

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.882/2016  
(Pradeep Koushike V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.07.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The matter was part heard and heard further. Learned Advocate for the applicant pointed out about the query raised yesterday. However, learned P.O. seeks time to seek clarification regarding filling roster point. As the matter is part heard, learned Advocate for the applicant as well as the learned P.O. agreed that the matter be kept before this Bench on 18-07-2018 for further hearing.

3. Learned P.O. states that he will call the relevant record and concerned officer on the next date.

4. S.O. 18-07-2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 13-07-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.65/2017  
(Kundan Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.07.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states this case be kept with O.A.No.882/2016 as the similar point is involved in both the cases. Hence this case also be placed before this Bench.

3. S.O. 18-07-2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 13-07-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.561/2016  
(Sukhdev Chitte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.07.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. None is present for the applicant. Earlier this Tribunal by order dated 26-03-2018 directed the applicant to produce copy of the order dated 16-12-2015 passed by the Collector, Dhule i.e. disciplinary authority imposing punishment on him, which is material for deciding the present O.A.

3. Since none is present for the applicant, S.O. 25-07-2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 13-07-2018 F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 498 OF 2018  
(Shri Pandit B. Tidke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.A. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 20.08.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

**8. Without filing any detailed affidavit in reply, the concerned respondents are directed to go through the copies of the representations (page nos. 70, 71 & 73) already submitted by the applicant. Upon going through the said representations, they shall take the decision and to take steps for imparting full pension to the applicant as per the rules and regulations. In case, the concerned respondent comes to the conclusion that the representation cannot be accepted, then the short affidavit explaining the reasons shall be filed on the next date.**

**9. The concerned respondents are put the notice that in case no decision is taken and the pension is unnecessary withheld, the Tribunal would be constrained to direct for exemplary interest on the delayed payment from the concerned respondents.**

//3//

O.A. No. 498/2018

10. S.O. 20-08-2018.

11. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 13-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 510 OF 2018  
(Smt. Jija R. Tarte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 20.08.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

**8. Without filing any detailed affidavit in reply of other respondents, the respondent No. 6 i.e. the Range Forest Officer, Social Forestry, Jalna is directed to file short affidavit in explaining as to why he is not obeying the order of his immediate superior i.e. respondent No. 5 the Divisional Forest Officer, Social Forestry, Jalna, to let the present applicant join on the post. If necessary, he shall take corrective steps by allowing the present applicant to join by issuing letter to the applicant in that regard by registered post acknowledgment due and to file report about the same in this Tribunal on the next date. Upon failure, the respondent No. 6 shall be liable for the consequences as per law.**



//3//

O.A. No. 510/2018

9. S.O. 20-08-2018.

10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 13-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 276 OF 2017  
(Shri Prashant R. Bibrle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri L.H. Kawale, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.J. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 07.08.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 13-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 356 OF 2017  
(Dr. Shrikrishna R. Wani V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Devkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions from the applicant. Time granted.
3. At her request, S.O. to 23.08.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 13-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 576 OF 2017  
(Shri Ulhas N. Suryawanshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 25.07.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 13-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 773 OF 2017  
(Shri Abhimanyu S. Kalyan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.R. Barlinge, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 08.08.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 13-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 832 OF 2017  
(Shri Ramkrishna K. Mhaske & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.C. Sonone, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 23.08.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 13-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 849 OF 2017  
(Smt. Shashikala G. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that presently the affidavit in reply of the respondent No. 1 is not required.

3. Hence, the present Original Application be placed for hearing on 23.08.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 13-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 927 OF 2017  
(Shri Shaikh Nijam Shaikh Nanhumiya V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 6 and Shri G.J. Pahilwan, learned Advocate for respondent No. 7.

2. Upon hearing both the side it would appears that in view of the steps taken by the concerned respondents during the pendency of the present Original Application in view of the interim order passed by this Tribunal, the prayer clause (C) does not survive. This Tribunal would be required to consider the prayer clause B and D regarding the revision of the amount recovered from the applicant by the respondent No. 4.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.08.2018, for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 13-07-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 931 OF 2017  
(Shri Dhananjay R. Kauthale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Presenting Officer submits that presently the affidavit in reply of respondent No. 5 is not required.

4. Hence, the present matter be placed for hearing on 09.08.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 13-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 61 OF 2018  
(Shri Bipin A. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 07.08.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 13-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 797 OF 2017  
(Smt. Chandrakala W. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The present applicant is claiming that her name should have been put in the waiting list for the appointment on compassionate ground since the date of her first application dated 21.01.2003 and not from 10.06.2010.

3. Annexure A- 6, page No. 54 filed by the applicant herself would show that as she was second wife of the deceased employee, in view of the Government Resolution at that time, her name could not have been included in the waiting list of the year 2003. However, the concerned respondents in the meantime had come across in newspaper that the Hon'ble Supreme Court in one case has held that the second wife would also be entitled to claim appointment on compassionate ground and therefore, the applicant's name came to be included in the waiting list from 2010, as per the directions issued by the Desk Officer, State of Maharashtra to the Superintending Engineer,

Nanded vide communication dated 03.10.2016 (Annexure A-5, page no. 52).

4. On query put to the learned Advocate for the applicant that when the respondents relying on the news published in newspaper had took the decision to include the name of the present applicant from 2010, he seeks time to take instructions in this regard from the applicant.

5. At his request, S.O. to 08.08.2018 for taking instructions from the applicant on the above line.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDER 13-07-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 67 OF 2018**

[Dr. Mohd. Feroz Iqbal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. At her request, S.O. to 21<sup>st</sup> August, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**  
ORAL ORDERS13.07.2018-HDD

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 230/18 IN M.A.ST.1116/18 IN O.A.ST.1115/18**  
[Shri Kishan A. Falke & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Ramesh I. Wakade, learned Advocate for the applicants and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants, as regards the merit of the Original Application, submits that he would make research to find out as to whether there is a bar of change in condition for promotion once the employee enters the service under the State. At his request, S.O. to 24<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 for making submissions on the above line.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS13.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 493 OF 2018**

[Shri Rajendra K. Shimpi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant shall file an application under Right to Information Act, to get the copy of the minutes of the DPC meeting held by the concerned respondents and would file the same on record. At his request, S.O. to 20<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS13.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NOS. 623/17, 624/17, 634/17 & 135/18**

[Smt. Priti T. Waghmare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, as a last chance, S.O. to 19<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 for hearing, as interim relief is running against the respondents.

3. It is made clear that if on the next date adjournment is sought by the applicant, interim relief shall stand automatically vacated.

**MEMBER (A)**  
ORAL ORDERS13.07.2018-HDD

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NO. 535/2013 WITH O.A.NO. 575/2013**

[Smt. Shubhangi A. Shejul & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these cases and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both these cases.
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 25<sup>th</sup> July, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**  
ORAL ORDERS13.07.2018-HDD

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 820 OF 2017  
[Smt. Aruna K. Sanap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]**

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the present applicant could not secure the necessary marks for consideration in Open Female Category, and therefore, her claim would not survive. Hence, he submits that the present Original Application may be disposed of.

3. In view of the above, the present Original Application is disposed of without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**  
ORAL ORDERS13.07.2018-HDD

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NOS. 821, 822, 929, 956 & 904 ALL OF 2017**

[Smt. Sujata D. Budhawant & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A. Nos. 821, 822, 929 & 956 all of 2017, Shri Santosh S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A. No. 904/2017, Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases and Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the intervenors in O.A. No. 822/2017.

2. Heard both the sides.

3. Learned Advocate Shri Sandeep D. Munde for the applicants submits that the applicant No. 2 in O.A. No. 956/2017 namely Smt. Roshni Anna Tambade, could not secure the necessary marks for consideration in Open Female Category, and therefore, her claim would not survive. Hence, he submits that the Original Application No. 956/2017 to the extent of applicant No. 2 only, may be disposed of.

4. In view of the aforesaid submissions made by the learned Advocate Shri Sandeep D. Munde, for the applicants, the Original Application No. 956/2017 is

**O.A.NOS. 821, 822, 929,  
956 & 904 ALL OF 2017**

disposed of to the extent of applicant No. 2 namely Smt. Roshni Anna Tambade, only.

5. In the circumstances, the learned Advocate Shri Sandeep D. Munde, for the applicants is directed to delete the name of applicant No. 2 namely Smt. Roshni Anna Tambade from the Original Application No. 956/2017.

6. So far as applicant No. 1 namely Smt. Kalyani D. Patil is concerned, the Original Application No. 956/2017 will be proceeded further.

7. The submissions from both the sides would show that not only the selection is completed but even the respondent No. 3, Maharashtra Public Service Commission, has recommended the names of the selected candidates to respondent Nos. 1 & 2, for appointment.

8. Learned Advocates for the applicants submit that though the candidates whose names are recommended are required to be joined as necessary parties, they could not be impleaded as the applicants do not have their addresses.

9. In the circumstances, the interim relief granted earlier is hereby modified. It is hereby directed that the appointment, if any made by the concerned respondents would be subject to the decision of the present Original Applications.

10. The concerned respondents are also directed to put a footnote below the appointment order of the

concerned candidates that the appointments being made are subject to the decision in the present Original Applications.

11. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of the M.P.S.C., as well as, State Government in some of the OAs are being adopted in the O.A. Nos. 929/2017 & 956/2017.

12. In view of the above, the pleadings are complete. The applicants are at liberty to join the candidates selected against the claim of the present applicants.

13. S.O. to 25<sup>th</sup> July, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 116 OF 2018**

[Shri Sanjay M. Deokate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Smt. Juee Palekar-Parlikar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time. She submits that in fact, the documents to be produced is judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, there is no need to file it. She would rely on the judgment or authorities on the date of hearing. At her request, S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> August, 2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS13.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 123 OF 2018**

[Shri Shrikant T. Mahajan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Prasad Jarare, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Trupti Mahajan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.
3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS13.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 134 OF 2018**

[Smt. Latabai B. Nanher Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. B.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS13.07.2018-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 142 OF 2018**

[Shri Suresh L. Moholkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS13.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 188 OF 2018**

[Shri Gangadhar H. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS13.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 200 OF 2018**

[Shri Dinkar G. Shahane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Shri H.K. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 6<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS13.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 91/2018 IN O.A.ST. NO. 121/2018**

[Smt. Kalpana D. Kularni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, issue notice to the respondent No. 2, in M.A. No. 91/2018, returnable on 13<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**M.A.NO. 91/2018 IN**  
**O.A.ST. NO. 121/2018**

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to the record.

8. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 131/2018 IN O.A.NO. 891/2016**  
[Shri Anil P. Salve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 2<sup>nd</sup> August, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS13.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 150/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO. 569/2018**

[Shri Pandurang M. Chandanshiv Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri L.H. Kawale, learned Advocate for the applicant and SMt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1. Shri Umesh S. Mote, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3 (**absent**).

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 20<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS13.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 181/2018 IN M.A.NO. 109/2018 IN O.A.ST. 408/2018**

[Shri Punjaram N. Wathore Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. The present Miscellaneous Application has been filed by the applicant for restoration of M.A. No. 109/2018.
3. For the reasons stated in the present Miscellaneous Application No. 181/2018, the same is allowed and the M.A. No. 109/2018 is hereby restored to the file.
4. Accordingly, the present M.A. No. 181/2018 is disposed of without any order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS13.07.2018-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 109/2018 IN O.A.ST. 408/2018**

[Shri Punjaram N. Wathore Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Issue fresh notices to the respondents in M.A. No. 109/2018, returnable on 27<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, M.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to the record.

8. S.O. to 27<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.

9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 223/2018 IN O.A. 933/2017**

[Smt. Neha K. Parve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri S.K. Chavan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. The present Miscellaneous Application has been filed by the applicant for restoration of O.A. No. 933/2017.
3. For the reasons stated in the present Miscellaneous Application No. 223/2018, the same is allowed and the O.A. No. 933/2017 is hereby restored to the file.
4. Accordingly, the present M.A. No. 223/2018 is disposed of without any order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS13.07.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION 933 OF 2017**

[Smt. Neha K. Parve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri S.K. Chavan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Issue fresh notices to the respondents, returnable on 30<sup>th</sup> July, 2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**O.A. NO. 933/2017**

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to the record.

8. S.O. to 30<sup>th</sup> July, 2018.

9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1142 OF 2018**

[Shri Dayanand P. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Vishal Sarosiya, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ganesh Gadhe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the Indian Postal Order is not available with the Postal Authority and, therefore, presently objection No. 1 cannot be removed. In the circumstances, with liberty to remove the office objection within a period of one week, the present Original Application be registered.
3. Upon registration of the present O.A., issue fresh notices to the respondents, returnable on 2<sup>nd</sup> August, 2018.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to the record.

9. S.O. to 2<sup>nd</sup> August, 2018.

10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 195 OF 2018**

[Shri Prakash M. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.**

**DATE : 13.07.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Amol N. Kokad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 25<sup>th</sup> July, 2018, as a last chance, for filing affidavit in reply, failing which this Tribunal would be constrained to impose heavy costs on the concerned respondents.
3. Learned Presenting Officer to act on the steno copy.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS13.07.2018-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. NO. 446/2012 IN CP. ST. 1507/2012 IN O.A. NO. 239/1998  
AND O.A. 11/2003  
(Pawan A. Landge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the present applicant remained absent from the year 2005 onwards. He claims that, when the applicant tried to join later on, he was not allowed to join the duty. In the circumstances, the concerned respondents have not regularized the services of the applicant, though they have regularized the services of other similarly situated employees, who had filed various O.As. before the Tribunal which went up to the Hon'ble the Supreme Court.

3. Learned Advocate also relies on the decision of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in Civil Appeal no (s) 24083/2013 dtd. 1.7.2014. He has placed on record copy of the said judgment. It is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification and copy thereof has been served upon the learned P.O. for the

**::-2-::**  
**MA 446/12 IN CP ST. 1507/12**  
**IN OA 239/98 AND OA 11/03**

respondents. He seeks time to go through the said judgment and make his further submissions on the above line. At his request, S.O. to 7.8.2018 for going through the above judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court and making submissions on the above line.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 13-7-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 77/2017  
(Rajesh B. Yawalkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kalyan Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate submits that he is filing misc. application for amendment in the O.A. It be filed in the office. The said M.A. along with the present O.A. be placed on board for further hearing on 2.8.2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 13-7-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216 AND 217/2015  
(Arundhati D. Nagre & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.7.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.G. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these matters.

2. Hearing of both the sides would show that the present applicants are claiming selection to the post reserved for Open Women category, though they are from reserved categories. The impugned advertisement was issued in the year 2014. No interim relief is granted by the Tribunal in all these matters. The learned Advocate submits that he is unaware regarding appointment, if any, of other candidates. He submits that if those candidates are appointed then instructions regarding joining those appointed candidates as a party respondents in the present matters are required to be taken from

**::-2-::**  
**O.A. NO. 211, 212, 213,**  
**214, 215, 216 AND 217/2017**

the respective applicants in the above scenario. In the circumstances, at the request of learned Advocate for the applicants S.O. to 26.7.2018 for making submissions on the above line and / or taking suitable steps.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 13-7-2018