 12.10.2022 which is after retirement. Thus <i>prima-facie</i> at the time of retirement there was no intuition of criminal prosecution.</p> <p>3. In view of above, O.A. is to be expedited, it be kept on Friday i.e. 17.03.2023 with liberty to learned P.O. to file Affidavit-in-Reply and to apprise the Tribunal why the Gratuity and regular Pension is withheld.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.03.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 14.03.2023

O.A.No.1034/2022

M.G. MurudkarApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Ganesh Masorkar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that today she will tender copy of affidavit-in-reply to learned Advocate.

3. Admit. Adjourned to 25.04.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 14.03.2023

O.A.No.1070/2022

R.B. PawarApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Ganesh Masorkar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that today she will file affidavit in reply. Copy be served upon learned Advocate.

3. Adjourned to 28.03.2023 for rejoinder.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 14.03.2023

O.A.No.89/2023

S.P. KolteApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere & Asso, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 & 2 and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that today she will file affidavit in reply. Copy be served upon learned Advocate.

3. Adjourned to 24.03.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 14.03.2023

O.A.No.933/2022

P.J. PawarApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Pranali Chordiya, learned Advocate holding for Mr. V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Last chance granted to learned C.P.O. to file reply of Respondent No.2.

3. Adjourned to 06.04.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 14.03.2023

O.A.No.740/2022

M.V. PatilApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Reply is filed. Admit. Adjourned to 25.04.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

rk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 14.03.2023

O.A.No.941/2022

Dr. G.K.M.A. AnsariApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Adjourned to 17.03.2023. To be tagged along with O.A.Nos.837 & 860/2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 14.03.2023

O.A.No.930/2022

D.S. Kamtekar.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Ganesh Masorkar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Reply is filed.

3. Adjourned to 28.03.2023 for rejoinder.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.302/2022</p> <p>J.A. Magar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Ganesh Masorkar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that today during the course of the day reply will be filed. Copy be served upon learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 06.04.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.263/2022</p> <p>N.D. RautApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Dilip Jadeja, learned Advocate holding for Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. If reply is not filed by next date, matter will proceed without reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 28.03.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- M</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

14.03.2023

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders M.A 226/2022 in O.A 354/2020
---	--

The	State of Maharashtra & Ors	... Applicants
		(Ori Respondents)
	Vs.	
S.S	Misal & Ors	... Respondents
		(Ori Applicants)

1. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Applicants (Ori Respondents) and Shri Talkute, learned counsel for the Respondents (Ori Applicants).
2. At the request of learned counsel for the Respondents (Ori Applicants), matter stands adjourned to 11.4.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.213 of 2018 with O.A. No.214 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.A. Walvekar V.s. Suryawanshi ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A. Desai, Ld. Counsel with Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is confusing to understand whether the applicants have applied under Open Female Category or OBC Female Category. There are no specific averments in the OA. Ld. Advocate for the applicants could not show the averments under which category the applicants have applied. This is the basic fact about migration and reservation.</p> <p>3. Both the applicants and respondents to file short affidavit disclosing under which category the applicants have applied, whether Open Female or OBC Female.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.3.2023.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(Medha Gadgil)</td> <td align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Member (A)</td> <td align="center">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">14.3.2023</td> <td align="center">14.3.2023</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)	Member (A)	Chairperson	14.3.2023	14.3.2023
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)								
Member (A)	Chairperson								
14.3.2023	14.3.2023								

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 14.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.837/2022 with O.A.No.881/2022 with O.A.No.882/2022 with O.A.No.900/2022 with O.A.No.860/2022 with O.A.No.936/2022 with O.A.No.1086/2022</p> <p>Dr. V. Salunkhe @ PawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.837/2022, Mr. Ganesh Masorkar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.Nos.881, 936, 1086/2022, Mr. A.U. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.Nos.882 & 900/2022 and Mr. Kiran Bhangre, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.860/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. tenders affidavit-in-reply in all the O.As.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate Mr. A.U. Patil appearing for the Applicants in O.A.Nos.882 & 900/2022 produced written instructions from the Applicants requesting for withdrawal of O.As. It be taken on record and marked as Exhibit-I & Exhibit-II.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate Mr. Ganesh Masorkar, holding for learned Advocate Mr. C.T. Chandratre, for the Applicants in O.A.Nos.881, 936, 1086/2022 on instructions from applicants has submitted that since the Applicants have secured less marks than the cut-off they have instructed to withdraw these O.As.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. In view of above, O.A.Nos.882, 881, 900, 936 and 1086/2022 are allowed to withdraw. The same stands disposed of as withdrawn.

7. Thus, now O.A.Nos.837 & 860/2022 are pending as in both the O.As the Applicants Dr. V. Salunkhe @ Pawar and Dr. S.H. Anande have secured more than cut-off marks.

8. Learned C.P.O. is directed to serve the affidavit-in-reply to the concerned Respondents in O.A.Nos.837 & 860/2022 forthwith.

9. Learned Advocate Mr. Thorat has submitted that he wants to amend the O.A. in view of the fact that the applicant has secured more marks than the other candidates who has been appointed and consequently prayer also be amended.

10. Amendment allowed to that extent and it is to be carried out at the most by tomorrow and copy to be served forthwith, as the O.A. is taken up for hearing on 17.03.2023.

11. Adjourned to 17.03.2023. O.A.Nos.837 & 860/2022 to be tagged along with O.A.No.941/2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.03.2023

O.A.No.243/2023

S.P. KhairnarApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.04.2023. Applicant is directed to serve concerned Respondents.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

6. Adjourned to 18.04.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.03.2023</p> <p align="center">R.A.No.17/2022 in O.A.No.200/2020</p> <p>M.P. JamadarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant states that he has received reply and seeks short period to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21.03.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.315 of 2016 & Ors.</u></p> <p>S.J. Wayachal (OA.315/2016) A.S. Bhosale (OA.425/2016) G.T. Deokar (OA.426/2016) K.V. Kalekar (OA.436/2016) ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for applicants in OAs No.315/16, 425/16 & 426/2016, Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA.436/2016, Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 in OA No.315/2016 and Smt. K.S. Gaiad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. By consent of all the Advocates including Ld. PO, adjourned to 16.3.2023 at 10.30 a.m. Concerned officers of the respondents to remain present with relevant record.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 14.3.2023 14.3.2023 (sgj) </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.03.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.266/2023</p> <p>U.S. AhireApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Thoke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that the entire procedure of the Physical Test held on 15th, 16th and 17th February, 2023 conducted by the Respondent, M.P.S.C. for the post of Women Police Sub Inspector, Maharashtra Sub Ordinate Non Gazetted Services Group-B (mains) Exam 2020 is to be quashed and set aside.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has appeared for the physical test on 17.02.2023 for the post of Women Police Sub Inspector. Learned Advocate has submitted that for the physical test held on 15.02.2023 the criteria applied was of 'Limited Departmental Competitive Examination (mains) 2021' whereas for the physical test held on 16.02.2023 and 17.02.2023 the criteria applied was of 'Maharashtra Non Gazetted Sub Ordinate Services Group-B Examination 2020'. Learned Advocate has pointed out that the applicant appeared for physical test held on 17.02.2023 wherein criteria was different and it is admitted fact by the M.P.S.C.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. on instructions has submitted that out of technical snaky in the computer or some programming it happened, and the same was noticed by the M.P.S.C. on 16.02.2023 and thereafter it was corrected and the physical test held on 16.02.2023 and 17.02.2023 was conducted applying the criteria of 'Maharashtra Non Gazetted Sub Ordinate Services Group-B</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Examination 2020'. She further submits that the marks of the candidates who appeared on 15.02.2023 for the physical test for the post of PSI were considered by applying the criteria available in the 'Maharashtra Non Gazetted Sub Ordinate Services Group-B Examination 2020', though earlier they were given marks as per 'Limited Departmental Competitive Examination (mains) 2021'.</p> <p>5. We called upon learned Advocate to demonstrate the chart at page 8 of this O.A.</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate has demonstrated that the different marks are given if distance of 200 meters is covered for different timing.</p> <p>7. Learned C.P.O. discloses from the record that the applicant took 46.1 seconds to cover 200 meters race.</p> <p>8. We further called upon learned Advocate to demonstrate for 46.1 seconds how many marks applicant should have secured as per 'Maharashtra Non Gazetted Sub Ordinate Services Group-B Examination 2020'. As per chart at page 8, for walking race of 3 kms for the time span of 24 minutes and less the marks given are 40 in the criteria of 'Limited Departmental Competitive Examination (mains) 2021' and for the time span of 23 minutes and less the marks given are 40. So there was some concession of One minute. If this is the position, the applicant is out of race. We need not even check the other criteria.</p> <p>9. Learned Advocate has submitted that the applicant took 37 seconds to complete 200 meters.</p> <p>10. We call upon learned Advocate to prove. This is urgent matter. Even ex-facie, we say that no record can be kept by any person.</p> <p>11. In view of above, we find no merit in the O.A. and the same stands dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.418 of 2022 in O.A. No.544 of 2022</p> <p>P.S. LakeshriApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Reply to M.A. 4. S.O. to 28.03.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2023</p> <p align="center">R.A. No.19 of 2022 in O.A. No.956 of 2021</p> <p>V.A. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.03.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1199 of 2022 with O.A. No.1200 of 2022</p> <p>K.J. Mhatre L.J. ThakurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Both the O.As be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.974 of 2022</p> <p>N.G. KabadiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.G. Kabadi, Applicant in Person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for Final Hearing with liberty to file Sur Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.126 of 2023</p> <p>P.U. Nanavare,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has raised objection on the point of jurisdiction stating that the Applicant is not Government servant.</p> <p>3. What is placed on record is approval letter dated 22.04.1994 of the posting of the Applicant. <i>Prima-facie</i> it cannot be construed as appointment in Government service.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is directed to satisfy the Tribunal how the Applicant could be termed as Government servant and embark to the jurisdiction of this Tribunal.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 16.03.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.950 of 2022</p> <p>S.G. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Junior Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Sabban, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant O.A. be kept tomorrow for consideration of interim relief since period of contractual service is expiring by tomorrow.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 15.03.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 14.03.2023

O.A. No.264 of 2023

V.D. Jadhav

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.A. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 22.02.2023 to the extent of posting of promotion. According to him he was not given posting as per seniority and options.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.04.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 11.04.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1304 of 2022</p> <p>D.S. RasamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and the same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder. 4. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing. 5. S.O. to 06.04.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.46 of 2023</p> <p>P.P. Gharat & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.121 of 2023 in O.A. No.46 of 2023</p> <p>P.P. Gharat & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.185 of 2023</p> <p>D.T. Katke,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Neha Kachi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 (MMRDA).</p> <p>2. On request two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="781 705 1003 736">Date: 14.03.2023</p> <p data-bbox="1013 783 1279 814">O.A. No.224 of 2023</p> <p data-bbox="781 860 1511 973">V.R. PanchalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol data-bbox="781 1056 1511 1401" style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="781 1056 1511 1179">1. Heard Shri A.S. Dhannawat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.<li data-bbox="781 1231 1511 1313">2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.<li data-bbox="781 1365 1130 1401">3. S.O. to 28.03.2023. <p data-bbox="1175 1504 1382 1653" style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p data-bbox="790 1695 837 1720">NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.235 of 2022</p> <p>Shri R. P. Patil & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. V. Thorat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing Affidavit in Reply of MPSC (Respondent No.4).</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., one day time is granted to file reply on behalf of MPSC.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.03.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1260 of 2022</p> <p>V.N. ThasaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Shete, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.G. Lad, learned Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. therefore be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1249 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. LoharApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder and requested to keep the matter for hearing.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>