

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.236 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. Yogesh Fegade & 5 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>14.3.2022</p> <p>(sgl)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>14.3.2022</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>14.03.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 129/2015 in O.A 142/2015 with O.A 143 & 144/2015</u></p> <p>Dr S.S Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.P Nalawade, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that there is no order from the office of the Accountant General though the officer from the office of the Respondent no. 2 is pursuing with the office of the Accountant General regularly.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O request for two weeks' time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 28.3.2022.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.681 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.K. Karjol ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Aniket Ransubhe, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.2 & 3.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for respondent no.1 files additional affidavit dated 10.3.2022 of Shri R.R. Hande, Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Mumbai. Copy is served to Ld. CPO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.3.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>14.3.2022</p> <p>(sgl)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>14.3.2022</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1076 of 2019 & Ors.</u></p> <p>T.R. Wagh & Ors. (OA.1076/2019) V.L. Kardile (OA.134/2019) B.F. Gaikwad (OA.266/2019) W.Z. Pahilwan & Ors.(OA.461/2019) S.S. Nikam & Ors. (OA.1077/2019) P.K. Jadhav & Ors. (OA.1078/2019) ..Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As per office circular dated 28.5.2021 the subject matter of Regularisation/Continuation in Service is to be dealt with Single Bench (Sr. No.22).</p> <p>3. Hence, these matters are transferred to Single Bench.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>14.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>14.3.2022</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.108 of 2021 in O.A. No.174 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.C. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Applicant and Advocate both are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 18.4.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Galgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>14.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>14.3.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20


I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.236 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. Yogesh Fegade & 5 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.4.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. The applicants are Dental Surgeons appointed on contract basis by the respondents under National Health Mission Programme.</p> <p>8. MPSC has issued advertisement dated 18.2.2022 for total of 289 posts of Dental Surgeon and the last date for accepting the online application form is 14.3.2022.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>9. Ld. Advocate for the applicants prays that the applicants be allowed to fill up the online application form and Respondent-MPSC be directed to accept their form either online or physically.</p> <p>10. Ld. CPO pointed out that their forms were not allowed on the ground that they are not in age limit and they have not worked in Government setup and they are not Government employees.</p> <p>11. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submitted that all the applicants are working as Dental Surgeon under National Health Mission Programme that is the scheme which is run by State of Maharashtra as per directions of the Central Government. Thus, they are working in the setup of Government of Maharashtra. Therefore, their contractual services are to be considered which is in the span of 6 to 13 years and accordingly they are to be given concession in age.</p> <p>12. Applicants though strictly speaking, are not Government employees. However, they have experience of working on contract basis with the Government in Government setup. Therefore, considering the nature of their work and the services rendered by them as Dental Surgeon, we are of the view that interim relief is to be granted as the last date for filling up the form is 14.3.2022. Therefore, we allow the prayer for interim relief and pass the following order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>ORDER</u></p> <p>(1) MPSC is directed to accept the form of the applicants online provisionally either by providing a link today itself with necessary code number by 5.00 p.m. till 12.00 mid night; or</p> <p>(2) Alternatively if it is not possible today then MPSC is directed to provisionally accept the form tomorrow either online or physically before 12.00 noon to enable them to fill up the form.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 14.3.2022 14.3.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A.759/2021</u>
	<p>Shri R.N. Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 22.09.2021 by filing the present O.A.</p> <p>3. The perusal of record reveals that while Applicant was serving as Police Constable by order dated 07.10.2005, he was suspended by way of deemed suspension from the date of arrest i.e. from 15.09.2005 under the provisions of Prevention of Corruption Act. He was convicted in criminal case by Judgment dated 14.10.2020 for the offence under Prevention of Corruption Act. Consequent to it, he was compulsorily retired w.e.f.28.03.2011. Being aggrieved by it, he preferred Criminal Appeal No.921/2010 and came to be acquitted by Judgment dated 23.02.2021.</p> <p>4. In view of acquittal, the Applicant made representation for reinstatement in service on 04.03.2021. However, surprisingly, Respondent No.2 by order dated 22.09.2021 invoked Rule 4(4) of M.C.S.R. (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 (hereinafter referred to as 'Rules of 1979' for brevity) and kept the Applicant in deemed suspension w.e.f.28.03.2011 in contemplation of D.E.</p> <p>5. When the matter was taken up for admission having noticed that the provisions of 'Rules of 1979' are not applicable to the Police Constables, the query was raised to learned P.O. how there could be applicability of Rule 4(4) of 'Rules of 1979'.</p> <p>6. In view of above, Respondent No.2 issued Corrigendum on 15.11.2021 stating that reference of 'Rules of 1979' is deleted from the suspension order and replaced by invoking Rule 3(1-A)(1) of Maharashtra Police (Punishment & Appeal) Rules, 1956 (hereinafter referred to as 'Rules of 1956' for brevity).</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
7. Thus, by Corrigendum dated 15.11.2021, the Respondent No.2 invoked provisions of 'Rules of 1956' to suspend the Applicant.	
8. Today again, learned P.O. has tendered order dated 15.02.2022 to show that suspension is revoked and Applicant was reinstated in service at Police Headquarter, Thane.	
9. Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant, however, sought to contend that basically, suspension order dated 22.09.2021 as well as Corrigendum order dated 15.11.2021 are <i>ex-facia</i> illegal and it needs to be declared bad in law. However, there is no challenge to the Corrigendum order dated 15.11.2021.	
10. The learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission to file M.A. for amendment. Two weeks' time is granted.	
11. S.O. to 28.03.2022.	Sd/-
(skw)	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 14.03.2022

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.610 of 2021</p> <p>P.B. IngaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant who is serving as Inspector Motor Vehicle has challenged transfer order dated 09.08.2021 whereby he was transferred from Pune to Satara mainly on the ground that the matter was not at all placed before C.S.B. much less no recommendation by the said board for his transfer.</p> <p>3. During the course of hearing it is transpired that the Applicant was due for transfer in general transfer of 2021 and secondly he is retiring in Oct. 2022.</p> <p>4. In O.A. specific plea is raised for not placing of matter before C.S.B. to which there is no specific Reply. Affidavit-in-Reply is silent about the placing of matter before C.S.B. as mandated by Hon'ble Supreme Court in (2013) 15 SCC 732 (T.S.R. Subramanian and Ors. Vs. Union of India & Ors.)</p> <p>5. Since, the Applicant is retiring in Oct. 2022 and the post from which he was transferred is still vacant the possibility was explored as to whether the Applicant can be accommodated at Pune since hardly 6 months time is left for his retirement. Learned P.O. on telephonic instruction by Shri Pokle, Under Secretary, Home submits that if the Applicant makes the representation that can be considered in view of his ensuing retirement in Oct. 2022.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. In view of above, O.A. is disposed with direction to the Applicant to make representation within two weeks from today and where such representation is made, Respondents shall consider the same in ensuing general transfer of 2022 or by the end of May 2022. Even if one or other reasons general transfer are postpone the decision on representation shall be taken without fail by the end of May 2022. The decision as the case may be shall be communicated to the Applicant.

7. Respondent is at liberty to taken decision on representation even before general transfer.

8. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 14.03.2022

O.A. No.582 of 2021

R.S. BhasagiApplicant
 Versus
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. sought permission to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 to 4 to the amendment carried out by the Applicant which is opposed by learned Advocate for the Applicant on the ground of delay stating that amendment was carried out in the month of Oct. 2021 and the Reply is filed today belatedly.
3. True, Reply to the amendment ought to have been filed much earlier, however in the interest of justice learned P.O. is allowed to file Affidavit-in-Reply to the amendment made in O.A. It is taken on record.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

14/3/22
 Punam Mahajan
 Archana B.K.
 21/3/22
 Post heard.
 PH
 [Signature]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 14.03.2022

O.A. No.637 of 2021

R.M. PardeshiApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 06.08.2021 whereby he was transferred from Nashik to Circle Officer Hiswal, Office of Tal. Nandgaon on the ground that his options Nos.1 to 9 were not considered and he was posted at Tal. Nandgaon which was last option.
3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submit that his client want to make representation to accommodate him at some other places which are now vacant and it be considered by the Respondents.
4. Learned P.O. on telephonic instruction from Shri Vijay Kachway, Naib Thasildar, Nasik submits that if representation is made it will be considered by the authority.
5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with liberty to the Applicant to make representation within a week from today and if necessary representation is made, decision thereon shall be taken in general transfer of 2022. The decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant.
6. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.619 of 2021</p> <p>A.S. ChaudhariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that his client want to make representation since Kolhapur post as vacant. He therefore requested to adjourn the matter for one week.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.467 of 2021</p> <p>A.A. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.131 of 2022</p> <p>P.P. MahajanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Shraddha Raut, learned Advocate instructed by Shri A.S. Singh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.424 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. K.B. BatteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance to the amendment made in O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.719 of 2021</p> <p>U.S. SorateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one day time is granted to file additional Affidavit-in-Reply to the additional Affidavit-in-Rejoinder filed by the Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.912 of 2015</u></p> <p>S.G. Deshpande & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale, states that he will file his Vakalatnama for the applicants as the present Advocate has given the NOC.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.4.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.3.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.614 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.B. Katkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that as the issue involved in this matter is small, it may be preponed.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.3.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.236 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. Yogesh Fegade & 5 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p align="center"><u>OPERATIVE PART OF THE ORDER</u></p> <p>1. MPSC is directed to accept the form of the applicants online provisionally either by providing a link today itself with necessary code number by 5.00 p.m. till 12.00 mid night; or</p> <p>2. Alternatively if it is not possible today then MPSC is directed to provisionally accept the form tomorrow either online or physically before 12.00 noon to enable them to fill up the form.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.3.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.3.2022</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.112 of 2022</p> <p>R.G. GalandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant three days time is granted to file additional Affidavit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1003 of 2021</p> <p>E.A. PardhiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted as most last chance for filing Affidavit-in-Reply with specific direction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.957 of 2021</p> <p>V.G. MekaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted as most last chance for filing Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2 with specific direction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.265 of 2021 (FOR SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES)</p> <p>A.B. NyaynitApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A.B. Nyaynit, Applicant-in-Person is absent.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Matter is taken up on today's board for speaking to the minutes to correct some inadvertent mistake in para. 10 of Clause 'b' of order dated 24.11.2021. The said para. is as under:-</p> <p align="center">"b) Matter is remanded back to Respondent No.2 to consider the claim of the Applicant medical / commuted leave afresh on the basis of sick note issued by Nagpada Police Station. He is also at liberty to call for record from Nagpada Police Hospital to verify the ailment / treatment of the Applicant."</p> <p>3. In above para inadvertently it is mentioned as sick note in place of medical certificate and Nagpada police station is referred in place of Nagpada Police Hospital. The word 'sick note' be corrected as 'medical certificate' and word Nagpada Police 'Station' be corrected as Nagpada Police 'Hospital'.</p> <p>4. Correction accordingly be made.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[PTO.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.123 of 2022</p> <p>S.B. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.67 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. KasarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.199 of 2020</p> <p>A.M. MujawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Having heard learned Advocate for the Applicant and learned P.O., Reply of Respondent No.2 and order dated 18.01.1989 which is referred in service book produced today is material, therefore Respondent No.2 is directed to file Affidavit-in-Reply along with order dated 18.01.1989 by next date so that matter can be decided on its own merit.</p> <p>3. Matter is Part Heard, no further time will be granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.172 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. S.Y. KachareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.03.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.133 of 2022</p> <p>S.P. BombeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.03.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>